

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds <sup>1</sup>	Base Funding/Fund Balance	Budget Reduction Options <sup>2</sup>	Requires Other Changes?
10	\$ (235,400)	\$ -	\$ (235,400)	\$ 621,100	<b>DHS - DCFS Mental Health Service Rates</b> - DCFS received \$621,100 for a mental health services rate increase beginning FY 2016 but used only \$385,700 in the first year. DHS reports spending an additional \$554,700 on services for adopted children in FY 2016, but did not utilize this funding source.	No
11	\$ (4,163,300)	\$ -	\$ (5,478,000)	\$ 6,600,000	<b>DHS - Juvenile Justice Reform and DCFS Placements</b> - DCFS community supervision costs 17% of what out-of-home placements do (up to \$7,500 compared to \$44,000 on average). If DCFS reduces out-of-home placements in line with juvenile justice reform efforts, with projected savings of \$33 million over 5 years (\$6.6 million per year) and redirects youth to community supervision, there would be a net savings of \$5.5 million. DJJS has indicated other sources that would be available for mental health/substance use treatment, such as savings from elimination of residential Observation and Assessment programs (O&A currently costs \$6.6 million and DSAMH estimates unmet treatment need in the community is only \$3 million). Federal funds cover 24% of costs currently.	Maybe
12	\$ -	\$ (200,000)	\$ (200,000)	\$ 1,219,000	<b>DHS - DCFS Nonlapsing Balance</b> - During the 2016 General Session, DCFS estimated \$0 in remaining balances at the end of FY 2016. The division then carried over \$1,219,000 from FY 2016 to FY 2017, with legislative nonlapsing authority. DCFS reports it will use the balance in FY 2017 for pass-through to Grandfamilies, an in-home initiative, adoption assistance efforts, and to purchase staff vehicles.	No
13	\$ -	\$ (118,900)	\$ (398,600)	\$ 1,809,300	<b>DHS - Youth With Disabilities Aging Out of DCFS/DJJS in FY 2016</b> - Youth with disabilities in DCFS or DJJS custody age out of funding from those divisions and become the responsibility of DSPD. For FY 2016, the General Fund appropriation was \$537,000 but only \$418,100 was spent, due to some youth remaining longer in DCFS custody.	No
14	\$ -	\$ (370,600)	\$ (1,242,400)	\$ 2,988,500	<b>DHS - Youth With Disabilities Aging Out of DCFS/DJJS in FY 2017</b> - Youth with disabilities in DCFS or DJJS custody age out of funding from those divisions and become the responsibility of DSPD. For FY 2017, the General Fund appropriation was \$897,000 one-time but only \$526,400 is expected to be spent, due to youth aging into services throughout the fiscal year.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds <sup>1</sup>	Base Funding/Fund Balance	Budget Reduction Options <sup>2</sup>	Requires Other Changes?
15	\$ -	\$ (12,500)	\$ (41,800)	\$ 16,658,300	<b>DHS - Unused DSPD Direct Care Staff Salary Increase</b> - The Legislature funded 2 years of provider rate increases to raise the salaries of contracted direct care staff, with the goal of reducing turnover. DSPD found through checks that 3 providers had funds that were not used for salary increases; providers returned those funds to DSPD.	No
16	\$ -	\$ (250,000)	\$ (250,000)	\$ 500,000	<b>DHS - Medication-Assisted Treatment</b> - Salt Lake County and the Department of Corrections each received \$250,000 one-time (\$500,000 total) in FY 2016 for a medication-assisted substance use treatment (MAT) pilot, but the total amount was later reallocated to Salt Lake County. DHS reports that as of January 2017 (halfway through FY 2017), \$236,800 has been spent. The program is a pilot but has not yet demonstrated cost effectiveness.	No
17	\$ -	\$ (400,000)	\$ (400,000)	\$ 17,338,900	<b>DHS - Additional Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant Funds</b> - During the 2016 General Session, DSAMH requested SAPT block grant funds of \$16,742,300. Its revised FY 2017 estimate is \$17,338,900 - an increase of \$596,600. Item 1 on the consensus reduction sheet would provide \$400,000 to DSAMH for the delay in waiver approval related to H.B. 437; this reduction would remove that backfill.	No
