

Name	Date Submitted	Comment Type	Message	Response	Change to the Legislation
Alta Ski Lifts	7/9/2018	Written Statement	<p>This process did not fully meet the expectations of the Utah State Legislature or Governor's office. It did not adequately address transportation, parking and accommodating the projected growth along the Wasatch. Can support the bill if it includes or is tied to a significant transportation improvement; the conservation and recreation area not overlay or include the ski areas; the language and maps reflect the land exchange as currently proposed between the ski areas and USFS; and the ski areas are allowed to expand their boundaries to accommodate growth outside of the conservation and recreation area. Willing to work with the USFS and backcountry groups to address their future access to and use of Grizzly Gulch.</p>	<p>While transportation issues have not been resolved, the State of Utah, working with local and federal partners, has initiated an EIS on Little Cottonwood Canyon that extends to the mouth of Big Cottonwood Canyon. The State of Utah has appropriated \$60 million dollars to implement improvements that arise from the decisions from the the LCC EIS. Additional resources are being explored to go towards transportation solutions in the Wasatch Canyons and on the Wasatch Back (Summit County). The NCRA does not impact management within the ski area permit boundaries. Sec. 3(e)(2) Ski Areas "The establishment of the Conservation and Recreation Area shall not affect the management of National Forest System lands within the boundary of a ski area permit." The land exchange maps will be updated before reintroduction. There is no restriction in the bill on expansion of ski area permit boundaries outside of the NCRA. Discussions about an alternative to the Mountain Accord agreement for a land exchange involving Grizzly Gulch are ongoing.</p>	<p>The 8/2 Draft legislation would remove the Alta Ski Lifts land exchange of HR 5718 and Mountain Accord. Alta Ski Lifts would retain its current private property and ski area permit unchanged.</p>
Sarah Bennett	7/9/2018	Letter	<p>Recommending wilderness boundary adjustments for the legislation. These adjustments are critical to ensuring the BST can exist as a shared-use trail. Land or easement purchases should be considered to complete the BST in the coming years. There are 12 separate areas where the wilderness boundary needs to be adjusted. Total acreage needing to be relieved of wilderness status to allow for BST considerations totals 240. Also recommending 480 acres of USFS land be included in the bill to become designated wilderness. This would result in a net gain of wilderness lands. Requesting language be modified to reflect the amount of acres to be removed for BST considerations and the specific intent of those removals. Language describing additional acreage to be included into the Mt. Olympus wilderness should also be included. Also add language that states that any USFS lands removed from wilderness be protected in perpetuity from any kind of future development.</p>	<p>The bill opens up areas of the BST in wilderness that are currently restricted, not allowing mountain biking. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed. The Forest Service has preliminary GIS data and will refine this alignment. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed. The wilderness eligibility of the lands for inclusion in the Mount Olympus Wilderness Area is not known at this time. The Forest Service will check title to the property and if there were any encumbrances on the land as a condition of transfer to the Forest Service. Sec. 4 (e) addresses lands removed from wilderness areas.</p>	

Will McCarvill	7/9/2018	Public Comment	<p>Three aspects: Doubling nip-and-tuck of wilderness to allow mountain biking. Unlikely to support doubling of nipping and tucking. 200+ acres is a non-starter. Disappointed the MA has seemed to have weakened. Only lands outside ski area boundaries shall be traded for base areas. White Pine should not permit mountain biking. It would be nearly impossible to manage mountain bike use in the area. It needs to be a bike-free special management area.</p>	<p>The Forest Service has preliminary GIS data and will refine this alignment. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed. Other than potential changes regarding Alta Ski Lifts and Grizzly Gulch, Mountain Accord has been reflected in draft legislation. The issue of allowing mountain biking in the White Pine Special Management Area has been the subject of more public comment from those both favoring and opposing having White Pine Canyon open for mountain biking (mechanized travel). The Central Wasatch Commission will consider this issue as it deliberates about proposed legislation.</p>	
Brian Hutchinson	7/10/2018	Email	<p>There seems to be a disconnect between the CWC considerations and the UDOT-UTA EIS process currently underway. If the resorts, hotels and schools share the locational data of the skiers, mountain bikers and hikers, then UTA will be able to identify and provide canyon-bound buses to the locations near the valley's population clusters. The wild-card in the room is the National Forest Service, which has been allowed to determine the fate of public land for more than a century. For too long, ski resorts have been able to skip the public process and quietly deal directly with the Forest Service to expand into wilderness. Ridge line development at Snowbird (Twin Peaks tram and convention center) and multiple chairlift alignment missteps at Alta (Supreme, Cecret, Sillyside) are examples of faulty and environmentally damaging concepts rubber-stamped by the Forest Service. Alta's recently unveiled (and Forest Service approved) expansion plans that involve a novelty tram to iconic Mt. Baldy (convention/wedding venue), a Mayflower chair through wetlands and land-grabbing alignments into Grizzly Gulch that ignore pre-existing agreements are the latest examples of how a tax debt on a group of mid-19th century mining claims can be leveraged to control and develop public land of eight times its area.</p>	<p>The Little Cottonwood Canyon EIS is considering the full range of alternatives for improvement to Little Cottonwood Canyon transportation, including parking and access from the Salt Lake Valley. The Forest Service is subject to the National Environmental Policy Act, the National Forest Management Act, the Forest Planning Act, and numerous statutes that require thorough public involvement in its decisions on public lands. Private land development is subject to decision making by Salt Lake County. The development by Alta Ski Lifts within its existing ski area boundaries is not affected by the draft legislation.</p>	

<p>Brian Hutchinson</p>	<p>7/10/2018</p>	<p>Letter</p>	<p>Please Fund These Studies-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Establish current and desired air and noise pollution levels 2. Assess current and acceptable water quality and levels of rivers and aquifers 3. Inventory and set goals for health of wildlife and vegetation 4. Establish locational and time-frame visitation limits for all seasons 5. Fund extensive transit models for canyons and valley (Canyon EIS and valley UTA) 6. Establish all environmental impacts of existing and proposed cabins and other structures 7. Design UTA and canyon routes around data from resorts, car rentals, hotels and other sources that map clusters of day and season passholders 8. Establish carrying capacities for hiking trailheads, ridgeline activity, ski resorts and backcountry trailheads, mountain biking trails. "Please Address These Components- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Automobiles- Limit all traffic to vehicles for safety, service and special event transport (from current 6,000 to <300 vehicles per canyon) 2. Buses- Implement an integrated canyon and long-route valley transit system to replace autos (tie to Smart RFID systems) 3. Shuttle Vans- Regular 30-minute and reserved winter/summer hiking and touring routes 4. Resort Parking Lots- Reduce footprint and convert to transit centers (and possible negotiated lodging) 5. Trailhead Parking- Reconfigure for Shuttle Bus stops and turnarounds 	<p>The Central Wasatch Commission appreciates these recommendation. Outside the legislative proposals, the Central Wasatch Commission will work with other jurisdictions on transportation solutions in the Central Wasatch. These comments will be directed to those efforts. The 8/2/18 draft bill only allows for ski area expansion on Forest Service lands within the NCRA in two areas - identified on the NCRA map as "Resort Boundary Adjustment Area." The Little Cottonwood Canyon EIS is considering the full range of alternatives for improvement to Little Cottonwood Canyon transportation, including parking and access from the Salt Lake Valley. These issues are acknowledged.</p>	
<p>Julie Faure</p>	<p>7/12/2018</p>	<p>Letter</p>	<p>UMA's Central Wasatch permit area is entirely within the NCRA boundaries. We welcome the protections this legislation would provide to key backcountry ski terrain, such as White Pine Gulch, and support the land exchanges it promotes. The proposal gives resorts additional base properties for bedrooms, parking, etc. In exchange, these land swaps would preserve essential backcountry terrain on the north side of Little Cottonwood Canyon (LCC,) from Mt. Superior to Grizzly Gulch. Grizzly Gulch has traditionally been "The Place" for avalanche education, just as Alta/LCC is the birthplace of avalanche mitigation and snow science research in the USA. To reduce or eliminate teaching in Grizzly, would break a long-standing tradition - Utah Mountain Adventures and other guides and educators would be put out of business.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. CWC will continue to engage stakeholders as the bill continues through the legislative process. Ongoing efforts are made to find a solution with Alta Ski Lifts. If a new agreement is made with Alta Ski Lifts, this can be incorporated into the bill.</p>	

<p>John Knoblock</p>	<p>7/16/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Why isn't Snowbird included in the list of ski areas making their boundary permanent? Section 6. Item 10. Why do we need to include a prohibition of mechanized travel (mountain bikes) in White Pine SMA? Isn't a prohibition on motorized travel enough to ever prevent Snowbird expansion to White Pine? And Red Pine and Maybird, which are adjacent and similar, are already wilderness and therefore hiking only. Section 5.</p>	<p>Snowbird's boundaries within the NCRA would become permanent in the bill. Section 3(c)(4)(C) and 6(a)(10) preclude expansion on Forest System lands within the NCRA. The only exceptions to this are the two areas identified for Brighton and Solitude in subparagraph (A) and (B). The White Pine SMA was considered for possible inclusion in the existing adjacent Wilderness Area, but it was determined because there is existing, permitted heli skiing that would continue, a SMA would be a more appropriate designation. The White Pine SMA was the result of extensive discussion in the Mountain Accord process to arrive at additional protections of the sensitive and heavily used area.</p>	
<p>John Knoblock</p>	<p>7/16/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>With respect to maintaining the existing mountain biking in White Pine, since it is not becoming wilderness, can we remove the two words "and mechanized" from the transportation prohibition from 5. (b)(2)(A)? (A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B) and the landing of helicopters, the use of motorized and mechanized vehicles is prohibited in the special management area. This is a concern of Trails Utah and the Salt Lake Valley Trails Society as they don't see a compelling argument why the NCRA should immediately result in a 'take away' of an existing recreational use. The adjacent Red Pine Lake trail leads to an equally beautiful alpine lake that is already located in wilderness and thus is hiking only. If in the future decades the shared use of White Pine ever becomes problematic, then a separate segregated hiking only trail could be considered. (Trails Utah has money approved from the County TRCC fund and then doubled it with an RTP grant (\$60,000 total I believe) to make significant improvements to the Red Pine Trail this fall and next summer.)</p>	<p>The issue of allowing mountain biking in the White Pine Special Management Area has been the subject of more public comment from those both favoring and opposing having White Pine Canyon open for mountain biking (mechanized travel). The Central Wasatch Commission will consider this issue as it deliberates about proposed legislation.</p>	

<p>John Knoblock</p>	<p>7/23/2018</p>	<p>Letter</p>	<p>Concerned that we do not have any agreed upon plan for financing the needed work for the infrastructure improvements – trails, toilets, and transportation - within the CWNRCRA. We also do not have finalized trail and transportation plans developed and agreed upon by the various stakeholders. There is great risk in giving wilderness advocates all that they want now before having their support for other infrastructure needs. The legislation should have a sentence specifying legislative intent – “Sec. 4 (a) The boundaries of the Mount Olympus, Twin Peaks, and Lone Peak Wilderness Areas shall be adjusted for the purpose of making the existing and future Bonneville Shoreline Trail alignment located outside of the designated wilderness so that it can be legally used by mountain bikes.” The yet to be seen revised maps need to accurately reflect the wilderness boundary adjustments needed for the BST. This must include the adjustment for the already constructed section of BST located between the Mount Olympus Trail and the Heughes Canyon Trail. Sec. 4 (A) (1) needs to be changed to: “(1) striking “sixteen thousand acres” and inserting “fifteen thousand eight hundred and twelve acres”; and Sec. 4 (B) (1) needs to be changed to: “(1) striking “thirteen thousand one hundred 8 acres” and inserting “thirteen thousand and seventy two acres” There is potential to add 480 acres of additional wilderness located in two nearby contiguous USFS parcels on Mount Olympus and in Thaynes Canyon. These could be added to the bill if needed to appease additional wilderness advocates. That would make the acreage in comment C above appear as an addition making “sixteen thousand two hundred and ninety two acres.”The trail to White</p>	<p>Funding is a significant barrier to addressing the wide-ranging issues within the Central Wasatch. There may be an opportunity to authorize funds for the Forest Service to implement the legislation. Language has been added to the bill for this appropriation. As the Bonneville Shoreline Trail changes are made to accommodate multiple use of the trail and make a wilderness boundary adjustment, appropriate language will be considered to maintain the appropriate protection of the area and accommodate mountain bike use. Earlier this summer, the National Park Service Trails Assistance Program, in cooperation with other stakeholders, conducted ground truthing to determine a revised alignment. The Forest Service has preliminary GIS data and will refine this alignment. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed. Earlier this summer, the National Park Service Trails Assistance Program, in cooperation with other stakeholders, conducted ground truthing to determine a revised alignment. The Forest Service has preliminary GIS data and will refine this alignment. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed. It’s eligibility for wilderness is not known at this time. The Forest Service will check title to the property and if there were any encumbrances on the land as a condition of transfer</p>	<p>Section 9 - Authorization for Appropriations has been added to the bill.</p>
<p>Norm Henderson</p>	<p>7/23/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>How will the CWC know if it truly has consensus on the federal legislation if it doesn't allow for feedback when that subject is discussed? The application of water to these land trades may be a crucial piece of any consensus agreement with the ski resorts and needs to be clearly articulated by the CWC for the public before moving forward with the legislative package. Why is the Bonanza Flats land not being considered for inclusion within the new Federal Legislative package? Park City is a member of CWC and is looking for a way to actually manage the land it has recently acquired. Including the land in the federal designation would be a good way to have some actual management clout on the new land. Giving the new land to the USFS and the CWNCRRA should be considered and discussed by the CWC for inclusion in the legislative package.</p>	<p>The extent of public comment on legislation belies this comment. There has been ongoing public input, three public meetings allowing public input, and numerous meetings with organization and individuals to receive input. This topic has been the topic of Mountain Accord and extensive consideration throughout the process, including comments to the Central Wasatch Commission. Bonanza Flats is outside the Central Wasatch Commission geographic boundaries.</p>	

<p>Norm Henderson</p>	<p>7/23/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>The entire premise of the land exchange in the accord is that Alta will give up Grizzly Gulch if it gets an alternative transportation connection between Little and Big Cottonwood Canyons. This would be a tunnel or a surface lift of some sort. Alta also required water from Salt Lake City to develop its transportation hub (including a 120 room hotel). The required transportation and commitment to water is not part of the current legislative package. Chairman McClintock (Federal Lands subcommittee) would not let the legislation out of committee without those key components included in the bill. The current legislation has not fixed this deficiency. Without these specifics included, there is no consensus to report to Mia Love. And, without transportation specifically addressed in the bill, it will not get out of committee once again. Concerned that the CWC is operating on somewhat of an ad hoc arbitrary basis which seems contrary to the clear intent of the Interlocal Cooperation Act which authorizes its creation. The CWC is required to create specific rules of order and procedure to govern every public meeting of the entity to ensure it comports with the Utah Open and Public Meeting Act. These rules would seem to be the place to spell out under what circumstances the public would be allowed to address the entity at any of its public meetings. While OPMA doesn't require that the public be given an opportunity to provide comments at CWC meetings, the executive board has clearly allowed for this input in every other public meeting of the commission up to this time. It would seem that the required rules of order and procedure would spell out the circumstances under by which public input would be allowed to speak and when it would not. Please</p>	<p>This bill only applies to Federal lands and Forest Service management of the Federal lands and does not mandate specific transportation solutions. Transportation solutions will be determined outside of the bill, such as the Little Cottonwood Canyon EIS by UDOT. The bill does not preclude any future transportation solutions from being implemented. The bill explicitly does not affect water rights within the NCRA (Sec. 6(a)(12)) which are governed by state law. Public comment has been allowed at every meeting of the Central Wasatch Commission and its Executive Committee. It is agreed that the Utah Open and Public Meeting Act applies to the action of the Central Wasatch Commission.</p>	
------------------------------	------------------	--------------	---	---	--

