

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
A	\$ (5,724,900)	\$ -	\$ (5,724,900)	<p>DHS - Centralize DCFS financial and accounting oversight of the current five DCFS regions - Other Human Services' divisions consolidated their organizations during the most recent downturn in cost-cutting efforts. A recent report to the Social Services Appropriations Subcommittee on June 2, 2015 showed variances in distribution to the different DCFS regions. For example, the DCFS Eastern Region constitutes only 3.9% of the population but receives 12.1% of the funding and the Salt Lake Region constitutes 39.3% of the population but only receives 32.9% of the funding. This proposal assumes a 5% savings in the DCFS General Fund budget. The proposal allows the Legislature to scale the option to a percentage and amount it feels most comfortable with. Budgets are flexible and not rigid and adjustments can often be absorbed. For example, DCFS recently consolidated Salt Lake Valley regional offices. The relocation was intended to be covered within the existing DCFS region budget. However, DCFS region neglected in figuring in some costs: "there are one-time costs like cubicles and AV wiring that we will be requesting one-time funding from the legislature to help cover." An earlier estimate of this cost was put at \$1,193,000. This request was not approved by the Governor. Therefore, DCFS will be coming up with the amount from within its existing budget. DHS/DCFS states: "Accounting and Finance staff are situated in the regions to provide leadership with important details regarding costs and are crucial in efforts of cost containment. DCFS narrowly met the budget last year, taking money would hurt the Division's ability to cover services for future continued growth of at-risk foster children."</p>	No
B	\$ (2,858,000)	\$ -	\$ (2,858,000)	<p>DHS - USH - Closure of a 30 bed adult unit at the Utah State Hospital - in response to a potential 2 percent efficiency during the 2015 General Session, the Department put forward closing a 30 bed adult unit at the Utah State Hospital (USH). Consideration could also be given to closing pediatric (youth) beds - a request previously made to the Social Services Appropriations Subcommittee by family advocates. Funding for mental health services is also fluid between the state and local partners because the State passes through significant funds to locals to assist them in accomplishing their purposes. By closing a unit the funding responsibility for those released from the USH automatically shifts to local authorities. In a time when there are numerous programmatic changes in mental health and substance abuse programs and services, Legislators may want to consider this option in balancing responsibilities and funding for the newly configured system. DHS/USH state: "There is already tremendous strain on the public mental health system for inpatient beds . . . Closing another 30 bed unit would cripple or even collapse the public system at this point."</p>	Yes - UCA 62A-15-611 (Adults) and UCA 62A-15-612 (Pediatrics) providing new bed allocations to local mental health authorities.

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
C	\$ (2,747,100)	\$ -	\$ (2,747,100)	DHS - DSAMH - Eliminate the DORA program and integrate it with the Justice Reinvestment Initiative - in a time when there are numerous programmatic changes taking place in mental health and substance abuse programs and services, Legislators may want to consider eliminating this funding responsibility at the state level and/or passing through some portion of the funding to local authorities to re-balance responsibilities and funding. Passing through funding would allow local authorities to make individual area determinations as to the value of programs. The Legislature may also want to consider redistributing the DORA funding to all local authorities rather than the currently more limited number of local authorities. DHS/DSAMH state: "Elimination of DORA would increase the unmet need and likely result in higher crime rates and recidivism."	No
D	\$ -	\$ (2,700,000)	\$ (2,700,000)	DHS - DSPD - Estimated unused FY 2016 Funds Due to Delays in Client Placements - Thus far, providers are indicating that the Direct Care Salary rate increase has only stabilized turnover but not improved it. High turnover rates result in a longer time to place new clients into services. This is a one-time savings since additional funding will be required on an ongoing basis once clients are entering services in a timely manner. DSPD was appropriated \$248.5 million for FY 2015 but the agency ended up spending \$4.7 less than appropriated (\$243.8 million). DSPD diverted \$2.7 million of that savings for one-time capital improvements in FY 2015 at the Utah State Developmental Center (USDC). By comparison, the USDC FY 2017 requested capital budget amount is only \$200,000. The state fund savings was calculated by applying the state match rate of 29.68%. DHS agreed to a FY 2016 reduction of \$1,097,300 during the 2015 General Session. DHS/DSPD state: "The \$297,700 has been included on the consensus funding reduction items list. To make this reduction would be to cut the savings from delays in receiving services twice."	No
