

HB0187S03 compared with HB0187S02

~~text~~ shows text that was in HB0187S02 but was deleted in HB0187S03.

text shows text that was not in HB0187S02 but was inserted into HB0187S03.

DISCLAIMER: This document is provided to assist you in your comparison of the two bills. Sometimes this automated comparison will NOT be completely accurate. Therefore, you need to read the actual bills. This automatically generated document could contain inaccuracies caused by: limitations of the compare program; bad input data; or other causes.

~~Representative John G.~~Senator David P. ~~Mathis~~Hinkins proposes the following substitute bill:

AGRICULTURAL OPERATION INTERFERENCE

2012 GENERAL SESSION

STATE OF UTAH

Chief Sponsor: John G. Mathis

Senate Sponsor: ~~Mathis~~David P. Hinkins

LONG TITLE

General Description:

This bill establishes the crime of agricultural operation interference.

Highlighted Provisions:

This bill:

- ▶ defines "agricultural operation";
- ▶ provides that a person is guilty of agricultural operation interference if the person~~;~~
 - records an image of, or sound from, an agricultural operation under certain circumstances;
 - obtains access to an agricultural operation under false pretenses; or
 - obtains employment at an agricultural operation under certain circumstances

HB0187S03 compared with HB0187S02

with the intent to record an image of, or sound from, the agricultural operation;

and~~{}~~

- ▶ establishes penalties.

Money Appropriated in this Bill:

None

Other Special Clauses:

None

Utah Code Sections Affected:

ENACTS:

76-6-112, Utah Code Annotated 1953

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:

Section 1. Section **76-6-112** is enacted to read:

76-6-112. Agricultural operation interference -- Penalties.

(1) As used in this section, "agricultural operation" means property used for the production of livestock, poultry, livestock products, or poultry products.

(2) A person is guilty of agricultural operation interference if the person:

(a) without consent from the owner of the agricultural operation, or the owner's agent, knowingly or intentionally records an image of, or sound from, the agricultural operation by leaving a recording device on the agricultural operation;

(b) ~~{knowingly or intentionally records}~~ obtains access to an agricultural operation under false pretenses;

(c) (i) applies for employment at an agricultural operation with the intent to record an image of, or sound from, ~~{an}~~ the agricultural operation ~~{ while}~~;

(ii) knows, at the time that the person ~~{is lawfully present on}~~ accepts employment at the agricultural operation, ~~{after receiving notice from}~~ that the owner of the agricultural operation ~~{or the owner's agent that the person is prohibited from recording}~~ prohibits the employee from recording an image of, or sound from, the agricultural operation; and

(iii) while employed at, and while present on, the agricultural operation, records an image of, or sound from, the agricultural operation; or

(~~{c}~~d) without consent from the owner of the operation or the owner's agent,

HB0187S03 compared with HB0187S02

knowingly or intentionally records an image of, or sound from, an agricultural operation while the person is committing criminal trespass, as described in Section 76-6-206, on the agricultural operation.

(3) A person who commits agricultural operation interference described in Subsection (2)(a) is guilty of a class A misdemeanor.

(4) A person who commits agricultural operation interference described in Subsection (2)(b), ~~(c)~~, or ~~(c+d)~~ is guilty of a class B misdemeanor.