

UTAH BOARD OF PARDONS AND PAROLE



May 18, 2016



KEY AUDIT FINDINGS

Improved Planning, Oversight, and Structure

- Strategic Planning
- Performance Measures
- Transparency
- Internal Structure

Adopt More Proven Practices

- Structured Decision Making*
- Improved Rationale for Decisions
- Research Best Practices

Electronic Record System*

Improve Efficiency

- Streamline Decisions for Less Serious Offenders
- Review Expungement Process

Planning

Proven
Practices

Electronic
Records

Efficiency

IMPROVED PLANNING, OVERSIGHT, STRUCTURE

Strategic Planning

- Dedicate resources to strategic planning
- Best Practice Targets
- Reaching out to public advocacy groups

Performance Measures

- Justice Reinvestment Initiative
- Sentencing Guidelines
 - Applied for grant funding
 - First step to electronic records

Transparency

- Reporting to CCJJ and the public

Internal Structure

- Move administrative duties to Director
- Working with DHRM to recruit a Deputy Director
- Review agency structure with DHRM consultants

Planning

Proven
Practices

Electronic
Records

Efficiency

PROVEN PRACTICES

Structured Decision Making

Researching models from other states
Board Research Consultant

Improved Rationale for Decisions

Soliciting input from ACLU, UPAN, and Disability Law Center
Piloting a new form
Unify structured decision tool and rationale
Consistent with Sentencing Guidelines and risk assessments

Research Based Practices

National Parole Resource Center- Ten Best Practice Targets

Ten Best Practice Targets

1. Use good, empirically-based actuarial tools to assess risk and criminogenic needs of offenders.
2. Develop and use clear, evidence-based, policy-driven decision making tools, policies, and guidelines that reflect the full range of a paroling authority's concerns (e.g., punishment, victim issues, community safety, etc.).
3. Maintain meaningful partnerships with institutional corrections and community supervision (and others) to encourage a seamless transition process and the availability of sound, evidence-based programs.
4. Use their influence and leverage to target institutional and community resources to mid and high risk offenders to address their criminogenic needs.
5. Consider for release at the earliest stage possible—in light of statutes and other sentencing interests—offenders assessed as low risk.

Ten Best Practice Targets

6. Use the parole interview/hearing/review process as an opportunity to—among other goals—enhance offender motivation to change.
7. Fashion condition setting policy to minimize requirements on low risk offenders, and target conditions to criminogenic needs of medium and high risk offenders.
8. Develop policy-driven, evidence-informed responses to parole violations that incorporate considerations of risk, criminogenic need and severity, assure even-handed treatment of violators, and utilize resources wisely.
9. Develop and strengthen case-level decision making skills/capacities in these areas.
10. Develop and strengthen agency level policy making, strategic management and performance measurement skills/capacities.

Ten Best Practice Targets

Target #1

Use good, empirically-based actuarial tools to assess risk and criminogenic needs of offenders.

- Level of Services Inventory (LSI-R)
- Sex offense specific assessments
- Switching to Level of Service/Risk Need Responsivity (LS/RNR)
- The Board has been trained on the LSI-R and LS/RNR

Ten Best Practice Targets

Target #5

Consider for release at the earliest stage possible—in light of statutes and other sentencing interests—offenders assessed as low risk.

- Adjust original hearing schedule
- Review all offenders before minimum sentence
- Review before guideline date to allow Earned Time Credit
- Calculate Guidelines upon arrival at prison

Ten Best Practice Targets

Target #6

Use the parole interview/hearing/review process as an opportunity to, among other goals, enhance offender motivation to change.

- Association of Paroling Authorities International (APAI) trained Board Members.
- National Parole Resource Center (NPRC) conducted an on-site training for all Hearing Officers and Board Members.
- Scheduled four days of Motivational Interview training for all persons who conduct hearings.

Ten Best Practice Targets

Target #7

Fashion condition setting policy to minimize requirements on low risk offenders, and target conditions to criminogenic needs of medium and high risk offenders.

- Reduce number of standard parole conditions
- Special conditions are added for moderate and high risk offenders to meet their individual risk/needs

Ten Best Practice Targets

Target #8

Develop policy-driven, evidence-informed responses to parole violations that incorporate considerations of risk, criminogenic need and severity, assure even-handed treatment of violators, and utilize resources wisely.

- Implemented parole violation guidelines
- Rationale sheet for parole violations
- Response & Incentive Matrix (RIM)

Planning

Structured
Decisions

Electronic
Records

Efficiency

ELECTRONIC RECORD SYSTEM

Quality Control

Reduce potential for errors

Intelligent reporting

Data Tracking

Designing performance measures

Transparency

Ability to report to public

Improved Efficiency

Focus staff resources on higher level tasks

Align with Other Criminal Justice Agencies

Planning

Structured
Decisions

Electronic
Records

Efficiency

ELECTRONIC RECORD SYSTEM

Consulting with GOMB and DTS

Map existing business model

Eliminate inefficiencies

Design a system to take advantage of technology

Plan

Cost estimates

Timeline

Transition plan

Present options

Planning

Structured
Decisions

Electronic
Records

Efficiency

EFFICIENCY

Streamline Decision Process for Less Serious Offenders

Case preparation

Reduce in-person hearings

Review Expungement Process

Increase BCI's authority to expunge

Create an expedited pardon process

Rule open for public comment



UTAH BOARD OF PARDONS AND PAROLE

448 E Winchester Street
Murray, UT 84107