Representative Michael E. Noel proposes the following substitute bill:

FEDERAL LAND EXCHANGE AND SALE AMENDMENTS
2014 GENERAL SESSION
STATE OF UTAH
Chief Sponsor: Michael E. Noel
Senate Sponsor: Ralph Okerlund
LONG TITLE
General Description:
This bill deals with the exchange of federal $\hat{H} \rightarrow [Haw]$ land $\leftarrow \hat{H}$ for state
Ĥ→ [law] <u>land</u> ←Ĥ .
Highlighted Provisions:
This bill:
 encourages the federal government to:
• move forward with the exchange of state and federal lands; and
• support, in good faith, congressional action to finalize the exchange of state and
federal lands; and
 makes technical changes.
Money Appropriated in this Bill:
None
Other Special Clauses:
None
Utah Code Sections Affected:
AMENDS:
63J-8-104, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2012, Chapter 369
63L-2-201, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Chapter 247

26	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
27	Section 1. Section 63J-8-104 is amended to read:
28	63J-8-104. State land use planning and management program.
29	(1) The BLM and Forest Service land use plans should produce planning documents
30	consistent with state and local land use plans to the maximum extent consistent with federal
31	law and FLPMA's purposes, by incorporating the state's land use planning and management
32	program for the subject lands that is as follows:
33	(a) preserve traditional multiple use and sustained yield management on the subject
34	lands to:
35	(i) achieve and maintain in perpetuity a high-level annual or regular periodic output of
36	agricultural, mineral, and various other resources from the subject lands;
37	(ii) support valid existing transportation, mineral, and grazing privileges in the subject
38	lands at the highest reasonably sustainable levels;
39	(iii) produce and maintain the desired vegetation for watersheds, timber, food, fiber,
40	livestock forage, wildlife forage, and minerals that are necessary to meet present needs and
41	future economic growth and community expansion in each county where the subject lands are
42	situated without permanent impairment of the productivity of the land;
43	(iv) meet the recreational needs and the personal and business-related transportation
44	needs of the citizens of each county where the subject lands are situated by providing access
45	throughout each such county;
46	(v) meet the needs of wildlife, provided that the respective forage needs of wildlife and
47	livestock are balanced according to the provisions of Subsection 63J-4-401(6)(m);
48	(vi) protect against adverse effects to historic properties, as defined by 36 C.F.R. Sec.
49	800;
50	(vii) meet the needs of community economic growth and development;
51	(viii) provide for the protection of existing water rights and the reasonable
52	development of additional water rights; and
53	(ix) provide for reasonable and responsible development of electrical transmission and
54	energy pipeline infrastructure on the subject lands;
55	(b) (i) do not designate, establish, manage, or treat any of the subject lands as an area
56	with management prescriptions that parallel, duplicate, or resemble the management

03-06-14 2:19 PM

57 prescriptions established for wilderness areas or wilderness study areas, including the 58 nonimpairment standard applicable to WSAs or anything that parallels, duplicates, or 59 resembles that nonimpairment standard; and 60 (ii) recognize, follow, and apply the agreement between the state and the Department 61 of the Interior in the settlement agreement; 62 (c) call upon the BLM to revoke and revise BLM Manuals H 6301, H 6302, and H 63 6303, issued on or about February 25, 2011, in light of the settlement agreement and the 64 following principles of this state plan: 65 (i) BLM lacks congressional authority to manage subject lands, other than WSAs, as if 66 they are or may become wilderness; 67 (ii) BLM lacks authority to designate geographic areas as lands with wilderness 68 characteristics or designate management prescriptions for such areas other than to use specific 69 geographic-based tools and prescriptions expressly identified in FLPMA: (iii) BLM lacks authority to manage the subject lands in any manner other than to 70 71 prevent unnecessary or undue degradation, unless the BLM uses geographic tools expressly 72 identified in FLPMA and does so pursuant to a duly adopted provision of a resource 73 management plan adopted under FLPMA, 43 U.S.C. Sec. 1712; 74 (iv) BLM inventories for the presence of wilderness characteristics must be closely 75 coordinated with inventories for those characteristics conducted by state and local 76 governments, and should reflect a consensus among those governmental agencies about the 77 existence of wilderness characteristics, as follows: 78 (A) any inventory of wilderness characteristics should reflect all of the criteria 79 identified in the Wilderness Act of 1964, including: 80 (I) a size of 5,000 acres or more, containing no visible roads; and 81 (II) the presence of naturalness, the opportunity for primitive and unconfined 82 recreation, and the opportunity for solitude; 83 (B) geographic areas found to contain the presence of naturalness must appear pristine 84 to the average viewer, and not contain any of the implements, artifacts, or effects of human 85 presence, including: 86 (I) visible roads, whether maintained or not; and 87 (II) human-made features such as vehicle bridges, fire breaks, fisheries, enhancement

