1

2

3

4

5

24

appropriation:

EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY AMENDMENTS

2013 GENERAL SESSION

STATE OF UTAH

Chief Sponsor: Jerry W. Stevenson

House Sponsor: Stephen G. Handy



• from the General Fund, \$2,400,000.
Other Special Clauses:
This bill provides an effective date.
Utah Code Sections Affected:
AMENDS:
53A-1-709, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2012, Chapter 208
63I-2-253, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2012, Chapters 57, 208, 273, 280, 318,
329, 381, and 394
63I-2-263, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2012, Chapters 208, 266, and 369
63M-1-909, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2012, Chapter 208
63M-1-909.5 , as enacted by Laws of Utah 2012, Chapter 208
Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
Section 1. Section 53A-1-709 is amended to read:
53A-1-709. Smart School Technology Program.
(1) As used in this section, "program" means the Smart School Technology Program.
(2) [A three-year pilot project known as the] The Smart School Technology Program is
created to encourage the deployment of whole-school one to one mobile device technology in
public schools.
(3) The Board of Business and Economic Development with input from an
independent evaluating committee, shall issue a request for proposals for the development and
implementation of a whole-school one to one mobile device technology deployment plan for
schools.
(4) From recommendations submitted by an independent evaluating committee, the
Board of Business and Economic Development shall select a single education technology
provider with integrated whole-school technology deployment experience through the request
for proposals process.
(5) (a) An independent evaluating committee shall be established to:
(i) advise the Board of Business and Economic Development in issuing a request for
proposals under Subsection (3);
(ii) evaluate proposals submitted through a request for proposals issued under

56	Subsection (3); and
57	(iii) advise the State Board of Education on selecting schools to participate in the
58	program.
59	(b) The membership of the independent evaluating committee shall include:
60	(i) three members of the State Board of Education appointed by the chair of the State
61	Board of Education;
62	(ii) the state chief information officer;
63	(iii) two members appointed by the executive director of the Governor's Office of
64	Economic Development; and
65	(iv) the governor's education director.
66	(c) The independent evaluating committee shall evaluate a proposal on:
67	(i) a provider's experience with integrated whole-school technology deployment; and
68	(ii) the components of a whole-school technology deployment plan.
69	(6) An educational technology provider selected under Subsection (4) shall develop a
70	customized whole-school one to one mobile device technology deployment plan for each
71	school participating in the program.
72	(7) The whole-school technology deployment plan shall be based on submitted
73	proposals to the committee and may include the following components:
74	(a) a <u>personal</u> mobile learning device [or digital textbook] for each student;
75	(b) desktop or laptop computers for [classrooms] each classroom;
76	(c) peripherals and networking equipment, including a wireless network that is not
77	self-interfering;
78	(d) wireless audio equipment in each classroom;
79	(e) digital projectors or televisions with wireless device mirroring technology;
80	[(d)] <u>(f) on and off campus</u> Internet filtering;
81	[(e)] (g) operating software for the technology system, including software that connects
82	[digital] personal mobile learning devices among students and a teacher to facilitate classroom
83	interaction;
84	(h) curriculum and instructional software purchase credits per device to be used toward
85	improving student outcomes with respect to the core curriculum and skill building on the use of
86	technology;

87	(i) device repair and replacement criteria;
88	[(f)] (j) professional development for educators and technology specialists on:
89	(i) the operation and use of the technology equipment; and
90	(ii) accessing and using online content; and
91	[(g)] <u>(k)</u> ongoing technical support.
92	(8) (a) A school within a school district, with the approval of the local school board, or
93	a charter school, may submit an application to the State Board of Education to participate in the
94	program.
95	(b) With input from the independent evaluating committee established under
96	Subsection (5), the State Board of Education shall select schools to participate in the program.
97	(c) In selecting schools, the State Board of Education shall seek to include [students] in
98	the program schools:
99	(i) from different regions of the state;
100	(ii) from urban and rural areas; [and]
101	(iii) with a variety of economic and demographic characteristics[:]; and
102	(iv) with documented technology implementation plans, including a plan for the use of:
103	(A) instructional software that improves student outcomes with respect to the core
104	curriculum; and
105	(B) software that provides students with skill building on the use of technology.
106	(d) The State Board of Education shall make rules:
107	(i) specifying procedures and criteria to be used for selecting schools that may
108	participate in the program[-]; and
109	(ii) requiring selected schools to provide matching funds to participate in the program.
110	(9) (a) The State Board of Education, in collaboration with the education technology
111	provider and the schools participating in the program, shall evaluate the program and submit a
112	report on the evaluation to the Governor's Office of Economic Development and the Education
113	Interim Committee by the committee's October meetings in 2013 and 2014.
114	(b) The State Board of Education may contract with an independent evaluator to
115	conduct the evaluation required in Subsection (9)(a).
116	(c) The evaluation shall be based on the following criteria:
117	(i) technology system functionality;