E	\$ (800,000)	\$ -	\$ (800,000)	DHS - DSAMH - Reduce state General Fund due to increased use of the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) Block Grant - the Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health (DSAMH) presented its FY 2016 projected use of the federal SAPT block grant during the 2015 General Session. DSAMH now projects using \$800,000 more of that block grant in FY 2016 than was reviewed by the Legislature in the 2015 General Session. This recommendation assumes DSAMH can also increase its ongoing estimated use of the block grant by \$800,000 for FY 2017. These funds can be used to fund qualified expenditures in lieu of state General Fund and thereby reduce the amount of General Fund going for these efforts. DSH/DSAMH state: "further state fund reductions for substance use disorder services will compound an ongoing Maintenance of Effort (MOE) shortfall that has impacted the SAPT Grant and could result in reductions of federal funding." The LFA points out that neither of these things happened when DSAMH increased the amount after the 2015 General Session authorization.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
F	\$ (542,000)	\$ -	\$ (542,000)	<p>DHS - DSAMH - Reduce state General Fund due to increased use of the Mental Health Block Grant - the Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health (DSAMH) presented its FY 2016 projected use of the federal Mental Health Block Grant (MHBG) during the 2015 General Session. DSAMH now projects using \$542,000 more of that block grant in FY 2016 than was reviewed by the Legislature in the 2015 General Session. This recommendation assumes DSAMH can also increase its ongoing estimated use of the block grant by \$542,000 for FY 2017. These funds can be used to fund qualified expenditures in lieu of state General Fund and thereby reduce the amount of General Fund going for these efforts. DSH/DSAMH believe this reduction will "eliminate services for 840 people in the public system . . . and result in a net loss to the system of approximately \$1,806,667 based on the fact that only state dollars can be used to draw down federal Medicaid match dollars." The LFA points out that neither of these things happened when DSAMH increased the amount after the 2015 General Session authorization. DSAMH is paying \$29 million MOE for a \$4 million block grant.</p>	No
G	\$ (461,000)	\$ -	\$ (461,000)	<p>DHS - DSAMH - Reduce state General Fund due to increased federal Mental Health Block Grant - federal Mental Health Block Grant (MHBG) funding was increased by \$50 million in the Omnibus Budget bill - "the FY 2016 omnibus requires states to spend 10% (previously 5%) of their Mental Health Block Grant allocation on evidence-based programs that address the needs of individuals with early signs of serious mental illness, including psychotic disorders. At the same time, it increases funding for MHBG by more than 10%" (FFIS "Major Discretionary and Mandatory Program Funding," December 16, 2015) and (FFIS Issue Brief 15-10, December 31, 2015). Utah is anticipated to receive \$461,000 (.00922 X \$38 million) more in its allotment in federal FY 2017 than it will in federal FY 2016. These funds can be used to fund qualified expenditures in lieu of state General Fund and thereby reduce the amount of General Fund going for these efforts. DHS/DSAMH state: "The intent of the Omnibus Budget bill was a recognition of the general gross under funding of mental health services in the nation and to strengthen the mental health system overall."</p>	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
H	\$ (386,200)	\$ -	\$ (386,200)	<p>DHS - ORS - Reduce medical collection staff due to changes with Accountable Care Organizations - the Department of Health states, "The Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) being used by the majority of the state for Medicaid purposes do not fall within the third-party recoveries handled by ORS. Those have been in place for nearly three years now, which is the statute of limitations for the collections that ORS does in third-party liability. Once we pass that three year mark, and ORS is no longer collecting on claims that pre-dated the ACO implementation, we expect that the opportunities for collection within the largest of the three major subcategories of this total handled by ORS will decrease." It's estimated that ACOs are covering about 50% of all Medicaid expenditures and are now supposed to do the duties the ORS Medical collections unit did before. This reduction reduces the ORS Medical Collection unit by 50% or 20 FTE with associated costs. DHS/ORS state: "DOH and ORS do not agree with this proposal . . . A significant reduction in ORS staff will cause Utah's Medicaid program to be out of compliance with federal regulation and will likely result in a deficit in the Medicaid budget."</p>	No
I	\$ -	\$ (350,000)	\$ (350,000)	<p>DHS - Children's Account (Sweep Balances) - "This is a restricted account and its expenditures are limited to the amount appropriated by the Legislature, currently \$450,000. When collections exceed the appropriated amount there is a positive balance to the fund. The division, at times, has not spent the entire appropriated amount increasing the account balance." The account balance as of June 30, 2015 was \$446,500. The agency states, "Collections from birth certificates have declined every year since FY 2012. At current appropriated levels the fund balance is projected to be exhausted in FY 2023." However, DCFS is not using this fund at appropriated levels, but is spending less than the authorized amount each year. At current expenditure trends, exhaustion of the excess fund balance would not be in jeopardy. DHS/DCFS state: "We contracted all our appropriation out to community providers, but a couple providers underexpended their contracts. The Division has maintained long-standing partnerships with community child abuse prevention providers, the Division would like to continue at the current levels of service. The proposed reduction would cause the division to reduce child abuse prevention services starting in FY 2018 by \$75,000 or more."</p>	Maybe