03-06-14 2:19 PM

88 facilities, fire rings, historic mining and other properties, including tailings piles, commercial

89 radio and communication repeater sites, fencing, spring developments, linear disturbances,

stock ponds, visible drill pads, pipeline and transmission line rights-of-way, and other similarfeatures;

92 (C) factors, such as the following, though not necessarily conclusive, should weigh
93 against a determination that a land area has the presence of naturalness:

94

(I) the area is or once was the subject of mining and drilling activities;

95

(II) mineral and hard rock mining leases exist in the area; and

96 (III) the area is in a grazing district with active grazing allotments and visible range
97 improvements;

98 (D) geographic areas found to contain the presence of solitude should convey the sense 99 of solitude within the entire geographic area identified, otherwise boundary adjustments should 100 be performed in accordance with Subsection (1)(c)(iv)(F);

101 (E) geographic areas found to contain the presence of an opportunity for primitive and 102 unconfined recreation must find these features within the entire area and provide analysis about 103 the effect of the number of visitors to the geographic area upon the presence of primitive or 104 unconfined recreation, otherwise boundary adjustments should be performed in accordance 105 with Subsection (1)(c)(iv)(F);

(F) in addition to the actions required by the review for roads pursuant to the
definitions of roads contained in BLM Manual H 6301, or any similar authority, the BLM
should, pursuant to its authority to inventory, identify and list all roads or routes identified as
part of a local or state governmental transportation system, and consider those routes or roads
as qualifying as roads within the definition of the Wilderness Act of 1964; and

(G) BLM should adjust the boundaries for a geographic area to exclude areas that do not meet the criteria of lacking roads, lacking solitude, and lacking primitive and unconfined recreation and the boundaries should be redrawn to reflect an area that clearly meets the criteria above, and which does not employ minor adjustments to simply exclude small areas with human intrusions, specifically:

(I) the boundaries of a proposed geographic area containing lands with wilderness
characteristics should not be drawn around roads, rights-of-way, and intrusions; and

118

(II) lands located between individual human impacts that do not meet the requirements

119 for lands with wilderness characteristics should be excluded; 120 (v) BLM should consider the responses of the Department of the Interior under cover 121 of the letter dated May 20, 2009, clearly stating that BLM does not have the authority to apply 122 the nonimpairment management standard to the subject lands, or to manage the subject lands in 123 any manner to preserve their suitability for designation as wilderness, when considering the 124 proper management principles for areas that meet the full definition of lands with wilderness 125 characteristics; and 126 (vi) even if the BLM were to properly inventory an area for the presence of wilderness 127 characteristics, the BLM still lacks authority to make or alter project level decisions to 128 automatically avoid impairment of any wilderness characteristics without express 129 congressional authority to do so; 130 (d) achieve and maintain at the highest reasonably sustainable levels a continuing yield 131 of energy, hard rock, and nuclear resources in those subject lands with economically 132 recoverable amounts of such resources as follows: 133 (i) the development of the solid, fluid, and gaseous mineral resources in portions of the 134 subject lands is an important part of the state's economy and the economies of the respective 135 counties, and should be recognized that it is technically feasible to access mineral and energy 136 resources in portions of the subject lands while preserving or, as necessary, restoring 137 nonmineral and nonenergy resources; 138 (ii) all available, recoverable solid, fluid, gaseous, and nuclear mineral resources in the 139 subject lands should be seriously considered for contribution or potential contribution to the 140 state's economy and the economies of the respective counties; 141 (iii) those portions of the subject lands shown to have reasonable mineral, energy, and 142 nuclear potential should be open to leasing, drilling, and other access with reasonable 143 stipulations and conditions, including mitigation, reclamation, and bonding measures where 144 necessary, that will protect the lands against unnecessary and undue damage to other significant 145 resource values; 146 (iv) federal oil and gas existing lease conditions and restrictions should not be 147 modified, waived, or removed unless the lease conditions or restrictions are no longer 148 necessary or effective; 149 (v) any prior existing lease restrictions in the subject lands that are no longer necessary

