

**MINUTES OF THE
SOCIAL SERVICES APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE**
Room 30 West House Building, State Capitol Complex
January 28, 2014 a.m.

Members Present: Sen. Allen M. Christensen, Co-Chair
Rep. Ronda Rudd Menlove, Co-Chair
Rep. Daniel McCay, House Vice Chair
Sen. Deidre M. Henderson
Sen. Evan J. Vickers
Sen. Todd Weiler
Sen. Peter C. Knudson
Rep. Rebecca Chavez-Houck
Rep. Tim M. Cosgrove
Rep. Paul Ray
Rep. Edward H. Redd
Rep. Marc K. Roberts
Rep. Earl D. Tanner

Members Absent: Sen. Brian E. Shiozawa

Members Excused: Sen. Luz Robles
President Wayne L. Niederhauser
Rep. Brad L. Dee

Staff Present: Mr. Russell T. Frandsen, Fiscal Analyst
Mr. Stephen C. Jardine, Fiscal Analyst
Ms. Paula Winter, Secretary

Note: A copy of related materials and an audio recording of the meeting can be found at www.le.utah.gov.

1. Call to Order/Approval of Minutes

Co-Chair Christensen called the meeting to order at 8:00.

2. General Session Overview

Russell T. Frandsen, Fiscal Analyst reviewed the document entitled 2014 Motions For Subcommittee Consideration (<http://le.utah.gov/interim/2014/pdf/00000631.pdf>). He presented the basics of section items numbered 1-10 and indicated there would be more discussion as the session progresses.

3. Base Budget Week Introduction

Mr. Frandsen explained that the first five days of the session would be spent talking about the current appropriation given to the committee which is over \$4 billion. The reasons being not only accountability and transparency but also opportunities to fund internal needs or make reductions based on existing appropriations. Several items were introduced which were identified and clarified by staff from the Consensus Funding Reduction Items – 2014 (<http://www.le.utah.gov/interim/2014/pdf/00000667.pdf>), Funding Reduction Options and Revenue Options -2014 (<http://le.utah.gov/interim/2014/pdf/00000678.pdf>) and Funding Exchanges – 2014 (<http://le.utah.gov/interim/2014/pdf/00000702.pdf>)

Rep. Menlove commented and assured the committee and agencies that cuts have not been made but items are being examined for possible reallocation. She also shared appreciation for staff's efforts.

4. Tools for Looking at Base Budget

Mr. Frandsen introduced five resources to access information online:

- (1) "Related" links under Social Services home page
<http://le.utah.gov/asp/interim/Commit.asp?Year=2014&Com=APPSOC>)
- (2) COBI (http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/scctte_17_TOC.htm)
- (3) Taxpayer Receipt (<http://utah.gov/taxpayer-receipt/>)
- (4) www.transparent.utah.gov
- (5) www.le.utah.gov search by keyword

Sen. Christensen suggested that Mr. Frandsen share how the Social Services Committee budget compares to other committee budgets using the COBI tool. Mr. Frandsen demonstrated how to navigate COBI as well as Taxpayer Receipt, [transparent.utah.gov](http://www.transparent.utah.gov) and searching by key word.

Rep. Tanner requested an explanation of what pass through is.

Mr. Frandsen clarified that it is distributions at other levels of government; payments to grant recipients in cash assistance to citizens. He gave an example. Rep. Tanner and Mr. Frandsen continued to discuss and clarify the pass through concept.

Rep. Menlove commented that this question is important because of the significant amount of funds which pass through this committee to other entities and we want to be clear as a committee how the taxpayer dollars are spent.

Mr. Frandsen continued to explain using other instances to help clarify the pass through.

5. Review Funds from In-Depth Budget Review with recommendations

Mr. Frandsen explained that off-budget funds are outside of the appropriations process. There

are several of these funds listed in COBI. This committee has charge of 21 of those funds that have recommendations and that are also a funding resource. Each of these following funds has a recommendation by the analysts. Some of them would require a Bill to file the change which would be up to a legislator if they are interested. Some would require a motion by the committee. These funds are located in COBI. Mr. Frandsen and Stephen C. Jardine, Fiscal Analyst, explained each fund:

1. Fund 1052 Victims of Domestic Violence Services Account

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_1052.pdf

The Analyst recommends closing this account and moving the remaining funds out

2. Fund 1222 Medicaid Restricted Account

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_1222.pdf

There is a balance of \$41,000 with no restrictions. The Legislature many want to tighten up the statutory restrictions on the usage of the account.

3. Fund 2100 State Endowment Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_2100.pdf

There is a balance of over \$120 million which is primarily from the Tobacco Settlement Funds. There are no concerns about the fund's operation but the Legislature may want to increase the visibility of the fund by including it in the appropriations process for reserve accounts as well as having periodic review of the fund because of the size of the fun by adding statutory language.

4. Fund 2180 Out and About Homebound Transportation Assistance Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_2100.pdf

This fund comes from a \$1 donation as driver's licenses are renewed. The fund was established initially left by Human Services to build up by contract with an entity in Utah County. That entity encountered operational problems and stopped working with them. Within the next year there should be another entity in place. The spending in this fund does not require approval to spend out of it and so the recommendation is to review the fund each year.

5. Fund 2185 State Development Center Miscellaneous Donation Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_2185.pdf

In order to use these funds the expenditure must be approved by the Utah State Developmental Center's Steering Team which is their executive management. The recommendation is that more specific guidelines be established by amending the statute with language stating "for the benefits of clients the Utah State Developmental Center".

6. Fund 2190 State Development Center Workshop Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_2190.pdf

This fund is an expendable special revenue fund. The Analyst recommends the Legislature review this fund annually.

7. Fund 2200 State Hospital Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_2200.pdf

This fund is used for the benefit of patients at the state hospital. There was a large one time expenditure in 2012 for a patient safety motion detector. Consequently the Analyst recommends changing statute to define for the “benefit of patients” more specifically.

8. Fund 2205 Substance Abuse Donation Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_2205.pdf

The fund balance is zero and the recommendation is to close the fund since there has been no fund activity since 1996.

9. Fund 2206 Respite Care Assistance Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_2206.pdf

There has been less than \$700 contributed to the fund since its creation in 2009. The recommendation is that the funds be spent by the Department of Human Services and that the Division of Finance close the fund because of the lack of fund activity and the cost of administration of it but leave it on the books in the chance that there may be donations in the future.

10. Fund 2240 Women, Infants, a& Children Supplemental Food Program Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_2240.pdf

The Staff recommends sweeping the funds’ balance.

11. Fund 2260 Child Care Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_2260.pdf

This is a donation fund and is an expendable special revenue fund which means it has automatic spending authority without a requirement to have legislative approval. The Analyst recommends the Legislature review this fund annually and include it in an appropriations act. Some of these are providing services to the public and if the balance is nearing zero it may be judicious to be apprised before it happens rather than after. The Department of Workforce Services should consider spending the money from this fund since there is an obligation to use the fund and no expenditures have been made since FY 2005.

12. Fund 2355 Visually Handicapped Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_2355.pdf

The revenues stem from non- appropriated donations which are often private donations from family members who have individuals receiving or are associated with blind services. The expenditures are for emergency travel and lodging needs and other expenditures on behalf of students with visual disabilities. The recommendation is that the name of the fund be updated to be consistent with current terminology such as Individuals with Visual Disability Fund. The name could be changed because it is not in statute.

13. Fund 5290 Permanent Community Impact Bonus Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_5290.pdf

This fund is for low interest loans to government entities and areas of the state that are impacted by natural resource development. This money comes from Federal lease bonus payments and money must be loaned with interest due and not given as a grant. The Permanent Community Impact Fund is already part of the appropriations process and includes two funds. One of the funds must be given as grants with the other having the option of being given as grants. The Analyst recommendation is to put both in the appropriations process to split the two pots of money since there are differing restrictions on them.

14. Fund 5450 Housing Opportunities for People With AIDS Loans

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_5450.pdf

This fund is no longer used and once the \$8000 loan Receivable balance is addressed it could be closed. The Division of Finance agrees with that approach and the Department of Workforce Services (DWS) does not object.

15. Fund 7290 Human Services Client Trust Fund

http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_7290.pdf

Because of the materiality and fiduciary nature of this fund, the Analyst recommends the fund be reported annually. Ann Silverburg Williamson, Executive Director, Department of Human Services (DHS) and Mark Brasher, DHS Deputy Director, reported on the 7290 Funds. Mr. Brasher explained that there are three categories. They are the 7290 which is the DHS trust fund, the 7305 which is the Patient Fund, and the 7310 which is the United States Housing (USH) Fund. The source of revenue for the three funds is similar because they include Social Security administration benefits and retirement benefits. They are regulated heavily by the Social Security Administration (SSA) and are audited every three years. They are used for individual needs and for cost of care. There are also Special Needs Trust Funds (SNT) created from an injury or settlement fund for the care of that individual. The question was asked by Rep. Tanner whether or not Fund 7290 is the kind of program through which a disabled child could be provided for and the answer was that Mr. Brasher was not aware of any donations coming into that fund for that purpose.

16. Fund 7300 Maurice N. Warshaw Trust Fund

(http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_7300.pdf)

This was set up as part of a will for the purpose of recognizing foster care families who are doing a great job and provide the services. Mr. Brasher stated that the Foster Care Foundation makes the determination but he is not aware how it is done. This Department does have oversight of it and distribution of funds is very clear. Rep. Chavez-Houck asked if this was an endowed fund and was there a separate Board of Trustees that allocates where the funds go. Mr. Brasher emphasized that he did not know how that works specifically. Rep. Chavez-Houck requested understanding about the philanthropic entity that has been funneled into a state agency. Ms. Williamson replied that this is part of the partnership with the Utah Foster Care Foundation 501C3 and does have a board of directors.

17. Fund 7305 State Development Center-Patient Account

(http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_7305.pdf)

The Analyst recommendation was that the fund be reported annually to the Social Services Subcommittee.

18. Fund 7310 State Hospital Patient Trust Fund

(http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_7310.pdf)

The analyst recommended the fund be reported annually to the Social Services Appropriations Subcommittee.

19. Fund 7355 Visually Handicapped Vendors

(http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_7310.pdf)

Russ Thelin, Executive Director, Utah State Office of Rehabilitation (USOR), referred to the document from the USOR entitled Utah State Office of Rehabilitation Report on the Visually Handicapped Vendor Fund, 7355 for the Social Services Appropriations Subcommittee, January 28, 2014. He stated that this fund is associated with the Randolph Sheppard Act which is Federal legislation that mandates a priority for persons who are blind to operate vending and cafeteria services in Federal, State, and Municipal sites. The Randolph Sheppard Act operates within USOR through its Business Enterprise Program (BEP). This program trains and licenses managers to operate in these sites. Mr. Thelin continued to explain what the funds could be used for and where the funds originate from. Mr. Thelin accounted for the fund expenditure. And who makes the decision to use the funds. The Analyst recommended the name of the fund be updated to Individuals with Visual Disability Vendors Fund and Mr. Thelin concurred with that recommendation.

20. Fund 8090 Human Services-ORS Support Collections

(http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_8090.pdf)

This is the child support fund. These are funds that come through the child support agency and there is a two day disbursement requirement. Approximately \$16 million moves through it per month but the amount in the fund is usually close to zero. It is used to pay the child support owed to families and is a largely automated system.

21. Fund 8125 5-A-Day Association

(http://le.utah.gov/lfa/reports/cobi2014/offbud/offbud_8090.pdf)

Since there is a negative amount in this Fund, the Fund will be closed. The Department of Health Concurs and the Division of Finance does not object.

MOTION: Rep. Menlove moved to take all funding and reduction consensus revenue items from the sheet entitled Consensus Funding Reduction Items and put this money on the list for future internal reallocation.

Sen. Christensen requested that Mr. Frandsen outline what that Motion includes.

Mr. Frandsen outlined each item and explained what this motion would do. The items included:

1. The increase in match rate for all Human Services operations which does increase the total funding but increases the Federal funds participation for a savings of \$964,200 on-going
2. A one-time windfall from a Federal reconciliation. This is a one-time revenue source of \$715,000.
3. This item has to do with the proposal heard in the past of using plastic eligibility cards for Medicaid. There are some one-time savings associated with this as well as some on-time costs for programming changes along with the first mailing of these items.

The ongoing savings are \$480,000 in General Funds and \$208,000 cost for one-time State funds.

4. Shift drugs to Medicare Part D. The Federal government has indicated certain drugs will be covered by Medicare Part D rather than the State Medicaid program which result in an ongoing savings of \$88,000 with a one-time savings of \$44,500.
5. One-time savings associated with the State Hospital operations and maintenance for a new building.

Consensus Revenue Items:

1. \$24,000 one-time and ongoing.
2. Closing of fund 1052 Victims of Domestic Violence Services Account which contains a balance of \$15,500 which can be swept to the General Fund. The agency and the Division of Finance do not object.

Between the two aforementioned areas there is about \$1.5 million in ongoing revenue available and \$600,000 in one-time money available.

Rep. Tanner requested clarification on the total funds related to the one-time and ongoing funds. Mr. Frandsen directed Rep. Tanner to the explanation at the bottom of the sheet indicated by the star. Rep. Tanner and Mr. Frandsen continued to refer to the chart for clarification.

Sen. Christensen complimented Rep. Tanner for questioning and trying to understand

Rep. Chavez-Houck had a question related to the Federal match rate. She stated her concern for the increase in cases and the effect of taking the money away and Mr. Frandsen explained that the whole budget doesn't change with this reduction because the funds taken away are replaced one for one by Federal funds.

Sen. Christensen put the motion on hold as well as the minutes until a quorum could be established.

1. Tobacco Settlement Funds – Issue Brief

<http://le.utah.gov/interim/2014/pdf/000000623.pdf>

Mr. Frandsen continued with the Tobacco Settlement funds brief. The Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) will not be able to use approximately \$2.1 million in FY 2014 and \$6 million in FY 2015 of current appropriations based on current statute. The State has received a total of \$464 million in total payments since FY 2000. The State has deposited \$88 million of that into the State Endowment Fund for future use. The Brief recommends changing in statute the appropriations from the Tobacco Settlement Restricted Account. He explained what that means in relation to children who previously received the Children's Health Insurance Plan package and with the change about two-thirds of those children will begin a Medicaid benefit. The amount in statute is more than it will cost to provide for those children under Medicaid so this will allow the money to follow the children for Medicaid benefits. Mr. Frandsen explained the two ways to accomplish this in statute that would require a bill file. The first option introduced was to change the statute to allow the \$10.5 million in statute to be used for children in Medicaid. The second option would be to reduce appropriations to CHIP to match the need which would be to change the amount given in statute from \$10.5 million to \$5.5 million. Mr. Frandsen advised that there is additional information on the history of the State Endowment Fund that explains where the balance is from and how the money has been used on Page 1 of the Issue Brief.

Sen. Christensen asked why there were not Tobacco Settlement payments in the last two years and Mr. Frandsen replied that the statute was changed in 2011 during the downturn to redirect money previously going to the State Endowment Fund to the General Fund.

2. Other Funding Issues for Approval – Issue Brief

<http://le.utah.gov/interim/2014/pdf/00000716.pdf>

Stephen Jardine, Fiscal Analyst, stated the technicality of this brief and also reminded the

Committee that also needed to be approved. He explained that it contains four dedicated credits which are typically collections types of revenue sources and clarified the reason for the approval of these credits. He then introduced and explained each of the items, A-D listed on the Brief.

Rep. Roberts requested an explanation of what dedicated credits are.

Mr. Jardine stated that the easy way to remember is that dedicated credits are collections and that there is also a definition on COBI. Mr. Jardine gave an example of how that works.

Rep. Tanner referred to item number 4 under Other Funding Issues for Approval having to do with the Women, Infants and Children Program (WIC) and inquired about the rebates.

Mr. Jardine deferred to Mr. Frandsen for an explanation who explained how the rebate process works. Rep. Tanner and Mr. Frandsen continued to talk about the program and asked the division to respond.

Dr. Mark Babitz, Director, Division of Family Health and Preparedness, responded to the inquiry.

Rep. Chavez-Houck questioned as to what some of the Capital Improvements would be on Line C of the Other Funding Issues is and whether or not this is under the auspices of this Committee.

Kimberly Schmelling, Fiscal Officer, Housing and Community Development, stated that the projects the Impact Board funds are in communities that are impacted by minerals extraction. Ms. Schmelling explained the types of projects focused on and also that there is also job creation as a result of those projects as well as economic multipliers in the communities involved. She answered the question by Rep. Chavez-Houck about who the Board reports to and Ms. Schmelling stated that the Board is appointed by the Governor and is made up of people who are in larger agencies.

Sen. Christensen suggested that we go on to this afternoon agenda for another 30-4- minutes because of lack of Committee members at this time and also because we have completed the morning agenda. He also said there were no signups for Public Input but no one signed up and reminded everyone that it does require previous signup.

Mr. Frandsen proceeded to the afternoon agenda which included the line items of the budget and began with item #2 from the afternoon agenda

Item 2 from p.m. agenda - **Department of Health Expenditure Trends that may be of Interest**

1. Incentive Awards - Mr. Frandsen addressed the Department of Health and Personnel Services expenditure of \$79 million for nearly a thousand Full Time Equivalents (FTE) and their incentive awards. Of that nearly about \$124,000 total which was only \$130 annually per FTE. The \$124,000 was used mainly for hiring negotiations and service awards as well as a one-time 1% Cost of Living Allowance (COLA) given for those maxed out of their pay scale. Additionally there was about \$35,000 in Medicaid used for pay for performance and high level performers in Medicaid. Sen. Christensen had a question about the one-time COLA payment and how that works the following year with those that are maxed out on their pay scale and stated that in essence that would be a decrease in pay if it was only funded one-time. After some discussion, Mr. Frandsen replied in the affirmative.

Rep. Menlove had a question about incentive performance pay and asked for some detail on that and who it is available to.

Michael Hales, Deputy Director, Department of Health (DOH), replied to the question of incentive awards. He explained in Medicaid there are two specific groups with a production oriented focus who are part of the Pay for Performance (PFP) Incentive. He explained how there have been adjustments made in staff numbers and an increase in production with the incentive in place in one particular area as far as provider applications processed has occurred. The Program has been rebased to be better in accordance with the new performance levels and the program is currently suspended while more rebasing is done. He continued that the other area has to do with the Medical Review Board that works within the DOH in Coordination with the Department of Workforce Services (DWS) to determine Medicaid eligibility for persons with a disability. No staff has been removed but that has allowed the Department to keep pace and improve the turn-around times on some of the applications. This PFP has also been suspended as targets are being modified. There continued to be a conversation about the incentives between Sen. Christensen and Mr. Hales.

2. Comp Time – Mr. Frandsen explained that it is payment above and beyond a 40 hour work week. Of the 479 million about \$500,000 was for comp time. With 124 FTEs that is about \$530 per year per FTE. He continued to explain the use of those funds. Which included handling seasons of high demand, 24/7 support for Medical Examiners, also birth and death certificate technical support via phone on off hours as well as certain events on weekends that need to be responded to.

(<http://le.utah.gov/interim/2014/pdf/00000702.pdf>)

Mr. Frandsen moved to Item #6 on the Tobacco Settlement Brief where he explained where the balance was from which includes interest earned and market adjustments. He explained the purpose of the fund.

Item 4 from p.m. Agenda – **Intent Language and Nonlapsing Balances follow-up – Issue Brief**

(<http://le.utah.gov/interim/2014/pdf/00000876.pdf>)

Russell explained the intent language and Non-lapsing Balances follow-up Brief is used to follow up on each of the intent language statements. The objective of this brief is to determine if the Department of Health complied with the intent language statements adopted by the legislature. After an analysis, there were no concerns of non-compliance. In the current year there was \$47.2 million available in non-lapsing balances in the DOH. Mr. Frandsen explained the sources for this funding.

Rep. Menlove asked for an explanation from Michael Hales on autism services account. He spoke about the process of expenditures as related to the pilot program and why the full expenditure was not made.

Rep. Menlove requested information on the MMIS replacement and why there is still funding in that account so that members of the committee can understand why the money may appear to be there but really is not available.

Mr. Hales responded to the inquiry about the MMIS replacement and where the funds come from and there continued be conversation about how these funds will be used.

Item #5 – **Required Reports Department of Health – Issue Brief**

(<http://le.utah.gov/interim/2014/pdf/00000921.pdf>)

Mr. Frandsen explained the purpose of the Brief, what it includes, and that it is for information purposes only and requires no legislative action. He stated the reason for doing this Brief and reviewed the reports that are necessary for the Committee to hear.

Rep. Redd asked about how the people in the lock-in program get discovered, how long they stay in and do they ever get out.

Mr. Hales responded about the number of ways the clients are discovered and the way they are reviewed. He explained that he was not familiar with the way they are removed from the program. Rep. Redd and Mr. Hales continued to talk about the process of admittance to the lock-in program.

Rep. Menlove requested that Dr. David Patton , Director Utah Department of Health, respond to

the idea that there are too many reports and whether or not the requests are appropriate.

Dr. David Patton, Executive Director, Department of Health, responded that the number of reports does not seem excessive and cannot think of any suggestions for new ones. He stated that the number being requested is significant but seems reasonable. Dr. Patton thanked Sen. Christensen for helping to get those reports under control.

Russell continued to go through the brief and cover each of the numbered reports.

Rep. Menlove inquired if this was the only time the Autism Report would be addressed and Mr. Frandsen replied that it would be addressed later.

Rep. Redd. referred back to Item #2, the lower limb medical supplies, and clarification on where the \$90,000 went. Mr. Frandsen, Mr. Hales and Rep. Redd continued clarifying about where the money was spent. Mr. Hales commented that their system did not have a control in it to stop payment at a limit of 30 and paid an additional 5 items beyond that within the defined period of their policy.

Mr. Frandsen took a moment to give a snapshot of the autism policy. He reviewed the account balance and stated that the funds will be used this year.

Rep. Menlove commented that she is focused on autism. She mentioned that there are three funds that are funding treatment for children with autism between the ages of two and six. She named the three funds and where contributions come from. She focused on the third fund where the legislature placed money. She talked about the fact that this fund is run differently and had questions about how this third model is run. Her question concerned the operating costs.

Mark Babitz, Director, Family Health and Preparedness, addressed the cost of administration in this program as compared to the other two programs. He also stated that much of their costs go toward outside evaluation. He proceeded to enumerate how that process took place. Rep. Menlove continued to inquire about funding per child. Dr. Babitz replied that he would return with those figures

Rep. Chavez-Houck asked if there were some consistent variables in each of the 3 models.

Sen. Christensen responded that the set up was designed so that they could compare apples to apples and do comparing side by side.

Sen. Christensen referred back to Rep. Menlove and the previous Motion she made.

MOTION: Rep. Menlove moved that all the Funding Reduction and Concensus Revenue Items from the sheet entitled Consensus Funding Reduction Items dated 12 :51 p.m.1/24/2014 and put the money on a list for future internal reallocation. The motion passed unanimously with President Niederhauser, Sen. Robles and Rep. Dee excused for the vote and Sen. Shiozawa

absent for the vote.

MOTION: Rep. McCay moved to approve the minutes of July 9, 2013 and September 12, 2013. The motion passed unanimously with President Niederhauser, Sen Roobles and Rep Dee excused for the vote and Sen, Shiozawa and Sen. Vickers absent for the vote.

Sen. Christensen reminded the Committee the afternoon meeting will begin on time.

MOTION: Rep. McCay moved to adjourn.

Sen. Christensen adjourned the meeting at 3:43 p.m.

Sen. Allen M. Christensen, Co-Chair

Rep. Ronda Rudd Menlove, Co-Chair