▲ Approved for Filing: ECM ▲
▲ 01-19-99 8:04 AM ▲

1	<b>COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE ALLOCATION</b>
2	1999 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Sponsor: John E. Swallow
5	AN ACT RELATING TO THE JUDICIAL CODE; ALLOWING THE ALLOCATION OF
6	FAULT IN A NEGLIGENCE ACTION TO BE ATTRIBUTED TO ALL PERSONS WHO
7	CONTRIBUTED TO THE INJURY, EVEN THOSE IMMUNE FROM SUIT; AND PROVIDING
8	AN EARLY EFFECTIVE DATE WITH RETROSPECTIVE OPERATION.
9	This act affects sections of Utah Code Annotated 1953 as follows:
10	AMENDS:
11	78-27-38, as last amended by Chapter 221, Laws of Utah 1994
12	78-27-39, as last amended by Chapter 221, Laws of Utah 1994
13	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
14	Section 1. Section <b>78-27-38</b> is amended to read:
15	78-27-38. Comparative negligence.
16	(1) The fault of a person seeking recovery shall not alone bar recovery by that person.
17	(2) A person seeking recovery may recover from any defendant or group of defendants
18	whose fault, combined with the fault of persons immune from suit, exceeds the fault of the person
19	seeking recovery prior to any reallocation of fault made under Subsection 78-27-39(2).
20	(3) No defendant is liable to any person seeking recovery for any amount in excess of the
21	proportion of fault attributed to that defendant under Section 78-27-39.
22	(4) (a) In determining the proportionate fault attributable to each defendant, the fact finder
23	may, and when requested by a party shall, consider the conduct of any person who contributed to
24	the alleged injury regardless of whether the person is a person immune from suit or a defendant
25	in the action and may allocate fault to each person seeking recovery, to each defendant, and to any
26	other person, including a person immune from suit who contributed to the alleged injury.
27	(b) Any fault allocated to a person immune from suit is considered only to accurately

01-19-99 8:04 AM

28	determine the fault of the person seeking recovery and a defendant and may not subject the person
29	immune from suit to any liability, based on the allocation of fault, in this or any other action.
30	Section 2. Section <b>78-27-39</b> is amended to read:
31	78-27-39. Separate special verdicts on total damages and proportion of fault.
32	(1) The trial court may, and when requested by any party shall, direct the jury, if any, to
33	find separate special verdicts determining the total amount of damages sustained and the
34	percentage or proportion of fault attributable to each person seeking recovery, to each defendant,
35	and to any other person, including a person immune from suit who contributed to the alleged
36	injury.
37	(2) (a) If the combined percentage or proportion of fault attributed to all persons immune
38	from suit is less than 40%, the trial court shall reduce that percentage or proportion of fault to zero
39	and reallocate that percentage or proportion of fault to the other parties in proportion to the
40	percentage or proportion of fault initially attributed to each party by the fact finder. After this
41	reallocation, cumulative fault shall equal 100% with the persons immune from suit being allocated
42	no fault.
43	(b) If the combined percentage or proportion of fault attributed to all persons immune from
44	suit is 40% or more, that percentage or proportion of fault attributed to persons immune from suit
45	may not be reduced under Subsection (2)(a).
46	(c) (i) The jury may not be advised of the effect of any reallocation under Subsection (2).
47	(ii) The jury may be advised that fault attributed to persons immune from suit may reduce
48	the award of the person seeking recovery.
49	(3) A person immune from suit may not be held liable, based on the allocation of fault, in
50	this or any other action.
51	Section 3. Effective date Retrospective operation.
52	If approved by two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, this act takes effect on
53	March 3, 1999, but has retrospective operation to March 3, 1998 for any actions for which:
54	(1) retrospective operation does not enlarge, eliminate, or destroy a vested right; and
55	(2) a final unappealable judgment or order has not been issued as of the effective date, by:
56	(a) the United States Supreme Court;
57	(b) the Utah Supreme Court;
58	(c) the Utah Court of Appeals;

- 59 (d) the United States Circuit Court of Appeals;
- 60 (e) the United States District Court; or
- 61 (f) the Utah district court.

## Legislative Review Note as of 1-18-99 5:58 PM

A limited legal review of this legislation raises no obvious constitutional or statutory concerns.

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel