

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds*	Revenue Options and Funding Exchanges	Requires Other Changes?
1	\$ -	\$ 119,584,400	\$ -	<b>State Endowment Fund (Sweep Balances)</b> - Accessing the balance in this account requires a 3/4 vote and approval by the Governor (Article XXII, Section 4). This fund is not used unless accessed by the Legislature. The majority of the money came from tobacco settlement funds designated annually for this fund prior to FY 2012. Article XXII, Section 4 of the Utah Constitution says the fund is "for the benefit of the people of the state in perpetuity."	3/4 vote and approval by the Governor
2	\$ -	\$ 107,000,000	\$ -	<b>DWS - Consider using Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) excess reserve authority for one-time items consistent with the 5 TANF purposes</b> - The Fiscal Analyst recommends using TANF funding for one-time items meeting at least one of the required 5 TANF purposes. Funds could be used for other one-time purposes outside of TANF only by using TANF funding for TANF-related expenditures and removing General Fund one-time.	No
3	\$ -	\$ 10,570,000	\$ -	<b>DWS - Savings From Higher Federal Match Rate for Certain Medicaid Eligibility Systems Maintenance and Operations</b> - The federal government has indicated that it will pay a 75% instead of the usual 50% for maintenance and operations of certain eligibility determinations. The federal government has yet to clarify if the start date for the higher match rate will be October or December 2013. The Fiscal Analyst recommends removing General Fund one-time funding of \$4,530,000 in FY 2014 and \$6,040,000 one-time in FY 2015 to reflect the higher match rate for certain eREP functions beginning October 1, 2014. The \$6.0 million may end up being an ongoing savings. The agency would like to use the money to address its structural imbalance (\$8.2 million ongoing request from the 2013 General Session). The exact amount of the savings is a little uncertain as they will be determined on actual Medicaid enrollment. The Legislature can chose to make any reduction and subsequent use contingent upon actual savings realized via intent language.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds*	Revenue Options and Funding Exchanges	Requires Other Changes?
4	\$ 2,029,700	\$ -	\$ -	<b>DHS - Increase the Recovery Services Payment Processing Fee to More Fully Cover Cost and Fairly Reflect Ability to Pay</b> - alter fee from a flat \$5 per transaction amount to a percentage of payment to better reflect ability to pay and better cover cost of providing service (UCA 63J-1-504(2)). Increased funding from fee increases should remain within ORS. Due to federal regulations, increasing a fee and removing the General Fund actually results in a loss of funding to ORS because fees cannot receive federal match and cannot be used to supplant a state's required General Fund contribution. ORS indicates reprogramming its computer system would take two years, at a minimum, to complete due to the complexities of how fees are applied. In statute there is a complex hierarchy of rules governing how and when funds are distributed when a payment is made.	Yes - fee increases and computer system modifications
5	\$ 1,007,400	\$ -	\$ -	<b>DWS - Automatically Take the 2% Maximum for Administration From the Permanent Community Impact Fund</b> - UCA 35A-8-307(6) allows up to 2% to be used for administration of the fund. This assumes that the receipts to the fund will be \$118 million for FY 2015 (as per current estimates). The agency indicates it has not used the full 2% for its administration of the fund because it has not been needed. This would exchange money from this fund for other General Fund and assumes additional expenditures could be claimed as fund expenses.	No
6	\$ 950,000	\$ -	\$ -	<b>State Endowment Fund (Ongoing Revenues)</b> - The fund accrued \$987,400 in interest in FY 2013. The \$900,000 ongoing revenue from this fund could come from by a change in statute to deposit all interest earned by the account into the General Fund. If any balances were taken, then this would reduce the amount of ongoing revenues available. Article XXII, Section 4 of the Utah Constitution says the fund is "for the benefit of the people of the state in perpetuity."	Yes - 51-9-202
7	\$ -	\$ 672,000	\$ -	<b>DHS - Replace General Fund with Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant (Federal Funds)</b> - The Department of Human Services has four months of reserve for its federal grant, Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant. It has only three months of needed expenditures. This funding exchange would replace one-time General Fund with one-half month of federal reserve, which would leave the Department of Human Services with three-and-one-half months reserves.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds*	Revenue Options and Funding Exchanges	Requires Other Changes?
8	\$ -	\$ 654,100	\$ -	<b>DHS - Replace General Fund with Social Services Block Grant (Federal Funds)</b> - The Department of Human Services has six months of reserve for its federal grant, Social Services Block Grant. It has only three months of needed expenditures. This funding exchange would replace one-time General Fund with one-half month of federal reserve, which would leave the Department of Human Services with five-and-one-half months reserves.	No
9	\$ -	\$ 580,300	\$ -	<b>DHS - Replace General Fund with Mental Health Block Grant (Federal Funds)</b> - The Department of Human Services has five months of reserve for its federal grant, Mental Health Block Grant. It has only three months of needed expenditures. This funding exchange would replace one-time General Fund with two months of federal reserve, which would leave the Department of Human Services with five-and-one-half months reserves.	No
10	\$ -	\$ 450,000	\$ -	<b>DOH – Close Fund 2240 Women, Infants, &amp; Children Supplemental Food Program Fund</b> - Sweep balance - eliminate UCA 26-10-2.5, close the fund, and put the money into the General Fund. The balance has not been used since FY 1992. Alternatively the Legislature could do nothing and allow an expenditure opportunity in the future under current statutory restrictions (UCA 26-10-2.5). The Department indicates that as of January 2014, the federal government will be taking this money from the State as its federal program income and the State has not used the money. The federal government sent an email on January 16, 2014 indicating its intent to send a letter to take back the funds.	Yes - 26-10-2.5
11	\$ -	\$ 375,000	\$ -	<b>DHS - Replace General Fund with the Title III C-1 Congregate Meals Grant (Federal Funds)</b> - The Department of Human Services has ten months of reserve for its federal grant, The Title III C-1 Congregate Meals Grant. It has only three months of needed expenditures. This funding exchange would replace one-time General Fund with 1.9 months of federal reserve, which would leave the Department of Human Services with 8.1 months reserves.	No
12	\$ -	\$ 375,000	\$ -	<b>DHS - Replace General Fund with the Title III C-2 Home Delivered Meals Grant (Federal Funds)</b> - The Department of Human Services has nine months of reserve for its federal grant, The Title III C-2 Home Delivered Meals Grant. It has only three months of needed expenditures. This funding exchange would replace one-time General Fund with 3.5 months of federal reserve, which would leave the Department of Human Services with 5.5 months reserves.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds*	Revenue Options and Funding Exchanges	Requires Other Changes?
13	\$ -	\$ 236,900	\$ -	<b>DHS - Replace General Fund with the Community Based Child Abuse Prevention Grant (Federal Funds)</b> - The Department of Human Services has nine months of reserve for its federal grant, The Community Based Child Abuse Prevention Grant. It has only three months of needed expenditures. This funding exchange would replace one-time General Fund with six months of federal reserve, which would leave the Department of Human Services with three months reserves.	No
14	\$ 195,000	\$ -	\$ -	<b>DOH - Begin to Charge a \$15 Background Check Fee for 13,000 Child Care Workers</b> - Child care workers do not currently pay a fee for their annual background checks. The Department indicates that the providers do pay a licensing fee which ranges from \$25 and up. Every \$1 of fee would be \$13,000 deposited into the General Fund. Nursing care facility workers currently pay \$15 while emergency medical technicians pay \$30. The agency is opposed to this fee as it feels it would negatively impact the child care industry.	Maybe
15	\$ -	\$ 50,500	\$ -	<b>DOH - Use Balance in the State Laboratory Drug Testing Account</b> - The account has an available balance of \$50,500. This could be used in place of one-time General Fund. The fund is for the State's costs of drug and alcohol tests.	No
16	\$ 46,200	\$ -	\$ -	<b>DOH - Increase Two Medical Examiner Fees</b> - Raise the cremation authorization fee \$5 to \$50 and the burial transit fee by \$3 to \$10. This reduction could replace current General Fund appropriations to the Medical Examiner with new fee revenue.	Yes - fee increases
17	\$ -	\$ 41,400	\$ -	<b>DOH - Medicaid Restricted Account (Sweep Balance)</b> - The fund balance is not used unless the Legislature appropriates money out of it. As per UCA 26-18-402, the account receives all the unspent monies in the Medicaid program.	No
18	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ -	<b>DHS - Increase the Alcoholic Beverage Server Fee by \$0.50 to \$1.00</b> - to make the on-premise (\$2.50) and off-premise (\$3.50) fees consistent and fully cover the cost of providing the service (UCA 63J-1-504(2)).	Yes - fee increases & maybe statutory changes