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
J	\$ (349,600)	\$ -	\$ (349,600)	DHS - DSAMH - Reduce state General Fund due to increased federal Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant - federal Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) Block Grant funding was increased by \$38 million in the Omnibus Budget bill (FFIS "Major Discretionary and Mandatory Program Funding," December 16, 2015). Utah is anticipated to receive \$349,600 (.00922 X \$50 million) more in its allotment in federal FY 2017 than it will in federal FY 2016. These funds can be used to fund qualified expenditures in lieu of state General Fund and thereby reduce the amount of General Fund going for these efforts. DHS/DSAMH state: "The intent of the Omnibus Budget bill was a recognition of the general gross under funding of substance use disorder services in the nation and to strengthen the prevention, treatment and recovery system overall . . . further state fund reductions for substance use disorder services will compound an ongoing maintenance of effort (MOE) shortfall that has impacted the SAPT Grant and could result in reductions of federal funding." DSAMH pays a \$19 million MOE for a \$17 million federal grant.	No
K	\$ (299,500)	\$ -	\$ (299,500)	DHS - USH - Reduce state General Fund due to increased Medicare collections - the Utah State Hospital (USH) estimated \$772,700 of Medicare collections in its budget request during the 2015 General Session. Actual FY 2015 Medicare collections were \$1,071,900 - an increase of \$299,500. These increased collections can be used to fund qualified expenditures in lieu of state General Fund and thereby reduce the amount of General Fund going for these efforts. DHS/USH state: "Medicare revenues dropped sharply from FY 2015 to FY 2016 due to a drastic reduction in Medicare patient-days."	No
L	\$ -	\$ (204,900)	\$ (204,900)	DHS - DAAS - Reduce ongoing funding associated with the Aging Waiver program due to carryover funds - the Aging Waiver program has carried over funding for the past two years. Although this one-time carryover funding eventually provides services for this program in a subsequent year, the fact that they are leaving unused funds at the end of the year suggests that a lower ongoing amount would continue to sustain their current level of services. DHS/DAAS state: "There are traditionally carryover funds in the program which are spent in subsequent fiscal years. A reduction in funding for this program will directly impact the number of clients served by 22 individuals."	No
M	\$ (185,300)	\$ -	\$ (185,300)	DHS - DAAS - Reduce state General Fund due to increased federal congregate/home delivered meals allotment - federal congregate and home delivered meals funding was increased by \$20.1 million in the Omnibus Budget bill (FFIS Issue Brief 15-10, December 31, 2015). Utah is anticipated to receive \$185,300 (.00922 X \$20.1 million) more in its allotment in federal FY 2017 than it will in federal FY 2016. These funds can be used to fund qualified expenditures in lieu of state General Fund and thereby reduce the amount of General Fund going for these efforts. DHS/DAAS state: "DAAS has not been notified by ACL of a specific increase in funding in Title III funds . . . Additional federal funds would ideally be used to meet an increase in food costs and inflation."	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
N	\$ (174,000)	\$ -	\$ (174,000)	DHS - USH - Remove funding associated with HB. 14, Civil Commitment Amendments (2012 GS) - There has only been one civil commitment to the State Hospital since enactment of the bill due to the changes in H.B. 14. The initial estimate at the time of the bill's passage anticipated 6 individuals civilly committed to the State Hospital through FY 2016. This proposal would remove the additional funding associated with HB 14 and instead require the State Hospital to handle any minimal caseload increase through its existing budget without regard to funding for HB 14. DHS/USH state: "USH is expected to be prepared to treat this population regardless of numbers committed . . . Without this funding, these psychologist and social worker positions would be eliminated and treatment of patients with sexual reactive issues would be significantly less effective."	Maybe
