Enrolled Copy

1

2

3

ea Copy	п.б. 3
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AMENDME	INTS
2013 GENERAL SESSION	
STATE OF UTAH	

Chief Sponsor: V. Lowry Snow

4 Senate Sponsor: Stephen H. Urguhart 5 6 7 LONG TITLE 8 **General Description:** 9 This bill clarifies when a judge may appear before the Judicial Performance Evaluation 10 Commission. 11 **Highlighted Provisions:** 12 This bill: • clarifies the conditions when a judge may appear before the Judicial Performance 13 14 **Evaluation Commission.** 15 Money Appropriated in this Bill: 16 None 17 **Other Special Clauses:** 18 None 19 **Utah Code Sections Affected:** 20 AMENDS: 21 78A-12-203, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Chapter 80 22 23 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah: Section 1. Section 78A-12-203 is amended to read: 24 25 78A-12-203. Judicial performance evaluations. 26 (1) Beginning with the 2012 judicial retention elections, the commission shall prepare 27 a performance evaluation for: 28 (a) each judge in the third and fifth year of the judge's term if the judge is not a justice 29 of the Supreme Court; and

H.B. 309

30	(b) each justice of the Supreme Court in the third, seventh, and ninth year of the
31	justice's term.
32	(2) Except as provided in Subsection (3), the performance evaluation for a judge under
33	Subsection (1) shall consider only:
34	(a) the results of the judge's most recent judicial performance survey that is conducted
35	by a third party in accordance with Section 78A-12-204;
36	(b) information concerning the judge's compliance with minimum performance
37	standards established in accordance with Section 78A-12-205;
38	(c) courtroom observation;
39	(d) the judge's judicial disciplinary record, if any;
40	(e) public comment solicited by the commission;
41	(f) information from an earlier judicial performance evaluation concerning the judge;
42	and
43	(g) any other factor that the commission:
44	(i) considers relevant to evaluating the judge's performance for the purpose of a
45	retention election; and
46	(ii) establishes by rule.
47	(3) The commission shall make rules concerning the conduct of courtroom observation
48	under Subsection (2), which shall include the following:
49	(a) an indication of who may perform the courtroom observation;
50	(b) a determination of whether the courtroom observation shall be made in person or
51	may be made by electronic means; and
52	(c) a list of principles and standards used to evaluate the behavior observed.
53	(4) (a) As part of the evaluation conducted under this section, the commission shall
54	determine whether to recommend that the voters retain the judge.
55	(b) (i) If a judge meets the minimum performance standards established in accordance
56	with Section 78A-12-205, there is a rebuttable presumption that the commission will
57	recommend the voters retain the judge.

Enrolled Copy

(ii) If a judge fails to meet the minimum performance standards established in
accordance with Section 78A-12-205, there is a rebuttable presumption that the commission
will recommend the voters not retain the judge.

61 (c) The commission may elect to make no recommendation on whether the voters
62 should retain a judge if the commission determines that the information concerning the judge is
63 insufficient to make a recommendation.

(d) (i) If the commission deviates from a presumption for or against recommending the
voters retain a judge or elects to make no recommendation on whether the voters should retain
a judge, the commission shall provide a detailed explanation of the reason for that deviation or
election in the commission's report under Section 78A-12-206.

68 (ii) If the commission makes no recommendation because of a tie vote, the commission69 shall note that fact in the commission's report.

[(5) (a) Before considering the judicial performance evaluation of any judge, the
 commission shall notify the judge of the date and time of any commission meeting during
 which the judge's judicial performance evaluation will be considered.]

[(b)] (5) (a) The commission shall allow a judge who is the subject of a judicial
performance retention evaluation and who has not passed one or more of the minimum
performance standards on the midterm evaluation or on the retention evaluation to appear and
speak at any commission meeting, except a closed meeting, during which the judge's judicial
performance evaluation is considered.

(b) The commission may invite any judge to appear before the commission to discuss
 concerns about the judge's judicial performance.

80 (c) The commission may meet in a closed meeting to discuss a judge's judicial
81 performance evaluation by complying with Title 52, Chapter 4, Open and Public Meetings Act.

(d) Any record of an individual commissioner's vote on whether or not to recommend
that the voters retain a judge is a protected record under Title 63G, Chapter 2, Government
Records Access and Management Act.

85

(e) The commission may only disclose the final commission vote on whether or not to

- 3 -

H.B. 309

86 recommend that the voters retain a judge.

- 87 (6) (a) The commission shall compile a midterm report of its judicial performance
 88 evaluation of a judge.
- (b) The midterm report of a judicial performance evaluation shall include informationthat the commission considers appropriate for purposes of judicial self-improvement.
- 91 (c) The report shall be provided to the evaluated judge and the presiding judge of the
 92 district in which the evaluated judge serves. If the evaluated judge is the presiding judge, the
 93 midterm report shall be provided to the chair of the board of judges for the court level on which
 94 the evaluated judge serves.
- 95 (7) The commission may make rules in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah
 96 Administrative Rulemaking Act, as necessary to administer the evaluation required by this
 97 section.