<p>Kevin Dwyer</p>	<p>7/24/2018</p>	<p>Letter</p>	<p>The CWCNRAA fails to acknowledge the usage and interests of mountain bikers (MTBers), through the removal of an existing trail in White Pine Canyon and the failure to adequately ensure the completion of a bike-able Bonneville Shoreline Trail. While the CWCNRAA would create thousands of acres of Wilderness, all off limits to MTBers, these areas are appropriate for that protection and are eager to support the bill, provided White Pine Canyon and Bonneville Shoreline Trail are bike-able. WPC has been used by MTBers for more than twenty years. There have been no issues with MTBers using the White Pine Canyon road. This contrasts starkly with the impacts of HELICOPTER SKIING operations, which are proposed to continue in the White Pine Canyon Special Management Area (SMA) and have a history and documented impacts on air, noise and visual quality of the entire area. Additionally, White Pine Canyon is a necessary crossing for MTBers enjoying the Quarry Trail and extensions, planned to run the length of Little Cottonwood Canyon, making the passage safer and more enjoyable than the present forcing of cyclists to SR 210. Under CWCNRAA, Sec. 5 (b) 2 A, the White Pine SMA will ban mechanized travel, such as MTBs, and, is therefore, a recreation takeaway, made totally oxymoronic by the allowance for helicopters, and which ignores a perfect situation for sustainable and expanded recreation resource. SLVTS and its constituents will not stand for this takeaway, which promotes elite commercialized recreation over the sustainable low-impact recreation needs of the community. The BST is the primary trail resource for the vast majority of Salt Lake Valley residents. The BST is intended as a multi-use trail. However, its functionality as</p>	<p>The bill opens up areas of the BST in wilderness that are currently restricted, not allowing mountain biking. Wilderness can only be adjusted through federal legislation. Earlier this summer, the National Park Service Trails Assistance Program, in cooperation with other stakeholders, conducted ground truthing to determine a revised alignment. The Forest Service has preliminary GIS data and will refine this alignment. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed. The issue of allowing mountain biking in the White Pine Special Management Area has been the subject of more public comment from those both favoring and opposing having White Pine Canyon open for mountain biking (mechanized travel). The Central Wasatch Commission will consider this issue as it deliberates about proposed legislation. A map with additional adjustments to the BST has not been created. The most recent version of the NCRA map is dated 07-11-2016 (Nov. 7, 2016). The Forest Service has preliminary GIS data and will refine this alignment. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed.</p>	
---------------------------	------------------	---------------	--	---	--

John Knoblock	7/25/2018	Email	Concerned that we do not have any agreed upon plan for financing the needed work for the infrastructure improvements – trails, toilets, and transportation - within the CWNRCRA. Also do not have finalized trail and transportation plans developed and agreed upon by the various stakeholders. There is great risk in giving wilderness advocates all that they want now before having their support for other infrastructure needs. Can support the legislation in order to move the wilderness boundaries to allow for a shared use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. However, the current CWNCRA draft is unacceptable and requires the changes for support. The wilderness boundary on the map and stated acreage must be changed to make the Bonneville Shoreline Trail outside of the wilderness, including the section already built south of the Mount Olympus Trail, along with the other eleven small sections. Also, the existing mountain bike recreation allowed in White Pine Canyon must continue to be allowed.	Funding is a significant barrier to addressing the wide-ranging issues within the Central Wasatch. There may be an opportunity to authorize funds for the Forest Service to implement the legislation. Language has been added to the bill for this appropriation. Earlier this summer, the National Park Service Trails Assistance Program, in cooperation with other stakeholders, conducted ground truthing to determine a revised alignment. The Forest Service has preliminary GIS data and will refine this alignment. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed.	Section 9 - Authorization for Appropriations has been added to the bill.
John Knoblock	7/25/2018	Email	The White Pine recreation take-away is an issue that Trails Utah supports but did not focus on. The International Mountain Bike Association certainly has a problem with adding significant new Wilderness Area while simultaneously taking away existing mountain biking trails in a Special Management Area. The Salt Lake Valley Trails Society also opposes the White Pine bicycle recreation take-away.	The issue of allowing mountain biking in the White Pine Special Management Area has been the subject of more public comment from those both favoring and opposing having White Pine Canyon open for mountain biking (mechanized travel). The Central Wasatch Commission will consider this issue as it deliberates about proposed legislation.	
John Knoblock	7/25/2018	Email	Not sold on the validity of Strava Heat Maps, but the picture indicates that the majority of foot traffic goes toward Red Pine rather than White Pine. That makes sense as going to White Pine is a much further distance, making it less attractive to hikers and more amenable to mountain biking.	The issue of allowing mountain biking in the White Pine Special Management Area has been the subject of more public comment from those both favoring and opposing having White Pine Canyon open for mountain biking (mechanized travel). The Central Wasatch Commission will consider this issue as it deliberates about proposed legislation.	

Ali Asdan	7/26/2018	Public Comment	<p>Perception of fairness is not present in this meeting because of the timing. Consider rescheduling or make it public online. Consider saving money by not renting a space. Land swap - nobody talks about how ski resorts are getting the most benefit out of this. Transportation solutions need to be considered. The limited parking space is a major issue. Ski resorts should provide transportation to their locations to people going up there to utilize their services. White Pine ski area - hikers and families cause much more damage than mountain bikers. Mountain bikers are a steward of the land. Mountain bikers should be able to continue to utilize those trails, as they have been there long before the Sierra Club or USFS existed.</p>	<p>The Central Wasatch Commission will look into streaming its public meetings. The Central Wasatch Commission has moved its Regular Meeting schedule to 4-6 P.M. to make it easier for the public to attend and participate in their meetings. This comment doesn't specifically address legislation. Central Wasatch Commission has office space and will continue to provide office space for its employees. This bill only applies to Federal lands and Forest Service management of the Federal lands and does not mandate specific transportation solutions. Transportation solutions will be determined outside of the bill, such as the Little Cottonwood Canyon EIS by UDOT. The bill does not preclude any future transportation solutions from being implemented. The issue of allowing mountain biking in the White Pine Special Management Area has been the subject of more public comment from those both favoring and opposing having White Pine Canyon open for mountain biking (mechanized travel). The Central Wasatch Commission will consider this issue as it deliberates about proposed legislation.</p>	
Carl Fisher	7/26/2018	Email	<p>Modifications to the legislation are unsupportable.</p>	<p>Central Wasatch Commission is considering all comments on the legislation and modifying as necessary to address issues while supporting the Mountain Accord agreement.</p>	

Carl Fisher	7/26/2018	Letter	<p>The purpose of the NCRA Legislation is to aid an overhaul of the management of the Central Wasatch, specifically as it pertains to the management of federally managed public lands. It breaks the mold of our current management regime; allowing resort boundary modification where the current policy prohibits such activity, allowing bikes in areas that today are wilderness, expanding the transportation corridor to allow of the analysis of transportation systems we find controversial, and facilitating land exchanges that aid partnering ski areas in better managing their base areas while protecting prized backcountry terrain, for example. The expectation was that this NCRA legislation would help solidify the needs of the conservation community to counter-balance some of the threats coming from administrative processes in process or initiating at several jurisdictional levels. The premise of doing this was that if everyone all gave a little, perhaps everyone could gain a lot -- concerned what we are witnessing is watered down protections. Sec. 3(b)(3) & (4) – Purpose: Facilitate and accommodate improved access and recreation. If human visitation is the single greatest impact we are seeing to these areas, “facilitate” and “accommodate” should not be the operative words dealing with recreation, visitation and access. Rather, we should be working to actively manage, restrict and design a system that prioritizes preservation of irreplaceable natural resources in the face of overwhelming visitation pressures. Our fear is that the legislation is a directive to cave to the increasing and evolving recreation demand at the expense of wildness and conservation. Being that these purposes are continually referenced throughout the</p>	<p>We appreciate the description of the Mountain Accord process and effort to accommodate the many interersts, uses, and resource protection needs of the Central Wasatch. The purposes have not been changed since introduction of HR 5718. Adaptive Management has been used for decades in public land management to describe a process of review and evolution of management ot protect resources based on improved information and the conditions over time. Legislative drafters will look at whether there is an appropriate definition to add to the legislation. No substantive changes to Sec. 3(c)(4) have been made. Subparagraph 3(c)(4)(A) "conserve, protect, and enhance the resources of the Conservation and Recreation Area" was moved to Sec. 3(d) Management in paragraph (1)(A) to be statutorily consistent with federal land designations. The legislation was drafted to not preclude any transporation solutions within the corridor. Transportation solutions would be analyzed and addressed in a separate process outside of the legislation. Sec. 6(a)(8)(B)(i) states any adjustments to the transportation cooridor must go through NEPA and be consistent with the purposes of the NCRA in Section 3(b). Earlier this summer, the National Park Service Trails Assistance Program, in cooperation with other stakeholders, conducted ground truthing to determine a revised alignment. The Forest Service</p>	<p>The 8/2 Draft legislation would remove the Alta Ski Lifts from the permit boundary adjustment Sec 6(a)(10)(C). The 8/2 Draft legislation would remove the Alta Ski Lifts land exchange of HR 5718 and Mountain Accord.</p>
-------------	-----------	--------	---	--	---

Carl Fisher	7/26/2018	Public Comment	<p>We think the NCRA legislation helps solidify some of the needs of the conservation community. If human visitation is the greatest impact to the Wasatch, I don't know that the words "facilitating" and "accommodating" should be the operative words used. The use of the words "adaptive management" are concerning, as they don't understand what that means. Would like a definition of this. The allowance of ski lifts in an unrestricted transportation corridor is concerning. Any land that is essentially not wilderness in the NCRA could become a transportation corridor, and that's concerning. Reductions to Mount Olympus wilderness may seem small, but they're not. We should not be so transactional when it comes to our wilderness.</p>	<p>We appreciate the description of the Mountain Accord process and effort to accommodate the many interests, uses, and resource protection needs of the Central Wasatch. These provisions were developed as part of the agreed-upon approaches in Mountain Accord and reflect, in combination with other provisions the balancing of interests, uses, and protection for the Central Wasatch. Adaptive Management has been used for decades in public land management to describe a process of review and evolution of management to protect resources based on improved information and the conditions over time. Legislative drafters will look at whether there is an appropriate definition to add to the legislation. The legislation was drafted to not preclude any transportation solutions within the corridor. Transportation solutions would be analyzed and addressed in a separate process outside of the legislation. Sec. 6(a)(8)(B)(i) states any adjustments to the transportation corridor must go through NEPA and be consistent with the purposes of the NCRA in Section 3(b). As the Bonneville Shoreline Trail changes are made to accommodate multiple use of the trail and make a wilderness boundary adjustment, appropriate language will be considered to maintain the appropriate protection of the area and accommodate mountain bike use.</p>	
Greg Schiffman	7/26/2018	Public Comment	<p>This legislation is based on the Mountain Accord, which violated open meetings laws. Now you are attempting to go around the state legislature. Have you presented this proposal to our state house or senate? Have you scheduled committee hearing this summer and where? Do you have the support of legislators? Take this proposal to state house and senate for review.</p>	<p>Mountain Accord was signed by State Leadership (Governor, President of the Senate, Speaker of the House). It explicitly called for implementing Congressional legislation. Coordination will continue to occur with implementation.</p>	
Greg Schiffman	7/26/2018	Letter	<p>This Commission is attempting to go around the State Legislature. Concerned the Federal Designation proposal has not been presented to the State House and Senate; has not had scheduled committee hearings for the summer; and does not have support of legislators in writing. This proposal needs to go to the State House and Senate for full review, otherwise the public trust will erode.</p>	<p>Mountain Accord was signed by State Leadership (Governor, President of the Senate, Speaker of the House). It explicitly called for implementing Congressional legislation. Coordination will continue to occur with implementation.</p>	

<p>John Knoblock</p>	<p>7/26/2018</p>	<p>Public Comment</p>	<p>We need the facilities to accommodate increasing public use and prepare for the future. Wilderness adjustments for BST - Why can we have a deal where wilderness advocates get 8,600 acres of new wilderness, yet they're unwilling to move the wilderness boundary for the BST by 240 acres. That doesn't make sense. This doesn't meet the intent of the writers of the Wilderness Act. To try to attempt to say we'll build a second parallel trail down later is never going to happen in our lives because the USFS doesn't want that. The existing White Pine mountain bike use should continue. We need to keep the promises that were made and maintain the existing use there.</p>	<p>Section 3(b)(3)-(4) designate the two purposes of the NCRA as facilitating recreation systems and improved access. Section 6(a)(8)(A) provides for "roadway improvements, public transportation, bus stops, stations, and public amenities, such as restrooms, trails, trailheads, bike lanes, and pedestrian infrastructure" within the NCRA. Wilderness removal is a significant act because the Wilderness Act designates federal land as wilderness with the intention of that land being wilderness permanently. Thus, only Congress can designate or remove wilderness. The Mountain Accord agreement recognized a need to remove limited wilderness to accommodate the BST. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed. The issue of allowing mountain biking in the White Pine Special Management Area has been the subject of more public comment from those both favoring and opposing having White Pine Canyon open for mountain biking (mechanized travel). The Central Wasatch Commission will consider this issue as it deliberates about proposed legislation.</p>	
-----------------------------	------------------	-----------------------	--	--	--

<p>Kevin Dwyer</p>	<p>7/26/2018</p>	<p>Public Comment</p>	<p>Process - There isn't a website, can't find director's email, no central repository of documents. It's very opaque. Move more slowly and put out a broad range of info, solicit comment, and have a website. White Pine - This is a recreation takeaway. Comments were mischaracterized in comment matrix. Reconsider the SMA and mandate that no mechanized travel be used there; it's inconsistent with prior use. This results in a net loss for mountain bikers and they will lose a resource. Trails are number one recreation priority of Salt Lake County residents. Every local group in the room wants to see more mountain bike trails. Encourage the Commission to make the amendments necessary to make the adjustments on the BST from Mount Olympus to Hughes Canyon.</p>	<p>In the public Utah public notice website, https://www.utah.gov/pmn/sitemap/publicbody/5673.html, materials and notices of meetings have been made available to the public for input and notice. Emails addresses have been provided for public comment. Press releases have also been issued and there has been some reporting in the press. The hundreds of public comment reflect that the interested public has been able to be aware of and participate in the CWC actions. The issue of allowing mountain biking in the White Pine Special Management Area has been the subject of more public comment from those both favoring and opposing having White Pine Canyon open for mountain biking (mechanized travel). The Central Wasatch Commission will consider this issue as it deliberates about proposed legislation. The Forest Service has preliminary GIS data and will refine this alignment. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed.</p>	
<p>Mary Young</p>	<p>7/26/2018</p>	<p>Public Comment</p>	<p>Grateful for the work and changes that have been made. Great responsiveness from the governing bodies. Knows we'll never make everyone happy but grateful to see things working.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. CWC will continue to engage stakeholders as the bill continues through the legislative process.</p>	