O	\$ (144,000)	\$ -	\$ (144,000)	DHS - Reduce state General Fund due to increased SSBG allotment - federal Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) distribution is based upon population. When recalculated for federal fiscal year 2017, most states maintained their relative population ranking. Utah grew. "Eight of the ten fastest-growing states were from the West and South, while six of the ten slowest-growing were from the North and Midwest" (FFIS Issue Brief 15-41, December 23, 2015). Based upon these shifts in relative population, Utah is anticipated to receive \$144,000 more in SSBG in federal FY 2017 than it did in federal FY 2016. These funds can be used to fund qualified expenditures in lieu of state General Fund. DHS states: "Although additional SSBG is expected, the total SSBG estimate for federal fiscal year 2017 is less than ongoing grant spending allotments . . . SSBG is an important source of funding for Department management purposes."	No
P	\$ (138,300)	\$ -	\$ (138,300)	DHS - DCFS - Reduce state General Fund due to increased federal Family Violence/Battered Women's Shelters allotment - federal Family Violence/Battered women's Shelters funding was increased by \$15 million in the Omnibus Budget bill (FFIS Issue Brief 15-10, December 31, 2015). Utah is anticipated to receive \$138,300 (.00922 X \$15 million) more in its allotment in federal FY 2017 than it will in federal FY 2016. These funds can be used to fund qualified expenditures in lieu of state General Fund and thereby reduce the amount of General Fund going for these efforts. DHS/DCFS state: "This grant fluctuates on a yearly basis . . . Utah has not yet received this grant for year mentioned and we are unable to verify the amount until we receive the award."	No
Q	\$ (46,000)	\$ -	\$ (46,000)	DHS - DAAS - Reduce state General Fund due to increased federal family caregivers allotment - federal family caregivers funding was increased by \$5 million in the Omnibus Budget bill (FFIS Issue Brief 15-10, December 31, 2015). Utah is anticipated to receive \$46,000 (.00922 X \$5 million) more in its allotment in federal FY 2017 than it will in federal FY 2016. These funds can be used to fund qualified expenditures in lieu of state General Fund and thereby reduce the amount of General Fund going for these efforts. DHS/DAAS state: "DAAS has not been notified by ACL of a specific increase in funding in Title III funds . . . Additional federal funds would ideally be used to meet an increase in food costs and inflation."	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
R	\$ (39,200)	\$ -	\$ (39,200)	DHS - DCFS - Reduce state General Fund due to increased federal Child Abuse Discretionary Activities allotment - federal Child Abuse Discretionary Activities funding was increased by \$4.256 million in the Omnibus Budget bill (FFIS Issue Brief 15-10, December 31, 2015). Utah is anticipated to receive \$39,200 (.00922 X \$4.256 million) more in its allotment in federal FY 2017 than it will in federal FY 2016. These funds can be used to fund qualified expenditures in lieu of state General Fund and thereby reduce the amount of General Fund going for these efforts. DHS/DCFS states: "DCFS has not received this award and we have been unable to find information about it."	No
S	\$ (25,000)	\$ -	\$ (25,000)	DHS - USDC - Supplant and reduce General Fund resulting from the increase in new theater rental fees - UCA 63J-1-504 states that "Each fee agency shall adopt a schedule of fees assessed for services provided by the fee agency that are: reasonable, fair, and reflect the cost of services provided" The Utah State Developmental Center (USDC) has a large theater on its campus. USDC will begin to assess fees for use of its theater and associated technical assistance. This proposal would use the increased fee collections to cover current costs and redirect equivalent General Fund for other purposes. DHS/USDC state: "All funds from USDC leased properties are required by statute to be deposited into the DSPD Land Trust Fund . . . we are still in the initial phase of this project and may determine that it is not feasible."	Authorize new fee categories - Yes, 65A-5-220-3(b)
T	\$ (9,200)	\$ -	\$ (9,200)	DHS - DSAMH - Reduce state General Fund due to increased federal drug court allotment - federal drug court funding was increased by \$1 million in the Omnibus Budget bill (FFIS Issue Brief 15-10, December 31, 2015). Utah is anticipated to receive \$9,200 (.00922 X \$1 million) more in its allotment in federal FY 2017 than it will in federal FY 2016. These funds can be used to fund qualified expenditures in lieu of state General Fund and thereby reduce the amount of General Fund going for these efforts. DHS/DSAMH state: "Reductions in this area to supplant state funding with federal funds would further reduce limited funding for the Justice Reinvestment Initiatives to reduce recidivism and complicate cooperative efforts."	No
U	\$ (1,400,000)	\$ 1,100,000	\$ (4,717,000)	DOH - Remove All Exclusion for Drugs for Medicaid's Preferred Drug List - Change statute to allow all drugs to be on Medicaid's preferred drug list. This generates savings by having clients use "preferred" drugs unless an exception is obtained. H.B. 18, <i>Medicaid Preferred Drug List Amendments</i> , currently makes this statutory change, but directs 40% of the savings to go to the Department of Human Services.	Yes - UCA 26-18-2.4
V	\$ (1,300,000)	\$ -	\$ (4,300,000)	DOH - Reduce Eligibility for Breast and Cervical Cancer Group from 250% FPL to 100% FPL - End Special Medicaid coverage for about 175 clients with breast and cervical cancer with incomes from 100% up to 250% of the Federal Poverty Limit (FPL). These higher income individuals would be immediately eligible for coverage via the federal health insurance exchange or employer-based coverage and both would have higher copays compared to Medicaid. If coverage ended before June 30, 2016, there would also be one-time General Fund savings.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
