Enrolled Copy	H.B. 107
ziii olica cop,	

1	JOINT CUSTODY MODIFICATIONS
2	2012 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Chief Sponsor: Gage Froerer
5	Senate Sponsor: Wayne L. Niederhauser
6	
7	LONG TITLE
8	General Description:
9	This bill creates a rebuttable presumption for joint custody in a divorce or separation
10	action.
11	Highlighted Provisions:
12	This bill:
13	 creates a rebuttable presumption for joint custody in a divorce or separation action;
14	 provides that the presumption for joint custody may be rebutted by circumstances,
15	including domestic violence;
16	 sets conditions for the court to consider in modifying a joint custody order;
17	 requires that parents participate in dispute resolution proceedings; and
18	 requires the court to make specific findings when modifying or terminating a joint
19	custody order.
20	Money Appropriated in this Bill:
21	None
22	Other Special Clauses:
23	None
24	Utah Code Sections Affected:
25	AMENDS:
26	30-3-10, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2010, Chapter 237
27	30-3-10.3 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2009, Chapter 179
28	30-3-10.4 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2010, Chapter 228
29	

H.B. 107 Enrolled Copy

30	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
31	Section 1. Section 30-3-10 is amended to read:
32	30-3-10. Custody of children in case of separation or divorce Custody
33	consideration.
34	(1) If a husband and wife having minor children are separated, or their marriage is
35	declared void or dissolved, the court shall make an order for the future care and custody of the
36	minor children as it considers appropriate.
37	(a) In determining any form of custody, the court shall consider the best interests of the
38	child and, among other factors the court finds relevant, the following:
39	(i) the past conduct and demonstrated moral standards of each of the parties;
40	(ii) which parent is most likely to act in the best interest of the child, including
41	allowing the child frequent and continuing contact with the noncustodial parent;
42	(iii) the extent of bonding between the parent and child, meaning the depth, quality,
43	and nature of the relationship between a parent and child; and
44	(iv) those factors outlined in Section 30-3-10.2.
45	(b) [The court shall, in every case, consider joint custody but may award any form of
46	custody which is determined to be] There shall be a rebuttable presumption that joint legal
47	custody, as defined in Section 30-3-10.1, is in the best interest of the child[-], except in cases
48	where there is:
49	(i) domestic violence in the home or in the presence of the child;
50	(ii) special physical or mental needs of a parent or child, making joint legal custody
51	unreasonable;
52	(iii) physical distance between the residences of the parents, making joint decision
53	making impractical in certain circumstances; or
54	(iv) any other factor the court considers relevant including those listed in this section
55	and Section 30-3-10.2.
56	(c) The person who desires joint legal custody shall file a proposed parenting plan in
57	accordance with Sections 30-3-10.8 and 30-3-10.9. A presumption for joint legal custody may

Enrolled Copy H.B. 107

58 <u>be rebutted by a showing by a preponderance of the evidence that it is not in the best interest of</u>
 59 the child.

- [(c)] (d) The children may not be required by either party to testify unless the trier of fact determines that extenuating circumstances exist that would necessitate the testimony of the children be heard and there is no other reasonable method to present their testimony.
- [(d)] (e) The court may inquire of the children and take into consideration the children's desires regarding future custody or parent-time schedules, but the expressed desires are not controlling and the court may determine the children's custody or parent-time otherwise. The desires of a child 16 years of age or older shall be given added weight, but is not the single controlling factor.
- [(e)] (f) If interviews with the children are conducted by the court pursuant to Subsection (1)[(d)](e), they shall be conducted by the judge in camera. The prior consent of the parties may be obtained but is not necessary if the court finds that an interview with the children is the only method to ascertain the child's desires regarding custody.
- (2) In awarding custody, the court shall consider, among other factors the court finds relevant, which parent is most likely to act in the best interests of the child, including allowing the child frequent and continuing contact with the noncustodial parent as the court finds appropriate.
- (3) If the court finds that one parent does not desire custody of the child, the court shall take that evidence into consideration in determining whether to award custody to the other parent.
- (4) (a) Except as provided in Subsection (4)(b), a court may not discriminate against a parent due to a disability, as defined in Section 57-21-2, in awarding custody or determining whether a substantial change has occurred for the purpose of modifying an award of custody.
- (b) If a court takes a parent's disability into account in awarding custody or determining whether a substantial change has occurred for the purpose of modifying an award of custody, the parent with a disability may rebut any evidence, presumption, or inference arising from the disability by showing that:

H.B. 107 **Enrolled Copy**

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

care, support, and education;

