▲ Approved for Filing: E. Chelsea-McCarty ▲ ▲ 02-13-12 11:17 AM ▲

1	
1	CUSTODY MODIFICATIONS
2	2012 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Chief Sponsor: Gregory H. Hughes
5	Senate Sponsor: Howard A. Stephenson
6	
7	LONG TITLE
8	General Description:
9	This bill amends provisions governing the relocation of a custodial parent with a minor
10	child or children.
11	Highlighted Provisions:
12	This bill:
13	 requires the court to hold a hearing if a motion is filed by noncustodial parent when
14	the custodial parent intends to relocate $\hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{out \ of \ this \ state, \ or}] \leftarrow \hat{S} \hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{75}] \ \underline{150} \leftarrow \hat{S} \underline{miles}$
	[more than 150 miles] ←Ĥ
14a	or more from the
15	residence $\hat{H} \rightarrow [specified in the court decree] of the other parent \leftarrow \hat{H};$
16	► requires the court to determine that a move $\hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{S} \rightarrow [out of this state or] \leftarrow \hat{S} [of] \leftarrow \hat{H}$
16a1	more
16a	than $\hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{S} \rightarrow [f] \underline{150} [f] [75] \leftarrow \hat{S} \leftarrow \hat{H}$ miles $\hat{H} \rightarrow \underline{from \ the \ residence \ of \ the}$
16b	<u>other parent</u> $\leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ is in the best
17	interest of the child; and
18	 allows the court to modify custody arrangements if a custodial parent decides to
19	move $\hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{out \ of \ this \ state \ or}] \leftarrow \hat{S} \hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{75}] \underline{150} \leftarrow \hat{S} \underline{miles \ or} \leftarrow \hat{H} more \hat{H} \rightarrow [\underline{than \ 150 \ miles}]$
19a1	from the residence of
19a	<u>the other parent</u> $\leftarrow \hat{H}$ when the court has determined that the move is not in
20	the best interest of the child.
21	Money Appropriated in this Bill:
22	None
23	Other Special Clauses:
24	None

- 1 -



H.B. 448

- 25 Utah Code Sections Affected:
- 26 AMENDS:
- 27 **30-3-37**, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2010, Chapter 228

02-13-12 11:17 AM

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
Section 1. Section 30-3-37 is amended to read:
30-3-37. Relocation.
(1) For purposes of this section, "relocation" means moving $\hat{H} \rightarrow [150]$ $\hat{S} \rightarrow [out of this state]$
<u>or</u>] ← Ŝ
Ŝ→ [<u>75]</u> <u>150</u> ←Ŝ ←Ĥ miles or more from
the residence $\hat{H} ightarrow$ [specified in the court's decree] of the other parent $ ightarrow \hat{H}$.
(2) The relocating parent shall provide $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow [, \text{if possible},] \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ 60 days advance written
notice of
the intended relocation to the other parent. The written notice of relocation shall contain
statements affirming the following:
(a) the parent-time provisions in Subsection (5) or a schedule approved by both parties
will be followed; and
(b) neither parent will interfere with the other's parental rights pursuant to court
ordered parent-time arrangements, or the schedule approved by both parties.
(3) The court [may] shall, upon motion of any party or upon the court's own motion,
schedule a hearing with notice to review the notice of relocation and parent-time schedule as
provided in Section 30-3-35 and make appropriate orders regarding the parent-time and costs
for parent-time transportation.
(4) [In determining] In a hearing to review the notice of relocation, the court shall
$\hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{determine}]$, in determining $\leftarrow \hat{S}$ if the relocation of a custodial parent is in the best interest of
the child S
$\leftarrow \hat{S}$. If the court
determines that relocation is not in the best interest of the child, and the custodial parent
relocates, the court may order a change of custody.
(5) If the court finds that the relocation is in the best interest of the child, the court shall
determine the parent-time schedule and [allocating] allocate the transportation costs[, the] that
will be incurred for the child to visit the noncustodial parent. In making its determination,
court shall consider:
(a) the reason for the parent's relocation;
(b) the additional costs or difficulty to both parents in exercising parent-time;
(c) the economic resources of both parents; and
(d) other factors the court considers necessary and relevant.
[(5)] (6) Unless otherwise ordered by the court, upon the relocation, as defined in
Subsection (1), of one of the parties the following schedule shall be the minimum requirements
Senate 3rd Reading Amendments 3-8-2012 House Floor Amendments 3-1-2012

