

# HB0550S01 compared with HB0550

~~{Omitted text}~~ shows text that was in HB0550 but was omitted in HB0550S01

inserted text shows text that was not in HB0550 but was inserted into HB0550S01

**DISCLAIMER:** This document is provided to assist you in your comparison of the two bills. Sometimes this automated comparison will NOT be completely accurate. Therefore, you need to read the actual bills. This automatically generated document could contain inaccuracies caused by: limitations of the compare program; bad input data; or other causes.

1                                    **Building Permit Fee Prohibition Amendments**  
2025 GENERAL SESSION  
STATE OF UTAH  
**Chief Sponsor: Thomas W. Peterson**  
Senate Sponsor: David P. Hinkins

---

---

2                                    **LONG TITLE**

3                                    **General Description:**

4                                    This bill amends provisions related to permitting fees.

5                                    **Highlighted Provisions:**

6                                    This bill:

- 7                                    ▶ prevents a municipality or a county from imposing an inspection fee on { ~~certain entities in~~  
8                                    ~~certain situations~~ } a water conservancy district that hires a qualified inspector to conduct inspections on  
9                                    new infrastructure; and  
10                                    ▶ { ~~makes technical changes.~~ }  
11                                    ▶ provides a repeal date for the prohibition.

12                                    **Money Appropriated in this Bill:**

13                                    None

14                                    **Other Special Clauses:**

15                                    None

16                                    **AMENDS:**

HB0550

## HB0550 compared with HB0550S01

**10-9a-510** , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2021, Chapter 35 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2021, Chapter 35

**17-27a-509** , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2021, Chapter 35 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2021, Chapter 35

**63I-2-210 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2024, Third Special Session, Chapter 5 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2024, Third Special Session, Chapter 5**

**63I-2-217 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2024, Third Special Session, Chapter 5 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2024, Third Special Session, Chapter 5**

*Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:*

Section 1. Section **10-9a-510** is amended to read:

**10-9a-510. Limit on fees -- Requirement to itemize fees -- Appeal of fee -- Provider of culinary or secondary water.**

(1) A municipality may not impose or collect a fee for reviewing or approving the plans for a commercial or residential building that exceeds the lesser of:

(a) the actual cost of performing the plan review; and

(b) 65% of the amount the municipality charges for a building permit fee for that building.

(2) Subject to Subsection (1), a municipality may impose and collect only a nominal fee for reviewing and approving identical floor plans.

(3) A municipality may not impose or collect a hookup fee that exceeds the reasonable cost of installing and inspecting the pipe, line, meter, and appurtenance to connect to the municipal water, sewer, storm water, power, or other utility system.

~~{(4) }~~

~~{(a) {As used in this Subsection (4), "governmental or quasi-governmental entity" means an entity, including an entity that a statute or local ordinance creates, that:} }~~

~~{(i) {has authority to levy or impose a tax, assessment, fee, or charge for public purposes; or} }~~

~~{(ii) {derives funding through other public revenue mechanisms.} }~~

~~{(b)}~~ (4) A municipality may not impose or collect:

~~{(a){} {i)} }~~ a land use application fee that exceeds the reasonable cost of processing the application or issuing the permit;~~{or}~~

## HB0550 compared with HB0550S01

~~{(b){}}~~ ~~{(ii)}~~ an inspection, regulation, or review fee that exceeds the reasonable cost of performing the inspection, regulation, or review[.]; or

44 ~~{(iii)}~~ (c) an inspection fee on a governmental or quasi-governmental entity qualified water conservancy district, as defined in Section 17B-2a-1010, that hires a qualified inspector to conduct inspections on new infrastructure.

46 (5)

(a) If requested by an applicant who is charged a fee or an owner of residential property upon which a fee is imposed, the municipality shall provide an itemized fee statement that shows the calculation method for each fee.

49 (b) If an applicant who is charged a fee or an owner of residential property upon which a fee is imposed submits a request for an itemized fee statement no later than 30 days after the day on which the applicant or owner pays the fee, the municipality shall no later than 10 days after the day on which the request is received provide or commit to provide within a specific time:

54 (i) for each fee, any studies, reports, or methods relied upon by the municipality to create the calculation method described in Subsection (5)(a);

56 (ii) an accounting of each fee paid;

57 (iii) how each fee will be distributed; and

58 (iv) information on filing a fee appeal through the process described in Subsection (5)(c).

60 (c) A municipality shall establish a fee appeal process subject to an appeal authority described in Part 7, Appeal Authority and Variances, and district court review in accordance with Part 8, District Court Review, to determine whether a fee reflects only the reasonable estimated cost of:

64 (i) regulation;

65 (ii) processing an application;

66 (iii) issuing a permit; or

67 (iv) delivering the service for which the applicant or owner paid the fee.

68 (6) A municipality may not impose on or collect from a public agency any fee associated with the public agency's development of its land other than:

70 (a) subject to Subsection (4), a fee for a development service that the public agency does not itself provide;

72 (b) subject to Subsection (3), a hookup fee; and

73

## HB0550 compared with HB0550S01

(c) an impact fee for a public facility listed in Subsection 11-36a-102(17)(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), or (g), subject to any applicable credit under Subsection 11-36a-402(2).

(7) A provider of culinary or secondary water that commits to provide a water service required by a land use application process is subject to the following as if it were a municipality:

(a) Subsections (5) and (6);

(b) Section 10-9a-508; and

(c) Section 10-9a-509.5.

Section 2. Section **17-27a-509** is amended to read:

**17-27a-509. Limit on fees -- Requirement to itemize fees -- Appeal of fee -- Provider of culinary or secondary water.**

(1) A county may not impose or collect a fee for reviewing or approving the plans for a commercial or residential building that exceeds the lesser of:

(a) the actual cost of performing the plan review; and

(b) 65% of the amount the county charges for a building permit fee for that building.

(2) Subject to Subsection (1), a county may impose and collect only a nominal fee for reviewing and approving identical floor plans.

(3) A county may not impose or collect a hookup fee that exceeds the reasonable cost of installing and inspecting the pipe, line, meter, or appurtenance to connect to the county water, sewer, storm water, power, or other utility system.

~~{(4)}~~

~~{(a) {As used in this Subsection (4), "governmental or quasi-governmental entity" means the same as that term is defined in Subsection 10-9a-510(4)(a).}}~~

~~{(b)}~~ (4) A county may not impose or collect:

~~{(a)} {i}~~ a land use application fee that exceeds the reasonable cost of processing the application or issuing the permit; ~~{or}~~

~~{(b)} {i}~~ ~~{ii}~~ an inspection, regulation, or review fee that exceeds the reasonable cost of performing the inspection, regulation, or review[.]; or

~~{(iii)}~~ (c) an inspection fee on a {governmental or quasi-governmental entity} qualified water conservancy district, as defined in Section 17B-2a-1010, that hires a qualified inspector to conduct inspections on new infrastructure.

(5)

## HB0550 compared with HB0550S01

(a) If requested by an applicant who is charged a fee or an owner of residential property upon which a fee is imposed, the county shall provide an itemized fee statement that shows the calculation method for each fee.

(b) If an applicant who is charged a fee or an owner of residential property upon which a fee is imposed submits a request for an itemized fee statement no later than 30 days after the day on which the applicant or owner pays the fee, the county shall no later than 10 days after the day on which the request is received provide or commit to provide within a specific time:

(i) for each fee, any studies, reports, or methods relied upon by the county to create the calculation method described in Subsection (5)(a);

(ii) an accounting of each fee paid;

(iii) how each fee will be distributed; and

(iv) information on filing a fee appeal through the process described in Subsection (5)(c).

(c) A county shall establish a fee appeal process subject to an appeal authority described in Part 7, Appeal Authority and Variances, and district court review in accordance with Part 8, District Court Review, to determine whether a fee reflects only the reasonable estimated cost of:

(i) regulation;

(ii) processing an application;

(iii) issuing a permit; or

(iv) delivering the service for which the applicant or owner paid the fee.

(6) A county may not impose on or collect from a public agency any fee associated with the public agency's development of its land other than:

(a) subject to Subsection (4), a fee for a development service that the public agency does not itself provide;

(b) subject to Subsection (3), a hookup fee; and

(c) an impact fee for a public facility listed in Subsection 11-36a-102(17)(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), or (g), subject to any applicable credit under Subsection 11-36a-402(2).

(7) A provider of culinary or secondary water that commits to provide a water service required by a land use application process is subject to the following as if it were a county:

(a) Subsections (5) and (6);

(b) Section 17-27a-507; and

(c) Section 17-27a-509.5.

## HB0550 compared with HB0550S01

### Section 3. Section 63I-2-210 is amended to read:

#### **63I-2-210. Repeal dates: Title 10.**

- (1) Subsection 10-2a-205(2)(b)(iii), regarding a feasibility study for the proposed incorporation of a community council area, is repealed July 1, 2028.
- (2) Section 10-2a-205.5, Additional feasibility consultant considerations for proposed incorporation of community council area -- Additional feasibility study requirements, is repealed July 1, 2028.
- (3) Subsection 10-9a-510(4)(c) is repealed July 1, 2026.
- [(3)] (4) Section 10-9a-604.9, Effective dates of Sections 10-9a-604.1 and 10-9a-604.2, is repealed January 1, 2025.

### Section 4. Section 63I-2-217 is amended to read:

#### **63I-2-217. Repeal dates: Titles 17 through 17D.**

- (1) Subsection 17-22-2(1)(o), regarding a sheriff's contractual duties under an interlocal agreement for law enforcement services, is repealed July 1, 2025.
- (2) Subsection 17-22-2(3), regarding the role of a sheriff in a police interlocal entity or police local district, is repealed July 1, 2025.
- (3) Section 17-27a-604.9, Effective dates of Sections 17-27a-604.1 and 17-27a-604.2, is repealed January 1, 2025.
- (4) Subsection 17-27a-509(4)(c) is repealed July 1, 2026.
- [(4)] (5) Subsection 17-52a-103(3), regarding the process for changing a form of county government , is repealed January 1, 2028.

#### **Section 5. Effective date.**

Effective Date.

This bill takes effect on May 7, 2025.

3-7-25 11:15 AM