<p>Norm Henderson</p>	<p>7/26/2018</p>	<p>Public Comment & Letter (Multiple)</p>	<p>Urges the committee to not move the legislation forward at this time. CWC does not have the authority to conduct its business since it's in violation of the Interlocal Cooperation Act. The CWC should not make any final decisions until it is in compliance with the law. The legislation is similar to what went forward back in November of 2016. The chairman prevented a vote on the bill because transportation was not addressed in the bill, and the current bill still does not address transportation and the same chairman presides. The consensus is suspicious because of its lack of compliance with the law. With Grizzly Gulch gone, many people have withdrawn their support. Even SLC public utilities has withdrawn their commitment to provide water. Many of the original consensus components are now missing and now it seems to be a blatant land grab for the ski resorts. There is no clear public purpose for the land trades. The purpose has clearly changed, but nowhere is it expressed in the legislation or the documents provided to the public. If better public access is the goal for these land trades, there are other alternatives possible other than exchanging them with the ski resort. (Purchase land, purchase conservation easements, etc.) These have never been explored. Other lands in the Wasatch should also be brought into the process. It seems odd that the Mountain Accord and CWC veering off on its own when the state is also trying to manage this and provide a comprehensive program to manage the lands in the Wasatch. The CWC hasn't completed its organizational structure. It has yet to implement the stakeholder council that was supposed to be part of the Wasatch Commission. It should have been in place to evaluate what we're talking about today.</p>	<p>Central Wasatch Commission is complying with the law. The 2018 legislation, if moved forward by Congress has some changes, and we will look forward to working with members of Congress if it moves forward. Central Wasatch Commission is complying with the law. Ongoing efforts are made to find a solution with Alta Ski Lifts. If a new agreement is made with Alta Ski Lifts, this can be incorporated into the bill. The draft legislation follows the direction of Mountain Accord. The draft legislation has been the subject of consideration and public input. While other alternatives are available and have been considered, Mountain Accord concluded with land exchange proposals reflected in the draft legislation. There have been no proposals for the State to manage the Central Wasatch Mountains. A Stakeholders Committee will be formed, consistent with the Interlocal Agreement. Extensive public input was provided and stakeholders have been actively engaged in consideration of the Draft legislation.</p>	
<p>Will McCarvill</p>	<p>7/26/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Proposal for the BST alignment mentioned two parcels of about 400 acres of FS land that could be added to Mt Olympus Wilderness. These were Boy Scout private lands that were given or sold to the FS since the original bill was introduced. Are these in the draft? Not sure the Alta fight belongs in this bill. Each ski area is given the same process to work out an exchange, whether they take it or not is a different subject. Would it be possible to use FCOZ or extraterritorial rights regarding water to raise a barrier to Alta that they may not want to hurdle?</p>	<p>The wilderness eligibility of the lands for inclusion in the Mount Olympus Wilderness Area is not known at this time. The Forest Service will check title to the property and if there were any encumbrances on the land as a condition of transfer to the Forest Service. In the 8/2/18 Draft of the Legislation, Alta Ski Lifts land exchange has been removed from the Bill.</p>	

<p>Norm Henderson</p>	<p>7/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Best way to ensure sufficient consensus on the CWNCRRA legislation is to have the state Natural Resources Committee and County Council issue formal resolutions of concurrence. Without these you are taking the word of a nine member commission who has received nothing but negative feedback lately and doesn't even have it's promised Stakeholder Council or proper meeting protocols in place (rules of order and procedure). The best way to handle the CWNCRRA legislation is to actively seek a recommendation/resolution from the state and county. The county should wait until its federal land planning efforts are complete. Also strip the land exchange piece of the legislation out and turn it back to the USFS administrative process. Having a locally driven EIS process with a clearly articulated purpose and need completed before asking congress to actually make specific exchanges makes more sense to ensure that the public has been properly engaged. There is no reason to rush this through without these necessary steps in place. Jamming through the land exchanges backwards like this is reminiscent of how the Snow Basin land exchanges occurred for another big land developer. Suggests to not call on Senator Hatch to champion a companion bill in the senate.</p>	<p>The State has been engaged thought the Mountain Accord process and has been consulted in development of the Draft legislation. A representative of the State serves as a Central Wasatch Commissioner. The State has been engaged thought the Mountain Accord process and has been consulted in development of the Draft legislation. A representative of the State serves as a Central Wasatch Commissioner. The bill does not execute the land exchanges. The bill directs the Forest Service to carry out the statutory land exchange process if the resorts offer lands for exchange. It would go through the standard Forest Service exchange process, including NEPA.</p>	
<p>Nick Wilkes</p>	<p>8/1/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>The proposed boundaries remove existing and potential future mountain biking recreation in a beautiful drainage (White Pine Canyon), as well as prevent recreation and future trail alignments to extend the Quarry trail at the base of White Pine. As proposed this is net takeaway for recreation opportunity. The act misses an opportunity to support the future Bonneville Shoreline Trail connectivity along the Wasatch front by not adjusting wilderness boundaries near Mt. Olympus and Heughs Canyon. A simple adjustment to the boundary in these two locations will improve the use of BST for all user groups.</p>	<p>The issue of allowing mountain biking in the White Pine Special Management Area has been the subject of more public comment from those both favoring and opposing having White Pine Canyon open for mountain biking (mechanized travel). The Central Wasatch Commission will consider this issue as it deliberates about proposed legislation. The bill opens up areas of the BST in wilderness that are currently restricted to mountain biking. Wilderness can only be adjusted through federal legislation. Earlier this summer, the National Park Service Trails Assistance Program, in cooperation with other stakeholders, conducted ground truthing to determine a revised alignment. The Forest Service has preliminary GIS data and will refine this alignment. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed.</p>	

Caleb Norman	8/2/2018	Email	Urges the Commission to vote for maintaining access and future trail possibilities for cyclists in the areas of White Pine Canyon and the Sany/Draper portion of the Bonneville Shoreline Trail. These areas are well appreciated by both the local and travelling cycling communities. A vote to restrict access to either section would be a huge blow to the enjoyment of Salt Lake City Mountain Bikers.	The bill would open up areas of the BST in wilderness that are currently restricted to mountain biking. Wilderness can only be adjusted through federal legislation. Earlier this summer, the National Park Service Trails Assistance Program, in cooperation with other stakeholders, conducted ground truthing to determine a revised alignment. The Forest Service has preliminary GIS data and will refine this alignment. Parties are working together to arrive at agreed-upon adjustments, but the work has not been completed.	
John Knoblock	8/2/2018	Email	Since the CWCNRA legislation will permanently fix the Snowbird Resort boundary, the ski resort expansion into White Pine will no longer be a threat. Therefore, uses in White Pine could just be controlled by the CWCNRA Management Plan and an SMA would not be required.	The White Pine SMA was the result of extensive discussion in the Mountain Accord process to arrive at additional protections of the sensitive and heavily used area.	
Jared Meek	8/3/2018	Email	I am very concerned about the possibility of formalizing mountain biking in White Pine and Alta's removal of Grizzly Gulch from land exchanges. I support the Special Management Area designation of White Pine as part of the CWCNRA and oppose mountain biking in White Pine due to concerns about safety, the proliferation of trails in a fragile alpine area, and inducing more use on an already overtaxed trailhead and trail. Additionally, Alta's removal of Grizzly Gulch from the land swaps and their increasing appetite for development is not the desired outcome for the federal legislation. Please limit mountain biking in White Pine and move forward with the CWCNRA without Alta. We must protect the Wasatch's pristine high elevation lands before they become more fragmented and developed.	Thank you for your comment. Mountain biking has been prohibited in White Pine in the 10/26 CWCNRA Draft Bill. After months of discussion with all parties, and at the request of Alta Ski Lifts, Alta Ski Lifts was removed from the CWCNRA. This means that ASL will retain all of its private lands, and lands on which they hold special permits, including Grizzly Gulch, and retain the ability the Ski Resort has today to administratively apply for ski area expansions.	Thank you for your comment. Mountain biking has been prohibited in White Pine in the 10/26 CWCNRA Draft Bill. After months of discussion with all parties, and at the request of Alta Ski Lifts, Alta Ski Lifts was removed from the CWCNRA. This means that ASL will retain all of its private lands, and lands on which they hold special permits, including Grizzly Gulch, and retain the ability the Ski Resort has today to administratively apply for ski area expansions.
Jonathan Webber	8/6/2018	Email	I am concerned that the proposed restrictions in the legislation proposed in HR 5718:Central Wasatch National Conservation and Recreation Area Act will adversely affect the company's ability to maintain our facilities and supply this needed water to our homes. Accommodation has been made for the ski areas and the heli-skiers for helicopter/motorized access on a daily basis. Provision must be made for continued access to the White Pine Reservoir and dam. To that end, I propose amending the legislation Section 5 (b) 2A to provide for maintenance access to support the dam.	Thank you for your comment. The 10/26 CWCNRA Draft Bill specifically allows maintenance and administrative access for the South Despain Ditch Company. Language has been added to the Bill in Section 5 in conjunction with representative of South Despain Ditch Company to assure the protections needed for their operations and future improvements.	Thank you for your comment. The 10/26 CWCNRA specifically allows maintenance and administrative access for the South Despain Ditch Company. Language has been added to the Bill in conjunction with representative of South Despain Ditch Company to assure the protections needed for their operations and future improvements.

Brian Hutchinson	8/6/2018	Email	<p>Fund study of effects of all types of development on wildlife, watershed and visitor experience and safety. Design/support a Wasatch Canyon Restoration Campaign. Expand/enforce county ordinance prohibitions on ridgeline development.</p> <p>Expand/enforce trail restrictions to motorized (combustible fuel/electric) ATV, motorcycle, mountain bike and other mechanized transport. Entice bus/shuttle ridership through the reduced transit times of dedicated single-resort routes.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The Environmental Dashboard project will measure various indicators including soil, water, air, and wildlife health measured against multi-use projections. The Dashboard will be hosted on the CWC website in 2019. The CWC is working with many partner agencies who have authorities in the Canyons. Salt Lake County is currently updating the Wasatch Canyons General Plan (last adopted in 1989). The purpose of this plan is to guide future development and preservation in the canyons. The CWCNRA will permanently fix the participating ski resorts' boundaries. A landmark of the CWCNRA is that it creates 8,000 new acres of Wilderness areas, which prohibits all mechanized and motorized travel. The CWC is working with State transportation agencies to implement short- and long-term transportation improvements in the canyons.</p>	
Suzie Ellison	8/6/2018	Email	<p>I am very concerned about the possibility of formalizing mountain biking in White Pine and Alta's removal of Grizzly Gulch from land exchanges. I support the Special Management Area designation of White Pine as part of the CWCNRA and oppose mountain biking in White Pine due to concerns about safety, the proliferation of trails in a fragile alpine area, and inducing more use on an already overtaxed trailhead and trail. Additionally, Alta's removal of Grizzly Gulch from the land swaps and their increasing appetite for development is not the desired outcome for the federal legislation. Please limit mountain biking in White Pine and move forward with the CWCNRA without Alta. We must protect the Wasatch's pristine high elevation lands before they become more fragmented and developed.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Mountain biking has been prohibited in White Pine in the 10/26 CWCNRA Draft Bill. After months of discussion with all parties, and at the request of Alta Ski Lifts, Alta Ski Lifts was removed from the CWCNRA. This means that ASL will retain all of its private lands, and lands on which they hold special permits, including Grizzly Gulch, and retain the ability the Ski Resort has today to administratively apply for ski area expansions.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Mountain biking has been prohibited in White Pine in the 10/26 CWCNRA Draft Bill. After months of discussion with all parties, and at the request of Alta Ski Lifts, Alta Ski Lifts was removed from the CWCNRA. This means that ASL will retain all of its private lands, and lands on which they hold special permits, including Grizzly Gulch, and retain the ability the Ski Resort has today to administratively apply for ski area expansions.</p>

Kellie Smith	8/6/2018	Email	I am very concerned about the possibility of formalizing mountain biking in White Pine and Alta's removal of Grizzly Gulch from land exchanges. I support the Special Management Area designation of White Pine as part of the CWCNRA and oppose mountain biking in White Pine due to concerns about safety, the proliferation of trails in a fragile alpine area, and inducing more use on an already overtaxed trailhead and trail. Additionally, Alta's removal of Grizzly Gulch from the land swaps and their increasing appetite for development is not the desired outcome for the federal legislation. Please limit mountain biking in White Pine and move forward with the CWNCRRA without Alta. We must protect the Wasatch's pristine high elevation lands before they become more fragmented and developed.	Thank you for your comment. Mountain biking has been prohibited in White Pine in the 10/26 CWNCRRA Draft Bill. After months of discussion with all parties, and at the request of Alta Ski Lifts, Alta Ski Lifts was removed from the CWNCRRA. This means that ASL will retain all of its private lands, and lands on which they hold special permits, including Grizzly Gulch, and retain the ability the Ski Resort has today to administratively apply for ski area expansions.	Thank you for your comment. Mountain biking has been prohibited in White Pine in the 10/26 CWNCRRA Draft Bill. After months of discussion with all parties, and at the request of Alta Ski Lifts, Alta Ski Lifts was removed from the CWNCRRA. This means that ASL will retain all of its private lands, and lands on which they hold special permits, including Grizzly Gulch, and retain the ability the Ski Resort has today to administratively apply for ski area expansions.
Dwight Butler	8/6/2018	Email	I am very concerned about the possibility of formalizing mountain biking in White Pine and Alta's removal of Grizzly Gulch from land exchanges. I support the Special Management Area designation of White Pine as part of the CWCNRA and oppose mountain biking in White Pine due to concerns about safety, the proliferation of trails in a fragile alpine area, and inducing more use on an already overtaxed trailhead and trail. Additionally, Alta's removal of Grizzly Gulch from the land swaps and their increasing appetite for development is not the desired outcome for the federal legislation. Please limit mountain biking in White Pine and move forward with the CWNCRRA without Alta. We must protect the Wasatch's pristine high elevation lands before they become more fragmented and developed.	Thank you for your comment. Mountain biking has been prohibited in White Pine in the 10/26 CWNCRRA Draft Bill. After months of discussion with all parties, and at the request of Alta Ski Lifts, Alta Ski Lifts was removed from the CWNCRRA. This means that ASL will retain all of its private lands, and lands on which they hold special permits, including Grizzly Gulch, and retain the ability the Ski Resort has today to administratively apply for ski area expansions.	Thank you for your comment. Mountain biking has been prohibited in White Pine in the 10/26 CWNCRRA Draft Bill. After months of discussion with all parties, and at the request of Alta Ski Lifts, Alta Ski Lifts was removed from the CWNCRRA. This means that ASL will retain all of its private lands, and lands on which they hold special permits, including Grizzly Gulch, and retain the ability the Ski Resort has today to administratively apply for ski area expansions.
Susan Allen	8/27/2018	Email	Supportive of the legislation	Thank you for your comment	

Luke Golden	8/28/2018	Email	I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands. --If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --make White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta	The CWC agrees with these objectives and has attempted to reflect them in the Draft legislation. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST. The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange.	
Mark Billie	8/29/2018	Email	I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands. --If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --make White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta	The CWC agrees with these objectives and has attempted to reflect them in the Draft legislation. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST. The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange.	
Jack Stauss	8/29/2018	Email	Keep Grizzly wild, keep the ridgelines windy and craggy, and let the forests grow.	At Alta Ski Lift's request, ASL's private land holdings, including the lands it holds special use permits on has been removed from the legislation. The CWNCRA creates 8,000 new acres of Wilderness areas, and permanently fixes the participating ski resort boundaries.	

<p>Marcia Cleason</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water for snowmaking, Salt Lake City, to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Sam Pelletier</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Doug Krause	8/29/2018	Email	Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.	The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.	
Shawn Hansen	8/29/2018	Email	Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.	The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.	
Mary Paul	8/29/2018	Email	As a fifth generation Utahn, I have a love and connection for our canyons that I feel is my responsibility to preserve for my children to enjoy as well. Over the past several decades, I have seen our local population skyrocket and demand for precious resources such as water and land tighten. This is why I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands:	The CWC agrees with these objectives and has attempted to reflect them in the Draft legislation	

Christopher Smith	8/29/2018	Email	I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to request these changes: If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies	As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City, to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest.	
Danielle Alling	8/29/2018	Email	I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to request these changes: If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies	As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City, to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest.	
Ian Wade	8/29/2018	Email	Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. If Grizzly Gulch should be protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta	The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange.	

