63M-1-2508. Nullification of optional expansion of Medicaid under federal health



25

26	care reform.
27	(1) The purpose of this section is to respond to the United States Supreme Court ruling
28	in Nat'l Federation of Business v. Sebelius, Sec'y of Health and Human Services, 132 S.Ct.
29	2566 (2012) which stated:
30	(a) "In the typical case we look to the States to defend their prerogatives by adopting
31	'the simple expedient of not yielding' to federal blandishments when they do not want to
32	embrace the federal policies as their own. The States are separate and independent sovereigns.
33	Sometimes they have to act like it'; and
34	(b) " As for the Medicaid expansion, that portion of the Affordable Care Act
35	violates the Constitution by threatening existing Medicaid funding. Congress has no authority
36	to order the States to regulate according to its instructions. Congress may offer the States
37	grants and require the States to comply with accompanying conditions, but the States must
38	have a genuine choice whether to accept the offer. The States are given no such choice in this
39	case: They must either accept a basic change in the nature of Medicaid, or risk losing all
40	Medicaid funding. The remedy for that constitutional violation is to preclude the Federal
41	Government from imposing such a sanction".
42	(2) The Governor and the Department of Health shall not expand the state Medicaid
43	program to the optional expansion population under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care
44	Act, as permitted by the Supreme Court decision described in Subsection (1).