


Utah Department of Public Safety SB 6 Report

Utah Highway Patrol

Measure: Percentage of DUI reports submitted for administrative action within specified timeframes divided by operating expenses for the process (Target=25% improvement)

Result: The graph below shows an overall 23.3% improvement through June 2018:



Bureau of Forensic Services

Measure: Median DNA case turnaround time (Target = 60 days)

Result: The overall biology section turnaround time, and the median time the analyst spent working the case for each classification during July 2017-June 2018 is as follows:

CLASSIFICATION	MEDIAN TOTAL TURNAROUND TIME (Total in the Lab)	MEDIAN ANALYST WORKING TURNAROUND TIME (Time working DNA)
First Priority	49	14
Second Priority	92	16
Third Priority	595	29

Note – A communication to the laboratory in regards to the prioritization of a case may not have occurred until **after the case had already been submitted. This situation results in a “waiting period gap” that could be significant and cause the “total turnaround time” to be higher than the desired goal.*

The “median total turnaround time” per case was greater than the goals established by the Utah Bureau of Forensic Services. However, the “median analyst working turnaround time” is encouraging because this metric does meet the goals established, indicating that our DNA processes are becoming more efficient and streamlined. The "analyst working turnaround time" is the time it takes once a case is assigned for a DNA analyst to complete the DNA testing and issue a report to the submitting agency.

One final point of discussion is the 595 day “median total turnaround time” for third priority cases. This is mainly a result of sexual assault kit submission volume and those cases having to wait in cue to be tested.

Bureau of Criminal Identification

Measure: Percentage of LiveScan fingerprint card data entered into the Utah Computerized Criminal History (UCCH) and Automated fingerprint identification System (AFIS) databases, or deleted from the queue (Target = 5 working days)

Result: 100% of LiveScan fingerprint card data was entered into the databases within 5 working days

Emergency Management

Measure 1: Percentage compliance with standards and elements required to achieve and maintain National Emergency Management Program Accreditation (EMAP) (Target = 100%)

Result 1: 100% compliant with EMAP standards and elements

Measure 2: Percentage of personnel that have completed the required National Incident Management System (NIMS) training (Target = 100%)

Result 2: 100% of personnel completed required NIMS training

Measure 3: Percentage of 98 state agencies that have updated their Continuity of Operation Plans (COOP) (Target = 100%)

Result 3: 85.4% of state agencies updated their COOP plans as of July 2018

Emergency Management – National Guard Response

Measure: Distribution of funds as reimbursement to the National Guard of authorized and approved expenses (Target = 100%)

Result: The target basis for reaching 100% would not be applicable because no funds were distributed during the 2018 fiscal year. These funds are to be used to supplement wildfire suppression efforts when it is determined that the Wildland Fire Suppression Fund cannot cover expenses that may be incurred by National Guard resources.

Emergency Management – Emergency and Disaster Management


Measure: Distribution of funds for appropriate and approved expenses (Target = 100%)

Result: Target goal of 100% has been reached in the 2018 fiscal year. Funds were used to cover operational expenditures for responding jurisdictions to assist the state of California through the Emergency Management Assistance Compact (EMAC). The use of these funds were appropriate and approved as outlined in [UCA 53-2a-603\(2\)\(d\)](#).

Peace Officer Standards and Training

Measure 1: Percentage of POST investigations completed within specified timeframes divided by the operating expenses for the process (Target = 25% improvement)

Result 1: The graph below shows an overall 139.26% improvement through June 2018:



Measure 2: Percentage of presented cases of law enforcement personnel complaints or misconduct allegations ratified by POST Council (Target = 95%)

Result 2: 66% of presented cases were ratified by POST council through June 2018

Measure 3: Percentage of law enforcement officers completing 40 hours of mandatory annual training (Target = 100%)

Result 3: 99.9% of law enforcement officers completed mandatory training

Driver License

Measure 1: Average customer wait time measured in 13 driver license field offices (Target = 8 minutes)


Result 1: Average Driver License customer wait time during FY2018 was 4.4 minutes

Measure 2: Average customer call wait time (Target = 30 seconds)

Result 2: Average customer call wait time during FY2018 was 26.33 seconds

Measure 3: Percentage of Driver License medical forms processed within 5 days divided by the operating expenses for the process (Target = 25% improvement)


Result 3: The graph below shows an overall improvement of 101.42%:



Highway Safety

Measure 1: Percentage of persons wearing a seatbelt, as captured on the Utah Safety Belt Observational Survey (Target = greater than 85%)

Result 1: 88.8% observed seatbelt usage during calendar year 2017 as shown below:



Measure 2: Number of motor vehicle crash fatalities (Target = 2% reduction)

Result 2: There were 273 motor vehicle crash fatalities during calendar year 2017. This was a 2.8% reduction from the previous year.

Measure 3: Number of pedestrian fatalities (Target = 3% reduction)

Result 3: There were 43 pedestrian fatalities during calendar year 2017. This was a 10.3% increase from the previous year.