♣ Approved for Filing: L.A. Killian 
 ♣ 02-21-14 6:52 AM

1	EXPOSURE OF CHILDREN TO PORNOGRAPHY
2	2014 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Chief Sponsor: Todd Weiler
5	House Sponsor:
6 7	LONG TITLE
8	General Description:
9	This bill amends provisions related to factors a court shall consider in a child custody
10	determination and in a termination of parental rights proceeding.
11	Highlighted Provisions:
12	This bill:
13	<ul> <li>provides that a district court shall consider, when determining child custody in a</li> </ul>
14	separation or divorce, whether the parent has $\hat{S} \rightarrow \underline{intentionally} \leftarrow \hat{S}$ exposed the child to
14a	pornography or
15	material harmful to a child; and
16	<ul> <li>provides that a juvenile court shall consider, when determining whether to terminate</li> </ul>
17	a parent's rights, whether the parent has $\hat{S} \rightarrow \underline{intentionally} \leftarrow \hat{S}$ exposed the child to pornography or
18	material harmful to a minor.
19	Money Appropriated in this Bill:
20	None
21	Other Special Clauses:
22	None
23	<b>Utah Code Sections Affected:</b>
24	AMENDS:
25	30-3-10, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2013, Chapter 22
26	78A-6-508, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2009, Chapter 161
27	



28	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
29	Section 1. Section <b>30-3-10</b> is amended to read:
30	30-3-10. Custody of children in case of separation or divorce Custody
31	consideration.
32	(1) If a husband and wife having minor children are separated, or their marriage is
33	declared void or dissolved, the court shall make an order for the future care and custody of the
34	minor children as it considers appropriate.
35	(a) In determining any form of custody, including a change in custody, the court shall
36	consider the best interests of the child without preference for either the mother or father solely
37	because of the biological sex of the parent and, among other factors the court finds relevant, the
38	following:
39	(i) the past conduct and demonstrated moral standards of each of the parties;
40	(ii) which parent is most likely to act in the best interest of the child, including
41	allowing the child frequent and continuing contact with the noncustodial parent;
42	(iii) the extent of bonding between the parent and child, meaning the depth, quality,
43	and nature of the relationship between a parent and child; [and]
44	(iv) whether the parent has $\$ \rightarrow $ intentionally $\leftarrow \$$ exposed the child to pornography or
l4a	material harmful to a
45	minor, as defined in Section 76-10-1201; and
46	[(iv)] (v) those factors outlined in Section 30-3-10.2.
47	(b) There shall be a rebuttable presumption that joint legal custody, as defined in
48	Section 30-3-10.1, is in the best interest of the child, except in cases where there is:
49	(i) domestic violence in the home or in the presence of the child;
50	(ii) special physical or mental needs of a parent or child, making joint legal custody
51	unreasonable;
52	(iii) physical distance between the residences of the parents, making joint decision
53	making impractical in certain circumstances; or
54	(iv) any other factor the court considers relevant including those listed in this section
55	and Section 30-3-10.2.
56	(c) The person who desires joint legal custody shall file a proposed parenting plan in
57	accordance with Sections 30-3-10.8 and 30-3-10.9. A presumption for joint legal custody may
58	be rebutted by a showing by a preponderance of the evidence that it is not in the best interest of

02-21-14 6:52 AM S.B. 227

121 sentence is of such length that the child will be deprived of a normal home for more than one 122 year; [or] 123 (f) a history of violent behavior[-]; or

- (g) whether the parent has  $\$ \rightarrow$  intentionally  $\leftarrow \$$  exposed the child to pornography or material harmful to a
- 125 minor, as defined in Section 76-10-1201.

124

124a

126 127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142 143

144

145

146 147

- (3) A parent who, legitimately practicing the parent's religious beliefs, does not provide specified medical treatment for a child is not, for that reason alone, a negligent or unfit parent.
- (4) (a) Notwithstanding Subsection (2), a parent may not be considered neglectful or unfit because of a health care decision made for a child by the child's parent unless the state or other party to the proceeding shows, by clear and convincing evidence, that the health care decision is not reasonable and informed.
- (b) Nothing in Subsection (4)(a) may prohibit a parent from exercising the right to obtain a second health care opinion.
- (5) If a child has been placed in the custody of the division and the parent or parents fail to comply substantially with the terms and conditions of a plan within six months after the date on which the child was placed or the plan was commenced, whichever occurs later, that failure to comply is evidence of failure of parental adjustment.
  - (6) The following circumstances constitute prima facie evidence of unfitness:
- (a) sexual abuse, sexual exploitation, injury, or death of a sibling of the child, or of any child, due to known or substantiated abuse or neglect by the parent or parents:
- (b) conviction of a crime, if the facts surrounding the crime are of such a nature as to indicate the unfitness of the parent to provide adequate care to the extent necessary for the child's physical, mental, or emotional health and development;
- (c) a single incident of life-threatening or gravely disabling injury to or disfigurement of the child;
- (d) the parent has committed, aided, abetted, attempted, conspired, or solicited to commit murder or manslaughter of a child or child abuse homicide; or
- 148 (e) the parent intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly causes the death of another parent 149 of the child, without legal justification.