Enrolled Copy S.B. 227

EXPOSURE OF CHILDREN TO PORNOGRAPHY
2014 GENERAL SESSION
STATE OF UTAH
Chief Sponsor: Todd Weiler
House Sponsor: Curtis Oda
LONG TITLE
General Description:
This bill amends provisions related to factors a court shall consider in a child custody
determination and in a termination of parental rights proceeding.
Highlighted Provisions:
This bill:
• provides that a district court shall consider, when determining child custody in a
separation or divorce, whether the parent has intentionally exposed the child to
pornography or material harmful to a child; and
 provides that a juvenile court shall consider, when determining whether to terminate
a parent's rights, whether the parent has intentionally exposed the child to
pornography or material harmful to a minor.
Money Appropriated in this Bill:
None
Other Special Clauses:
None
Utah Code Sections Affected:
AMENDS:
30-3-10, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2013, Chapter 22
78A-6-508, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2009, Chapter 161

Section 1. Section **30-3-10** is amended to read:

29

S.B. 227 Enrolled Copy

30	30-3-10. Custody of children in case of separation or divorce Custody
31	consideration.
32	(1) If a husband and wife having minor children are separated, or their marriage is
33	declared void or dissolved, the court shall make an order for the future care and custody of the
34	minor children as it considers appropriate.
35	(a) In determining any form of custody, including a change in custody, the court shall
36	consider the best interests of the child without preference for either the mother or father solely
37	because of the biological sex of the parent and, among other factors the court finds relevant, the
38	following:
39	(i) the past conduct and demonstrated moral standards of each of the parties;
40	(ii) which parent is most likely to act in the best interest of the child, including
41	allowing the child frequent and continuing contact with the noncustodial parent;
42	(iii) the extent of bonding between the parent and child, meaning the depth, quality,
43	and nature of the relationship between a parent and child; [and]
44	(iv) whether the parent has intentionally exposed the child to pornography or material
45	harmful to a minor, as defined in Section 76-10-1201; and
46	$[\frac{\text{(iv)}}]$ (v) those factors outlined in Section 30-3-10.2.
47	(b) There shall be a rebuttable presumption that joint legal custody, as defined in
48	Section 30-3-10.1, is in the best interest of the child, except in cases where there is:
49	(i) domestic violence in the home or in the presence of the child;
50	(ii) special physical or mental needs of a parent or child, making joint legal custody
51	unreasonable;
52	(iii) physical distance between the residences of the parents, making joint decision
53	making impractical in certain circumstances; or
54	(iv) any other factor the court considers relevant including those listed in this section
55	and Section 30-3-10.2.
56	(c) The person who desires joint legal custody shall file a proposed parenting plan in
57	accordance with Sections 30-3-10.8 and 30-3-10.9. A presumption for joint legal custody may

Enrolled Copy S.B. 227

be rebutted by a showing by a preponderance of the evidence that it is not in the best interest of the child.

- (d) The children may not be required by either party to testify unless the trier of fact determines that extenuating circumstances exist that would necessitate the testimony of the children be heard and there is no other reasonable method to present their testimony.
- (e) The court may inquire of the children and take into consideration the children's desires regarding future custody or parent-time schedules, but the expressed desires are not controlling and the court may determine the children's custody or parent-time otherwise. The desires of a child 14 years of age or older shall be given added weight, but is not the single controlling factor.
- (f) If interviews with the children are conducted by the court pursuant to Subsection (1)(e), they shall be conducted by the judge in camera. The prior consent of the parties may be obtained but is not necessary if the court finds that an interview with the children is the only method to ascertain the child's desires regarding custody.
- (2) In awarding custody, the court shall consider, among other factors the court finds relevant, which parent is most likely to act in the best interests of the child, including allowing the child frequent and continuing contact with the noncustodial parent as the court finds appropriate.
- (3) If the court finds that one parent does not desire custody of the child, the court shall take that evidence into consideration in determining whether to award custody to the other parent.
- (4) (a) Except as provided in Subsection (4)(b), a court may not discriminate against a parent due to a disability, as defined in Section 57-21-2, in awarding custody or determining whether a substantial change has occurred for the purpose of modifying an award of custody.
- (b) If a court takes a parent's disability into account in awarding custody or determining whether a substantial change has occurred for the purpose of modifying an award of custody, the parent with a disability may rebut any evidence, presumption, or inference arising from the disability by showing that:

S.B. 227 Enrolled Copy

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

for extended periods of time;

(i) the disability does not significantly or substantially inhibit the parent's ability to provide for the physical and emotional needs of the child at issue; or (ii) the parent with a disability has sufficient human, monetary, or other resources available to supplement the parent's ability to provide for the physical and emotional needs of the child at issue. (c) Nothing in this section may be construed to apply to adoption proceedings under Title 78B, Chapter 6, Part 1, Utah Adoption Act. (5) This section establishes neither a preference nor a presumption for or against joint physical custody or sole physical custody, but allows the court and the family the widest discretion to choose a parenting plan that is in the best interest of the child. Section 2. Section **78A-6-508** is amended to read: 78A-6-508. Evidence of grounds for termination. (1) In determining whether a parent or parents have abandoned a child, it is prima facie evidence of abandonment that the parent or parents: (a) although having legal custody of the child, have surrendered physical custody of the child, and for a period of six months following the surrender have not manifested to the child or to the person having the physical custody of the child a firm intention to resume physical custody or to make arrangements for the care of the child; (b) have failed to communicate with the child by mail, telephone, or otherwise for six months; (c) failed to have shown the normal interest of a natural parent, without just cause; or (d) have abandoned an infant, as described in Subsection 78A-6-316(1). (2) In determining whether a parent or parents are unfit or have neglected a child the court shall consider, but is not limited to, the following circumstances, conduct, or conditions:

(b) conduct toward a child of a physically, emotionally, or sexually cruel or abusive

parent unable to care for the immediate and continuing physical or emotional needs of the child

(a) emotional illness, mental illness, or mental deficiency of the parent that renders the

Enrolled Copy S.B. 227

ture

(c) habitual or excessive use of intoxicating liquors, controlled substances, or dangerous drugs that render the parent unable to care for the child;

- (d) repeated or continuous failure to provide the child with adequate food, clothing, shelter, education, or other care necessary for the child's physical, mental, and emotional health and development by a parent or parents who are capable of providing that care;
- (e) whether the parent is incarcerated as a result of conviction of a felony, and the sentence is of such length that the child will be deprived of a normal home for more than one year; [or]
 - (f) a history of violent behavior[-]; or
- (g) whether the parent has intentionally exposed the child to pornography or material harmful to a minor, as defined in Section 76-10-1201.
- (3) A parent who, legitimately practicing the parent's religious beliefs, does not provide specified medical treatment for a child is not, for that reason alone, a negligent or unfit parent.
- (4) (a) Notwithstanding Subsection (2), a parent may not be considered neglectful or unfit because of a health care decision made for a child by the child's parent unless the state or other party to the proceeding shows, by clear and convincing evidence, that the health care decision is not reasonable and informed.
- (b) Nothing in Subsection (4)(a) may prohibit a parent from exercising the right to obtain a second health care opinion.
- (5) If a child has been placed in the custody of the division and the parent or parents fail to comply substantially with the terms and conditions of a plan within six months after the date on which the child was placed or the plan was commenced, whichever occurs later, that failure to comply is evidence of failure of parental adjustment.
 - (6) The following circumstances constitute prima facie evidence of unfitness:
- (a) sexual abuse, sexual exploitation, injury, or death of a sibling of the child, or of any
 child, due to known or substantiated abuse or neglect by the parent or parents;
 - (b) conviction of a crime, if the facts surrounding the crime are of such a nature as to

S.B. 227 Enrolled Copy

indicate the unfitness of the parent to provide adequate care to the extent necessary for the
child's physical, mental, or emotional health and development;

(c) a single incident of life-threatening or gravely disabling injury to or disfigurement
of the child;

(d) the parent has committed, aided, abetted, attempted, conspired, or solicited to
commit murder or manslaughter of a child or child abuse homicide; or

(e) the parent intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly causes the death of another parent

149

of the child, without legal justification.