<p>Barbara Kopelman</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>David Hubbell</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Merrill Bitter</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Dale Lee</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Nicola Nelson</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Luke Richins</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see the public lands be in the hands of the public, not private ski resorts that can keep customers away with high prices and not allowing subsets of the population (snowboarders) to use public lands. I would like to see Alta not be able to leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. Grizzly Gulch should stay wild.</p>	<p>The CWNCRA would permanently fix participating ski resort boundaries and create 8,000 new acres of Wilderness land in the 80,000 acre project area. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange.</p>	

James Thompson	8/29/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Les Shelledy	8/29/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Harold Carr	8/29/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Erme Catino	8/29/2018	Email	<p>The NCRA is a milestone in our current society. Bi-partisan agreement on preserving the Central Wasatch as population grows and generations can experience the beauty, recreation opportunities, pristine water, and wildlife for which many of us moved here. I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Dan Hohl	8/29/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Beb Greely	8/29/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Jeanine Kuhn-Coker</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Julia Hohl</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Gabrielle Roh</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Anna Keeling</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Lois Remington</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Marjorie McCloy</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Yukio Kachi	8/29/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Aaron London	8/29/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Christine Barker</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Jackson Smith</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Grant Hockin</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Lenore Reeves</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Brent Tucker</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Lorenz Steininger</p>	<p>8/29/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Jon Hager	8/29/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Eric Zdilla	8/29/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Pat Annoni	8/29/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
James Mulcare	8/30/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Polly McLean	8/30/2018	Email	Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.	The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.	
---------------------	-----------	-------	--	--	--

<p>Evan Johnson</p>	<p>8/30/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Zooming in on one Public Land Trade of up to 160 acres of base camp for 600 acres of land the public has used, is using, and will always use is problematic. If Mountain Accord had been held meetings and records open to the public, and the canyon landowners of 20% of the canyon included, then consensus would have occurred. CWC has made improvements, but it's premature to propose new federal legislation until the new process has had a chance to run its course.</p>	<p>The land exchange process, as explained by the US Forest Service and summarized in materials available on the CWC Utah Public Notice Website, https://www.utah.gov/pmn/sitemap/publicbody/5673.html, requires an equal value exchange, public process, and other protections for the public interest. The CWCNCRA proposal would authorize an exchange but follow the FS process to determine the factors of concern in this comment. As noted, the CWC has committed to an open, inclusive, transparent process. Thus far, there have been multiple comment opportunities on the past (HR 5718, 2016) Congressional proposal as well as drafts of possible Congressional legislation going forward. And, those comments have been summarized, responded to, and made available for all interested persons to access on the CWC Utah Public Notice website. We welcome comments as the draft legislation continues to be considered for recommendation by the CWC to the Utah Congressional Delegation. Mountain Accord held numerous public meetings and opportunities for public comment, and the thousands of participants and dozens of stakeholders shaped the consensus agreement signed by all local and state jurisdictions, the business community (including the ski resorts), environmental groups, and many individuals.</p>	
----------------------------	------------------	--------------	---	---	--

<p>Craig Wallentine</p>	<p>8/30/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Heather Mendiola</p>	<p>8/30/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Ryan Quinn	8/31/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Matt Monson	8/31/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Nancy Halden</p>	<p>8/31/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Parker Olsen</p>	<p>8/31/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Bob Brister	8/31/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Esther Garvett	9/2/2018	Email	<p>Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City, to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Bob Speiser	9/4/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands. --If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --make White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Jane Bowman	9/4/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands. --If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --make White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Barbara Gentry</p>	<p>9/4/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands. --If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --make White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Doug Krause</p>	<p>9/4/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands. --If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --make White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Lawrence Crowley</p>	<p>9/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands. --If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --make White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Louella Ash</p>	<p>9/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands. --If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --make White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Anna Tedesco</p>	<p>9/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands. --If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --make White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Jean Tabin</p>	<p>9/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Merrill Ford	9/5/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Charles Lewinsohn	9/6/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Mark Allen</p>	<p>9/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands before any more "progress" is attempted to consummate a deal. Prior to any land trades or designations, its imperative to map and identify the known mine tailings / heavy metals. It would be improper for the resorts to dump these on the public and then landlock them from clean up via some designation. The public then would have to pay forever for watershed / heavy metals removal at the bottom of the canyon vs, cleaning up the sources of contamination once. The resorts should request Central Tax Assessing remove all their patented mine claims and request they be locally assessed. This simple procedure would then require county recorders to appropriately map and record ownership of private lands. These items are the horse before the cart... we have it backwards right now with zeal to get the deal done. It will be a bad outcome if we don't identify the hazards before being boondoggled. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to not make any request of Congress until the mapping has been done.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Salt Lake County Improvement District #3 is charged with heavy metals remediation in its part of the Wasatch Mountains. Salt Lake City, the U.S. Forest Service, Salt Lake County Health Department, and other federal, state, and local agencies closely monitor and work to address water quality issues, including heavy metals. As part of the land exchange process, the Forest Service does additional evaluation of any environmental hazards, including mine waste. The CWNCRA and the processes leading up to its development and consideration have enhanced mapping and other information, and the ability to address public concerns about mine and minerals impacts on water quality. The CWC welcomes any additional information you may be able to provide.</p>	
<p>Kathy Schmidt</p>	<p>9/7/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Julia Garfield</p>	<p>9/8/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>James Thompson</p>	<p>9/8/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Craig Wallentine</p>	<p>9/9/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Rob Phillips</p>	<p>9/10/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Ann Wechsler</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Pat Annoni</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Lenore Reeves</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>John Woeste</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Don McKelvey</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Aaron London</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Craig Denton</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA. If we lose this opportunity, I'm afraid the Central Wasatch will be forever lost, and with it, what makes it special for all of us. As you decide how to proceed, I'd ask that you consider making White Pine a Wilderness Area. I also think Alta needs special scrutiny, as it seems to be backing out of the agreement that took so long and expended so much energy to create. I'm especially concerned about Grizzly Gulch. If Alta reneges and takes that out of the NCRA package, no water should be given to Alta. Ultimately, the NCRA should be for public benefit.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Connor Hansell</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Grant Sperry	9/12/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Evan Johnson	9/12/2018	Email	<p>* Don't allow Alta, as part of a land exchange, to leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary, as it's only fair that the lands outside, where the public benefits, should be negotiated. * Since Alta has removed Grizzly Gulch from the deal they should not get additional water for snowmaking or base development. * As a mountain biker, I support making White Pine a Wilderness Area - ideally with no Heli skiing, as well. * Also as a mountain biker, I support additional Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail so that it allows biking. * Also as a mountain biker, I support additional Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail so that it allows biking. I'm very excited about the potential of this bill (despite Alta's bad faith), allowing for completion of the BST, better watershed and wildlife protection, and preserved backcountry skiing opportunities. Please consider these changes before recommending the NCRA to Congress.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Richard Kanner</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Jeanine Kuhn-Coker</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Heather Mediola	9/12/2018	Email	Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.	The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.	
Steve Achelis	9/12/2018	Email	I also support making White Pine a wilderness area and limiting Alta's development.	The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest.	
Eric Strohacker	9/12/2018	Email	Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.	The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.	

Sean Tropsa	9/12/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Richard Steiner	9/12/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Knick Knickerbocker</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Ben Smith</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Claudio Holzner	9/12/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Doug Krause	9/12/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Jane Hoffman</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Warren Schanback</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Emily Sadow</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Liz Muller</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Will Richardson</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Lindsey Caudill</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

T Mullarkey	9/12/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Austin Westley	9/12/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>AnnMcMullen</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Sarah Woolsey</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Irene Rees	9/12/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Mark Vlastic	9/12/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Merril Bitter</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>MJ Miele</p>	<p>9/12/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Nancy Eisenman</p>	<p>9/13/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Dwight Butler</p>	<p>9/13/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

<p>Alison Gedlewski</p>	<p>9/13/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Chris Jackson-Jordan</p>	<p>9/13/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Lawrence Crowley	9/13/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Kathleen English	9/13/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Andrew Dressel	9/13/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Shelly Filgo	9/13/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Jan Ellen Burton	9/14/2018	Email	Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.	The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.	
Marylen Kincer	9/14/2018	Email	Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.	The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.	
Ryan Metzger	9/14/2018	Email	As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to address the following items and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress: If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.	As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.	

<p>Lisa Verzella</p>	<p>9/15/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
<p>Erik Steenburgh</p>	<p>9/15/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	

Ralph and Kay Packard	9/15/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
George Latta	9/16/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Quinn Case	9/16/2018	Email	<p>I want future generations to find the same wonder and amazement in the Wasatch as I do. As a skier, climber, hiker, and outdoor recreation enthusiast, the Wasatch provides unparalleled space for me to do the things I love doing. I could not stand to see this incredibly special place fall victim to our ignorance and manipulation. We can't fail to recognize that we have a responsibility to be a voice for a place that does not. The mountains cannot speak for themselves. The plants and animals don't get to vote on the issues that may affect their lives permanently. We cannot forget the power we have to protect those that cannot protect themselves. If we fail to protect the place so many of us call home, we don't deserve to call it home at all.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The CWC is working diligently to protect the Central Wasatch mountains for future generations.</p>	

Nick Jackson	9/17/2018	Email	<p>1. It is hard to overstate the importance of Grizzly Gulch - as a water source, place of recreation, accessible and relatively safe backcountry ski area, and gateway to a whole section of the high wasatch. Alta should be strongly encouraged to put GG back on the bargaining table, for instance by tying water use to the maintenance of public access in GG or requiring land swaps to be based only on areas outside the main ski resort. 2. The White Pine drainage should be protected from further encroachment by Snowbird, preferably by extending the wilderness area nearby.</p>	<p>The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest.</p>	
Will Nesse	9/17/2018	Email	<p>This is appalling to me. White Pine has so much worth as Wilderness. It not suitable for bikes anyway. While it might be marginally ridable, it's just not empirically what people do there, and to hold up this legislation over a single dubious user sub-groups' misgiving seems trivial and a distraction to the profound value that Wilderness designation affords. The Wasatch is an MTB Valhalla without White Pine and the NCRA directly benefits bikers overall. Instead of the White Pine distraction, bike-based adjustments should be made to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. Focus on this. Make White Pine Wilderness. The resorts are already at their capacity for the existing road. It feels as though the place is actually quite dangerous as it currently is with all the traffic jams. I think leaving the lands outside of Alta is the best course of action. I feel human powered recreation---hiking, (backcountry) skiing, and biking, and general naturalist pursuits should take precedent over these commercial ski areas, particularly Alta which is in-part leased from the forrest service. I hope in the future we can revoke the Alta FS lease and turn the Albion Basin back to an undeveloped recreation area. The ski area is a blight on an otherwise beautiful place that only benefits a few and takes from the many. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST. Alta Ski Lifts lease will be subject the Forest Service administrative review once the lease term expires.</p>	

Nate Furman	9/17/2018	Email	Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.	The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.	
--------------------	-----------	-------	--	--	--

Vaughn Cox	9/17/2018	Written Letter/Public Comment at Meeting	<p>Opposition to the CWNCRRA because it allows the transfer of many hundreds of developable acres to the ski resorts in the Cottonwood Canyons. this is land that has not been available for development and I believ should remain unavailable for development. if you as members of the Commission allow this land transfer to go forward major development will take place in the canyons. It will result in new hotels, condos, restaurants, retail stores, and other development. When this happens the Cottonwood Canyons will lose their unique nature and the natural wildness and that makes them so special. They will become like so many other ski resorts, primarily hotels, shopping malls, and restaurants. This is not what the people of Utah want to happen to the Cottonwood Canyons. Another item to consider is the new infrastructure. What would happen if ski resorts doubled in size. The additional roads, police, and fire services. The additional utilities water, sewer, and electricity. The big question is who will pay for these additional services. The answer is, not the ski resorts, but rather the citizens of your Utah. Members of the commission. Your cities will be the ones to pay for this infrastructure. You and your staff will have the head aches of managing it. Finally, the current name of the bill is misleading.If we were all a bit more honest it would be called the Cottonwood Canyon Ski Resort Expansion Act? Why do I rename this bill? Because, in essence, the Act does two things. First it takes 80,000 acres that are already controlled and managed by the US Forest Service. Second, it allows for the transfer of enough developable land to more than double the footprint of the ski resorts. The most significant part of the Act is the ski</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The CWNCRRA land exchanges between the participating ski resorts and the U.S. Forest Service would be value-for value exchanges determined to be in the interest of the public. Acreages involved in the land exchanges would depend on the values of the lands. The exchanges will follow the Forest Service adminstrative process, and the land valuation will be conducted by a third-party appraiser. The CWNCRRA does not dictate ski resort expansions, but authorizes land exchanges. Existing development agreements would be recognized, but additional expansions are not authorized. Except for a minor expansion by Brighton Ski Area into Hidden Canyon, where Brighton skiers already access from the top of the Great Western Lift, additional ski area boundary expansion would be prohibited under the CWNCRRA in the Cottonwood Canyons. Land exchanges would follow the appraisal and NEPA procedures, including extensive public involvement. (Note that the 10/26/2018 draft of the Bill, passed as amended by the CWC on 11/19/2018, excludes Alta Ski Lifts and the Town of Alta from the CWNCRRA, and the provisions re: expansion and land exchanges would not apply to Alta.)</p>	<p>Please see Response in Column P for legislative changes pertinent to the Comment. A change in the 10/26/2018 Draft Bill would allow the Ski Areas involved in the Designation to pursue acquisition of additional private lands outside their boundaries to add to their existing out-of-area lands for possible inclusion in an exchange to block up their private landholdings in their base areas.</p>
------------	-----------	--	--	--	--

John Anderson	9/17/2018	Written Letter/Public Comment at Meeting	<p>Age 81, mobility impaired, diabetic, and survivor of four heart attacks. The aged, the weak, and the sick have become a dispossessed people. We have no rights at all on the public lands owned by all Americans. We are allowed access only at the pleasure of our masters and only so long as we do not annoy. But as soon as they have no further use for us --- as soon as the environmental elitists and their puppets in government want us off of our own land --- the door is slammed in our faces. And the barricades, the threats of imprisonment, and the massive fines, scream out the discrimination directed toward our most vulnerable citizens. These closures do not apply to the Young and Healthy! They single out the Weak, The Old, The Sick, The Crippled! These are the people who are no longer welcome. The jackbooted agents of the oppressors have slammed the door in our faces telling us we're not good enough to see our own land. In the finest American Tradition -- In the tradition of Thomas Jefferson, Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., and the grand dame of American Civil Rights, Rosa Parks, I am here today to say "We won't take it anymore!" We want our land back! We want our land back!</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. We all want to maintain our access to and the ability to enjoy all that these mountains have to offer. The CWNCRA engages all the varied actors that have interest in the canyons: recreationists, conservationists, the ski resorts, and private land owners. It has never been, and never will be the intention, nor the outcome for the CWNCRA to restrict access to the the Central Wasatch mountains for anyone.</p>	
----------------------	-----------	--	--	--	--

