

1st Sub. H.B. 84
SCHOOL DISTRICT AMENDMENTS

Representative **Rich Cunningham** proposes the following amendments:

1. *Page 1, Lines 11 through 16:*

11 This bill:

12 ▶ provides that ~~{a qualifying city or interlocal agreement participant}~~ an interlocal
agreement participant or a certain qualifying city may not submit
13 for voter approval a measure to create a new school district if the results of a
14 feasibility study show that the five-year projected average annual revenue of the
15 proposed new school district exceeds the five-year projected average annual cost of
16 the proposed new school district by more than 5%;

2. *Page 7, Lines 195 through 198:*

195 (c) A qualifying city incorporated after May 13, 2014, may not submit for voter approval a measure
to create a new
196 school district if the results of a feasibility study described in Subsection (2)(b) show that the
197 five-year projected average annual revenue calculated under Subsection (4)(a) exceeds the
198 five-year projected average annual cost under Subsection (4)(b) by more than 5%.

3. *Page 11, Lines 323 through 326*

a. House Committee Amendments

b. 2-14-2014:

323 (c) ~~{assumes a}~~ considers the level and quality of school district services to be provided to the
324 proposed new school district in the future ~~{that fairly and reasonably approximates}~~ and the level
and
325 quality of school district services being provided to the existing school district at the time of
326 the feasibility study; and