1

2013 GENERAL SESSION
STATE OF UTAH
Chief Sponsor: Steve Eliason
Senate Sponsor: Wayne A. Harper
LONG TITLE
Committee Note:
The Revenue and Taxation Interim Committee recommended this bill.
General Description:
This joint resolution of the Legislature urges the United States Congress to require all
retailers whose sales to consumers in the state exceed a minimum threshold to collect
applicable sales taxes on the sales in the state.
Highlighted Provisions:
This resolution:
<ul> <li>urges the United States Congress to act to authorize states, subject to the enactment</li> </ul>
of any necessary state laws, $\$ \rightarrow $ and subject to principles established in the resolution, $\leftarrow \$$ to
require all retailers whose sales to consumers in the
state exceed a minimum threshold to collect applicable sales taxes on the sales in
the state.
Special Clauses:
None
Be it resolved by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
WHEREAS, the Supreme Court of the United States held in Quill v. North Dakota, 504
U.S. 298 (1992) that the "dormant" or "negative" Commerce Clause of the Constitution of the

JOINT RESOLUTION ON REMOTE SALES



26

27

consumers in the state unless the retailer has physical presence in the state;

H.J.R. 4 01-04-13 3:05 PM

28	WHEREAS, the Supreme Court further held "that the underlying issue is not only one
29	that Congress may be better qualified to resolve, but also one that Congress has the ultimate
30	power to resolve";
31	WHEREAS, the sales tax, as applied to consumer purchases, can be a transparent tax
32	levied by state and local governments;
33	WHEREAS, the sales tax is, from the individual consumer's perspective, one of the
34	simplest taxes imposed by state and local governments;
35	WHEREAS, a complex aspect of sales taxation, from the individual consumer's
36	perspective, is the requirement to pay "use" tax directly to the state or locality when sales tax is
37	not collected by the retailer;
38	WHEREAS, the electronic commerce industry needs to be left free from government
39	interference, and any argument in favor of taxing sales on the Internet is problematic in light of
40	constitutional provisions regarding interstate commerce and interstate compacts;
41	WHEREAS, because there are over 9,600 state and local taxing jurisdictions in the
12	United States, each with unique and changing definitions, rules, and holidays, the sales tax is,
43	from a remote seller's perspective, one of the most complex and costly taxes imposed by state
14	and local governments;
45	WHEREAS, less than half of the states have worked to make it simpler or less costly
46	for retailers that collect and remit sales taxes in multiple states, and the largest states have not
17	participated in simplification or cost reduction;
48	WHEREAS, the sales tax is frequently both a state and local tax;
19	WHEREAS, remote collection of the sales tax may help to strengthen state and local
50	tax systems;
51	WHEREAS, consumption taxes can be used to achieve competitiveness;
52	WHEREAS, the sales tax has been a stable source of state and local revenue and
53	provides some level of certainty for states and localities;
54	WHEREAS, some proposed federal legislation authorizing states to require all retailers
55	whose sales to consumers in those states exceed a minimum threshold to collect sales taxes has
56	garnered support from some businesses and organizations;
57	WHEREAS, some of this federal legislation would allow states to improve the
58	collection of legally owed taxes and thus provide flexibility to lower tax rates for all taxpayers:

01-04-13 3:05 PM H.J.R. 4

WHEREAS, despite the progress states have made in simplifying state sales tax collection for remote sellers, there remain some inequities between the burden of tax collection obligations imposed upon sellers with physical presence and the burdens those same obligations would impose on remote sellers serving consumers in multiple states without physical presence;

WHEREAS, any federal legislation should be fair to both in-state and remote sellers, whether such legislation requires sales and use taxes to be collected on a point-of-sale or point-of-delivery basis; and

WHEREAS, Congress, in considering federal legislation, should at least consider the following principles in the enactment of such federal legislation that would permit states to impose sales tax obligations on remote sellers:

- 1. State-provided or state-certified tax collection and remittance software that is simple to implement and maintain;
- 2. Immunity from civil lawsuits for retailers utilizing state-provided or state-certified software in tax collection and remittance;
  - 3. Tax audit accountability to a single state tax audit authority;
  - 4. Elimination of interstate tax complexity by streamlining taxable good categories;
- 5. Adoption of a meaningful small business exception so that small, remote seller businesses are not adversely affected; and
- 6. Fair compensation to the tax-collecting retailer, taking into account such elements as the exchange fees retailers are charged for consumer credit card transactions, which fees apply equally to any state taxes collected on the purchase of goods sold as well as the actual purchase amount:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Legislature of the state of Utah urges Congress to enact legislation to authorize states, consistent with this resolution and principles of taxation espoused by national associations of legislators and governors, and subject to the enactment of any necessary state laws, to establish true fairness in state tax collection for both retailers having physical presence in a state and retailers who are remote sellers.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislature of the state of Utah, having addressed the principles of fairness outlined in this resolution, urges Congress to require all

H.J.R. 4 01-04-13 3:05 PM

retailers whose sales to consumers exceed a minimum threshold to collect and remit applicable sales taxes on sales in the state.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be sent to the members of the United States House of Representatives and to the members of the United States Senate.

Legislative Review Note as of 1-3-13 2:25 PM

92

93

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

- 4 -