118	(ii) school level outcomes;
119	(iii) teacher instruction and outcomes; and
120	(iv) student engagement and outcomes.
121	Section 2. Section 63I-2-253 is amended to read:
122	63I-2-253. Repeal dates Titles 53, 53A, and 53B.
123	(1) Section 53A-1-402.7 is repealed July 1, 2014.
124	(2) Section 53A-1-403.5 is repealed July 1, 2017.
125	(3) Section 53A-1-411 is repealed July 1, 2016.
126	(4) Section 53A-1-412 is repealed July 1, 2013.
127	[(5) Section 53A-1-709 is repealed July 1, 2015.]
128	[(6)] <u>(5)</u> Section 53A-1a-513.5 is repealed July 1, 2017.
129	[(7)] (6) Title 53A, Chapter 1a, Part 10, UPSTART, is repealed July 1, 2014.
130	[(8)] (7) Title 53A, Chapter 8a, Part 8, Peer Assistance and Review Pilot Program, is
131	repealed July 1, 2017.
132	[(9)] (8) Subsection 53A-13-110(4) is repealed July 1, 2013.
133	[(10) Section 53A-15-1215 is repealed July 1, 2012.]
134	Section 3. Section 63I-2-263 is amended to read:
135	63I-2-263. Repeal dates, Title 63A to Title 63M.
136	(1) Section 63A-1-115 is repealed on July 1, 2014.
137	(2) [Section 63M-1-909.5] Subsection 63M-1-903(1)(d) is repealed on July 1, 2015.
138	Section 4. Section 63M-1-909 is amended to read:
139	63M-1-909. Financial assistance to entities offering economic opportunities.
140	(1) Subject to the duties and powers of the board under Section 63M-1-303, the
141	administrator may provide money from the Industrial Assistance Account to an entity offering
142	an economic opportunity if that entity:
143	(a) applies to the administrator; and
144	(b) meets the qualifications of Subsection (2).
145	(2) The applicant shall:
146	(a) demonstrate to the satisfaction of the administrator the nature of the economic
147	opportunity and the related benefit to the economic well-being of the state by providing
148	evidence documenting the logical and compelling linkage, either direct or indirect, between the

- expenditure of money necessitated by the economic opportunity and the likelihood that the state's tax base, regions of the state's tax base, or specific components of the state's tax base will not be reduced but will be maintained or enlarged;
- (b) demonstrate how the funding request will act in concert with other state, federal, or local agencies to achieve the economic benefit;
 - (c) demonstrate how the funding request will act in concert with free market principles;
- (d) in the case of an economic opportunity that includes the retention of jobs, demonstrate how the potential relocation of jobs outside the state is related to a merger, acquisition, consolidation, or similar business reason other than the applicant simply requesting state assistance to remain in the state;
 - (e) satisfy other criteria the administrator considers appropriate; and
 - (f) be either:
- (i) an entity whose purpose is to exclusively or substantially promote, develop, or maintain the economic welfare and prosperity of the state as a whole, regions of the state, or specific components of the state, including:
- (A) an entity that is a sports development organization under contract with the state for sports development and sporting event attraction and related activities that provide an economic impact or promotional value to the state; or
- (B) an entity that implements technology innovation in public schools, including whole-school <u>one to one mobile device</u> technology deployment for the purpose of incubating technology solutions related to economic and workforce development.
 - (ii) a company or individual that does not otherwise qualify under Section 63M-1-906.
- (3) Subject to the duties and powers of the board under Section 63M-1-303, the administrator shall:
- (a) make findings as to whether an applicant has satisfied each of the conditions set forth in Subsection (2);
- (b) establish benchmarks and timeframes in which progress toward the completion of the agreed upon activity is to occur;
- (c) monitor compliance by an applicant with any contract or agreement entered into by the applicant and the state as provided by Section 63M-1-907; and
 - (d) make funding decisions based upon appropriate findings and compliance.

180	Section 5. Section 63M-1-909.5 is amended to read:
181	63M-1-909.5. Selection of educational technology provider to implement
182	whole-school one to one mobile device technology deployment plan for schools.
183	The board shall select an educational technology provider to develop and implement a
184	whole-school one to one mobile device technology deployment plan for schools in accordance
185	with the requirements of this part and Section 53A-1-709.
186	Section 6. Appropriation.
187	Under the terms and conditions of Title 63J, Chapter 1, Budgetary Procedures Act, for
188	the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2013, and ending June 30, 2014, the following sums of money
189	are appropriated from resources not otherwise appropriated, or reduced from amounts
190	previously appropriated, out of the funds or accounts indicated. These sums of money are in
191	addition to any amounts previously appropriated for fiscal year 2014.
192	To Governor's Office of Economic Development - Administration
193	From General Fund, One-time \$2,400,000
194	Schedule of Programs:
195	Administration \$2,400,000
196	The Legislature intends that the appropriation provided in this section be used for the
197	deployment of whole-school one to one mobile device technology as provided in Section
198	<u>53A-1-709.</u>
199	Section 7. Effective date.
200	(1) Except as provided in Subsection (2), if approved by two-thirds of all the members
201	elected to each house, this bill takes effect upon approval by the governor, or the day following
202	the constitutional time limit of Utah Constitution Article VII, Section 8, without the governor's
203	signature, or in the case of a veto, the date of veto override.
204	(2) Uncodified Section 6, Appropriation, takes effect on July 1, 2013.