W	\$ (1,225,900)	\$ 503,000	\$ (4,130,400)	DOH - Autism Program Attrition - There are new federal guidelines that require all medically necessary autism services to be provided to clients up to age 21. The State is no longer accepting clients on its autism waiver and the ongoing savings listed represent attrition savings beginning in FY 2018 from children turning seven from a \$1.8M General Fund original appropriation. There are also savings of \$722,900 in FY 2017.	Yes - statutory change
X	\$ -	\$ (3,975,900)	\$ -	DOH - Medicaid Restricted Account (Sweep Balance) - The fund balance is not used unless the Legislature appropriates money out of it. As per UCA 26-18-402, the account receives all the unspent monies in the Medicaid program. This reduction leaves enough money in the account to provide a \$4.6 million buffer in case the Medicaid consensus forecast underestimates costs (see motion #6W in "Motions for Subcommittee Consideration").	No
Y	\$ (290,000)	\$ (70,000)	\$ (973,600)	DOH - No Pregnant Women Over 21 to Receive Dental and Vision Services in Medicaid - Utah Medicaid has opted to provide dental and vision services to about 2,700 pregnant women over 21, this is not a federal requirement and Utah does not provide this service to any other optional population. The savings here represent the amount spent for these services in FY 2015. This reduction would end this service effective April 1, 2016. Health: "The division strongly feels that this would not be a good area to make a reduction."	No
Z	\$ (100,000)	\$ (83,300)	\$ (200,000)	DOH - End Nurse Case Management Medicaid Pilot - Health received \$100,000 ongoing General Fund beginning in FY 2013 from its service funding to run a cost savings pilot project. Health contracted for half of the money with Bear River Health Department for two nurses to manage 52 children with special health care needs on Medicaid in coordination with physician offices. This would end the pilot program effective March 1, 2015. Health: "As of July 1, 2015, [accountable care organizations] are operating in the same geographical service area of this pilot program. Any savings from continuing this program would accrue to the [accountable care organizations] rather than back to the state."	No
AA	\$ (40,000)	\$ (10,000)	\$ (118,100)	DOH - End Separate Reimbursement for Translation Services - Medicaid has currently opted to pay for translation services as a separate service with a medical visit. Other private providers and Medicare do not separately reimburse for translation and federal law requires the medical providers to serve all regardless of language ability. This reduction would end this service effective April 1, 2016. The Department states: "If we end this service, it will force the cost of covering translation services onto the providers. Forcing this may cause an access to care issue, since many providers cannot absorb the cost of providing translation services into their existing rates."	No
AB	\$ (35,000)	\$ -	\$ (70,000)	DOH - Telehealth Staff - Removes funding for staff provided in FY 2015 beginning in FY 2017. If the Utah Telehealth Network wanted to continue to receive this administrative help, then it could pay for it.	No
AC	\$ (40,000)	\$ -	\$ (40,000)	DOH - Cytomegalovirus Public Health Initiative - This ends the increased funding provided in FY 2015 beginning in FY 2017. The increase was primarily used for public awareness campaigns such as advertising on public transit.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
AD	\$ (35,800)	\$ (35,800)	\$ (35,800)	DOH - Children's Hearing Aid Pilot - of the \$100,000 provided to the fund for FY 2015, \$64,200 was spent. The program currently has an ongoing revenue source of \$100,000 General Fund. The Department indicates that it has already spent \$47,000 in the first five months of FY 2016 partially due to legislative changes allowing children up to age six to receive services.	Maybe
AE	\$ -	\$ (8,100)	\$ (8,100)	DOH - Medical Examiner 24/7 Body Pick Up Hiring Delay - In December 2015 the Department of Health hired its third part-time autopsy clerk to allow 24/7 body pick up at the Medical Examiner's Office. This one-time reduction removes funding for that FTE for July through November 2015. The Department of Health received \$58,000 in new ongoing General Fund beginning July 1, 2015.	No
AF	\$ -	\$ (7,000)	\$ -	DOH - Autism Treatment Account - This account ended FY 2015 with a \$7,000 balance. The program using this account has ended. This would use the money in this account in exchange for General Fund in Medicaid for autism services.	No
AG	\$ (2,400)	\$ (800)	\$ (4,800)	DOH - Savings From Ending Building Leases - the Department of Health is ending two building leases (see http://le.utah.gov/interim/2015/pdf/00005627.pdf): (1) \$2,500 total funds (\$1,200 General Fund) for part of the Weber Human Services Building in Ogden effective November 1, 2015 and (2) \$2,300 total funds (\$2,200 General Fund) for an office in Logan effective June 30, 2016. The savings assumes the 3 FTEs in these buildings can be housed in existing building resources and no increase in associated risk management payments. The one-time savings can be taken in FY 2016.	No