(i) the disability does not significantly or substantially inhibit the parent's ability to provide for the physical and emotional needs of the child at issue; or (ii) the parent with a disability has sufficient human, monetary, or other resources available to supplement the parent's ability to provide for the physical and emotional needs of the child at issue. (c) Nothing in this section may be construed to apply to adoption proceedings under Title 78B, Chapter 6, Part 1, Utah Adoption Act. (5) This section establishes neither a preference nor a presumption for or against [ioint legal custody,] joint physical custody or sole physical custody, but allows the court and the family the widest discretion to choose a parenting plan that is in the best interest of the child. Section 2. Section **30-3-10.3** is amended to read: 30-3-10.3. Terms of joint legal or physical custody order. (1) Unless the court orders otherwise, before a final order of joint legal custody or joint physical custody is entered both parties shall attend the mandatory course for divorcing parents, as provided in Section 30-3-11.3, and present a certificate of completion from the course to the court. (2) An order of joint legal or physical custody shall provide terms the court determines appropriate, which may include specifying: (a) either the county of residence of the child, until altered by further order of the court, or the custodian who has the sole legal right to determine the residence of the child; (b) that the parents shall exchange information concerning the health, education, and welfare of the child, and where possible, confer before making decisions concerning any of these areas; (c) the rights and duties of each parent regarding the child's present and future physical

- activities, his daily routine, and his association with friends; and
- (e) as necessary, the remaining parental rights, privileges, duties, and powers to be

Enrolled Copy H.B. 107

exercised by the parents solely, concurrently, or jointly.

- (3) The court shall, where possible, include in the order the terms of the parenting plan provided in accordance with Section 30-3-10.8.
- (4) Any parental rights not specifically addressed by the court order may be exercised by the parent having physical custody of the child the majority of the time.
- (5) The appointment of joint legal <u>or physical</u> custodians does not impair or limit the authority of the court to order support of the child, including payments by one custodian to the other.
- 122 (6) An order of joint legal custody, in itself, is not grounds for modifying a support order.
 - (7) An order of joint legal or physical custody shall require a parenting plan incorporating a dispute resolution procedure the parties agree to use:
- 126 (a) in accordance with Section 30-3-10.9, or as ordered by the court in accordance with 127 Subsection 30-3-10.2(5); and
 - (b) before seeking enforcement or modification of the terms and conditions of the order of joint legal or physical custody through litigation, except in emergency situations requiring ex parte orders to protect the child.
- Section 3. Section **30-3-10.4** is amended to read:
- **30-3-10.4.** Modification or termination of order.
 - (1) On the petition of one or both of the parents, or the joint legal or physical custodians if they are not the parents, the court may, after a hearing, modify or terminate an order that established joint legal or physical custody if:
 - (a) the verified petition or accompanying affidavit initially alleges that admissible evidence will show that the circumstances of the child or one or both parents or joint legal or physical custodians have materially and substantially changed since the entry of the order to be modified;
 - (b) a modification of the terms and conditions of the order would be an improvement for and in the best interest of the child; and

H.B. 107 Enrolled Copy

(c) (i) both parents have complied in good faith with the dispute resolution procedure in accordance with Subsection 30-3-10.3(7); or

- (ii) if no dispute resolution procedure is contained in the order that established joint legal or physical custody, the court orders the parents to participate in a dispute resolution procedure in accordance with Subsection 30-3-10.2(5) unless the parents certify that, in good faith, they have utilized a dispute resolution procedure to resolve their dispute.
- (2) (a) In determining whether the best interest of a child will be served by either modifying or terminating the joint legal or physical custody order, the court shall, in addition to other factors the court considers relevant, consider the factors outlined in Section 30-3-10 and Subsection 30-3-10.2(2).
- (b) [The court shall make specific] A court order modifying or terminating an existing joint legal or physical custody order shall contain written findings [on each of the factors relied upon stating] that:
 - (i) a material and substantial change of circumstance has occurred; and
- (ii) a modification of the terms and conditions of the order would be an improvement for and in the best interest of the child.
- (c) The court shall give substantial weight to the existing joint legal or physical custody order when the child is thriving, happy, and well-adjusted.
- (3) The court shall, in every case regarding a petition for termination of a joint legal or physical custody order, consider reasonable alternatives to preserve the existing order in accordance with Subsection 30-3-10(1)(b). The court may modify the terms and conditions of the existing order in accordance with Subsection 30-3-10(5) and may order the parents to file a parenting plan in accordance with this chapter.
- (4) A parent requesting a modification from sole custody to joint legal custody or joint physical custody or both, or any other type of shared parenting arrangement, shall file and serve a proposed parenting plan with the petition to modify in accordance with Section 30-3-10.8.
- (5) If the court finds that an action under this section is filed or answered frivolously and in a manner designed to harass the other party, the court shall assess attorney fees as costs

Enrolled Copy H.B. 107

against the offending party.