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds*	Revenue Options and Funding Exchanges	Requires Other Changes?
19	\$ 23,600	\$ -	\$ -	<b>DHS - increase the Recovery Services Credit Card Processing Fee</b> - to fully cover the cost (UCA 63J-1-504(2)) of providing the convenience of paying by credit card. Increased funding from fee increases should remain within ORS. Due to federal regulations, increasing a fee and removing the General Fund actually results in a loss of funding to ORS because fees cannot receive federal match and cannot be used to supplant a state's required General Fund contribution.	Yes - fee increases
20	\$ 14,200	\$ -	\$ -	<b>DOH - 20% or \$5 Increase in Annual Child Care Facility Licensure Fees</b> - Currently child care centers pay a \$25 annual license fee and \$1.50 per child while home care providers pay an annual \$25 licensing fee. Each 20% increase in these fees (\$5 per license and \$0.23 per child) would bring in an additional \$14,200. As per current statute, this money would be deposited in the General Fund. The agency indicates that in 2005 neighboring states' child care center annual fees ranged from \$0 to \$480 and in home providers' fees from \$0 to \$60. Of the \$14,200 estimated revenue, \$9,000 would come from child care centers and \$5,200 from home care providers.	Yes - fee increases
21	\$ 8,300		\$ -	<b>DHS - Increase the Office of Licensing Outdoor Youth Provider Fee</b> - to fully cover the cost of licensing and monitoring of these private programs (UCA 63J-1-504(2)).	Yes - fee increase & maybe statutory changes

**\$ 4,299,400    \$ 240,589,600    \$ -    Grand Total - Revenue Options and Funding Exchanges**

**\* Where there are numbers in both ongoing and one-time state funds columns, the total funds represents ongoing funds.**