<p>Greg Schiffman</p>	<p>9/17/2018</p>	<p>Written Letter/Public Comment at Meeting</p>	<p>My wife and I had our breath taken away when we first came to Alta and Snow Bird in 2014. The Deep Powder days drew us to Utah and we made the Move in 2016 to call Granite, Utah our home. I may not be a native of Utah. Nor have lived in Utah for long, and admittedly I'm late to the Mountain Accord and CWC process. In order to get up to speed, I have attempted to read and research as much as I can and to attend these meetings. The CWC states that their main purpose is to implement The Accord. Several Times over the past months I have went to the States public notice website, so I can listen to the Mountain Accord meetings and to better understand how you arrived at The Accord. When I typed in Mountain Accord, it produced no results and in fact says NO RESULTS FOUND on this Website. This is clearly a mistake. I wonder if you can quickly answer the following or tell me who can answer these questions: 1. Audio recordings. Who has the audio recordings for the Executive Meetings of Mountain Accord ? I would like to listen to them. 2. Watershed protection. It is stated to me after time that the proposed Federal Designation will protect the watershed. In fact Chris McCandelles states to Chairman Stratton during the Intermit Committee in August that the Water Shed was the Main Tenant of the Accord. Where are the reports that these statements are based on? I would like to read them. Also, what in the watershed is not protected now and who is falling short in their duties to protect the watershed? Surely, these issues have been identified, but I have not been able to find the information and reports. Who has them and where can I access them? 3. Land Values. I have read that land trade ratios with the ski</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Please see the Mountain Accord website: http://mountainaccord.com. There you will find meeting agendas, notes, and supporting documents. Over 300,000 people rely on water from the Cottonwood Canyons. The CWNCRRA will add increased protections to our watershed through the permanent fixture of the participating ski resort boundaries, and through the land exchanges. Both ensure that ecologically valuable, undeveloped mountainside land is exchanged to the public for already developed land at the base area of each resort. New wilderness areas and special management areas would permanently protect the land and watershed from future development. Per the land exchanges between the ski resorts and the U.S. Forest Service: the exchanges will be value-for value, meaning that any lands that the ski resorts gain will be equal in value to the lands they give up. The valuation is conducted by the U.S. Forest Service, who will bid out for a third-party appraiser, who will ultimately determine what the lands in the proposed exchanges are worth. The exchanges must be deemed to be in the public interest, meaning that if the lands exchanged to the Forest Service are found to be worth less than the lands exchanged to the ski resorts, the exchanges will not happen. The public must benefit from the exchanges. For more</p>	
------------------------------	------------------	---	---	---	--

Leslie Tate	9/22/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Matt Monson	9/26/2018	Email	<p>Make White Pine a Wilderness Area. Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta. If we can make amendments on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>The White Pine Special Management Area was a compromise agreed upon in Mountain Accord to recognize existing uses and provide wilderness-type protections for the Canyon. The CWC will continue to work to find resolution of the new position of Alta Ski Lifts to accomplish an exchange that the parties can agree upon and reflects the public interest. As an arbiter in the land exchanges, CWC will respect the position of the owner of the water, Salt Lake City to make any provision of more water for snowmaking conditional on the broader benefits for watershed protection that arise from a land exchange. The CWC will continue to work towards resolution of the White Pine mt bike issue and protection of the BST.</p>	
Todd Young	10/6/2018	Website Form	<p>RE: "CWCNCRAs Benefits For LCC and BCC Ski Areas" paper "Snowbird" you wrote "Salt Lake County will develop a resort zone...etcc" I guess this was written sometime before 2016 when the County did that. We - members of the Mountainous Planning District Planning Commission (MPD-PC) spent many weeks of 2016 developing the MRZ ordinance (Chap. 19.13), especially addressing land swaps (we decided to not include this issue in the ordinance). I know...I Chaired the Commission.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, and your correction.</p>	

<p>Daniel Thuyente</p>	<p>10/9/2018</p>	<p>Website Form</p>	<p>Hello, I do currently live in SLC but have skied there most years for 30+ years. I remember 10-12 dollar Alta lift tickets. First, thank you for taking the initiative so start the dialogue for protecting and improving the Wasatch. Transportation: It is only a matter of time before Little Cottonwood Canyon becomes only accessible during ski season via bus or train. Cars allowed only you have accommodation at Alta or Snowbird. The intermediary step is charging \$20-30 per car per day as a toll for LCC. (Rate would gradually increase over years). Season LCC pass an option but would have to equal or even exceed cost of ski season pass. School trips should not have to pay. Big Cottonwood Canyon would go down a similar path, but likely delayed a few years. Resort expansion: It's inevitable. It will eventually evolve into one of the mega resorts of Europe with ski trips to different valleys part of the experience. Done right, it could be great. Done wrong it will be terrible. (see next item) Back country access: It will be important that some areas of wild skiing remain available. You can't cover the mountains in groomed slopes. You must keep some lifts below ridgeline, to allow for some adventure and exploration. That could be lifts linking the valleys but no trails. Or only one or two groomed runs under the 'access' lifts. You must keep some acres hike in only. Heli: i love heli skiing. In fact I love many mechanized carbon spewing sports from jeeping to dune buggies. But, SLC is so big, population is only growing. Mountains are very narrow. I'm afraid heli skiing needs to not be an option for the Wasatch. I feel bad for the owners/operators but it's just the way it is. Water: I'm not a water expert. But it seems to me if you limit dogs, keep ski resorts from having fuel spills then it's</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. UDOT is conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment in Little Cottonwood Canyon that will propose transportation improvements aimed at addressing traffic congestion. The EIS is scheduled for completion in late 2019-early 2020. Improvements will likely also be proposed for Big Cottonwood Canyon. UDOT has broken the LCC EIS up and invited the CWC to address the issues beyond the narrower confines of LCC in a Corridor Transportation Plan that will be done concurrently with the LCC EIS. It will include looking comprehensively at a broad range of options short- and long-term for the Canyons. The CWNCRAs authorizes land exchanges and permanently fixes participating resort boundaries. The CWNCRAs also creates 8,000 new acres of Wilderness areas, which is the highest level of protection for public land.</p>	
<p>Arthur Myshrrall</p>	<p>10/9/2018</p>	<p>Website Form</p>	<p>I feel that this bill is a great addition to some of the conservation efforts un the past. The land exchange looks like it would greatly clean up boundaries and delineate between preserved and developable land.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p>	

<p>John Phillips</p>	<p>10/9/2018</p>	<p>Website Form</p>	<p>As a land owner in the Albion Basin I continue to be dismayed at the process. This is not in the interests of all as you state. This is in the interests of the towns and businesses and the individuals that are working for CWC. I commented previously about the land swap. Why am I as a private land owner not provided an opportunity to swap my property, in the most sensitive area of all, to preserve the quality of the drinking water and reduce the impact on the 10s of thousands of people that use Little Cottonwood Canyon for recreation? This appears to be a case business working for business. If there was a true concern about the use of the area and the quality of the water then there would be limitations put on the number of people that access the area, not working to get more people up the canyons.</p>	<p>Thanks for your comment. The CWC has had meetings with many private land owners who have proposed their own land exchanges for our consideration. The land exchanges with the ski resorts will preserve critical mountainside land and watershed while permanently fixing the participating resort boundaries. The CWC will look for opportunities to address Albion Basin issues, working with property owners, the Town of Alta, and others. There will be a representative from Albion Basin property owners on the newly formed Stakeholders Council.</p>	
<p>Tyler Cruickshank</p>	<p>10/9/2018</p>	<p>Website Form</p>	<p>I've read the Bill and find it reasonable (however, the lack of agreement with Alta renders the plan incomplete). I have a few items that I would like to highlight: 1) Transportation is the number one issue impacting everyone. I hardly ski up there anymore because of the traffic. In fact, this is a major factor in our contemplation of moving away from Utah. If traffic is not solved none of this matters one bit and quality of recreation for residents of the WF will be significantly degraded in both winter and summer. 2) Additional roads to be built as mentioned in the Bill. This is unclear and undefined. 3) White Pine Management Area. Seems like their ought to be a quick fact summary of what this is and what it does or does not change or impact. 4) This group is yet another group in the long parade of groups hoping to make an impact and change. Will this go anywhere? Fix transportation is the answer. Put a bunch of money towards it. Get European. Put money forward, make it awesome. Thanks.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. UDOT is conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment in Little Cottonwood Canyon that will propose transportation improvements aimed at addressing traffic congestion. The EIS is scheduled for completion in late 2019-early 2020. Improvements will likely also be proposed for Big Cottonwood Canyon. UDOT has broken the LCC EIS up and invited the CWC to address the issues beyond the narrower confines of LCC in a Corridor Transportation Plan that will be done concurrently with the LCC EIS. It will include looking comprehensively at a broad range of options short- and long-term for the Canyons. The CWNCRAs authorizes land exchanges and permanently fixes participating resort boundaries. The CWNCRAs also creates 8,000 new acres of Wilderness areas, which is the highest level of protection for public land.</p>	

Evan Johnson	10/9/2018	Website Form	<p>Ben McAdams ran the Mountain Accord illegally according to 3rd District Judge Laura Scott in CCOA, Henderson v Mountain Accord Civil No. 160906219. Ben McAdams should not be associated with CWC at all. CWC is the fruit of the poisonous Mountain Accord Tree making the Public Land trades, and Recreation Area Act tainted. \$8 million has been wasted on the canyons while waste (fecal matter) runs in Big Cottonwood Creek. Who will save our canyons from Salt Lake City Public Utilities which de-waters and pollutes our creeks with unhealthy, untreated Utah Lake water. 40% of Utah can't afford the basics of life, so who is the mass transit for if the masses have already been priced out of their ski slopes? There is no basis in the CWC legislation to enshrine and enable Salt Lake City's watershed muscle. There is no basis to include Public Land trade language in the bill other than to get preferred treatment for 4 rich ski resorts, because Public Land trades have a long and well established process already. Of 240.0000 acres of canyons 7,000 acres are used for skiing where only the upper middle class can use our ski slopes. Creating ski resort monopolies and land development area monopolies with CWC legislation is unjust. Mountain Accord was a beautiful idea ruined by the mismanagement of Ben McAdams. CWC has no legitimate purpose other than to perpetuate the injustice of FCOZ, the injustice of Salt Lake City's watershed muscle, and stepping on the small to make more millions for the already wealthy. CWC should dissolve.</p>	<p>As noted, the CWC has committed to an open, inclusive, transparent process. Thus far, there have been multiple comment opportunities on the past (HR 5718, 2016) Congressional proposal as well as drafts of possible Congressional legislation going forward. And, those comments have been summarized, responded to, and made available for all interested persons to access on the CWC Utah Public Notice website. We welcome comments as the draft legislation continues to be considered for recommendation by the CWC to the Utah Congressional Delegation. Mountain Accord held numerous public meetings and opportunities for public comment, and the thousands of participants and dozens of stakeholders shaped the consensus agreement signed by all local and state jurisdictions, the business community (including the ski resorts), environmental groups, and many individuals.</p>	
James Paul	10/9/2018	Website Form	<p>This bill sounds good. Anything that protects wild lands, relieves automobile congestion, limits ski area expansion, reduces helicopter noise is good. Expansion of trails from the Bonneville trail would be nice.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p>	
Andrew Farley	10/9/2018	Website Form	<p>I think that the CWC does a good job balancing the needs of all. If I were to rate my and my families top 3 concerns they would be 1. Put a preference on human powered activities. 2. Keeping and expanding open spaces (non-developed spaces). 3. Implementing a vehicle pass or recreation pass for the Cottonwood Canyons. Thank you, Andrew Farley 1849 S 500 E SLC, UT 84105</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p>	

Roland Gilmore	10/9/2018	Website Form	I want to protect and expand wilderness without any ski area expansion or land exchanges	The CWNCRA is a collaborative effort among many interests. It proposes land exchanges between the participating ski resorts and the Forest Service of mountainside lands for already impacted land at the bases areas of each participating resort.	
Jim Williams	10/9/2018	Website Form	Please contact me I have a question about easments in the NFS that I won.	Do we have his contact info? Lindsey will you follow up?	
Michael Behm	10/9/2018	Website Form	I wholly support the proposed legislation. That would represent a reasonable and appropriate means to memorialize years of collaborative work by the Mountain Accord participants. I am deeply disappointed in the disingenuous, bad faith move by Alta to step out of this process and draft agreement. If Alta insists on this direction, then I feel they should not be accommodated in ANY related manner going forward. Future USFS/CWC positions and reviews related to Alta and Grizzly Gulch must reflect that the broad community - after collaboration and engagement of elected officials - was interested in preserving this area and surrounding areas, and made reasonable offers to Alta to do so. To give ANY further ancillary accommodation to Alta to develop this area would represent a "taking" of public land, and should not be allowed, no matter how incidental or indirect.	Thank you for your comment.	

Erme Catino	10/9/2018	Website Form	<p>The Central Wasatch Commission along with H.R.5718 (Central Wasatch National Conservation and Recreation Area Act) are incredibly important to maintaining the balance of our Wasatch Mountains. As a resident of BCC, I see our canyons overrun with traffic and people, with threats of development constantly. This bill, which received bi-partisan support is incredible for our current political climate. With SLC's population rising, climate change, ski resort growth and consolidation, and with bigoted land owners who don't own water rights - and try to develop within the Cottonwood Canyons this bill needs to be reintroduced and passed to preserve our recreation, wildlife, scenery, and watershed. Utah boasts a high tourism rate, and it's not for traffic, strip malls, and development it's for our open space, access to the mountains, skiing, biking, etc. Lastly, there are several groups who claim they speak for Big Cottonwood Canyon - Big Cottonwood Canyon Community Council along with individuals who own mining claims (with no property or water rights). These groups are against this bill and commission because they want to develop, and they routinely attend public meetings saying they speak for the canyon owners. They Do Not Speak For Us! Thanks for the great work!</p>	Thank you for your comment, and support.	
--------------------	-----------	--------------	---	--	--

<p>Craig Zimmerman</p>	<p>10/9/2018</p>	<p>Website Form</p>	<p>I was actively involved during the Mountain Accord Discord. I was so disgusted at the lack of transparency and the obvious pursuit of their predetermined outcome that I disengaged after helping to acquire opposition signatures from almost 250 residents in the mouth of the LC canyon. I am still opposed to the Central Wasatch Commission as I believe the same people that guided MA are still in charge. The transparency is still murky and for an issue of this magnitude the previous comment period for the UDOT Environmental Impact Study was woefully, (and suspiciously), short. It was also only slightly advertised and most Wasatch Front residents still have no idea of what has taken place or the magnitude of the impact. The idea that an unadvertised public comment period was one month long is not only corrupt, but ethically inappropriate. In addition, an EIS that does not include the impact on SR209 (Little Cottonwood Road South), is incomplete at best. The issue is that nobody looks at the Cottonwood canyons as giant funnels. Every major road leading into the canyons becomes packed on heavy ski days. So packed that the funnel gets clogged and that leads to every artery in and into the canyon becoming similarly clogged. Our canyons are being "LOVED TO DEATH"! Any honest Environment Impact Study should also include the impact to those roads rather than only the SR210 in LCC! There is a reason this has been intentionally omitted, but it is not for the benefit or concern of the 300+ homes in the mouth of the canyon, (funnel), or nearby arteries. For example, Wasatch Blvd south of SR209 on a number of days will be forced to endure 2 mile long, bumper to bumper stop/go backups. If you are just trying to get to a Dr.</p>	<p>The CWC is committed to open, transparent, and inclusive process. That approach is reflected in the information provided on the CWC and Utah Public Notice websites, the dozens of meetings that have been held with individuals, organizations, businesses, and the public. We invite you to participate with us as we move forward to achieve the goals you aspire to for the Central Wasatch Mountains.</p>	
<p>Mitch Frankel</p>	<p>10/9/2018</p>	<p>Website Form</p>	<p>While I strongly support 99% of this bill and the CWNCRA, I cannot support this bill without Grizzly Gulch becoming public lands. Without this linchpin of the Wasatch, where the majority of people get their first winter backcountry taste of real wild land, there is no point to moving forward. GG was originally part of this and Alta is wrong to have removed it.</p>	<p>At Alta Ski Lifts' request, private lands, and lands under special use permit belonging to Alta Ski Lifts have been removed from the 10/26 draft CWNCRA. Discussions with all stakeholders will continue in an effort to reach consensus.</p>	