18	\$ -	\$ (200,000)	\$ (200,000)	\$ 986,200	<b>DHS - DSAMH Nonlapsing Balance</b> - During the 2016 General Session, DSAMH estimated \$0 in remaining balances at the end of FY 2016. The division then carried over \$986,200 from FY 2016 to FY 2017, with legislative nonlapsing authority. DSAMH reports it will use the balance in FY 2017 for training providers, monitoring the current treatment system, developing data systems, other multi-year projects, and system restructuring related to the Justice Reinvestment Initiative.	No
19	\$ (347,400)	\$ -	\$ (347,400)	\$ 2,931,400	<b>DHS - ORS Medicaid Collections</b> - The Department of Health contracts with ORS to perform medical collections, insurance verification, and cost avoidance for medical claims for the Medicaid program. Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) now do collections for their members. ORS reports a 9% decline in collections from 2015 to 2016; the Legislature's Fiscal Health Dashboard shows a 36% decline from FY 2014 to FY 2016. Reducing the ORS General Fund budget by 27% would account for 9% declines over 3 years.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds <sup>1</sup>	Base Funding/Fund Balance	Budget Reduction Options <sup>2</sup>	Requires Other Changes?
20	\$ (15,900)	\$ (15,900)	\$ (15,900)	\$ 161,200	<b>DOH - Alzheimer's State Plan Spending Less Than Appropriations</b> - The Department of Health spent in FY 2016 \$15,900 less than the \$161,200 ongoing appropriation. The Department of Health intends to spend the entire \$161,200 appropriation going forward.	No
21	\$ -	\$ (25,000)	\$ (25,000)	\$ 583,400	<b>DOH - All Payer Data Base System New Fee Revenues</b> - All Payer Data Base received authority to charge new fees for the data that they are producing beginning in FY 2016. In FY 2016 the system received \$57,600 in new fee revenue. For FY 2017 through November, the system has received \$13,500 in new fee revenue. The money listed here should be replaced by the new fee revenue. The Department of Health believes that the April 2016 Gobeille Supreme Court decision will impact the quantity of data submitted.	No
22	\$ (320,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 375,000	<b>DOH - Charge Same Background Check Fee to EMS, Child Care, and Healthcare Workers</b> - Currently emergency medical services (EMS) workers pay \$28 for their state background checks, which is \$13 more than child care and healthcare workers who pay \$15. By raising all background check fees to \$28, this would generate about \$320,000 in revenue for the General Fund. This revenue would come from a \$13 fee increase for around 15,000 child care workers and 10,000 healthcare workers.	Yes - fee increases
23	\$ -	\$ (8,441,900)	\$ -	\$ 17,441,900	<b>DOH - Medicaid Restricted Account (Sweep Balance)</b> - The fund balance is not used unless the Legislature appropriates money out of it. As per UCA 26-18-402, the account receives all the unspent monies in the Medicaid program. Statute suggests the following for fund uses: "The Legislature may appropriate money in the restricted account to fund programs that expand medical assistance coverage and private health insurance plans to low income persons who have not traditionally been served by Medicaid, including the Utah Children's Health Insurance Program." This reduction in FY 2017 leaves enough money in the account to provide a \$9.0 million buffer in case the Medicaid consensus forecast underestimates costs (see motion #21 in "Motions for Subcommittee Consideration"). The Governor's budget suggested sweeping \$10,606,000 and leaving \$6.8 million for a buffer.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds <sup>1</sup>	Base Funding/Fund Balance	Budget Reduction Options <sup>2</sup>	Requires Other Changes?
