Evaluation Committee Report

Higher Education Strategic Planning Commission

August 6, 2018

Evaluation Committee Members

- Sen. Ann Millner
 - Commission Cochair
- Rep. Brad R. Wilson
 - Commission Cochair
- Mr. Ronald W. Jibson
 - ► Commission Vice Chair, State Board of Regents member
- Mr. Steven R. Moore
 - Commission Vice Chair, Utah System of Technical Colleges Board of Trustees Vice Chairman
- Mr. Jon S. Pierpont
 - Executive Director, Department of Workforce Services

Evaluation Process

- Stage 1 Technical review to ensure proposals and responders met RFP requirements
 - 6 proposals received
 - No proposals eliminated
- Stage 2 Evaluation and scoring of written proposals based on qualifications of responder and quality of proposal
 - ▶ Evaluation committee met once to evaluate and score the proposals
 - ▶ 4 proposals received scores below 65 and were eliminated
- Stage 3 Interviews and scoring
 - Evaluation committee interviewed the two remaining responders
 - Proposals were rescored based on qualifications of firm, quality of proposal, and quality of interview
 - Evaluation committee based recommendation on highest score from Stage 3

Stage 2 Scores

	Proposal A*	Proposal B	Proposal C	Proposal D	Proposal E*	Proposal F
Qualifications, experience, and expertise (55 pts)	40	35.6	28.8	31.6	49.4	26
Quality of proposal (45 pts)	31.4	28	21.4	28.6	39	21.2
Total (100 pts)	71.4	63.6	50.2	60.2	88.4	47.2

^{*} Received 65+ points required to advance to Stage 3

Stage 3 Scores

	National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS) Proposal	Proposal A
Qualifications, experience, and expertise (40 pts)	40	30
Quality of proposal (35 pts)	35	25
Quality of the responder to interview questions (25 pts)	20	25
Total (100 pts)	95	80

Recommendation

The recommended proposal was submitted by the National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS).

Their proposal received the highest score in both Stage 2 and Stage 3.

THANK YOU