



# Fiscal Note

## H.B. 127

2021 General Session  
Ranked-choice Voting Amendments  
by Winder, M.



### General, Education, and Uniform School Funds

JR4-4-101

	Ongoing	One-time	Total
Net GF/EF/USF (rev.-exp.)	\$(3,800)	\$(500,000)	\$(503,800)

### State Government

UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

Revenues	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Total Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0

Enactment of this legislation likely will not materially impact state revenue.

Expenditures	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
General Fund	\$0	\$3,800	\$3,800
General Fund, One-time	\$0	\$500,000	\$0
Total Expenditures	\$0	\$503,800	\$3,800

Enactment of this legislation could cost the Lieutenant Governor's Office \$3,800 ongoing from the General Fund beginning in FY22 for software licenses and \$500,000 one-time from the General Fund in FY22 for voter education and outreach.

Net All Funds	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
	\$0	\$(503,800)	\$(3,800)

### Local Government

UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

Enactment of this legislation could cost county governments between \$225,000 and \$479,000 in aggregate ongoing costs and up to approximately \$435,000 in aggregate one-time costs related to implementation of ranked choice voting, including software, personnel, and education and outreach costs.

### Individuals & Businesses

UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

Enactment of this legislation likely will not result in direct expenditures from tax or fee changes for Utah residents and businesses.

### Regulatory Impact

UCA 36-12-13(2)(d)

Enactment of this legislation likely will not change the regulatory burden for Utah residents or businesses.

No performance note required for this bill

**Notes on Notes**

Fiscal notes estimate the direct costs or revenues of enacting a bill. The Legislature uses them to balance the budget. They do not measure a bill's benefits or non-fiscal impacts like opportunity costs, wait times, or inconvenience. A fiscal note is not an appropriation. The Legislature decides appropriations separately.