## **Scott D. Sandall** proposes the following substitute bill:

1

## **Traffic Code Amendments**

## 2025 GENERAL SESSION STATE OF UTAH

**Chief Sponsor: Scott D. Sandall** 

House Sponsor: Scott H. Chew

2 3

## LONG TITLE

- 4 **General Description:**
- 5 This bill addresses range livestock collisions on a highway.
- **Highlighted Provisions:** 6
- 7 This bill:
- 8 • creates a rebuttable presumption in a highway collision between a driver and livestock.
- 9 **Money Appropriated in this Bill:**
- 10 None
- 11 **Other Special Clauses:**
- 12 None
- 13 **Utah Code Sections Affected:**
- 14 AMENDS:
- 15 41-6a-407, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2015, Chapter 412

16

- 17 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
- 18 Section 1. Section **41-6a-407** is amended to read:
- 19 41-6a-407. Livestock on highway -- Restrictions -- Collision, action for damages.
- 20 (1)(a) A person who owns or is in possession or control of any livestock may not
- 21 willfully or negligently permit any of the livestock to stray or remain unaccompanied
- 22 on a highway, if both sides of the highway are separated from adjoining property by a
- 23 fence, wall, hedge, sidewalk, curb, lawn, or building.
- 24 (b) Subsection (1)(a) does not apply to range stock drifting onto any highway moving to 25 or from their accustomed ranges.
- 26 (2)(a) A person may not drive any livestock upon, over, or across any highway during
- 27 the period from half an hour after sunset to half an hour before sunrise.
- 28 (b) Subsection (2)(a) does not apply if the person has a sufficient number of herders with warning lights on continual duty to open the road to permit the passage of vehicles.
- 29

- 30 (3) A violation of Subsection (1) or (2) is an infraction.
- 31 (4) In any civil action brought for damages caused by collision with any domestic animal or
- 32 livestock on a highway, there is no presumption that the collision was due to negligence
- on behalf of the owner or the person in possession of the domestic animal or livestock.
- 34 (5) In any civil action brought for damages caused by a collision with any range stock on a
- 35 <u>highway, where range stock drift onto any highway to or from the range stock's</u>
- accustomed range, there is a rebuttable presumption that the collision was due to the
- 37 <u>negligence of the driver.</u>
- 38 Section 2. **Effective Date.**
- This bill takes effect on May 7, 2025.