AH	\$ (2,000,000)	\$ (670,000)	\$ (6,700,000)	DWS - Reduce Match for Child Care Development Fund - Monthly caseloads for child care subsidies have dropped 20% from 7,411 to 5,900 from FY 2011 to FY 2015. Federal funds for the program during the same period have increased 16% from \$57,307,500 to \$66,674,000. At least 70% of the funds must go for child care subsidies. In FY 2014 the agency opted to spend \$5.9 million above the federally-required minimum on quality improvement efforts. In FY 2015 that figure was \$10.7 million and the agency projects using a total of \$ 12.6 million on quality improvement in both FY 2016 and FY 2017. This reduction removes the state funding effective April 1, 2016 associated with \$6.7 million in quality improvement spending above FY 2014 levels. See the brief available at http://le.utah.gov/interim/2015/pdf/00004112.pdf for more information.	No
AI	\$ -	\$ (3,000,000)	\$ (3,000,000)	DWS - for Special Administrative Expense Account (SAEA) (fund 1281) divert funding one-time from job-related projects to other Legislatively-determined purposes. DWS estimates SAEA realistic collections (available for use) at between \$5 and \$6 million for FY 2016.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
AJ	\$ (2,939,700)	\$ -	\$ (2,939,700)	DWS - Reduction of Workforce Development staff resulting from a 24% drop in case counts - the number of "unique customers" being served by Workforce Development decreased 24% (from 49,883 to 37,895) from FY 2014 and FY 2015. Workforce Development has a staff of 688 FTE. A 24% reduction in staff would equate to a reduction of 165 FTE. A 24% reduction of all staff and staff-related categories is (\$19,598,100). General Fund makes up 15% of the funding, or \$2,939,700. A significant caseload decrease reduces the workload and manner of work for Workforce Development staff. A shift in the use of the savings is similar to a request for additional funding. In this instance, the source of the funding is internal. The Legislature may want to ask the department to present the purposes it suggests for the use of the savings along with its rationale for using the funding in a new way.	Maybe
AK	\$ (496,000)	\$ (496,000)	\$ (1,550,000)	DWS - Savings From SUCCESS Initiatives - pg. 19 of the Governor's Budget "The Department of Workforce Services, Division of Eligibility Services, has taken action to reduce application cycle time which has resulted in 75% of approvals being made within 14 days as compared to the baseline of 59.5% which is a 27.2% improvement. In addition, postage costs have been reduced by \$700,000 and long distance phone charges by \$850,000." Workforce Services: "Those savings are not available. We used the funds to reinvest in our employees through [administrative salary increases], which helps improve employee morale in response to our recent audit and helps DWS retain its talent pool."	No
AL	\$ -	\$ (1,000,000)	\$ (1,000,000)	DWS - Permanent Supportive Housing Unused Funds - the Legislature provided \$1 million one-time to building more supportive housing units for the homeless, no application to request the funds has been received as of November 2015. Workforce Services: "A project application has not been received nor funded yet from this specific appropriation...Board has directed staff to initiate contact with local municipal leaders and concerned citizens regarding affordable housing needs for specific urban sites/areas."	No
AM	\$ (900,000)	\$ -	\$ (900,000)	DWS - Divert Interest From Permanent Community Impact Fund to the General Fund - Putting the interest into the General Fund rather than the restricted account would be consistent with HB 410 from the 2008 General Session (http://le.utah.gov/~2008/bills/hbillenr/hb0410.htm). The fund earned \$0.9 million in interest in FY 2015 and the fund balance has consistently grown year over year.	Yes - 35A-8-303(3)
AN	\$ -	\$ (347,600)	\$ (347,600)	DWS - Replace General Fund With Beginning Nonlapsing Carryover (FY 2016) - The General Assistance program carried over \$347,600 from FY 2015 into FY 2016. This would reduce one-time General Fund in FY 2016 equal to the beginning nonlapsing available. The committee may want to use these funds for other purposes. If you approve motion #6B on "Motions for Subcommittee Consideration," this money would not be available.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
AO	\$ (300,000)	\$ 150,000	\$ (300,000)	DWS - Recommendations from Audit on Food Stamp/SNAP Fraud - The Utah State Auditor provided via its "A Performance Audit of Data Analytics Techniques to Detect Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Abuse" 18 recommendations to potentially improve fraud prevention and collections. This reduction assumes that the Department of Workforce Services can double its current fraud collection efforts starting in FY 2018 with a 50% increase in collections in FY 2017. Current efforts from 2010 to 2014 have ranged from collections of a low of \$1.2 million total funds (\$0.2 million General Fund) in 2010 to a high of \$2.0 million total funds (\$0.3 million General Fund). Benefits received in 2014 were \$317 million for 90,570 households for a fraud collection rate of 0.6 (less than one) percent. Any collections higher/lower than anticipated would impact the General Fund where the collections are deposited. See http://financialreports.utah.gov/saoreports/2015/PA15-04DataAnalyticsforSNAPAbuseWorkforceServices,Departmentof.pdf for more information.	No
