

# House Plans

ID Num	User Name	Title	Description	Percent Deviation			Notes
				Large	Small	Total	
1	Brian Voeks	75 House Districts - Plan 2	<p>This map was drawn without taking any voting demographics into account. The locations of homes of current members of the legislature were also not taken into account. This map passes all six Integrity Tests built into the redistricting software. Very few counties are split up. It is especially worth noting that Sanpete County is entirely within one district (which wasn't the case the last ten years) and that nearly all of Iron County (including almost all of Cedar City) is one district. Recognizing the importance of county and city borders played a strong role in the creation of this redistricting map. As per the redistricting rules, all districts have populations within 3.5% of the ideal district population number (which is 36,852). Nearly all districts are very close to the ideal district population number. In general, areas with more projected growth than average (e.g., Washington County, northwest Utah County, southeast Salt Lake County) are given slightly smaller population districts than average, while stagnant areas (e.g., much of Davis and Salt Lake County, the cities of Provo and Orem) are given slightly more populated districts. This is important because ten years from now, the districts that grow the most will become underrepresented due to higher growth than stagnant districts. In districts that are partially or entirely rural and that include multiple counties, the locations of major roads were taken into account so as to lessen the driving required to cover all of such districts. Salt Lake County: 27 of SLC's 28 districts are located entirely inside the County. The last district (District 25 on this map) has almost all of its population inside Salt Lake County, but a small part of Summit County is included in order to maintain population integrity. District 20 (located primarily in Davis County) also reaches slightly into the northern part of Salt Lake County in order to maintain population integrity. All districts located inside Salt Lake County are located either entirely east or entirely west of I-15. District 51 is the one exception to this. Utah County: 14 districts are located entirely inside the County. In order to maintain population integrity, a small part of Utah County's population that lives up American Fork Canyon was included in District 69, which covers all of Carbon and Emory counties and part of Wayne County. Districts could probably be renumbered. For example, District 40 is located in Washington County among other districts with numbers in the 70s. Districts were drawn as compactly as possible. The software comes with broken up boundaries that appear to represent precincts. Except in very rare instances, neighborhoods located inside these precincts were kept together.</p>	3.31	-3.40	6.71	
2	Brian Voeks	Brian Voeks - State House - Plan 4	<p>This map was drawn without taking any voting demographics into account. The locations of homes of current members of the legislature were also not taken into account. This map passes all six Integrity Tests built into the redistricting software. Very few counties are split up. It is especially worth noting that Sanpete County is entirely within one district (which wasn't the case the last ten years) and that nearly all of Iron County (including almost all of Cedar City) is one district. Recognizing the importance of county and city borders played a strong role in the creation of this redistricting map. As per the redistricting rules, all districts have populations within 3.5% of the ideal district population number (which is 36,852). Nearly all districts are very close to the ideal district population number. In general, areas with more projected growth than average (e.g., Washington County, northwest Utah County, southeast Salt Lake County) are given slightly smaller population districts than average, while stagnant areas (e.g., much of Davis and Salt Lake County, the cities of Provo and Orem) are given slightly more populated districts. This is important because ten years from now, the districts that grow the most will become underrepresented due to higher growth than stagnant districts. In districts that are partially or entirely rural and that include multiple counties, the locations of major roads were taken into account so as to lessen the driving required to cover all of such districts. Many other maps submitted thus far have put Box Elder and Tooele Counties together. This map does not do that. The Bonneville Salt Flats and the Great Salt Lake divide these two counties, so it makes almost no sense to combine them. Instead, Box Elder County has a district that combines with part of Cache County. Most of the city of Tooele forms its own district, and the rest of Tooele is combined with Magna. Salt Lake County: Nearly all of Salt Lake County's 28 districts are located entirely inside the County. No districts are shared between Salt Lake and Utah counties. One of the Salt Lake County districts has almost all of its population inside Salt Lake County, but a small part of Summit County is included in order to maintain population integrity. As noted previously, another district covers part of Tooele and Magna, which was the