24	\$ (30,000)	\$ (30,000)	\$ (60,000)	\$ 112,318,000	<b>DOH - Offset Increase in Medicaid Administrative Seed Money</b> - the Department of Health projects increases in FY 2017 and FY 2018 its Medicaid administrative seed money from other agencies of \$60,000 over FY 2016. This reduction allows the agency to keep half of the increase. This seed money increased \$130,000 from FY 2015 to FY 2016.	No
25	\$ -	\$ (12,099,200)	\$ -	\$ 133,438,300	<b>DOH - Children's Health Insurance Program 100% Federal Match</b> - As part of federal health care reform the State of Utah receives a 100% federal match rate from October 2015 through September 2019. For FY 2018 there are one-time state appropriations of \$17.2 million. This reduction takes the state appropriations from October 2017 through June 2018. This takes all but \$1,043,100 in tobacco restricted money to cover shortfalls. This action also leaves all the nonlapsing balance of \$641,100 to cover the state costs for prior adjustments through September 2019. The Department of Health indicates that the program is currently funded at the federal level through September 2017. The Governor's budget included this reduction.	No
26	\$ (2,000,000)	\$ -	\$ (6,700,000)	\$ 59,000,000	<b>DWS - Reduce Match for Child Care Development Fund</b> - Monthly caseloads for child care subsidies have dropped 17% from 7,700 to 6,400 from FY 2012 to FY 2016. Federal funds for the program during the same period have increased 16% from \$57,307,500 to \$66,674,000. At least 70% of the funds must go for child care subsidies. In FY 2015 the agency opted to spend \$10.7 million above the federally-required minimum on quality improvement efforts and the agency projects using a total of \$12.6 million on quality improvement in FY 2017. This reduction removes the state funding effective April 1, 2017 associated with \$6.7 million in quality improvement spending above FY 2014 levels. See the brief available at <a href="http://le.utah.gov/interim/2015/pdf/00004112.pdf">http://le.utah.gov/interim/2015/pdf/00004112.pdf</a> for more information. During the 2016 GS the legislature approved a \$2 million one time reduction of this fund.	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds <sup>1</sup>	Base Funding/Fund Balance	Budget Reduction Options <sup>2</sup>	Requires Other Changes?
27	\$ -	\$ (3,000,000)	\$ (3,000,000)	\$ 4,000,000	<b>DWS - for Special Administrative Expense Account (SAEA) (fund 1281) divert funding one-time from job-related projects to other Legislatively-determined purposes.</b> DWS estimates SAEA realistic collections (available for use) at \$4 million for FY 2017. About \$1 million of these funds have to go towards collection costs associated with collecting the debts on this fund.	No
28	\$ (200,000)	\$ (856,200)	\$ (200,000)	\$ 5,144,900	<b>DWS - Replace General Fund With Beginning Nonlapsing Carryover (FY 2017)</b> - The General Assistance program carried over \$856,200 from FY 2016 into FY 2017. This would reduce one-time General Fund in FY 2017 equal to the beginning nonlapsing available. The committee may want to use these funds for other purposes. If you approve motions #1AV, #1AW, #1BA, and #4AK on "Motions for Subcommittee Consideration," this money would not be available.	No
29	\$ -	\$ (25,000)	\$ (83,400)	\$ 166,800	<b>DWS - Savings From Ending Building Leases</b> - the Department of Workforce Services has its \$166,700 lease ending on June 30, 2017 for 13 FTEs at its Blanding Employment Center (see <a href="http://le.utah.gov/interim/2015/pdf/00005486.pdf">http://le.utah.gov/interim/2015/pdf/00005486.pdf</a> ). The savings assumes that the Department can house the 13 FTEs at half the current lease costs based on the 50% vacancy of the current lease.	No
30	\$ (200,000)	\$ -	\$ (200,000)	\$ 44,380,500	<b>DWS - USOR - Vocational Rehabilitation - offset some state General Fund needs by maintaining an "Order of Selection" waiting list</b> - as USOR maintains its waiting list (technically called an "order of selection"), it begins to save funds due to less spending for "client services." If the Legislature directs USOR to maintain its order of selection, additional federal vocational rehabilitation (VR) funds will be available that could be used to offset certain eligible increased costs included in the USOR building block requests for FY 2017 that qualify for VR funds. USOR states: "If USOR begins to divert funds which would otherwise have been used to open categories under the OOS plan USOR may jeopardize the receipt of future federal funds."	No

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds <sup>1</sup>	Base Funding/Fund Balance	Budget Reduction Options <sup>2</sup>	Requires Other Changes?