AP	\$ (120,000)	\$ -	\$ (120,000)	DWS - Divert Interest From Uintah Basin Revitalization Fund to the General Fund - Putting the interest into the General Fund rather than the restricted account would be consistent with HB 410 from the 2008 General Session (http://le.utah.gov/~2008/bills/hbillenr/hb0410.htm). The fund earned \$122,200 in interest in FY 2015 and the fund balance has consistently grown year over year.	Yes - statutory change
AQ	\$ (18,700)	\$ 18,700	\$ (94,300)	DWS - Savings From Ending Building Leases - the Department of Workforce Services has its \$188,700 lease ending on June 30, 2017 for 14 FTEs at its Blanding Employment Center (see http://le.utah.gov/interim/2015/pdf/00005486.pdf). The savings assumes that the Department can house the 14 FTEs at half the current lease costs based on the 50% vacancy of the current lease. Since the savings would not be available until FY 2018, there is one-time backfill of the savings in FY 2017. The Department believes it would be premature to take savings until responses are received with actual costs for new office space based on a request for proposal around June 2016 and one-time moving costs are calculated.	No
AR	\$ (60,000)	\$ -	\$ (60,000)	DWS - Divert Interest From Navajo Revitalization Fund to the General Fund - Putting the interest into the General Fund rather than the restricted account would be consistent with HB 410 from the 2008 General Session (http://le.utah.gov/~2008/bills/hbillenr/hb0410.htm). The fund earned \$67,800 in interest in FY 2015 and the fund balance has consistently grown year over year.	Yes - statutory change
AS	\$ (50,000)	\$ -	\$ (50,000)	DWS - Divert Interest From Olene Walker Housing Fund to the General Fund - Putting the interest into the General Fund rather than the restricted account would be consistent with HB 410 from the 2008 General Session (http://le.utah.gov/~2008/bills/hbillenr/hb0410.htm). The fund earned \$53,800 in interest in FY 2015 and the fund balance has consistently grown year over year.	Yes - statutory change

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
AT	\$ (200,000)	\$ -	\$ (200,000)	USOR - Vocational Rehabilitation - offset some state Education Fund needs by maintaining an "Order of Selection" waiting list - as USOR maintains its waiting list (technically called an "order of selection"), it begins to save funds due to less spending for "client services." If the Legislature directs USOR to maintain its order of selection, additional federal vocational rehabilitation (VR) funds will be available that could be used to offset certain eligible increased costs included in the USOR building block requests for FY 2017 that qualify for VR funds. USOR states: "If USOR begins to divert funds which would otherwise have been used to open categories under the OOS plan USOR may jeopardize the receipt of future federal funds."	No
AU	\$ (177,300)	\$ -	\$ (177,300)	USOR - Reduce Education Fund for Indirect Cost Pool overpayments to USOE - USOR is currently part of the Utah State Office of Education (USOE). USOR pays approximately \$1.9 million annually to USOE for supportive services. This payment is made to a USOE "indirect cost pool." There is currently an outside study being performed based upon the assumption that USOR has been over charged an inaccurately high indirect cost pool rate - meaning that USOR has been paying for more services than it has received from USOE. This proposal would use any indirect cost pool over charges to cover other current USOR costs and redirect the equivalent freed-up Education Fund for other purposes. The current estimate is based upon a 37.5 percent overpayment which would save \$709,000 total funds (which could be applied dollar for dollar to some USOR increased budget requests). USOR states: "Savings would be approximately 25% [of the total], due to the heavy federal funding of USOR . . . The FY2017 budget has already been adjusted downward to reflect the lower . . . rate . . . for indirect costs."	No
AV	\$ (67,200)	\$ -	\$ (67,200)	USOR - offset some state Education Fund by assessing fees and/or copays for Division of Services for the Blind and Visually Impaired (DSBVI) programs - UCA 63J-1-504 states that "Each fee agency shall adopt a schedule of fees assessed for services provided by the fee agency that are: reasonable, fair, and reflect the cost of services provided . . ." DSBVI offers the following programs: 1) Training and Adjustment; 2) Low Vision Services; 3) Deaf/Blind Support Service Provider Program; and 4) a Business Enterprise Program. The only fee or copay current charged is a \$35 fee on each instance of equipment repair in the Business Enterprise Program. This recommendation would institute either fees or copay requirements for each of these programs to provide for recipient financial participation. DSBVI has stated that they are "not aware of anything that would prohibit the assessment of a fee or co-pay for [these] programs." Main source of this recommendation is increasing the current assessment on the Business Enterprise Program from 10% of profits to 20% of profits and directing the additional 10% from the established fund to assist in paying for DSBVI programs.	Yes - "rate change need to be approved by (1) the Utah BEP Vendor Committee, (2) State Licensing agency, and 3) federal Rehabilitation Services Administration."

