## 02-24-15 4:00 PM

1st Sub. (Buff) H.B. 93

| 181  | Section 3. Section <b>53A-2-118.1</b> is amended to read:  |
|------|--|
| 182  | 53A-2-118.1. Proposal initiated by a city or interlocal agreement participants to  |
| 183  | create a school district Boundaries Election of local school board members   |
| 184  | Allocation of assets and liabilities Startup costs Transfer of title.  |
| 185  | (1) As used in this section a "qualifying city" means a city with a population of at least   |
| 186  | 50,000, as determined by the lieutenant governor using the process described in Subsection   |
| 187  | <u>67-1a-2(3).</u>   |
| 188  | [(1)] (2) (a) [After conducting a feasibility study, a city with a population of at least  |
| 189  | 50,000, as determined by the lieutenant governor using the process described in Subsection   |
| 190  | 67-1a-2(3),] A qualifying city may, by majority vote of the legislative body, submit for voter   |
| 191  | approval a [measure] proposal to create a new school district with boundaries contiguous with  |
| 192  | that city's boundaries, in accordance with Section 53A-2-118.  |
| 193  | (b) Prior to submitting for voter approval a proposal to create a new school district, a   |
| 194  | qualifying city shall conduct a feasibility study in accordance with Subsection (4).   |
| 195  | (c) Except as provided in Subsection (2)(d), a qualifying city may not submit for voter  |
| 196  | approval a proposal to create a new school district if the results of a feasibility study described  |
| 197  | in Subsection (2)(b) show that the five-year projected average annual revenue calculated under   |
| 198  | Subsection (4)(a) exceeds the five-year projected average annual cost under Subsection (4)(b)  |
| 199  | by more than 5%.   |
| 200  | (d) Subsection (2)(c) does not apply to a proposal submitted by a qualifying city if the   |
| 201  | qualifying city has entered into an interlocal cooperation agreement:  |
| 202  | (i) $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow [\underline{(\mathbf{A})}] \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ with the existing school district and all municipalities within the    |
| 202a | existing school  |
| 203  | district regarding mutual goals for the governance of the school district; and   |
| 204  | $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow [\underline{(\mathbf{H})}]$ (ii) $\leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ in accordance with Title 11, Chapter 13, Interlocal Cooperation Act |
| 204a | Ĥ→ [ <u>; and</u>  |
| 205  | <u>(ii) on or before January 1, 2016</u> ] ←Ĥ .  |
| 206  | [(b)] (e) (i) [The] Subject to Subsections (2)(c) and (4), the determination of all matters  |
| 207  | relating to the scope, adequacy, and other aspects of a feasibility study [under Subsection  |
| 208  | (1)(a)] is within the exclusive discretion of the city's legislative body.   |
| 209  | (ii) An inadequacy of a feasibility study under Subsection $[(1)(a)]$ (4) may not be the   |
| 210  | basis of a legal action or other challenge to:   |
| 211  | (A) an election for voter approval of the creation of a new school district; or  |

## 02-24-15 4:00 PM

| 243  | (A) $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow [\underline{\mathbf{H}}] \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ with the existing school district and all municipalities within the |
|------|---|
| 243a | existing school   |
| 244  | district regarding mutual goals for the governance of the school district; and  |
| 245  | $\hat{H} \rightarrow [(H)]$ (B) $\leftarrow \hat{H}$ in accordance with Title 11, Chapter 13, Interlocal Cooperation Act                                    |
| 245a | Ĥ <b>→</b> [ <del>; and</del>   |
| 246  | <u>────(B) on or before January 1, 2016</u> ] ←Ĥ .  |
| 247  | [(ii) The] (iii) Subject to Subsections (3)(b)(i)(A) and (4), the determination of all  |
| 248  | matters relating to the scope, adequacy, and other aspects of a feasibility study [under  |
| 249  | Subsection (2)(b)(i)(A)], including whether to conduct a new feasibility study or revise a  |
| 250  | previous feasibility study due to a change in the proposed new school district boundaries, is   |
| 251  | within the exclusive discretion of the legislative bodies of the interlocal agreement participants  |
| 252  | that enter into an interlocal agreement to submit for voter approval a measure to create a new  |
| 253  | school district.  |
| 254  | [(iii)] (iv) An inadequacy of a feasibility study under Subsection [(2)(b)(i)(A)] (4) may   |
| 255  | not be the basis of a legal action or other challenge to:   |
| 256  | (A) an election for voter approval of the creation of a new school district; or   |
| 257  | (B) the creation of the new school district.  |
| 258  | [(iv)] (v) For purposes of determining whether the boundaries of a proposed new   |
| 259  | school district cross county lines under Subsection [(2)(b)(i)(C)(IV)] (3)(b)(i)(D)(IV):  |
| 260  | (A) a municipality located in more than one county and entirely within the boundaries   |
| 261  | of a single school district is considered to be entirely within the same county as other  |
| 262  | participants in an interlocal agreement under Subsection $[(2)]$ (3)(a) if more of the  |
| 263  | municipality's land area and population is located in that same county than outside the county;   |
| 264  | and   |
| 265  | (B) a municipality located in more than one county that participates in an interlocal   |
| 266  | agreement under Subsection [(2)] (3)(a) with respect to some but not all of the area within the   |
| 267  | municipality's boundaries on the basis of the exception stated in Subsection [(2)] (3)(d)(ii)(B)  |
| 268  | may not be considered to cross county lines.  |
| 269  | (c) (i) A county may only participate in an interlocal agreement under this Subsection  |
| 270  | [(2)] (3) for the unincorporated areas of the county.   |
| 271  | (ii) Boundaries of a new school district created under this section may include:  |
| 272  | (A) a portion of one or more existing school districts; and   |
| 273  | (B) a portion of the unincorporated area of a county, including a portion of a township.  |
|      |   |