31	\$ (51,300)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,299,400	<b>DWS - USOR - Independent Living Centers - offset some state General Fund by assessing a borrowing fee when individuals borrow assistive technology equipment such as canes, shower chairs, walkers, etc.</b> - UCA 63J-1-504 states that "Each fee agency shall adopt a schedule of fees assessed for services provided by the fee agency that are: reasonable, fair, and reflect the cost of services provided . . . ." This item assumes a \$10 copay based upon an estimated 5,130 clients. One independent living center has already instituted this policy. "USOR does not know of any federal or state statute that prohibits the . . . assessment of fees for IL services."	Yes - approval of 3 entities.
32	\$ (67,200)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,279,600	<b>DWS - USOR - offset some state General Fund by assessing fees and/or copays for Division of Services for the Blind and Visually Impaired (DSBVI) programs</b> - UCA 63J-1-504 states that "Each fee agency shall adopt a schedule of fees assessed for services provided by the fee agency that are: reasonable, fair, and reflect the cost of services provided . . . ." DSBVI offers the following programs: 1) Training and Adjustment; 2) Low Vision Services; 3) Deaf/Blind Support Service Provider Program; and 4) a Business Enterprise Program. The only fee or copay current charged is a \$35 fee on each instance of equipment repair in the Business Enterprise Program. This recommendation would institute either fees or copay requirements for each of these programs to provide for recipient financial participation. DSBVI has stated that they are "not aware of anything that would prohibit the assessment of a fee or co-pay for [these' programs]." Main source of this recommendation is increasing the current assessment on the Business Enterprise Program from 10% of profits to 20% of profits and directing the additional 10% from the established fund to assist in paying for DSBVI programs.	Yes
33	\$ (200,000)	\$ (505,900)	\$ (200,000)	\$ 505,900	<b>DWS - Qualified Emergency Food Agencies Fund</b> - Emergency Food Agencies Fund. The fund has consistently spent less than the annual \$915,000 appropriation. This money would go into the General Fund. See motion #2AG on the motion sheet. There also exists the option of reducing the full balance of the fund, which is currently \$505,900.	Yes - 35A-8-1009

Ref#	Ongoing State Funds	One-time State Funds	Total Funds <sup>1</sup>	Base Funding/Fund Balance	Budget Reduction Options <sup>2</sup>	Requires Other Changes?
34	\$ (1,500,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 171,368,800	<b>State Endowment Fund (Ongoing Revenues)</b> - The fund accrued \$1,529,000 in interest in FY 2016. The \$1,500,000 ongoing revenue from this fund could come from by a change in statute to deposit all interest earned by the account into the General Fund. If any balances were taken, then this would reduce the amount of ongoing revenues available. Article XXII, Section 4 of the Utah Constitution says the fund is "for the benefit of the people of the state in perpetuity."	Yes - 51-9-202
35	\$ -	\$ (171,368,800)	\$ -	\$ 171,368,800	<b>State Endowment Fund (Sweep Balances)</b> - Accessing the balance in this account requires a 3/4 vote and approval by the Governor (Article XXII, Section 4). This fund is not used unless accessed by the Legislature. The majority of the money came from tobacco settlement funds designated annually for this fund prior to FY 2012. Article XXII, Section 4 of the Utah Constitution says the fund is "for the benefit of the people of the state in perpetuity." For more information please see the brief at <a href="http://le.utah.gov/interim/2016/pdf/00002655.pdf">http://le.utah.gov/interim/2016/pdf/00002655.pdf</a> .	3/4 vote and approval by the Governor
26	\$ (9,330,500)	\$ (197,919,900)	\$ (19,277,900)	\$ 779,485,200	<b>Grand Total - Budget Reduction Options</b>	
		\$ (207,250,400)			<b>Grand Total - State Funds</b>	

(1) Where there are numbers in both ongoing and one-time state funds columns, the total funds represents ongoing funds.

(2) DHS = Department of Human Services, DOH = Department of Health, DWS = Department of Workforce Services, DCFS = DHS Division of Child and Family Services, DJJS = DHS Division of Juvenile Justice Services, DSPD = DHS Division of Services for People with Disabilities, DSAMH = DHS Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health, ORS = DHS Office of Recovery Services