	SCHOOL TURNAROUND AND LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT
	ACT AMENDMENTS
	2016 GENERAL SESSION
	STATE OF UTAH
	Chief Sponsor: Ann Millner
	House Sponsor: Bradley G. Last
L	ONG TITLE
G	eneral Description:
	This bill amends provisions regarding the School Turnaround and Leadership
De	evelopment Act.
Hi	ighlighted Provisions:
	This bill:
	amends definitions;
	• clarifies that certain school turnaround actions may only be taken under certain
cii	rcumstances;
	amends the date by which certain school turnaround actions shall be taken;
	 specifies uses for School Turnaround and Leadership Development program funds;
an	d
	makes technical and conforming changes.
M	oney Appropriated in this Bill:
	None
O	ther Special Clauses:
	None
Ut	tah Code Sections Affected:
Al	MENDS:
	53A-1-1202, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2015, Chapter 449
	53A-1-1203, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2015, Chapter 449
	53A-1-1204, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2015, Chapter 449

	53A-1-1205 , as enacted by Laws of Utah 2015, Chapter 449
	53A-1-1206, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2015, Chapter 449
	53A-1-1207, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2015, Chapter 449
	53A-1-1208, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2015, Chapter 449
1	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
	Section 1. Section 53A-1-1202 is amended to read:
	53A-1-1202. Definitions.
	As used in this part:
	(1) "Board" means the State Board of Education.
	(2) "Charter school authorizer" means the same as that term is defined in Section
	53A-1a-501.3.
	(3) "District school" means a public school under the control of a local school board
C	elected under Title 20A, Chapter 14, Nomination and Election of State and Local School
I	Boards.
	(4) "Educator" means the same as that term is defined in Section 53A-6-103.
	(5) "Final remedial year" means the second school year following the initial remedial
)	year.
	[(5)] (6) "Initial remedial year" means the school year [in which] a district school or
C	charter school is designated as a low performing school under Section 53A-1-1203.
	[(6)] (7) "Low performing school" means a district school or charter school that has
t	been designated a low performing school by the board because the school is:
	(a) in the lowest performing 3% of schools statewide according to the percentage of
ľ	possible points earned under the school grading system; and
	(b) a low performing school according to other outcome-based measures as may be
C	defined in rules made by the board in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah
P	Administrative Rulemaking Act.
	[(7)] (8) "School grade" or "grade" means the letter grade assigned to a school under

Enrolled Copy

S.B. 191

58	the school grading system.
59	[(8)] (9) "School grading system" means the system established under Part 11, School
60	Grading Act, of assigning letter grades to schools.
61	[(9)] (10) "Statewide assessment" means a test of student achievement in [English
62	language arts, mathematics, or science,] basic academic subjects, including a test administered
63	in a computer adaptive format that is administered statewide under Part 6, Achievement Tests.
64	Section 2. Section 53A-1-1203 is amended to read:
65	53A-1-1203. State Board of Education to designate low performing schools.
66	On or before [August 15] September 1, the board shall annually designate a school as a
67	low performing school if the school is:
68	(1) in the lowest performing 3% of schools statewide according to the percentage of
69	possible points earned under the school grading system; and
70	(2) a low performing school according to other outcome-based measures as may be
71	defined in rules made by the board in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah
72	Administrative Rulemaking Act.
73	Section 3. Section 53A-1-1204 is amended to read:
74	53A-1-1204. Required action to turn around a low performing district school.
75	(1) On or before [October 1] September 15 of an initial remedial year, a local school
76	board of a low performing school shall establish a school turnaround committee composed of
77	the following members:
78	(a) the local school board member who represents the voting district where the low
79	performing school is located;
80	(b) the school principal;
81	(c) three parents of students enrolled in the low performing school appointed by the
82	chair of the school community council;
83	(d) one teacher at the low performing school appointed by the principal; and
84	(e) one teacher at the low performing school appointed by the school district
85	superintendent

(2) (a) Subject to Subsection (2)(b), on or before October [15] 1 of an initial remedial
year, a local school board of a low performing school shall partner with the school turnaround
committee to select an independent school turnaround expert from the experts identified by the
board under Section 53A-1-1206.
(b) A local school board may not select an independent school turnaround expert that
is:
(i) the school district; or
(ii) an employee of the school district.
(3) A school turnaround committee shall partner with the independent school
turnaround expert selected under Subsection (2) to develop and implement a school turnaround
plan that includes:
(a) the findings of the analysis conducted by the independent school turnaround expert
described in Subsection 53A-1-1206(1)(a);
(b) recommendations regarding changes to the low performing school's personnel,
culture, curriculum, assessments, instructional practices, governance, leadership, finances,
policies, or other areas that may be necessary to implement the school turnaround plan;
(c) measurable student achievement goals and objectives;
(d) a professional development plan that identifies a strategy to address problems of
instructional practice;
(e) a detailed budget specifying how the school turnaround plan will be funded;
(f) a plan to assess and monitor progress;
(g) a plan to communicate and report data on progress to stakeholders; and
(h) a timeline for implementation.
(4) A local school board of a low performing school shall:
(a) prioritize school district funding and resources to the low performing school; and
(b) grant the low performing school streamlined authority over staff, schedule, policies,
budget, and academic programs to implement the school turnaround plan.
(5) (a) On or before March 1 of an initial remedial year, a school turnaround committee

shall submit the school turnaround plan to the local school board for approval.

- (b) Except as provided in Subsection (5)(c), on or before April 1 of an initial remedial year, a local school board of a low performing school shall submit the school turnaround plan to the board for approval.
- (c) If the local school board does not approve the school turnaround plan submitted under Subsection (5)(a), the school turnaround committee may appeal the disapproval in accordance with rules made by the board as described in Subsection 53A-1-1206(5).
- Section 4. Section **53A-1-1205** is amended to read:

53A-1-1205. Required action to terminate or turn around a low performing charter school.

- (1) On or before [August 20] September 10 of an initial remedial year, a charter school authorizer of a low performing school shall initiate a review to determine whether the charter school is in compliance with the school's charter agreement described in Section 53A-1a-508, including the school's established minimum standards for student achievement.
- (2) If a low performing school is found to be out of compliance with the school's charter agreement, the charter school authorizer may terminate the school's charter in accordance with Section 53A-1a-510.
- (3) A charter school authorizer shall make a determination on the status of a low performing school's charter under Subsection (2) on or before [September 15] October 1 of an initial remedial year.
- (4) If a charter school authorizer does not terminate a low performing school's charter under Subsection (2), a charter school governing board of a low performing school shall:
- (a) on or before October [†] <u>15</u> of an initial remedial year, establish a school turnaround committee composed of the following members:
- (i) a member of the charter school governing board, appointed by the chair of the charter school governing board;
 - (ii) the school principal;
- 141 (iii) three parents of students enrolled in the low performing school, appointed by the

142	chair of the charter school governing board; and
143	(iv) two teachers at the low performing school, appointed by the school principal; and
144	(b) subject to Subsection (5), on or before [October 15] November 1 of an initial
145	remedial year, in partnership with the school turnaround committee, select an independent
146	school turnaround expert from the experts identified by the board under Section 53A-1-1206.
147	(5) A charter school governing board may not select a school turnaround expert that:
148	(a) is a member of the charter school governing board;
149	(b) is an employee of the charter school; or
150	(c) has a contract to operate the charter school.
151	(6) A school turnaround committee shall partner with the independent school
152	turnaround expert selected under Subsection (4)(b) to develop and implement a school
153	turnaround plan that includes the elements described in Subsection 53A-1-1204(3).
154	(7) (a) On or before March 1 of an initial remedial year, a school turnaround committee
155	shall submit the school turnaround plan to the charter school governing board for approval.
156	(b) Except as provided in Subsection (7)(c), on or before April 1 of an initial remedial
157	year, a charter school governing board of a low performing school shall submit the school
158	turnaround plan to the board for approval.
159	(c) If the charter school governing board does not approve the school turnaround plan
160	submitted under Subsection (7)(a), the school turnaround committee may appeal the
161	disapproval in accordance with rules made by the board as described in Subsection
162	53A-1-1206(5).
163	Section 5. Section 53A-1-1206 is amended to read:
164	53A-1-1206. State Board of Education to identify independent school turnaround
165	experts Review and approval of school turnaround plans Appeals process.
166	(1) On or before August 30, the board shall identify two or more approved independent
167	school turnaround experts, through a request for proposals process, that a low performing
168	school may select from to partner with to:
169	(a) collect and analyze data on the low performing school's student achievement,

170 personnel, culture, curriculum, assessments, instructional practices, governance, leadership, 171 finances, and policies; 172 (b) recommend changes to the low performing school's culture, curriculum, 173 assessments, instructional practices, governance, finances, policies, or other areas based on 174 data collected under Subsection (1)(a); 175 (c) develop and implement, in partnership with the school turnaround committee, a 176 school turnaround plan that meets the criteria described in Subsection 53A-1-1204(3); 177 (d) monitor the effectiveness of a school turnaround plan through reliable means of 178 evaluation, including on-site visits, observations, surveys, analysis of student achievement data, 179 and interviews; 180 (e) provide ongoing implementation support and project management for a school 181 turnaround plan; 182 (f) provide high-quality professional development personalized for school staff that is 183 designed to build the: 184 (i) leadership capacity of the school principal; and 185 (ii) instructional capacity of school staff; and (g) leverage support from community partners to coordinate an efficient delivery of 186 187 supports to students both inside and outside the classroom. 188 (2) In identifying independent school turnaround experts under Subsection (1), the 189 board shall identify experts that: 190 (a) have a credible track record of improving student academic achievement in public schools with various demographic characteristics, as measured by statewide assessments: 191 192 (b) have experience designing, implementing, and evaluating data-driven instructional 193 systems in public schools;

(c) have experience coaching public school administrators and teachers on designing

data-driven school improvement plans;

194

195

196

198	(e) have experience delivering high-quality professional development in instructional
199	effectiveness to public school administrators and teachers;
200	(f) are willing to be compensated for professional services based on performance as
201	described in Subsection (3); and
202	(g) are willing to partner with any low performing school in the state, regardless of
203	location.
204	(3) (a) When awarding a contract to an independent school turnaround expert selected
205	by a local school board under Subsection 53A-1-1204(2) or by a charter school governing
206	board under Subsection 53A-1-1205(4)(b), the board shall ensure that a contract between the
207	board and the independent school turnaround expert specifies that the board will:
208	(i) pay an independent school turnaround expert no more than 50% of the expert's
209	professional fees at the beginning of the independent school turnaround expert's work for the
210	low performing school; and
211	(ii) pay the remainder of the independent school turnaround expert's professional fees
212	[upon the independent school turnaround expert successfully helping a low performing school
213	improve the low performing school's grade within three school years after a school is
214	designated a low performing school.] upon completion of the independent school turnaround
215	expert's work for the low performing school if:
216	(A) the independent school turnaround expert fulfills the terms of the contract; and
217	(B) the low performing school's grade improves by at least one letter grade, as
218	determined by the board under Subsection (3)(b).
219	(b) The board shall determine whether a low performing school's grade has improved
220	under Subsection (3)(a)(ii) by comparing the school's letter grade for the school year prior to
221	the initial remedial year to the school's letter grade:
222	(i) for the final remedial year; or
223	(ii) for the last school year of the extension period if, as described in Section
224	<u>53A-1-1207:</u>
225	(A) a school is granted an extension; and

226	(B) the board extends the contract of the school's independent school turnaround
227	expert.
228	[(b)] (c) In negotiating a contract with an independent school turnaround expert, the
229	board shall offer:
230	(i) differentiated amounts of funding based on student enrollment; and
231	(ii) a higher amount of funding for schools that are in the lowest performing 1% of
232	schools statewide according to the percentage of possible points earned under the school
233	grading system.
234	(4) The board shall:
235	(a) review a school turnaround plan submitted for approval under Subsection
236	53A-1-1204(5)(b) or under Subsection 53A-1-1205(7)(b) within 30 days of submission;
237	(b) approve a school turnaround plan that:
238	(i) is timely;
239	(ii) is well-developed; and
240	(iii) meets the criteria described in Subsection 53A-1-1204(3); and
241	(c) subject to legislative appropriations, provide funding to a low performing school for
242	interventions identified in an approved school turnaround plan if the local school board or
243	charter school governing board provides matching funds or an in-kind contribution of goods or
244	services in an amount equal to the funding the low performing school would receive from the
245	board.
246	(5) (a) In accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah Administrative Rulemaking Act,
247	the board shall make rules to establish an appeals process for:
248	(i) a low performing district school that is not granted approval from the district
249	school's local school board under Subsection 53A-1-1204(5)(b);
250	(ii) a low performing charter school that is not granted approval from the charter
251	school's charter school governing board under Subsection 53A-1-1205(7)(b); and
252	(iii) a local school board or charter school governing board that is not granted approval
253	from the board under Subsection (4)(b).

254	(b) The board shall ensure that rules made under Subsection (5)(a) require an appeals
255	process described in:
256	(i) Subsections (5)(a)(i) and (ii) to be resolved on or before April 1 of the initial
257	remedial year; and
258	(ii) Subsection (5)(a)(iii) to be resolved on or before May 15 of the initial remedial
259	year.
260	(6) [The] (a) Subject to Subsection (6)(b), the board shall balance the need to prioritize
261	funding appropriated by the Legislature to carry out the provisions of this part to contract with
262	highly qualified independent school turnaround experts with the need to [set aside funding for]
263	<u>fund</u> :
264	[(a)] (i) interventions to facilitate the implementation of a school turnaround plan under
265	Subsection (4)(c); [and]
266	[(b)] (ii) the School Recognition and Reward Program created under Section
267	53A-1-1208[.]; and
268	(iii) the School Leadership Development Program created under Section 53A-1-1209.
269	(b) The board may use up to 4% of the funds appropriated by the Legislature to carry
270	out the provisions of this part for administration if the amount for administration is approved
271	by the board in an open meeting.
272	Section 6. Section 53A-1-1207 is amended to read:
273	53A-1-1207. Consequences for failing to improve the school grade of a low
274	performing school.
275	(1) As used in this section, "high performing charter school" means a charter school
276	that:
277	(a) satisfies all requirements of state law and board rules;
278	(b) meets or exceeds standards for student achievement established by the charter
279	school's charter school authorizer; and
280	(c) has received at least a "B" grade under the school grading system in the previous
281	two school years.

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

(2) (a) A low performing school [that does not improve] may petition the board for an extension to continue school improvement efforts for up to two years if the low performing school's grade does not improve by at least one letter grade [within three school years after the day on which the school is designated a low performing school may petition the board for an extension to continue school improvement efforts for up to two years], as determined by comparing the school's letter grade for the school year prior to the initial remedial year to the school's letter grade for the final remedial year. (b) The board may only grant an extension under Subsection (2)(a) if the low performing school has increased the number of points awarded under the school grading system by at least: (i) 25% for a school that is not a high school; and (ii) 10% for a high school. (c) The board shall determine whether a low performing school has increased the number of points awarded under the school grading system by the percentages described in Subsection (2)(b) by comparing the number of points awarded for the school year prior to the initial remedial year to the number of points awarded for the final remedial year. (c) (d) The board may extend the contract of an independent school turnaround expert of a low performing school that is granted an extension under this Subsection (2). [(d)] (e) A school that has been granted an extension under this Subsection (2) is eligible for: (i) continued funding under Subsection 53A-1-1206(4)(c); and (ii) the School Recognition and Reward Program under Section 53A-1-1208. (3) In accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah Administrative Rulemaking Act, the board shall make rules establishing consequences for a low performing school that: (a) (i) does not improve the school's grade [within three school years after the day on which the school is designated a low performing school by at least one letter grade, as determined by comparing the school's letter grade for the school year prior to the initial remedial year to the school's letter grade for the final remedial year; and

310	(ii) is not granted an extension under Subsection (2); or
311	(b) (i) is granted an extension under Subsection (2); and
312	(ii) does not improve the school's grade [within two school years after the day on which
313	the low performing school is granted an extension.] by at least one letter grade, as determined
314	by comparing the school's letter grade for the school year prior to the initial remedial year to
315	the school's letter grade for the last school year of the extension period.
316	(4) The board shall ensure that the rules established under Subsection (3) include a
317	mechanism for:
318	(a) restructuring a district school that may include:
319	(i) contract management;
320	(ii) conversion to a charter school; or
321	(iii) state takeover; and
322	(b) restructuring a charter school that may include:
323	(i) termination of a school's charter;
324	(ii) closure of a charter school; or
325	(iii) transferring operation and control of the charter school to:
326	(A) a high performing charter school; or
327	(B) the school district in which the charter school is located.
328	Section 7. Section 53A-1-1208 is amended to read:
329	53A-1-1208. School Recognition and Reward Program.
330	(1) As used in this section, "eligible school" means a low performing school that:
331	(a) improves the school's grade by at least one [grade level within three school years
332	after the day on which the school is designated a low performing school] letter grade, as
333	determined by comparing the school's letter grade for the school year prior to the initial
334	remedial year to the school's letter grade for the final remedial year; or
335	(b) (i) has been granted an extension under Subsection 53A-1-1207(2); and
336	(ii) improves the school's grade by at least one [grade level within] letter grade, as
337	determined by comparing the school's letter grade for the school year prior to the initial

338	remedial year to the school's letter grade for the last school year of the extension period.
339	(2) The School Recognition and Reward Program is created to provide incentives to
340	schools and educators to improve the school grade of a low performing school.
341	(3) Subject to appropriations by the Legislature, upon the [annual] release of school
342	grades by the board, the board shall distribute a reward equal to:
343	(a) for an eligible school that improves the eligible school's grade one <u>letter</u> grade
344	[level]:
345	(i) \$100 per tested student; and
346	(ii) \$1,000 per educator;
347	(b) for an eligible school that improves the eligible school's grade two [grade levels]
348	letter grades:
349	(i) \$200 per tested student; and
350	(ii) \$2,000 per educator;
351	(c) for an eligible school that improves the eligible school's grade three [grade levels]
352	letter grades:
353	(i) \$300 per tested student; and
354	(ii) \$3,000 per educator; and
355	(d) for an eligible school that improves the eligible school's grade four [grade levels]
356	letter grades:
357	(i) \$500 per tested student; and
358	(ii) \$5,000 per educator.
359	(4) The principal of an eligible school that receives a reward under Subsection (3), in
360	consultation with the educators at the eligible school, may determine how to use the money in
361	the best interest of the school, including providing bonuses to educators.
362	(5) If the number of qualifying eligible schools exceeds available funds, the board may
363	reduce the amounts specified in Subsection (3).