2015/02/25 15:40, Lead Analyst: Thomas E. Young Attorney: AOS

Fiscal Note H.B. 358 2015 General Session Voted and Board Levy Amendments by Briscoe, J.

General, Education, and Uniform School Funds			JR4-5-101
	Ongoing	One-time	Total
Net GF/EF/USF (revexp.)	\$(103,143,200)	\$41,280,000	\$(61,863,200)

State Government			UCA 36-12-13(2)(b)
Enactment of this bill could shift \$6 Education Fund to the newly create			2017 from the
Revenues	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Education Fund	\$0	\$(101,503,200)	\$(101,503,200)
Restricted Funds	\$0	\$60,223,200	\$101,503,200
Education Fund, One-Time	\$0	\$41,280,000	\$0
Total Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0
Income Tax Growth Account for alle FY 2016 and \$101,503,200 in FY 2 Expenditures		FY 2016	FY 2017
Education Fund	\$0	\$1,640,000	\$1,640,000
Restricted Funds	\$0 \$0	\$60,223,200	\$101,503,200
Total Expenditures	\$0	\$61,863,200	\$103,143,200
Net All Funds	\$0	\$(61,863,200)	\$(103,143,200)

Local Government

Enactment of this legislation increases the available property tax cap by \$77.4 million in FY 2016 and \$79.9 million in FY 2017. The bill could also increase revenue to school districts by \$61.9 million in FY 2016 and \$103.1 million in FY 2017. The average increase in FY 2017 is \$3.76 million for 27 school districts.

Individuals & Businesses

Enactment of this legislation increases the potential property tax liability by the amount of the cap increase, totaling \$77.4 million in FY 2016 and \$79.9 million in FY 2017.

358





3,200)

UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

UCA 36-12-13(2)(d)

JR4-2-404

No performance note required for this bill

Notes on Notes

Fiscal notes estimate the direct costs or revenues of enacting a bill. The Legislature uses them to balance the budget. They do not measure a bill's benefits or non-fiscal impacts like opportunity costs, wait times, or inconvenience. A fiscal note is not an appropriation. The Legislature decides appropriations separately.