Brian Stillman	10/9/2018	Website Form	<p>It looks like there is yet a lot of work to be done. Regarding the maps provided it was clear as to what area's are vulnerable to expansion. But when it comes to a equitable swap of lands and their accessibility or no accessibility I don't see the necessary clarity for these area's. Having had some experience with Resort Expansion as the Construction Manager at Snowbird Ski & Summer Resort I am aware of the process necessary to get approvals for such expansions. I presume it's similar for other types of expansion in these areas as well. I would like to know where and when the upcoming meetings are to be held. I was instrumental a few years back influencing the outcome of the ECRIC and have my recommendations listed in the findings along with a couple of others.</p>	<p>The participating ski resorts will recive land at each resort base area that is already impacted, in exchange for undeveloped mountainside land. The specific areas and acreages of the poposed land exchnages will not be concretely identified until after the valuation process by the Forest Service is complete.</p>	
Tyler Cruickshank	10/9/2018	Website Form	<p>This Bill does not deal with the single biggest issue facing the Tri-Canyons - Traffic. Without addressing traffic the Bill is of no help to Utah residents. The Bill as it stands benefits only ski resorts and their land development. There are a few items that benefit citizens such as the Bonneville trail but that is really a minor issue. I do not support this Bill if traffic is not addressed. Traffic must be addressed first. More resort development will produce more traffic and a degraded quality of life for local citizens. I would say that if the resorts want to swap land then get them to help pony up and get on board for the needed traffic improvements. In short, this Bill seems to simply benefit corporations and not does not solve the major issue facing citizen recreation in the Tri-Canyons. Thank you. -tyler</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The Draft CWNCRA Bill builds on the public consensus of Mountain Accord to address comprehensively transportation, lands, and resources issues respecting the many users of the Central Wasatch Mountains. A broad consensus of the many publics continues with the 10/26/18 Draft Bill. UDOT is conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment in Little Cottonwood Canyon that will propose transportation improvements aimed at addressing traffic congestion. The EIS is scheduled for completion in late 2019-early 2020. Improvements will likely also be proposed for Big Cottonwood Canyon. UDOT has broken the LCC EIS up and invited the CWC to address the issues beyond the narrower confines of LCC in a Corridor Transportation Plan that will be done concurrently with the LCC EIS. It will include looking comprehensively at a broad range of options short- and long-term for the Canyons. The CWNCRA authorizes land exchanges and permanently fixes participating resort boundaries. The CWNCRA also creates 8,000 new acres of Wilderness areas, which is the highest level of protection for public land.</p>	

Richard Steiner	10/9/2018	Website Form	<p>What is the purpose of the Grandeur Peak Mt. Aire Wilderness? Is there really enough of a threat of development in the area to lock out a small but dedicated group of mountain bikers who use this area? Would such a designation also mean that helicopter evacuation in case of emergency is forbidden? It seems to me that a better option of more wilderness would be to eliminate the "doughnut hole" in the Mt. Olympus Wilderness area the leaves Gobblers Knob unprotected. The bill also specifically leaves in place existing permits. Is this in perpetuity? Times and circumstances change and I would hate to see commercial uses locked in without periodical review.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Emergency and maintenance measures will be permitted in the new Grandeur Peak/Mt. Aire Wilderness area, and existing permits will be subject to normal review.</p>	
Colin Gregersen	10/10/2018	Website Form	<p>I support the plan. I support moving forward without Alta. I am concerned about Alta expanding into Grizzly Gulch. I am uncomfortable with the idea that Alta will possibly be able to exchange land in their permit area (devils castle and baldy) for prime real estate at their base. I would ask the cwc to use what leverage it may have to NOT allow alta to have their cake and eat it too (expand into Grizzly and get base area land). I would support land swap giving up some terrain in the Catherine's area for Grizzly as long as the chairlift does not go to the patsy ridgeline or mt Tuscarora.</p>	<p>At Alta Ski Lifts' request, private lands, and lands under special use permit belonging to Alta Ski Lifts have been removed from the 10/26 draft CWNCRA Bill. Discussions with all stakeholders will continue in an effort to reach consensus.</p>	
Ryan Metzger	10/10/2018	Website Form	<p>This bill proposal appears to be very well thought out and very inclusive of all stakeholder's concerns. I support it, but ask that all activities going forward under the direction of this bill and/or the CWC always pay particular attention to preserving riparian zones throughout this region, since these are the most important, yet sensitive, areas to be protected. Thank you</p>	<p>Thanks for your comment. We will work to ensure proper protections to canyon watershed and riparian zones are reflected in the legislation.</p>	

Alton Winkleman	10/10/2018	Website Form	Changes proposed in CWC email, We Want to Hear From You, lack boldness. No risks are taken and status quo reins. Giving more land to ski areas will entice development, attract more people, and exacerbate existing parking problems. Restricting development on high ridges eliminates future connections between ski areas and restricts traffic to narrow canyons. Areas from Deer Valley to Snowbird need to be connected at the top, tunnels and roads between canyons and Park City need to be opened year round. Vertical parking at mouths of canyons and every ski area need to be mandated. Lifts from Heber and American Fork Canyon will provide an alternative to the automobile. The quiet, peaceful, pristine nature of the Central Wasatch has already been lost. Your proposal is an exercise in futility. Be bold. Look to the Europeans who have learned how to develop recreational areas without destroying them. At great cost and imagination, Utah can do the same.	Thank you for your comment. UDOT is conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment in Little Cottonwood Canyon that will propose transportation improvements aimed at addressing traffic congestion. The EIS is scheduled for completion in late 2019-early 2020. Improvements will likely also be proposed for Big Cottonwood Canyon. UDOT has broken the LCC EIS up and invited the CWC to address the issues beyond the narrower confines of LCC in a Corridor Transportation Plan that will be done concurrently with the LCC EIS. It will include looking comprehensively at a broad range of options short- and long-term for the Canyons. The CWNCRA authorizes land exchanges and permanently fixes participating resort boundaries. The CWNCRA also creates 8,000 new acres of Wilderness areas, which is the highest level of protection for public land.	
Jen Sea	10/10/2018	Website Form	Thanks, the new proposal sounds great. We want quiet, solitude, and less development in our Canyons.	Thanks for your comment.	
John Van Hoff	10/10/2018	Website Form	The bill needs to define the term "mechanical transport", which is used several times throughout the bill. Does this include a potential rail system? The October 19, 2012 Title 23 does not have Section 204 (f). Is there a more current version of Title 23?	Mechanical transport is means any vehicle, device, or contrivance for moving people or material in or over land, water, snow, or air that has moving parts.	The 10/26/18 Draft legislation includes som
John Woeste	10/10/2018	Website Form	I support the bill as written and encourage full engagement with Alta to get them on board.	Thank you for your comment.	

<p>Carolyn Keighley</p>	<p>10/10/2018</p>	<p>Website Form</p>	<p>I fully support any measure that would protect our beautiful canyons. However I once again repeat my concerns with this bill. I can only support this bill if the CWC and other governmental bodies pass legislation that ensures that costs that comes with the current high number of visitors in these canyons and the increased numbers of visitors be funded to offset the impact of these visitors within the canyons. A very real example of this is the current Utah state law , Section 17-34-1(5). Citizens that are under-represented in Big Cottonwood Canyon in every aspect of their lives, MSD, UPD, UFA, CWC, etc. are trying to gain a voting voice on what happens in their community by incorporating into The Town of Brighton. After the first Feasibility Study became public, to stop us from having a right in true representation, the county mayor said that if we became a town that he would pull the Safety Services that were paid for by county general funds that provides for safety (UPD, UFA, from Big Cottonwood Canyon. After a second feasibility study was rewritten He made that statement again and then when it was made public at a public hearing he said that legally he would have to according to Utah law Section17-34-1(5). Mind you this was after the ballots were printed and one week before they were mailed out. This funding represents 1.7 to 1.8 million dollars. The only reason that this kind of funding is needed is because of the 1.7 annual visitors that come into the canyon for recreation,, most of which are Salt Lake County residents. Almost all of these calls are with the visitors on the state highway, not I repeat in our little communities where there are only around 260 full time residents and something around 600 part time residents. It is absolutely</p>	<p>The CWC is putting together a strategic plan to inform future work as it relates to the “Three T’s”. At the direction of the CWC board, the CWC hopes to address restroom maintenance and the funding needed for adequate maintenance. While the CWC itself will likely not be the entity charged with providing the maintenance, we are dedicated to finding resources and partners who can improve this necessary work. We note the passage of the incorporation of the Town of Brighton in the 2018 election.</p>	
<p>Cyle Buxton</p>	<p>10/11/2018</p>	<p>Website Form</p>	<p>It doesn't matter how much we board members oppose this bill, your going to try every means possible to ram this land grabbing bill down our throats We canyon land owners have been fighting this bad piece of legislation for 4 years now Thank you for your consideration</p>	<p>Thanks for your comment. We will continue to discuss the legislation with the Big Cottonwood Community Council and address any concerns that the Board may have.</p>	

<p>James Thompson</p>	<p>10/11/2018</p>	<p>Website Form</p>	<p>I totally support the proposed legislation to make the Tri-Canyon area of the Central Wasatch into a National Conservation/Recreation Area. Granted, I'm disappointed that Alta Ski Lifts Company are not being as cooperative about trading out Grizzly Gulch for more developable lands at their base as they once were. But I'm okay with moving forward on getting the legislation passed without them (but still to have the flexibility to go ahead with a similar land exchange involving Alta and Grizzly Gulch) in the future. I also want to say that while some folks have complained in their public comments (mostly verbal that I've heard) that the proposed land exchanges with the ski resorts will result in more development in our canyons, which is true. However, I believe they are missing the point that the final result of the land exchanges be will be a huge net gain in undeveloped lands that will remain protected and natural into perpetuity-- while the expanded base facilities will have finite boundaries. Perhaps the best result of the legislation will be that these newly traded undeveloped lands will be always be open to the public for "primitive-type" recreational activity and will be guaranteed to remain as such for many years to come. Indeed, they will be a public treasure along with the rest of the already protected areas. Just a word about transportation--I think the best and least expensive solution to the overcrowding of too many private vehicles in the canyons during popular days (weekends, holidays, days after fresh snow storms, etc.) is to increase bus service, complete with increased stops at popular trailheads, and a decrease in the price of riding the bus. There must be a way to not have to charge so much to ride the canyon buses. Could</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. We appreciate your recognition of the trade-offs accomplished through Mountain Accord and the Draft legislation. UDOT is conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment in Little Cottonwood Canyon that will propose transportation improvements aimed at addressing traffic congestion. The EIS is scheduled for completion in late 2019-early 2020. Improvements will likely also be proposed for Big Cottonwood Canyon. UDOT has broken the LCC EIS up and invited the CWC to address the issues beyond the narrower confines of LCC in a Corridor Transportation Plan that will be done concurrently with the LCC EIS. It will include looking comprehensively at a broad range of options short- and long-term for the Canyons. The CWNCRRA authorizes land exchanges and permanently fixes participating resort boundaries. The CWNCRRA also creates 8,000 new acres of Wilderness areas, which is the highest level of protection for public land.</p>	
------------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	--	--	--

<p>John Knoblock</p>	<p>10/11/2018</p>	<p>Website Form</p>	<p>Hi- I respectfully continue to have serious concerns about the need for the White Pine Special Management Area. Misinformation is particularly troubling to me and I would like to point out that our new CWC website continues misinformation put forth by some parties to the Mountain Accord. In specific, the WPSMA page says- "Should the commercial operation permit lapse or not be renewed, this area would revert to Wilderness Area", referring to heliskiing operations. Number one, I believe only Congress can make a Wilderness Area and that a separate later congressional action would be needed. And number two, use of the word "revert" is (purposely?) misleading, as the word means 'to go back to a previous condition', and as this area has never before been Wilderness, the word usage is incorrect. Since this land area usage will be controlled by the USFS as part of the CWNCRA, and as the legislation fixes the Snowbird western boundary, won't there already be adequate protections for this land?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. We've adjusted the language on the storymap.</p>	
<p>John Knoblock</p>	<p>10/11/2018</p>	<p>Website Form</p>	<p>The new map of the CWNCRA showing trails is great, but I have a couple of comments and questions on it. I'm reviewing this with Bekee Hotze and some of their information is conflicted also, so don't feel bad. 1- the trail above Crestwood Drive is destined to be part of the BST and should be shown as multi-use 2- the Lambs Canyon trail is a multi-use trail 3- I believe the newly reinstated Millcreek Meadows trail is to be designated multi-use 4- the Desolation trail from Dog Lake to the Butler Fork split is multi-use, up to the Wilderness boundary there 5- Beartrap trail is designated multi-use 6- Willow Heights is presently designated multi-use, although most folks agree that should not be the case in the future 7- Mill D south and Days fork are designated multi-use 8- upper Honeycomb is multi-use (not a USFS system trail) 9- Clayton peak trail is multi-use 10-Twin Lakes Dam trail is incorrectly labeled Brighton Lakes trail, and is multi-use 11- Twin Lakes Pass trail, Grizzly Gulch, and Silverfork are all multi-use 12- and could I possibly not point out that White Pine is multi-use! 13- and you might want to show the BST from the Z trail to Mt O continuing to Heughs Cyn, and show Heughs Cyn trail 14- show ATV use for Mineral fork trail 15- I think Solitude designates Kruser as bike only 16- yeah, okay I'm a trail nerd...</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. Corrections to the story map have been made, but it is important to remember that the CWNCRA does not propose use-changes to trails outside of the White Pine trail and the BST.</p>	

Carolyn Clark	10/11/2018	Website Form	Grizzly Gulch and White Pine area must be protected!!!!!! Do not be greedy. Have a heart and protect these beautiful sacred natural retreats for the 'common person'.	White Pine will be designated as a Special Management Area with Wilderness-like protections. Grizzly Gulch and other lands under special use permits and privately owned by Alta Ski Lifts have been removed from the legislation, at Alt Ski Lift's request.	
Mario	10/11/2018	Website Form	Hi keep on thinking that as long as people needs to use cars to get to the 4 ski resorts in the Cottonwoods or bus for this matter the problem won't be resolved the road is to small plus the problems when they close due weather For me the solution still is to unite the resorts from Park City to Cottonwoods this way people can comute from a remote place to the other side of the Canyons with out using car or bus and only by ski lefts way more practical much more fun less pollution and having resorts connected will attract more tourism	Thank you for your comment. UDOT is conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment in Little Cottonwood Canyon that will propose transportation improvements aimed at addressing traffic congestion. The EIS will reach completion in late 2019-early 2020. Improvements will likely also be proposed for Big Cottonwood Canyon.	
Aaron London	10/11/2018	Website Form	I support the bill with a few exceptions: White Pine must remain wilderness without mechanized recreation and I say this as an avid mountain biker Grizzly Gulch must be kept wild and I say this as an Alta skier Protect the Wasatch before we love it to death and spoil it for everyone except the rich.	Mechanized and motorized travel will be prohibited in White Pine, except for administrative access to South Despain Ditch Company. Alta Ski Lifts has requested removal from the CWNCRA, and its private and special use permitted lands have been removed from the exchanges.	
Mary Paul	10/12/2018	Website Form	As a fifth generation Utahn, water drinker, and avid outdoor explorer, I commend the current direction of this bill and truly appreciate that it recognizes the precious value of these areas and protects them for generations to come while allowing existing businesses to continue to operate under a controlled growth plan. Keep up the excellent collaborative work!	Thank you for your support.	
Stuart Willick	10/12/2018	Website Form	I am in favor of protecting natural wilderness areas. I am also in favor of judicious land exchanges that can improve the ski areas and, in particular, improve Canyon transportation. As such, I am in support of this bill.	Thank you for your support.	
Alan Snyder	10/12/2018	Website Form	Once it is gone it never comes back. The notion of perpetual "growth" has to be confronted and deterred for the quality of life experience so many enjoy in the Wasatch.	Thank you for your comment.	