only logical way to draw that district. At the northern end of the county there is a district that reaches partially into North Salt Lake in order to maintain population integrity. All districts located inside Salt Lake County are located either entirely east or entirely west of I-15. One district at the southern end of the county is an exception to this. Utah County: 14 districts are located entirely inside the County. In order to maintain population integrity, a small part of Utah County's population that lives up American Fork Canyon was included in the district that covers all of Carbon and Emory counties and part of Wayne County. Districts were drawn as compactly as possible. The software comes with broken up boundaries that cover several adjacent neighborhoods. Except in very rare instances, neighborhoods located inside these boundaries were kept together. Please compare this map to Brian Voeks – State House – Map 3. Both maps are identical except for a few tweaks to the boundaries for southern Utah's districts.</p>	3.30	-3.41	6.71	Presented by Rep. Sumsion at Glendale, July 20

3	Brian Voeks	Brian Voeks - State House - Plan 3	This map was drawn without taking any voting demographics into account. The locations of homes of current members of the legislature were also not taken into account. This map passes all six Integrity Tests built into the redistricting software. Very few counties are split up. It is especially worth noting that Sanpete County is entirely within one district (which wasn't the case the last ten years) and that nearly all of Iron County (including almost all of Cedar City) is one district. Recognizing the importance of county and city borders played a strong role in the creation of this redistricting map. As per the redistricting rules, all districts have populations within 3.5% of the ideal district population number (which is 36,852). Nearly all districts are very close to the ideal district population number. In general, areas with more projected growth than average (e.g., Washington County, northwest Utah County, southeast Salt Lake County) are given slightly smaller population districts than average, while stagnant areas (e.g., much of Davis and Salt Lake County, the cities of Provo and Orem) are given slightly more populated districts. This is important because ten years from now, the districts that grow the most will become underrepresented due to higher growth than stagnant districts. In districts that are partially or entirely rural and that include multiple counties, the locations of major roads were taken into account so as to lessen the driving required to cover all of such districts. Many other maps submitted thus far have put Box Elder and Tooele Counties together. This map does not do that. The Bonneville Salt Flats and the Great Salt Lake divide these two counties, so it makes almost no sense to combine them. Instead, Box Elder County has a district that combines with part of Cache County. Most of the city of Tooele forms its own district, and the rest of Tooele is combined with Magna. Salt Lake County: Nearly all of Salt Lake County's 28 districts are located entirely inside the County. No districts are shared between Salt Lake and Utah counties. One of the Salt Lake County districts has almost all of its population inside Salt Lake County, but a small part of Summit County is included in order to maintain population integrity. As noted previously, another district covers part of Tooele and Magna, which was the only logical way to draw that district. At the northern end of the county there is a district that reaches partially into North Salt Lake in order to maintain population integrity. All districts located inside Salt Lake County are located either entirely east or entirely west of I-15. One district at the southern end of the county is an exception to this. Utah County: 14 districts are located entirely inside the County. In order to maintain population integrity, a small part of Utah County's population that lives up American Fork Canyon was included in the district that covers all of Carbon and Emory counties and part of Wayne County. Districts were drawn as compactly as possible. The software comes with broken up boundaries that cover several adjacent neighborhoods. Except in very rare instances, neighborhoods located inside these boundaries were kept together. Please compare this map to Brian Voeks – State House – Map 4. Both maps are identical except for a few tweaks to the boundaries for Southern Utah's districts.	3.38	-3.41	6.79	
4	Adam Bass	House Map	No description.	3.48	-3.47	6.95	
5	Thomas Bore	TRBUtahHouse1	I tried to keep counties from being parceled into multiple districts where possible, particularly in Central and Southern Utah.	3.50	-3.49	6.99	
6	David Edward Garber	Garber LDs	This proposal recreates districts generally from their remotest towns along highways (avoiding impassable terrain) to their most appropriate cities, which it tries (but perhaps fails) to divide as sanely as possible. This technique produces notable readjustments in some rural counties and significant ones in every urban county (especially Salt Lake County). These changes may redistrict some state representatives, if not many of their constituents, out of their present respective districts.	3.50	-3.37	6.87	
