

Historical Expenditure Trend FY 2011 through FY 2015 - Department of Workforce Services

Workforce Services	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	Diff.	% Diff.	Agency Answers
	Sum of 'Sum of 2011	Sum of 'Sum of 2012	Sum of 'Sum of 2013	Sum of 'Sum of 2014	Sum of 'Sum of Actual'			
Administration	\$12,232,400	\$9,871,100	\$11,830,200	\$10,750,500	\$10,540,400	(\$1,692,000)	-14%	
Executive Director's Office	\$2,213,200	\$1,987,300	\$2,783,300	\$1,721,400	\$1,411,400	(\$801,800)	-36%	See explanations below.
DP Current Expense	\$505,000	\$6,300	\$6,300	\$2,100	\$4,100	(\$500,900)	-99%	Certain IT costs previously recorded in the Executive Director's Office appropriation unit are now charged to the Information Technology appropriation unit (NJY).
Personnel Services	\$1,594,600	\$1,636,000	\$2,422,000	\$1,551,600	\$1,285,700	(\$308,900)	-19%	Personnel services expenses have decreased due to organizational changes in the department.
Administrative Support	\$7,509,600	\$5,429,900	\$6,214,500	\$6,279,300	\$6,560,800	(\$948,800)	-13%	
Current Expense	\$4,056,100	\$2,498,400	\$2,129,400	\$2,092,000	\$1,856,300	(\$2,199,800)	-54%	Bond payment on the DWS Administration North building ended at the end of 2011 .
Personnel Services	\$3,565,800	\$2,895,800	\$4,015,800	\$4,155,500	\$4,686,300	\$1,120,500	31%	Increases in FTEs to account for increased workload. Includes internal transfers of certain FTEs from other DWS divisions to Administrative Support due to organizational changes.
Community Development Capital Budget	\$44,517,500	\$84,736,100	\$43,367,700	\$40,147,300	\$68,170,800	\$23,653,300	53%	See explanations below.
Community Impact Board	\$44,517,500	\$84,736,100	\$43,367,700	\$40,147,300	\$68,170,800	\$23,653,300	53%	This amount reflects the amount of Community Impact Board (CIB) expensed on grants (loans are booked as receivables in the balance sheets of enterprise funds.) In recent years, the CIB experienced an increase in Mineral Royalties revenue, which allowed the Board to fund more projects, and to fund more projects with grant funds instead of loan funds.
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$68,170,800	\$68,170,800	#DIV/0!	This reflects a change in how Mineral Lease Fund activity is recorded by State Finance.
Transfers	\$44,517,500	\$84,736,100	\$43,367,700	\$40,147,300	\$0	(\$44,517,500)	-100%	This reflects a change in how Mineral Lease Fund activity is recorded by State Finance.
General Assistance	\$2,919,600	\$4,502,100	\$5,241,600	\$4,235,700	\$4,513,100	\$1,593,500	55%	See explanation below.
General Assistance	\$2,919,600	\$4,502,100	\$5,241,600	\$4,235,700	\$4,513,100	\$1,593,500	55%	See explanation below.
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$2,032,200	\$3,622,000	\$4,324,800	\$3,269,300	\$3,571,500	\$1,539,300	76%	GA Benefit payments are based on eligible customers served each year as well as appropriated amounts. The difference reflects these variations in expenses.
Housing and Community Development	\$75,455,200	\$51,190,100	\$46,582,600	\$42,985,500	\$44,163,900	(\$31,291,300)	-41%	See individual explanations below.
Community Development	\$13,409,300	\$7,739,800	\$8,289,800	\$5,822,100	\$6,447,000	(\$6,962,300)	-52%	See individual explanations below.
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$12,022,400	\$6,740,300	\$7,453,900	\$4,815,200	\$5,024,200	(\$6,998,200)	-58%	In FY 2011, we were spending federal Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) funds. That program has ended.
Community Development Administration	\$809,900	\$860,400	\$650,600	\$565,800	\$576,700	(\$233,200)	-29%	
Personnel Services	\$996,200	\$867,800	\$550,900	\$453,800	\$458,000	(\$538,200)	-54%	In FY 2015, the DWS Housing and Community Development Division has 5 fewer accounting staff (including one manager) and two fewer support staff than we had in FY 2011. The 5 accounting staff are now included in the budget of the DWS Administrative Support Division.
Community Services	\$5,000,700	\$3,264,100	\$3,365,700	\$3,154,700	\$3,494,200	(\$1,506,500)	-30%	See individual explanations below.
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$4,657,100	\$3,063,100	\$3,142,400	\$2,933,300	\$3,233,200	(\$1,423,900)	-31%	In FY 2011, we were spending federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds. That program has ended.
HEAT	\$27,749,700	\$17,180,700	\$18,711,300	\$18,500,600	\$16,821,100	(\$10,928,600)	-39%	See explanation below.
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$27,035,900	\$16,614,500	\$18,219,300	\$17,975,200	\$16,247,900	(\$10,788,000)	-40%	Congress has allocated less funding to the Low-income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) in recent years. The FY 2015 award was approximately \$10 million less than the FY 2011 award. Benefit payments to individuals and families are booked as pass-thru.
Homeless Committee	\$6,332,900	\$5,932,200	\$4,820,200	\$5,723,900	\$8,400,200	\$2,067,300	33%	See explanation below.

Historical Expenditure Trend FY 2011 through FY 2015 - Department of Workforce Services

<u>Workforce Services</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>Diff.</u>	<u>% Diff.</u>	<u>Agency Answers</u>
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$5,523,500	\$5,177,400	\$4,183,500	\$4,829,700	\$7,629,500	\$2,106,000	38%	In FY 2011, we were spending federal ARRA funds on Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-housing Program (HPRP). For FY 2015, we spent one-time GF pass-thru appropriations for the Hope Lodge, The Road Home, and The Lantern House, as well as one-time funding for case management for the chronically homeless. DWS passes nearly all funding to local agencies for local and/or subject specific delivery.
Housing Development	\$1,727,900	\$584,800	\$796,600	\$733,900	\$687,500	(\$1,040,400)	-60%	See explanation below.
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$1,242,800	\$62,900	\$156,500	\$0	\$0	(\$1,242,800)	-100%	In FY2011, state funds expensed on housing grants were booked in Fund 1000, and the cash was transferred from the enterprise fund (Fund 5438, where is was appropriated) to cover the expense. In FY2012 or FY2013 (after a change in GASB accounting standards), we began booking the grant expense directly to the enterprise fund. This change in practice prevents the general fund line item from going into a negative cash status until the cash transfers can be made.
Weatherization Assistance	\$20,001,600	\$15,171,600	\$9,194,000	\$7,817,700	\$7,249,000	(\$12,752,600)	-64%	See explanation below.
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$19,053,100	\$14,361,900	\$8,617,100	\$7,291,800	\$6,752,700	(\$12,300,400)	-65%	In FY2011, we were spending federal ARRA funds, most of which were passed through to local agencies. That program has ended.
Olene Walker Housing Loan Fund	\$1,002,900	\$1,607,700	\$907,200	\$14,528,000	\$13,774,200	\$12,771,300	1273%	See explanation below.
Olene Walker Housing Loan Fund	\$1,002,900	\$1,607,700	\$907,200	\$14,528,000	\$13,774,200	\$12,771,300	1273%	See explanation below.
Transfers	\$0	\$125,000	\$0	\$13,478,800	\$12,533,700	\$12,533,700	#DIV/0!	This is a housekeeping issue that reflect a change in how funds are now transferred by State Finance.
Operations and Policy	\$683,097,400	\$682,885,600	\$658,684,300	\$582,807,100	\$401,728,500	(\$281,368,900)	-41%	See individual explanations below.
Information Technology	\$28,275,000	\$25,881,300	\$26,103,700	\$30,103,900	\$32,265,600	\$3,990,600	14%	
Current Expense	\$285,100	\$313,000	\$198,100	\$451,000	\$1,066,000	\$780,900	274%	Increase in communications costs associated with the implementation of ININ (phone system) in Eligibility Services and Unemployment Insurance.
DP Capital Outlay	\$5,021,600	\$3,200,500	\$7,110,900	\$8,954,200	\$7,145,700	\$2,124,100	42%	Increase in costs for eREP and Unemployment Insurance systems development.
Eligibility Services	\$69,880,300	\$61,606,300	\$57,322,600	\$56,932,600	\$56,493,700	(\$13,386,600)	-19%	See explanations below.
Current Expense	\$7,316,500	\$6,497,000	\$5,617,900	\$5,745,200	\$4,453,600	(\$2,862,900)	-39%	Reduction in costs associated with FTEs
DP Current Expense	\$1,781,900	\$1,670,700	\$1,467,900	\$1,365,200	\$409,000	(\$1,372,900)	-77%	Certain IT costs previously recorded in the Eligibility Services appropriation unit are now charged to the Information Technology appropriation unit (NJY).
Personnel Services	\$60,263,000	\$53,339,300	\$50,127,700	\$49,719,100	\$51,543,500	(\$8,719,500)	-14%	Reduction in FTEs from 1,002 to 750. Elimination of the pay for performance program.
Facilities and Pass-Through	\$9,176,400	\$9,923,000	\$23,113,700	\$8,703,400	(\$171,045,300)	(\$180,221,700)	-1964%	See explanations below.
Current Expense	\$8,058,900	\$7,534,100	\$7,287,100	\$6,876,200	\$6,735,800	(\$1,323,100)	-16%	DWS closed certain offices between 2011 and 2014 and renegotiated leases to reduce cost and space in certain offices that remained open.
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$114,500	\$224,100	\$62,900	\$21,800	(\$179,800,700)	(\$179,915,200)	-157131%	The FY2015 amount shown is an error. The "other charges" amount was zero for FY2015.
Personnel Services	\$979,300	\$2,144,700	\$15,762,800	\$1,804,800	\$1,951,200	\$971,900	99%	MetLife payment for Independent Retirement Plan. Amount paid was based on actuarial projections for fund.
Nutrition Assistance	\$391,994,500	\$410,226,900	\$388,000,100	\$329,416,300	\$314,313,700	(\$77,680,800)	-20%	See explanation below.
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$391,994,500	\$410,226,900	\$388,000,100	\$329,416,300	\$314,313,700	(\$77,680,800)	-20%	Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) caseloads have dropped from a high of almost 114,000 in 2012 to less than 92,000 in 2015.
Other Assistance	\$4,537,000	\$3,134,300	\$5,301,600	\$5,529,700	\$5,578,600	\$1,041,600	23%	See explanations below.
Current Expense	\$2,688,000	\$0	\$3,075,000	\$2,934,500	\$4,487,700	\$1,799,700	67%	Job Growth Funds (Special Administrative Expense Account) expenses are based on appropriated amounts and fluctuate from year to year. Expenses reflect these fluctuations.

