	JUINT RESULUTION ADDITING PRIVILEGE UNDER
	RULES OF EVIDENCE
	2019 GENERAL SESSION
	STATE OF UTAH
	Chief Sponsor: V. Lowry Snow
	Senate Sponsor: Todd Weiler
LO	NG TITLE
Cor	mmittee Note:
	The Victim Advocate Confidentiality Task Force recommended this bill.
	Membership: 5 legislators 4 non-legislators
	Legislative Vote: 5 voting for 0 voting against 0 absent
Ger	neral Description:
	This joint resolution adopts a privilege under the rules of evidence related to
com	nmunications of victims.
Hig	hlighted Provisions:
	This resolution:
	• defines terms;
	<ul> <li>states the privilege and who may claim the privilege; and</li> </ul>
	<ul><li>provides for exceptions from the privilege.</li></ul>
Spe	ecial Clauses:
	None
Uta	h Rules of Evidence Affected:
ENA	ACTS:
	Rule 512, Utah Rules of Evidence



H.J.R. 3 01-11-19 3:02 PM

28	of the two houses voting in favor thereof:
29	As provided in Utah Constitution Article VIII, Section 4, the Legislature may amend
30	rules of procedure and evidence adopted by the Utah Supreme Court upon a two-thirds vote of
31	all members of both houses of the Legislature:
32	Section 1. Rule 512, Utah Rules of Evidence is enacted to read:
33	Rule 512. Victim Communications.
34	(a) Definitions.
35	(a) (1) "Communication" means the same as that term is defined in UCA § 77-38-403.
36	(a) (2) "Criminal justice system victim advocate" means the same as that term is
37	defined in UCA § 77-38-403.
38	(a) (3) "Non-government organization victim advocate" means the same as that term is
39	defined in UCA § 77-38-403.
40	(a) (4) "Victim" means an individual defined as a victim in UCA § 77-38-403.
41	(a) (5) "Victim advocate communications" means communications between a victim
42	and a victim advocate.
43	(a) (6) "Victim advocate" means the same as that term is defined in UCA § 77-38-403.
44	(b) Statement of the Privilege. A victim communicating with a victim advocate has a
45	privilege during the victim's life to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from
46	disclosing victim advocate communications.
47	(c) Who May Claim the Privilege. The privilege may be claimed by the victim
48	engaged in victim advocate communications, or the guardian or conservator of the victim
49	engaged in victim advocate communications. An individual who is a victim advocate at the
50	time of the victim advocate communications is presumed to have authority during the life of
51	the victim to claim the privilege on behalf of the victim.
52	(d) Exceptions. A privilege does not exist under paragraph (b):
53	(d) (1) when the victim provides written, informed, and voluntary consent that is:
54	(d) (1) (A) reasonably time limited;
55	(d) (1) (B) discussed with the victim regarding why the information might be shared,
56	who would have access to the information, and what information could be shared under the
57	release;
58	(d) (1) (C) descriptive of the information that the victim authorizes to be shared and

01-11-19 3:02 PM H.J.R. 3

59	with whom; and
50	(d) (1) (D) specifies the duration for which the information may be shared;
51	(d) (2) when the victim is a minor and the nongovernment organization victim
52	advocate believes it is in the best interest of the victim to disclose the confidential
53	communication to the victim's parents or legal guardians;
54	(d) (3) when the victim is a minor and the minor's parents or guardians have consented
65	to disclosure of the victim advocate communication and provided the written consent outlined
66	in Subsection (d)(1);
67	(d) (4) for victim advocate communication that is required to be disclosed under Title
58	62A, Chapter 4a, Child and Family Services, or Section 62A-3-305;
59	(d) (5) for victim advocate communication that is evidence of a victim being in clear
70	and immediate danger to the victim's self or others;
71	(d) (6) for victim advocate communication that is evidence that the victim has
72	committed a crime, plans to commit a crime, or intends to conceal a crime;
73	(d) (7) if the victim advocate communication is with a criminal justice system victim
74	advocate, the third person to which the victim advocate communication is provided is a
75	government entity that possesses a role or responsibility within the criminal justice system;
76	(d) (8) if the victim advocate communication is with a criminal justice system victim
77	advocate, when a court determines, after notice to the victim and the right to be heard as to the
78	prejudical effect as part of the in camera review, that the probative value of the victim advocate
79	communication outweighs the prejudicial effect on the victim or the relationship between the
80	criminal justice system victim advocate; or
31	(d) (9) if the victim advocate communication is with a criminal justice system victim
32	advocate, when a court determines, after in camera review, that the communication is
22	avaulastary avidance, including impacelment avidance