Ulrich Brunhart	10/13/2018	Website Form	Thank you for the continuing dialogue and negotiations as we move towards introduction and passage of this bill. I strongly support the stand of denying Alta any land exchanges until Grizzly Gulch is included for protection. I adamantly support the permanently fixed ski area boundaries, while allowing increased development at the ski area bases. I applaud the inclusion of wording to increase funding for the Forest Service. Please keep moving ahead!	Thank you for your support.	
Bryan Holbrook	10/13/2018	Website Form	There is very little in here about the transportation problems. UDOT is allowed to maintain and improve existing roads, but where is the provision to accommodate the rapidly expanding demand for access? Significantly expanded park-n-ride lots with increased bus service, a rail line, or other major plans need to be made in order to serve the needs of a growing community. Traffic congestion in the canyons is already a big problem. Foresightful plans for the growing demand for access need to be considered now. The original discussions included transportation, and this problem needs to stay in the forefront of the decision making process. Modest road improvement is not anywhere near a sufficient solution.	Thank you for your comment. UDOT is conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment in Little Cottonwood Canyon that will propose transportation improvements aimed at addressing traffic congestion. The EIS is scheduled for completion in late 2019-early 2020. Improvements will likely also be proposed for Big Cottonwood Canyon. UDOT has broken the LCC EIS up and invited the CWC to address the issues beyond the narrower confines of LCC in a Corridor Transportation Plan that will be done concurrently with the LCC EIS. It will include looking comprehensively at a broad range of options short- and long-term for the Canyons. The CWCNRA authorizes land exchanges and permanently fixes participating resort boundaries. The CWCNRA also creates 8,000 new acres of Wilderness areas, which is the highest level of protection for public land.	
Lise Brunhart	10/14/2018	Website Form	Thanks very much for the careful assemblage of this bill and its contents.. We have to keep everyone happy. My input is that I hope that the Bonneville Shoreline trail can reach completion, (much as I abhor mountain bikers !☹️)without too many struggles over the definition of wilderness. We cannot give the ski resorts any further mountain top lift expansion, period.No skilink, no Grizzly Gulch lifts,please!! I do understand they will want to build more down by the roads, but please, please do not give them anything unless they come to the table and negotiate! Fair access for all, toilets ,trails, transportation, are the 3T key to keeping the Wasatch beautiful and wild...we cannot ignore these basics. Thanks.	An agreement has been reached with trails groups to allow for the full connection of the Bonneville Shoreline Trail, and its designation as a multi-use trail. he CWC is putting together a strategic plan to inform future work as it relates to the "Three T's". At the direction of the CWC board, the CWC hopes to address restroom maintenance and the funding needed for adequate maintenance. While the CWC itself will likely not be the entity charged with providing the maintenance, we are dedicated to finding resources and partners who can improve this necessary work. In the 10/26 CWCNRA at the request of Alta Ski Lifts, Alta Ski Lifts private lands, and lands under special use permits are removed from the legislation.	

Tiffany	10/15/2018	Website Form	<p>The decision to include the Bonneville Shoreline Trail and created a mixed use trail is I'll advised. We need to decrease mountain bike access as they are responsible for tearing up and degrading many hiking trails along the Wasatch. For the preservation of our trails, mountain bikes should be banned with motorized vehicles and mountain bikes should only be allowed where motorized vehicles are allowed or on FEW trails specifically designated for mountain bike use. If the Bonneville trail is to be paved, precautions must be taken for safety of pedestrians and to make sure mtn bikes are restricted to the pavement and don't deviate to connecting dirt trails. Also, Ski resorts do not need to expand. More resorts should be created in the Uintah's/elsewhere to decrease pressure placed on the Cottonwood Canyons. Expansion would increase crowding at these resorts which is opposite to what needs to happen to PRESERVE</p>	<p>In exchange for closing the White Pine trail to mountain bikes, the Bonneville Shoreline Trail will remain a multi-use trail. The CWNCRA allows for concentrated development at the base areas of each participating resorts' base area, while preserving 8,000 new acres of Wilderness area, which prohibits all motorized and mechanized travel. The Uintahs are outside of the CWC project area.</p>	
Julie Faure	10/16/2018	Website Form	<p>I strongly support the creation of CWNCRA. We have been recreating in the Central Wasatch both winter and summer for over 30 years. The mountains of the Central Wasatch are the main reason we live and work in the Salt Lake area. I am particularly interested in the preservation of Grizzly Gulch and hope that some agreement can be made with Alta. Thank you.</p>	<p>Thank you for your support.</p>	

Carl Fisher	10/17/2018	Email	<p>Over the past several years we've been engaged with many of you and have attempted to find resolution to the many issues in the Central Wasatch Mountains. Through this process, we (Save Our Canyons and the community) have worked to grapple with some of the very complex issues in the Wasatch. We have worked to not be so ideological, rather, practical as look toward solutions for the Wasatch Mountains. We have great discomfort with some of the direction and the changes being proposed, but seek to remain a partner to put decades of spats to rest. We full well acknowledge there are many interests and complex systems at play. This effort has earned the trust of our organization and our members. While we live with some discomfort in the actions we've been party to, the one outstanding issue of Alta Ski Area's continual reconfiguring of land exchanges and the removal of Grizzly Gulch presents a major stumbling block for us. To illustrate this point, the past couple days we've been communicating with our members and the community about these issues. I've attached a pledge that people have taken to show what they value and what they are saying. Next to stopping SkiLink, One Wasatch or other attempts at interconnecting resorts, protecting Grizzly Gulch is perhaps one of the single most unifying efforts amongst our members. No Grizzly, No Land Exchange -</p> <p>Save Our Canyons has supported land exchanges with some consternation. Our support for land exchanges was based on a few simple criteria. 1. If the private lands being exchanged to public ownership could be protected, then an exchange is good. We did not want to be in a position where lands traded to the</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment and your commitment to the Central Wasatch. The CWC is dedicated to building consensus and reaching agreements for which all interested parties are comfortable participating. The 10/26 draft of the CWNCRA removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges because an agreement reflected in Mountain Accord and HR 5718 was no longer acceptable to ASL and a new proposal could not find agreement. CWC respects that SOC and other parties have been willing to offer proposals and continue to seek solutions. The 10/26/18 Draft CWNCRA Bill, respecting ASL's request, has been removed from the CWNCRA, leaving them the ability to pursue administrative expansion proposals, as they can today.</p>	Please see comment in Section E
-------------	------------	-------	---	---	---------------------------------

Mike Maughan	10/18/2018	Email	<p>Thank you for your service in balancing a variety of complex issues involving a diverse body of stakeholders with different perspectives and objectives. Alta Ski Area (Alta) is optimistic that this Commission can facilitate solutions that address the increasing recreational demand in a manner that appropriately manages its impact upon the natural resources in the Cottonwood Canyons and neighboring communities. For the past 81 years, Alta has partnered with the US Forest Service, the Town of Alta and Salt Lake City Water to provide recreational opportunities for millions of visitors while maintaining clean water, protecting watershed and taking care of the natural resources on the public and private lands under our stewardship. We consider ourselves environmentalist and conservationist and are recognized by the US Forest Service and ski industry as exemplary environmental stewards. Despite characterizations by others that we are not, we invite anyone to look beyond their eye catching polarizing statements and gain an understanding of what we do to preserve clean water and take care of the environment within our stewardship while providing recreational experiences. During the past week Save our Canyons has reached out to its membership over their displeasure with Alta's decision to exclude its private lands in Grizzly Gulch in a land exchange with the Forest Service. These private lands are currently within Alta's ski area boundary and have been used in our Snowcat Skiing operation for over 15 years. Even with the exclusion of these private lands in Grizzly Gulch, Alta has over 500 acres of private land and 1,300 acres of mineral rights in the proposed land exchange with the Forest Service, lands and rights the</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Mountain Accord and HR 5718 (Congressman Chaffetz, 2016) included an agreed-upon compromise agreement to address Grizzly Gulch and Alta Ski Resort, which Alta Ski Lifts decided to withdraw from in 2018. The Central Wasatch Commission (CWC) respects the decision of Alta Ski Lifts to withdraw from that Agreement. Since June 2018, the CWC has continuously sought a new solution that would include Alta Ski Lifts in the Central Wasatch National and Conservation Area Act (CWNCRA) and the legislatively authorized land exchanges. Many proposals put forth by stakeholders from Alta Ski Lifts, Save Our Canyons, Wasatch Backcountry Alliance, Friends of Alta, Town of Alta, Salt Lake City Public Utilities, the CWC and others were aimed at finding a new solution. To date, an agreement has not been achieved that was tenable for all stakeholders involved. We look forward to continuing to work with Alta Ski Lifts to find a compromise that balances the many interests in the Central Wasatch mountains.</p>	
Paul Gongaware	10/22/2018	Website Form	<p>I don't agree with permanently setting ski area boundaries. These are precious recreational opportunities that are enjoyed by the many. Skier usage and interest should dictate those boundaries now and in the future.</p>	<p>All the participating ski resorts voluntarily agreed to the terms of the CWNCRA, including the boundary fixes.</p>	
Tod Young	10/22/2018	Website Form	<p>The Mountainous Planning District Planning Commission at its meeting at 4pm, Nov. 1, 2001, South State Street in room N1-110 will hold a discussion and public hearing of the "Goals Section" of the proposed replacement of the Wasatch Canyons general Plan.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p>	

Daniel Cottam	10/30/2018	Email	I don't understand why mountain biking is not considered permissible in these areas. Study after study has shown they have less impact on soil and land than hikers do. They also have consistently shown that biking interferes less with animal migration and habits than hikers do. Thus there is simply no legitimate reason for not including their presence in more places on this map. Mountain biking is getting more popular and not less and you are forcing more people on to fewer trails as the Wasatch grows. This is very short sided.	Thank you for your comment. All mechanized and motorized travel (including mountain bikes) is banned within Wilderness areas, as stipulated in the 1964 Wilderness Act. Wilderness is a federally designated environmental protection placed on ecologically valuable and fragile lands. In an effort to protect the ecology that we all depend on in the Central Wasatch, 8,000 new acres of Wilderness are proposed in the CWNCRA. In order to maintain mountain bike access on the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail, we've made small realignments to the Lone Peak, Twin Peaks, and Mount Olympus Wilderness areas.
Jay Frankenfield	10/30/2018	Email	Why are Snowbird, Brighton and Solitude included in the Conservation area and Alta is excluded?	Thank you for your question. Alta Ski Lifts was excused from the 10/26 draft legislation at their own request, and because Alta Ski Lifts and other partners with direct interests in the public lands adjacent to Alta Ski Area were unable to reach an agreement on which lands to include in a land exchange leading up to the drafting of the 10/26 CWNCRA Draft Bill.
Chris Evans	10/30/2018	Email	This is an awful piece of legislation and I hope it never becomes law. It tries to arbitrarily restrict ski areas and mountain biking for the enjoyment of a few back country special interest groups of people. Total waste of money, time and effort.	Thank you for your comment. All ski resorts listed in the 10/26 draft CWNCRA are willing participants.
Vaughn Cox	10/30/2018	Email	I have the following questions about the bill. Section 7 talks about land exchanges between the Forest Service and the ski resorts. Given the current state of the negotiations: How much developable land would potentially be transferred to each of the four ski resorts (Alta, Brighton, Snowbird, and Solitude) and what is known about their plans to use the developable land. Section 7(a)(1) of the Act mentions a "Preliminary Conceptual Map for Proposed Land Exchange between The U.S. Forest Service and certain Utah Ski Areas". A copy of this map was not included with the other documents about the Act. Can you provide a copy of this map. thanks, Vaughn Cox	Thank you for your comment. The CWNCRA would authorize land exchanges between the participating ski resorts and the U.S. Forest Service. The legislation does not dictate what the participating ski resorts will do with the land that they get at their base areas in exchange for the mountainside land they exchange to the Forest Service.

Madison Goodman	10/30/2018	Email	<p>Hi, My name is Madison Goodman and I love the central Wasatch. It's my backyard that I rock climb, ski, run, and fish in, which is why I think its important to protect. The new Land exchange draft is looking good. Here are some things that I like. We see a lot of land being turned over to public hands, more wilderness in being designated in the lone peak area, and the discussion about mountain bikes on the shore line trail is an active conversation. What concerns me about the bill are a few things. Maybe I'm not understanding this correctly but once the land exchanges take place the ski boundary areas will be permanently fixed. Which to me means that they could grow as big as they want on land that the own without having to go through a approval process which could stop the expansion. Is this true or am I miss understanding this? Another understanding that I have is that Alta's grizzly Gulch isn't on the transfer. This is very concerning to me as an active resort and backcountry skier. With it not being included, this gives them the ability to put a lift in the gulch getting rid of 100 of acres of backcountry skiing. Maybe I do not understand all this correctly but thank you for including the public in the decision making. -Madison</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. In the 10/26 draft and previous drafts of the CWNCRRA do permanently fix the participating ski resort boundaries. This means that any base area land that the resorts receive in the exchanges will be the only land that each resort will have to expand into. The base area lands that each resort receives will be already impacted land in exchange for undeveloped; mountainside land traded to the public. AS is true today, any development would go through local approval process for private land development. Also in the 10/26 draft CWNCRRA, all private Alta Ski Lifts-owned lands were removed from the exchanges, including Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations for Alta Ski Lifts to re-enter the land exchanges are ongoing.</p>	
Todd Polker	10/30/2018	Email	<p>The expansion to Lone Peak Wilderness area impacts an existing mountain biking trail, upper jacobs ladder. It is heavily used. I ride it more than one time a week and often see 3-5 people on the trail. Many people from out of state even. There is much precedent to keep this trail available for mountain biking. Strong resistance will be met if any changes to this mountain biking trail are suggested. Jacobs Ladder trail is one of the crown jewel mtb bike trails in the area. Its raw rugged terrain are like none other in the area. There needs to be a balance b/w conservation while maintaining existing access. Existing routes for mountain biking must be maintained. The Wasatch in general is not very friendly to mtn biking & CWC should look for ways for MTB to coexist with efforts for conservation.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. In an effort to preserve the Central Wasatch, additional Wilderness areas have been proposed, and some multi-use trails will be impacted. Where we cannot guarantee mountain bike access to every existing multi-use trail after the deisgnation in enacted, we've made accommodations for mountain biking onthe popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portionof wilderness would need to be adjusted to accommodate a trail alignment. Discussions are ongoing and resolution is underway that would include adding some wilderness to offset the wilderness loss from the BST accommodation. No changes are reflected in maps in this draft of the legislation.</p>	

David Kizer	10/30/2018	Email	Alta Ski area should not be allowed to expand its resort into the grizzly gulch area. Allowing this action would ruin the wilderness area.	Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing.	
Aaron London	10/30/2018	Email	Hold Alta to account and make them honor their original Mountain Accord agreement or do not allow them any further forest service lands and KEEP GRIZZLY WILD. I say this as someone who skis at Alta. Keep mountain bikes out of White Pine. I say this as a mountain biker.	Thank you for your comment. In the 10/26 CWNCRA draft, mountain bikes are prohibited in the White Pine Special Management Area. The 10/26/18 draft also removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing.	