Historical Expenditure Trend FY 2011 through FY 2015 - Department of Workforce Services

Workforce Services	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	Diff.	% Diff.	Agency Answers
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$1,849,000	\$3,133,800	\$2,226,600	\$2,595,200	\$1,090,900	(\$758,100)	-41%	Job Growth Funds (Special Administrative Expense Account) expenses are based on appropriated amounts and fluctuate from year to year. Expenses reflect these fluctuations.
Refugee Assistance	\$6,477,000	\$6,822,600	\$7,786,500	\$8,132,900	\$7,356,000	\$879,000	14%	
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$6,264,100	\$6,822,600	\$7,786,500	\$8,132,900	\$7,356,000	\$1,091,900	17%	The number of Refugees resettled in Utah has increased between 2011 and 2015, contributing to an increase in Refugee-related expenses
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	\$48,177,900	\$45,475,600	\$34,254,800	\$32,093,000	\$41,081,200	(\$7,096,700)	-15%	
Current Expense	\$7,839,200	\$10,202,000	\$6,559,600	\$7,708,500	\$9,117,000	\$1,277,800	16%	Increase in number of contracts with subrecipients. Contractual payments to subrecipients have typically been paid in this expense category (object code 6135; Category DD). For FY2016 (not shown), contractual payments to subrecipients are now included in Other Charges/Pass Thru (Obj Code 7501; Category HH).
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$40,338,700	\$35,273,600	\$27,690,600	\$24,383,500	\$31,964,200	(\$8,374,500)	-21%	As caseloads have decreased, the amount paid in TANF benefits has decreased.
Trade Adjustment Act Assistance	\$3,086,800	\$2,376,200	\$1,298,800	\$926,800	\$586,600	(\$2,500,200)	-81%	See explanation below.
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$3,086,800	\$2,376,200	\$1,298,800	\$926,800	\$586,600	(\$2,500,200)	-81%	Eligible Trade customers have decreased each year due to approved petitions and lay off activity related to Trade-affected companies. Trade expenses reflect this decrease.
Workforce Development	\$64,063,400	\$63,431,600	\$62,597,800	\$59,794,000	\$63,949,100	(\$114,300)	0%	
Current Expense	\$15,437,500	\$15,219,900	\$15,463,100	\$14,027,900	\$19,756,300	\$4,318,800	28%	Contractual expense increases for child care and development fund (CCDF).
DP Current Expense	\$3,831,400	\$3,669,400	\$3,456,000	\$3,272,500	\$73,100	(\$3,758,300)	-98%	Certain IT costs previously recorded in the Workforce Development appropriation unit are now charged to the Information Technology appropriation unit (NJY).
Workforce Investment Act Assistance	\$8,221,300	\$7,570,500	\$5,105,800	\$4,989,100	\$6,862,900	(\$1,358,400)	-17%	See explanation below.
Current Expense	\$1,722,700	\$0	\$106,200	\$97,200	\$97,600	(\$1,625,100)	-94%	Available funds for WIA assistance fluctuate from year to year which reflects the decrease in expenses.