- 2 -

House Committee Amendments 2-21-2012 le/ecm

02-13-12 11:17 AM

H.B. 448

59 for parent-time with a school-age child: 60 (a) in years ending in an odd number, the child shall spend the following holidays with 61 the noncustodial parent: 62 (i) Thanksgiving holiday beginning Wednesday until Sunday; and (ii) Spring break, if applicable, beginning the last day of school before the holiday until 63 64 the day before school resumes; 65 (b) in years ending in an even number, the child shall spend the following holidavs 66 with the noncustodial parent: 67 (i) the entire winter school break period; and 68 (ii) the Fall school break beginning the last day of school before the holiday until the 69 day before school resumes; 70 (c) extended parent-time equal to 1/2 of the summer or off-track time for consecutive 71 weeks. The children should be returned to the custodial home no later than seven days before 72 school begins; however, this week shall be counted when determining the amount of 73 parent-time to be divided between the parents for the summer or off-track period; and 74 (d) one weekend per month, at the option and expense of the noncustodial parent. 75 [(6)] (7) The noncustodial parent's monthly weekend entitlement is subject to the 76 following restrictions. 77 (a) If the noncustodial parent has not designated a specific weekend for parent-time. 78 the noncustodial parent shall receive the last weekend of each month unless a holiday assigned 79 to the custodial parent falls on that particular weekend. If a holiday assigned to the custodial 80 parent falls on the last weekend of the month, the noncustodial parent shall be entitled to the 81 next to the last weekend of the month. 82 (b) If a noncustodial parent's extended parent-time or parent-time over a holiday 83 extends into or through the first weekend of the next month, that weekend shall be considered 84 the noncustodial parent's monthly weekend entitlement for that month. 85 (c) If a child is out of school for teacher development days or snow days after the 86 children begin the school year, or other days not included in the list of holidays in Subsection 87 $\left[\frac{(5)}{(5)}\right]$ (6) and those days are contiguous with the noncustodial parent's monthly weekend 88 parent-time, those days shall be included in the weekend parent-time. 89 $\left[\frac{7}{7}\right]$ (8) The custodial parent is entitled to all parent-time not specifically allocated to

02-13-12 11:17 AM

90 the noncustodial parent.

- 91 [(8)] (9) In the event finances and distance preclude the exercise of minimum
- 92 parent-time for the noncustodial parent during the school year, the court should consider
- 93 awarding more time for the noncustodial parent during the summer time if it is in the best
- 94 interests of the children.
- 95 [(9)] (10) Upon the motion of any party, the court may order uninterrupted parent-time 96 with the noncustodial parent for a minimum of 30 days during extended parent-time, unless the 97 court finds it is not in the best interests of the child. If the court orders uninterrupted 98 parent-time during a period not covered by this section, it shall specify in its order which parent
- 99 is responsible for the child's travel expenses.
- 100 [(10)] (11) Unless otherwise ordered by the court the relocating party shall be 101 responsible for all the child's travel expenses relating to Subsections [(5)] (6)(a) and (b) and 1/2
- 102 of the child's travel expenses relating to Subsection [(5)] (6)(c), provided the noncustodial
- 103 parent is current on all support obligations. If the noncustodial parent has been found in
- 104 contempt for not being current on all support obligations, the noncustodial parent shall be
- 105 responsible for all of the child's travel expenses under Subsection [(5)] (6), unless the court
- 106 rules otherwise. Reimbursement by either responsible party to the other for the child's travel
- 107 expenses shall be made within 30 days of receipt of documents detailing those expenses.
- 108 [(11)] (12) The court may apply this provision to any preexisting decree of divorce.
- 109 [(12)] (13) Any action under this section may be set for an expedited hearing.
- 110 [(13)] (14) A parent who fails to comply with the notice of relocation in Subsection (2)
 111 shall be in contempt of the court's order.

Legislative Review Note as of 2-9-12 8:23 AM

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel