

---

# ADDENDUM NUMBER 1

## To the REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Issued by:

**The Commission on Federalism**

---



---

### FEDERAL LAND VALUATION MODEL

RFP No. COF 2018-03

---

**ADDENDUM # 1**  
**December 4, 2018**

This Addendum modifies provisions of the Request for Proposals, RFP No. COF 2018-03 (“RFP”), issued by the Commission on Federalism on November 14, 2018, as follows:

The RFP is supplemented by the following additional information in response to the questions indicated:

**Question 1:** We seek clarity on the issue of “exclusive rights to the information produced by the Federal Land Valuation Model” in Section V. 2 of the RFP. Does this refer to usage rights, software IP rights, or some other rights? Will those rights

interfere with a vendor's current commercial product that sells data and real estate services?

Answer to Question 1: Section V. 2 of the RFP refers to two sets of rights that the COMMISSION will retain under a contract that will be negotiated with the CONTRACTOR. One set of rights is in the FEDERAL LAND VALUATION MODEL itself; the other set of rights is in the information produced by the FEDERAL LAND VALUATION MODEL relating to the value of FEDERAL LAND. The COMMISSION's rights in the FEDERAL LAND VALUATION MODEL itself will be negotiated between the COMMISSION and the CONTRACTOR but, as stated in Section V. 2, "shall be sufficient to enable the COMMISSION to fulfill the purposes described in this RFP relating to the valuation of FEDERAL LAND." The COMMISSION does not anticipate that those rights will interfere with the CONTRACTOR's other commercial products. The other set of rights that the COMMISSION will retain under the contract to be negotiated with the CONTRACTOR is in the information compiled or produced by the FEDERAL LAND VALUATION MODEL. As stated in Section V. 2, the COMMISSION "shall retain exclusive rights to [that] information . . . in perpetuity."

**Question 2:** The contractor may be dependent on the receipt of specific data from the various state and local entities in order to aggregate and synthesize the requested data. Will a caveat be allowed that states that the contractor's performance may be limited dependent on the flow of data from those entities?

Answer to Question 2: The COMMISSION has no direct authority over any other state or local government entity. Although the COMMISSION anticipates that applicable state and local government officials will be willing to provide the necessary information for the CONTRACTOR to fulfill its responsibilities as outlined in the RFP, the COMMISSION cannot accurately predict the willingness and availability of state and local government officials to provide that information. The COMMISSION anticipates that much or all of the information that the CONTRACTOR needs from state or local government entities is public information that the CONTRACTOR will have access to, regardless of the willingness of state and local government officials to provide the information. The COMMISSION anticipates that the contract between the COMMISSION and the CONTRACTOR will reflect these realities.