150 or effective should be modified, waived, or removed; 151 (vi) restrictions against surface occupancy should be eliminated, modified, or waived, 152 where reasonable; 153 (vii) in the case of surface occupancy restrictions that cannot be reasonably eliminated, 154 modified, or waived, directional drilling should be considered where the mineral and energy resources beneath the area can be reached employing available directional drilling technology; 155 156 (viii) applications for permission to drill in the subject lands that meet standard 157 qualifications, including reasonable and effective mitigation and reclamation requirements, 158 should be expeditiously processed and granted; and 159 (ix) any moratorium that may exist against the issuance of qualified mining patents and 160 oil and gas leases in the subject lands, and any barriers that may exist against developing unpatented mining claims and filing for new claims, should be carefully evaluated for removal; 161 162 (e) achieve and maintain livestock grazing in the subject lands at the highest reasonably 163 sustainable levels by adhering to the policies, goals, and management practices set forth in 164 Subsection 63J-4-401(6)(m); 165 (f) manage the watershed in the subject lands to achieve and maintain water resources 166 at the highest reasonably sustainable levels as follows: 167 (i) adhere to the policies, goals, and management practices set forth in Subsection 168 63J-4-401(6)(m); 169 (ii) deter unauthorized cross-country OHV use in the subject lands by establishing a 170 reasonable system of roads and trails in the subject lands for the use of an OHV, as closing the 171 subject lands to all OHV use will only spur increased and unauthorized use; and 172 (iii) keep open any road or trail in the subject lands that historically has been open to 173 OHV use, as identified on respective county road maps; 174 (g) achieve and maintain traditional access to outdoor recreational opportunities 175 available in the subject lands as follows: 176 (i) hunting, trapping, fishing, hiking, family and group parties, family and group 177 campouts and campfires, rock hounding, OHV travel, geological exploring, pioneering, 178 recreational vehicle parking, or just touring in personal vehicles are activities that are important to the traditions, customs, and character of the state and individual counties where the subject 179 180 lands are located and should continue;

- 6 -

1st Sub. (Buff) H.B. 183

181 (ii) wildlife hunting, trapping, and fishing should continue at levels determined by the 182 Wildlife Board and the Division of Wildlife Resources and traditional levels of group camping, 183 group day use, and other traditional forms of outdoor recreation, both motorized and 184 nonmotorized, should continue; and 185 (iii) the broad spectrum of outdoor recreational activities available on the subject lands 186 should be available to citizens for whom a primitive, nonmotorized, outdoor experience is not 187 preferred, affordable, or physically achievable; 188 (h) (i) keep open to motorized travel, any road in the subject lands that is part of the 189 respective counties' duly adopted transportation plan; 190 (ii) provide that R.S. 2477 rights-of-way should be recognized by the BLM; 191 (iii) provide that a county road may be temporarily closed or permanently abandoned 192 only by statutorily authorized action of the county or state; 193 (iv) provide that the BLM and the Forest Service must recognize and not unduly 194 interfere with a county's ability to maintain and repair roads and, where reasonably necessary, 195 make improvements to the roads; and 196 (v) recognize that additional roads and trails may be needed in the subject lands from 197 time to time to facilitate reasonable access to a broad range of resources and opportunities 198 throughout the subject lands, including livestock operations and improvements, solid, fluid, 199 and gaseous mineral operations, recreational opportunities and operations, search and rescue 200 needs, other public safety needs, access to public lands for people with disabilities and the 201 elderly, and access to Utah school and institutional trust lands for the accomplishment of the 202 purposes of those lands; 203 (i) manage the subject lands so as to protect prehistoric rock art, three dimensional 204 structures, and other artifacts and sites recognized as culturally important and significant by the 205 state historic preservation officer or each respective county by imposing reasonable and 206 effective stipulations and conditions reached by agreement between the federal agency and the 207 state authorized officer pursuant to the authority granted by the National Historic Preservation 208 Act. 16 U.S.C. Sec. 470 et sea.:

(j) manage the subject lands so as to not interfere with the property rights of privatelandowners as follows:

211

(i) the state recognizes that there are parcels of private fee land throughout the subject

212 lands;

(ii) land management policies and standards in the subject lands should not interfere
with the property rights of any private landowner to enjoy and engage in uses and activities on
an individual's private property consistent with controlling county zoning and land use laws;
and

(iii) a private landowner or a guest or client of a private landowner should not be
denied the right of motorized access to the private landowner's property consistent with past
uses of the private property;

(k) manage the subject lands in a manner that supports the fiduciary agreement made
between the state and the federal government concerning the school and institutional trust
lands, as managed according to state law, by:

(i) formally recognizing, by duly authorized federal proclamation, the duty of the
federal government to support the purposes of the school and institutional trust lands owned by
the state and administered by SITLA in trust for the benefit of public schools and other
institutions as mandated in the Utah Constitution and the Utah Enabling Act of 1894, 28 Stat.
107;