Permanent Community Impact Fund	\$45,866,400	\$85,688,100	\$44,599,000	\$82,017,800	\$70,084,700	\$24,218,300	53%	See explanation below.
Permanent Community Impact Fu	\$45,866,400	\$85,688,100	\$44,599,000	\$82,017,800	\$70,084,700	\$24,218,300	53%	See explanation below.
Transfers	\$45,830,500	\$85,649,500	\$44,557,800	\$81,976,200	\$69,493,500	\$23,663,000	52%	This amount reflect the amount of CIB expensed on grants (loans are booked as receivables in the balance sheets of enterprise funds.) In recent years, the CIB experienced an increase in Mineral Royalties revenue, which allowed the Board to fund more projects, and to fund more projects with grant funds instead of loan funds. Cash is transferred from the enterprise fund (5285) to the general fund (1000) to cover grant expenses.
Uintah Basin Revitalization Fund	\$5,243,300	\$14,461,400	\$3,754,400	\$6,417,600	\$8,406,700	\$3,163,400	60%	See explanation below.
Uintah Basin Revitalization Fund	\$5,243,300	\$14,461,400	\$3,754,400	\$6,417,600	\$8,406,700	\$3,163,400	60%	See explanation below.
Current Expense	\$48,000	\$13,900	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$48,000)	-100%	
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$5,195,300	\$14,401,200	\$3,700,000	\$6,417,600	\$8,406,700	\$3,211,400	62%	While the Uintah Basin Revitalization Fund (UBRF) commits their funds under contract in a timely manner, projects are inconsistently completed. DWS staff has been diligent of late to encourage the UBRF to get projects completed and to get the corresponding invoices submitted. Thus, we are slowly seeing the backlog of funding get expended.
Unemployment Compensation Fund	\$643,156,200	\$444,751,800	\$316,390,100	\$338,225,300	\$177,105,300	(\$466,050,900)	-72%	See explanation below.
Unemployment Compensation Fu	\$643,156,200	\$444,751,800	\$316,390,100	\$338,225,300	\$177,105,300	(\$466,050,900)	-72%	Unemployment Fund expenses have decreased from 2011 to 2015 as the State of Utah has seen a decreasing unemployment rate each year from 2011 to 2015.

Historical Expenditure Trend FY 2011 through FY 2015 - Department of Workforce Services

<u>Workforce Services</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>Diff.</u>	<u>% Diff.</u>	<u>Agency Answers</u>
Other Charges/Pass Thru	\$641,729,000	\$436,323,000	\$306,595,000	\$331,068,900	\$176,630,600	(\$465,098,400)	-72%	The decrease relates to an improving economic situation in Utah from 2011 to 2015. The decrease represents fewer dollars paid to unemployment claimants.
Transfers	\$1,133,500	\$7,871,400	\$8,946,400	\$6,358,900	\$0	(\$1,133,500)	-100%	FY2015 had a transfer amount of \$4,612,200 (query criteria: fund 5110, object 8100, dept. "null"). Reed Act draws have increased from 2011 to 2015. The 2015 amount was used for employment services.
Grand Total	\$1,545,593,800	\$1,417,089,300	\$1,161,105,100	\$1,159,710,300	\$829,791,300	(\$715,802,500)	-46%	