7	Rep. Fred Cox	Plan 1	No description.	3.42	-3.37	6.79	Presented by Rep. Fred Cox at SLC, June 15
8	Beau Sorensen	Sorensen House Plan	This was difficult. I tried to follow basic principles: use existing political boundaries, major streets, no arms reaching out, etc, but it was a difficult exercise. Districts should be renumbered from here because as I eliminated districts, I put their numbers elsewhere. I think the committee should look at this without regard to existing seats, as difficult as that is, serving with each other. I'd say the principles are the same, but this is a difficult exercise. Hats off to the legislators for working on this!	3.22	-3.46	6.68	
9	Steve Swann	Utah Rep Redistrict	Community continuity are the most important factors. And where possible the physical distance must be as consolidated as possible for the ease of access and travel.	3.49	-3.47	6.96	Presented by Rep. Froerer at Logan, July 13
10	Steven Clark	Steven Clark_Statewide House Districts III	This comprehensive plan meets all Redistricting Committee requirements and passes the plan integrity check under the 'Review' tab. This plan preserves the essential character of virtually every district and respects the incumbency of every sitting member of the house. This has only been possible because of the special treatment of District 30 (empty) whose population is absorbed by surrounding districts and the district proper moved to Tooele County. This was the only way to make the population constraints work without districting out at least one or possibly two incumbents. Importantly, the plan also unites all of Sanpete County in one district, thus ending Sanpete's current unfair districting scheme.	2.97	-2.98	5.95	Presented by Rep. Sumsion at Vernal, July 26
11	Robert Horning	Rural interest leg house districts	A districting plan for the state house districts where I've tried to give specific interest in representation from rural areas where possible, and to try and keep counties intact within reason as well. Another design goal was for district compactness. I didn't always get my goal, but it does provide at least an alternative for a state-wide map on what I must say is the hardest of all of the maps to create because of the number of districts involved.	2.28	-1.93	4.21	
12	Robert Horning	State House Rural Interests #2	I made an extra effort to avoid breaking up counties or crossing county boundaries with this map except when population pressures 'forced' the district to cross county boundaries. In particular I concentrated upon Southern Utah and tried to give as much representation as reasonably possible to rural counties or keep them together. Sevier County is perhaps the hardest hit, mainly because it is in the middle of the state and thus has been split into three different representative districts. The bulk of Sevier County, however, has been grouped with Sanpete County... again trying to keep similar interests together.	0.21	-0.20	0.41	
13	Erik Gumbrecht	Gumbrecht Second Variation of House Plan 2	Previous plan left Rush Valley out of District 2. Typically it would be in the same district as Stockton. This plan alternatively gives Wendover to District 68 in return for Rush Valley to be included in with District 2. These plans are simply to present effective alternatives to splitting Tooele Counties major cities. Grantsville, Stockton, Erda, Rush Valley...are all rural areas and should be represented together. Tooele City, along with Stansbury park are similar and more urban...representing District 30. Our main goal is to keep from fragmenting the county where it would hurt our representation.	2.78	-3.44	6.22	

14	Erik Gumbrecht	Harper's Plan - Gumbrecht's Version	Harper's Plan has had some fantastic feedback. Thus far, it seems to be the most well received. I have altered his map to put Tooele City and Stansbury Park together in a district since they are less rural than surrounding cities. With this minor adjustment, I believe this map is one of the most reasonable I have seen yet. I have yet to hear a negative comment regarding feedback from any county. This variation of Harper's plan should be taken into serious consideration as to how we redistrict Utah's House.	2.13	-1.59	3.72	
15	Erik Gumbrecht	Tooele City/Stansbury Park Together	This map allows for Tooele City and Stansbury Park to be together in one district...and has the rest of the more rural cities in Tooele County together with the exception of pulling some of Magna into the District.	2.95	-2.81	5.76	
16	Erik Gumbrecht	Stans w Magna 2 (Wendover included)	Adds Wendover to the district including Stansbury, Magna, and Grantsville	2.95	-2.96	5.91	
17	Erik Gumbrecht	Magna w/ Tooele	Had a couple requests from citizens local to Tooele who wanted to see Magna included in our county.	2.95	-2.96	5.91	
18	Steve Clark	Steven Clark Utah House Districts Final	This plan is similar to my previous plans except that it does Tooele County in a better way without it intruding into SL County or being tied to Box Elder County. It also does a better job with Dist. 70 (Sevier, Emery, Piute and the eastern side of Beaver.) It keeps Sanpete County whole and combines it with Juab County, a very logical geopolitical fit, and eliminated the inherent conflict of interest between Sanpete and Carbon counties.	3.41	-3.40	6.81	