02-20-13 9:41 AM S.R. 1

59	WHEREAS, the hotel consultant report stated that the 15-year rebate and abatement
60	package may be insufficient and that additional subsidization may be needed to enable the
61	convention center hotel to come to market;
62	WHEREAS, under this recommendation, the state of Utah, when compared to Salt
63	Lake County or Salt Lake City, would rebate the largest dollar amount of tax revenue to the
64	private hotel investor;
65	WHEREAS, if taxpaying hotels do not come to market because of the subsidized
66	convention center hotel, and if lodging and banquet business moves from existing taxpaying
67	hotels to the nontaxpaying convention center hotel in any significant degree, overall tax
68	revenues to the public for education and other purposes could be negative during part or all of
69	the tax abatement and rebate period;
70	WHEREAS, under the hotel consultant recommendation, much of the burden to pay for
71	the convention center, the CVB, and promotion expenses would unfairly fall on existing hotels
72	through TRT and other taxes imposed on their guests, while the convention center hotel would
73	have these same taxes rebated to it;
74	WHEREAS, while well intended, the $\$ \rightarrow [CVB] \leftarrow \$$ concept to capture "lost business" has
74a	thus
75	far focused on using public financial support to incentivize one hotel without advancing any
76	plan to equally and fairly use public financial support to incentivize other downtown hotels to
77	boost convention business;
78	WHEREAS, the tax abatements and rebates the convention center hotel would receive
79	would give it unfair advantage in competing for convention delegate business;
80	WHEREAS, government intervention to subsidize one hotel is unfair, would
81	disenfranchise and financially hurt existing hotels and their employees, and is inconsistent with
82	the purpose of imposing TRT and other taxes on all hotels to boost convention delegate
83	business broadly among the hotel community; and
84	WHEREAS, the Utah Hotel and Lodging Association and the Utah Restaurant
85	Association, with vast private investment and expertise in the hospitality industry, oppose the
86	subsidized convention center hotel concept:
87	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Senate of the state of Utah expresses
88	support for equal and fair hotel taxation among all hotels to protect private investment in hotel

properties, to encourage future hotel development, and to promote conventions and delegate

89