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
AW	\$ (51,300)	\$ -	\$ (51,300)	USOR - Independent Living Centers - offset some state Education Fund by assessing a borrowing fee when individuals borrow assistive technology equipment such as canes, shower chairs, walkers, etc. - UCA 63J-1-504 states that "Each fee agency shall adopt a schedule of fees assessed for services provided by the fee agency that are: reasonable, fair, and reflect the cost of services provided . . ." This item assumes a \$10 copay based upon an estimated 5,130 clients. One independent living center has already instituted this policy. "USOR does not know of any federal or state statute that prohibits the . . . assessment of fees for IL services."	"Inclusion of a test/fee would have to be approved by the federal oversight agency as an amendment to the Utah Independent Living State Plan."
AX	\$ (30,000)	\$ -	\$ (30,000)	USOR - DSDHH - Reduce Education Fund and supplant the loss with an increase of in-state interpreter certification fees - UCA 63J-1-504 states that "Each fee agency shall adopt a schedule of fees assessed for services provided by the fee agency that are: reasonable, fair, and reflect the cost of services provided . . ." This item would increase all in-state interpreter certification fees by 59% in order to better cover costs of providing and administering interpreter certification and training. USOR/DSDHH state: "The concern would be that this could disincentivize applicants when more interpreters are needed."	No
AY	\$ (11,700)	\$ -	\$ (11,700)	USOR - DSDHH - Reduce Education Fund and supplant the loss with an increase in out of state interpreter certification fees - the Division of Deaf and Hard of Hearing (DSDHH) provides certification for interpreters. Previously one rate was charged for both in-state and out-of-state certification. DSDHH will now assess higher fees to those who come to Utah only to get certified. This proposal would use the increased fee collections to cover current costs and redirect equivalent Education Fund for other purposes. USOR/DSDHH state: "The amount is an estimate and may not be realized depending on the number of applicants."	Authorize a new fee category
AZ	\$ (8,500)	\$ -	\$ (8,500)	USOR - offset some state Education Fund by assessing a copay when assistive technology equipment is purchased on behalf of a client - this recommendation assumes a \$40 copay based upon an estimated 213 clients. "IL centers and the IL Assistive Technology programs at USOR can purchase needed IL assistive technology equipment for individuals when individuals meet an income standard and the need for equipment is a permanent rather than temporary one . . . USOR does not know of any federal or state statute that prohibits a financial needs test or the assessment of fees for IL services."	"Inclusion of a test/fee would have to be approved by the federal oversight agency as an amendment to the Utah Independent Living State Plan."

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds ¹	Budget Reduction Options ²	Requires Other Changes?
BA	\$ (3,300)	\$ -	\$ (3,300)	USOR - DSDHH - Charge a copay for counseling - the Division of Deaf and Hard of Hearing (DSDHH) provides counseling free to its clients. Consistent with other similar services in the state, this recommendation would require DSDHH to charge a copay based upon a sliding fee scale for those receiving its counseling services. 2 counselors provided 330 hours of counseling per year X \$10 average copay per visit = \$3,300. These charges would replace state Education Fund. USOR/DSDHH state: "USOR does not believe charging a co-pay for therapy sessions at DSDHH would be cost effective."	Institute a new fee
BB	\$ (1,200,000)	\$ -	\$ -	State Endowment Fund (Ongoing Revenues) - The fund accrued \$1,270,400 in interest in FY 2015. The \$1,200,000 ongoing revenue from this fund could come from by a change in statute to deposit all interest earned by the account into the General Fund. If any balances were taken, then this would reduce the amount of ongoing revenues available. Article XXII, Section 4 of the Utah Constitution says the fund is "for the benefit of the people of the state in perpetuity."	Yes - 51-9-202
BC	\$ -	\$ (169,066,300)	\$ -	State Endowment Fund (Sweep Balances) - Accessing the balance in this account requires a 3/4 vote and approval by the Governor (Article XXII, Section 4). This fund is not used unless accessed by the Legislature. The majority of the money came from tobacco settlement funds designated annually for this fund prior to FY 2012. Article XXII, Section 4 of the Utah Constitution says the fund is "for the benefit of the people of the state in perpetuity."	3/4 vote and approval by the Governor
56	\$ (28,032,100)	\$ (180,254,000)	\$ (50,392,900)	Grand Total - Budget Reduction Options	
		\$ (208,286,100)		Grand Total - State Funds	
(1) Where there are numbers in both ongoing and one-time state funds columns, the total funds represents ongoing funds.					
(2) (DHS = Department of Human Services, DOH = Department of Health, DWS = Department of Workforce Services, & USOR = Utah State Office of Rehabilitation)					