(ii) actively seeking to support SITLA's fiduciary responsibility to manage the school
 trust lands to optimize revenue by making the school trust lands available for sale and private
 development and for other multiple and consumptive use activities such as mineral
 development, grazing, recreation, timber, and agriculture;

(iii) not interfering with SITLA's ability to carry out its fiduciary responsibilities by the
creation of geographical areas burdened with management restrictions that prohibit or
discourage the optimization of revenue, without just compensation;

(iv) recognizing SITLA's right of economic access to the school trust lands to enable
SITLA to put those sections to use in its fiduciary responsibilities; [and]

(v) recognizing any management plan enacted by SITLA pursuant to Section
 53C-2-201; and

239 (vi) acting responsibly as the owner of land parcels with potential for exchange for
240 state land parcels by:

241 (A) moving forward with the process for identifying federal land parcels suitable and
 242 desirable for exchange for state land parcels;

243	(B) removing barriers to the exchange of federal land parcels for state land parcels;
244	(C) expediting the procedures and processes necessary to execute the exchange of
245	federal land parcels for state land parcels; and
246	(D) lobbying and supporting in good faith any congressional legislation to enact and
247	finalize the exchange of federal land parcels for state land parcels;
248	(1) oppose the designation of BLM lands as areas of critical environmental concern
249	
	(ACEC), as the BLM lands are generally not compatible with the state's plan and policy for
250	managing the subject lands, but special cases may exist where such a designation is appropriate
251	if compliance with FLPMA, 43 U.S.C. Sec. 1702(a) is clearly demonstrated and where the
252	proposed designation and protection:
253	(i) is limited to the geographic size to the minimum necessary to meet the standards
254	required by Section 63J-4-401;
255	(ii) is necessary to protect not just a temporary change in ground conditions or visual
256	resources that can be reclaimed or reversed naturally, but is clearly shown as necessary to
257	protect against visible damage on the ground that will persist on a time scale beyond that which
258	would effectively disqualify the land for a later inventory of wilderness characteristics;
259	(iii) will not be applied in a geographic area already protected by other protective
260	designations available pursuant to law; and
261	(iv) is not a substitute for the nonimpairment management requirements of wilderness
262	study areas; and
263	(m) recognize that a BLM visual resource management class I or II rating is generally
264	not compatible with the state's plan and policy for managing the subject lands, but special cases
265	may exist where such a rating is appropriate if jointly considered and created by state, local,
266	and federal authorities as part of an economic development plan for a region of the state, with
267	due regard for school trust lands and private lands within the area.
268	(2) All BLM and Forest Service decision documents should be accompanied with an
269	analysis of the social and economic impact of the decision. Such analysis should:
270	(a) consider all facets of the decision in light of valuation techniques for the potential
271	costs and benefits of the decision;
272	(b) clarify whether the costs and benefits employ monetized or nonmonetized
273	techniques;
	• *

274	(c) compare the accuracy, completeness, and viability of monetized and nonmonetized
275	valuation techniques used as part of the analysis, including all caveats on use of the techniques;
276	and
277	(d) compare the valuation techniques employed in the analysis to the federal standards
278	for valuation employed by the U.S. Department of Justice in court actions.
279	Section 2. Section 63L-2-201 is amended to read:
280	63L-2-201. Federal government acquisition of real property in the state.
281	(1) As used in this [section] chapter:
282	(a) "Agency" is defined in Section 63G-10-102.
283	(b) "Agency" includes:
284	(i) the School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration created in Section
285	53C-1-201; and
286	(ii) the School and Institutional Trust Lands Board of Trustees created in Section
287	53C-1-202.
288	(2) (a) Before legally binding the state by executing an agreement to sell or transfer to
289	the United States government 10,000 or more acres of any state lands or school and
290	institutional trust lands, an agency shall submit the agreement or proposal:
291	(i) to the Legislature for its approval or rejection; or
292	(ii) in the interim, to the Legislative Management Committee for review of the
293	agreement or proposal.
294	(b) The Legislative Management Committee may:
295	(i) recommend that the agency execute the agreement or proposal;
296	(ii) recommend that the agency reject the agreement or proposal; or
297	(iii) recommend to the governor that the governor call a special session of the
298	Legislature to review and approve or reject the agreement or proposal.
299	(3) Before legally binding the state by executing an agreement to sell or transfer to the
300	United States government less than 10,000 acres of any state lands or school and institutional
301	trust lands, an agency shall notify the Natural Resources, Agriculture, and Environment Interim
302	Committee.
303	(4) Notwithstanding Subsections (2) and (3), the Legislature approves all conveyances
304	of school trust lands to the United States government made for the purpose of completing the

305 Red Cliffs Desert Reserve in Washington County.