<p>David Hoffman</p>	<p>10/30/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>1) If you are going to let Alta take more public land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch, please make them give us back some of the land they have already taken (the Supreme area, for example). At the very least, public access via uphill (non-motorized) travel should be maintained YEAR ROUND on the forest service land that Alta leases. 2) Please save the upper Jacob's Ladder mountain bike trail (it goes right up to the current Lone Peak Wilderness boundary, skirting the edge on the SL County line). Thanks, David</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. In an effort to preserve the Central Wasatch, additional Wilderness areas have been proposed, and some multi-use trails will be impacted. Where we cannot guarantee mountain bike access to every existing multi-use trail after the designation is enacted, we've made accommodations for mountain biking on the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted to accommodate a trail alignment. Discussions are ongoing and resolution is underway that would include adding some wilderness to offset the wilderness loss from the BST accommodation. No changes are reflected in maps in this draft of the legislation.</p>	
-----------------------------	-------------------	--------------	---	---	--

Chris Adams	10/31/2018	Email	<p>On the latest CWNCRA map, the north facing slopes of Patsy Marley are hatched in yellow and labeled "ASL Resort Boundary Adjust Area *3." The legend at the bottom of the map says "*3 This is ASL current avalanche control permit area." I want to confirm that the area hatched in yellow is being left as is as the ASL Avalanche Protection Zone, as designated on the USFS map dated September 15, 2012, and is not actually subject to a resort boundary adjustment under the new draft CWNCRA. If that is correct, I urge CWC to correct that immediately by labeling the area hatched in yellow as "ASL Avalanche Protection Zone" and sending out an updated map to all stakeholders and constituents as soon as possible. I think this is far too important to wait to correct until the Nov. 5th meeting as people will start to rely on and react to the use of "resort boundary adjustment," and I believe it will take more effort and energy to undo that change next week than to do so immediately.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. This was indeed a mistake on the 10/26 draft map. Additional maps have been drawn up and distributed to stakeholders and the public.</p>	
--------------------	------------	-------	--	---	--

<p>Deborah Read</p>	<p>10/31/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>There has been existing roads throughout the Wasatch mountains. The trails and ski areas have been built around them. Keep the roads for emergency use. There should be no avalanche control in the back country that is for helicopter skiing. Avalanche control only for resorts and main roads. There should be no sale of land to any official, secretary, congressman that has special insight that the general public does not have. There should be a rail, cog rail, train up Little Cottonwood Canyon. This would be a great plus for the canyon as a Switzerland, scenic rail system that would benefit the air pollution, traffic, and disabled peoples. It would be great for the up coming Olympics to the canyons. The trail systems should be enhanced. The trail from the bottom of Little Cottonwood to Alta should be allowed to exist for reasons that we or our children can walk or ride their bicycles or Nordic ski. This cuts down on traffic. Having spent my life in the Wasatch and seen so many changes, we all have to face the changes and control the inevitable. We need more trails, more bathrooms, better transportation, and meet the needs of this urban park.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The CWNCRRA authorizes land exchanges between the participating Cottonwood Canyon ski resorts and the U.S. Forest Service. The exchanges will be value-for-value, and will follow the administrative process outlined by the U.S. Forest Service. If the exchanges are not determined to be in the best interest of the public, then the exchanges will not happen. The CWNCRRA anticipates future transportation improvements within the designation area. This language specifically allows for future transportation improvements to be implemented, per Federal law. In section (8)(A), transportation language states "This act anticipates future transportation improvements, including but not limited to roadway improvements, public transportation, bus stops, stations, and public amenities, such as restrooms, trails, trailheads, bike lanes, and pedestrian infrastructure, within the Conservation and Recreation Area on National Forest System land." UDOT and CWC Proposed Partnership A working relationship between CWC and UDOT is being developed to conduct additional planning studies that address transportation needs in Big Cottonwood Canyon and Little Cottonwood Canyon. Based on work and public input from previous studies, the Mountain Accord process and the LCC EIS. The study will provide a prioritized list of actions for future improvements for implementation by the</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The CWNCRRA authorizes land exchanges between the participating Cottonwood Canyon ski resorts and the U.S. Forest Service. The exchanges will be value-for-value, and will follow the administrative process outlined by the U.S. Forest Service. If the exchanges are not determined to be in the best interest of the public, then the exchanges will not happen. The CWNCRRA anticipates future transportation improvements within the designation area. This language specifically allows for future transportation improvements to be implemented, per Federal law. In section (8)(A), transportation language states "This act anticipates future transportation improvements, including but not limited to roadway improvements, public transportation, bus stops, stations, and public amenities, such as restrooms, trails, trailheads, bike lanes, and pedestrian infrastructure, within the Conservation and Recreation Area on National Forest System land." UDOT and CWC Proposed Partnership A working relationship between CWC and UDOT is being</p>
----------------------------	-------------------	--------------	--	--	--

<p>Evan Johnson</p>	<p>10/31/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Why isn't CWC being honest? Congress was told this was a consensus based bill while BCCA, CCOA and 20% of the canyon land owners were against the new federal designation. The Forest Service maintains ownership and management of the lands now under existing federal law, so what's the point new federal legislation which results in the Forest Service still maintaining and owning the subject hands? CWC trusts the Forest Service to do Public Land exchanges, but does not trust the Forest Service to manage their lands. This is illogical. The ulterior motivation is what? My response to Under Just So You Know: The Natural resources and watersheds are already over protected, why do they need over, over protection? CWC claims the Wasatch is the water source for 500,000 people. Ok. The Salt Lake Valley is the watershed for 500,000 people. What's your point? We already have 30 million acres of federal land much without roads and virtually Wilderness now and 660,000 acres of Wilderness, how would adding 8,000 more to 30.66 million acres be meaningful? If we get a 0.02% increase in Wilderness like lands, that's worth spending \$9 million to lobby for when Utah is anti-federal control of its land? This is illogical. So what's the real motivation here? Land exchanges are already authorized under federal law, what's the point reauthorizing existing federal laws? The ulterior motive is what? 50% of Utahns can't afford to ski in our canyon now. Utah lacks 20 new ski resorts to meet current and future demands. Why would Utah want to fix in perpetuity the size of ski resorts creating ski resort monopolies in its \$8.4 Billion Tourism Industry? Private Land has already been affected under the confiscatory web of regulations: The Forest Service</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The Central Wasatch Commission works to build consensus among the many interest in the canyons. Where federal legislation is not required to execute land exchanges with the U.S. Forest Service, legislation authorities specific exchanges and prioritizes those exchanges for USFS review.</p>	
----------------------------	-------------------	--------------	---	--	--

James Thompson	11/1/2018	Email	<p>I am fairly happy with what has been proposed in the legislation to make the tri-canyon area of the Central Wasatch Mountains in Salt Lake County into a National Conservation/Recreation Area. I am greatly disappointed that the Alta Ski Lifts Company is not cooperating with allowing the Grizzly Gulch area to be included within the boundaries of the proposed National Conservation/Recreation Area, but I guess it's best to "push-on" without them, with the hope that they will someday "see the light" and join in helping to making the top of Little Cottonwood Canyon a true public asset that serves all recreation opportunities, not just downhill/lift-assisted skiing. Please make it so they could easily make that happen if they change their minds. I firmly believe indeed it is best to "push on" before more development interests begin making noises about ski resort expansion, road building, or more intrusions into the beautiful undeveloped portions of the tri-canyon area. Additionally, I appreciate the efforts to keep the White Pine trail closed to mountain bikes and especially motorized travel, even though the trail once was a vehicle path. The mountain-biking enthusiasts already have (and will continue to have) plenty of nearby trails/routes open to them--as does the small motorized-vehicle crowd (e.g. Mineral Fork in Big Cottonwood Canyon is open to trail machines less than 50" wide).</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. Further, mountain bikes are prohibited in the White Pine Special Management Area.</p>	
Kirk Nichols	11/1/2018	Email	<p>Thank you for asking and providing this mechanism for commenting on the CWNRCRA. My immediate concerns are the ski resort boundaries drawn on the CWNRCRA map. I represent Evergeen and Lady of the Lake on the Big Cottonwood Community Council. The Solitude boundaries are drawn around our properties as well as other properties. There are already trespass and property damage conflicts between Solitude patrons and the private property owners. (Eg. Using a propane tank as a ski jump and prying the hasp and lock off an outhouse door.) An accurate boundary on all access maps including the CWNRCRA map would help with delineating to the public where they are welcome and where they are trespassing. My guess would be that all resort boundary lines on the CWNRCRA map could be improved. thank-you, Kirk Nichols</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. We will look at how the ski resort boundaries are drawn on the most current CWNCRA map, and make any necessary adjustments.</p>	

Chris Santi	11/2/2018	Email	<p>Marty Plunkett gave me your name and contact information as a person with experience and influence relating to the increased popularity of hiking and other recreation along the Wasatch Front and Cottonwood Canyons. I would like your recommendation on how I can pursue my objective of getting the speed limit reduced on Wasatch Blvd. at the Mt. Olympus Trailhead. The current speed limit is 50mph adjacent to the trailhead parking lot. The parking in the lot is limited (18 - 20 cars) and often avoided due to vehicle break-in and vandalism. Vehicles park on both sides of Wasatch Blvd. although there probably should be No Parking signs due to the bike lanes on both sides of the highway. The steep incline at the parking lot entrance causes additional hazards for vehicles entering or exiting the parking lot. There are curves in the highway on both sides of the trailhead area limiting proper look-out for this increasingly popular route for runners, bikers, climbers and hikers. The speed limit is 40mph on other high traffic portions of Wasatch Blvd. so I don't understand why it increases to 50mph at the highest foot traffic area with the least visibility. I would suggest reducing the speed limit, 30mph would be preferred for the safety of pedestrians crossing the highway. Flashing lights signaling the reduced speed or other cautionary signage would also be helpful. I have a personal interest in the safety of this trailhead as I hike there regularly and was involved in an accident there in July 2017. I feel lucky to still be able to hike after spending a week in the hospital and another week in a physical rehab facility. My goal is to prevent anyone from getting seriously injured or killed at this popular trailhead. I would</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Where the CWC does not manage each road with trail access points, we can help point you to the correct agency to address your concerns. Wasatch Boulevard, or S.R. 190 is managed through the Utah Department of Transportation. You may find UDOT's contact information at this link: https://www.udot.utah.gov</p>	
John Janson	11/2/2018	Email	<p>Thanks for the overview last night. I had just read the e mail yesterday also and have two questions: Has anyone discussed a bike trail up Parleys that could connect with the Summit County trail in Summit Park along 80? It could possibly connect to the old road off of the freeway about Lamb's Canyon to lessen the steepness of that last hill. Seems like if we can build a wildlife bridge at the top, we should be able to fund a bike trail to create a connection to Summit County. Also on Grandeur there are a few holdout private parcels with no access just above the homes on the west slope - how will the wilderness designation impact those?</p>	<p>Thanks for your comment. A bike connector trail from Parley's Canyon to Summit Park has not yet been discussed. All private property rights held prior to the designation will be unaffected by the CWNCRA. If there is currently no access to those privately held parcels, the CWNCRA will not grant access.</p>	

Pat Annoni	11/3/2018	Email	I STRONGLY support the current draft of the legislation and the amendments that have been made in this draft, which reflect public opinion that protecting water quality and year-round access to both ski resorts and backcountry are paramount in the Wasatch. I AM STRONGLY IN FAVOR of overall support of expanding protections for the Wasatch Mountains via the Central Wasatch Conservation and Recreation Area Act (NCRA).	Thank you for your comment, and for your support.	
Jake Havins	11/3/2018	Email	I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.	Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.	

<p>Colin Gergersen</p>	<p>11/4/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Hi there A few comments: 1. I'd like to see the rec area boundary include all public land in Alta's permit area. This is still public land and should be protected. 2. I do not support Alta land exchanges in the proposal. The draft states land exchanges with all 4 cottonwood resorts. I'd like to see alta completely excluded. 3. The yellow slash lines on the map says Alta boundary adjustment area. I DO NOT support giving alta area to expand! This seems crazy. Why is Alta getting all of Patsy Marley???? 4. If the CWC can add, then I would like to see language recommending against any land swaps for Alta, period. If they swap private land in devils castle for base land at the mouth of Grizzly, then Grizzly will be lost to ski area expansion (which I oppose). Excluding alta from land swap for base area is critical leverage to protect Grizzly. 5. I would support Alta swapping Grizzly Gulch for base area land. 6. I would support allowing Alta to expand to Catherine's Pass and a high traverse above the summer road in exchange for Grizzly. But I wouldn't support a chair to the top of Patsy or Tuscarora 7. I support the wilderness proposals and adjustments for the shoreline trail. Thank you, Colin</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing.</p>	
<p>John Woeste</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>The NCRA must include protection for Patsey Marley and Catherine's Pass. Including these as "Resort Boundary Adjustment Area" is unacceptable. It will not protect PM and Catherine's from Alta developing them into their ski area by eventually jumping over administrative/procedural steps. Please provide protection of these areas from Alta expansion by fully including them in the NCRA and eliminate the Resort Boundary Adjustment Area.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing.</p>	

<p>Greg Schiffman</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>It has gotten to the point where whatever Mountain Accord/CWC states is not only suspicious, but in fact, should not be believed. Examples include, but are not limited to; - "Mountain Accord has nothing to do with CWC", yet Ralph Becker repeatedly states that CWC is Mountain Accord - We are told that "Mountain Accord is defunct", yet Mountain Accord must exist for your Federal Designation - We were told time after time that "Mountain Accord is NOT subject to OPMA", yet Judge Laura Scott, State Auditor John Dougall and Utah Attorney General Sean Reyes' office have all determined, "Mountain Accord IS subject to OPMA" - We are told that "Mountain Accord was transparent and open", yet Mountain Accord admits they did not follow the state transparency law - We are told that "Those opposing Mountain Accord just want to develop property in the canyons, enrich themselves and destroy the watershed", Yet it is your Mountain Accord/CWC Federal Designation bill that will usher in massive development in the canyons, thus compromising the watershed. Now, after how many years and millions of dollars spent via Mountain Accord, you turn your attention to the purchase of the backcountry. But conserving the backcountry does not drive your plan, instead, giving developable land and building credits to the ski resorts drives it. If conserving the backcountry were your priority, you could have and should have conserved thousands of acres by now. Now, after how many years and millions of dollars spent via Mountain Accord, you turn your attention to the purchase of the backcountry. But conserving the backcountry does not drive your plan, instead, giving developable land and building credits to the</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The Central Wasatch Commission is born out of Mountain Accord, and it is the mission of the Central Wasatch Commission to implement the broadly agreed upon Accord. The major landmarks of the CWNCRRA Establishment of a new designation for federal lands in the Central Wasatch Mountains to provide stronger management direction for this heavily used area while protecting watersheds and environmental values, authorization for dollar-for-dollar, appraised value land exchanges between the U.S. Forest Service and ski resorts located in Big and Little Cottonwood Canyons to move mountainside private lands outside ski areas into public hands while consolidating private lands in the base areas of the ski resorts, the creation of a new Mount Aire/Grandeur Peak Wilderness Area, an addition to the existing Lone Peak Wilderness Area, a proposed new White Pine Special Management Area, adjustment of small pieces of Wilderness areas to allow for an alignment of the Bonneville Shoreline trail as a multi-purpose trail. The CWNRA anticipates future transportation improvements within the designation area. This language specifically allows for future transportation improvements to be implemented, per Federal law. In section (8)(A), transportation language states "This act anticipates future transportation improvements, including but not limited to roadway improvements, public</p>	
------------------------------	------------------	--------------	--	---	--

<p>Karen Woods</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Micah Gelter</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Brin Liddiard</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Jake Folgert</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Tyler Anthony</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Marina Hoggan</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>AJ Gianni</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Caroline Poole</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Natalya Van Etten</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Malori Miner</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Lauren McGill</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Maddie Lawrence</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Taylor Akagi</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Marshae McGile</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Shawn Kelly</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Cody Kennedy</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Alexis Cruz</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Randy Nelson</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>David Nelson</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area. --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies. --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
----------------------------	------------------	--------------	--	--	--

<p>Frederick Swetland</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Hello Mayor Sondak, my name is Frederick Swetland. and I am the Resident Property Manager for the Hellgate Condominiums in Alta. I've been a resident of Alta since 2012, but a steady part of the community since 2006. Originally, I was going to attend the CWC Meeting being held this afternoon in Cottonwood Heights, but alas the day has gotten away from me. However, I still wanted to submit some comments and observations about the implementation of the CWC, so I am writing this email. As I understand it, the focus of this legislation is to add usage protections to some 80,000 acres of USFS lands in the Wasatch Range, while allowing the ski areas to further develop specific pieces of their own land. For us here in Alta, that means Snowbird and the Alta Lift Company (here after: the ALC) would swap acreage outside their ski boundaries for development permits for their base areas. However, I have concerns about what the ALC wants versus what they are offering. Primarily, it seems that the property that the ALC is offering for trade is land inside their established ski boundaries that is already protected from development by it's very location - i.e. being surrounded by USFS land that isolates it from everything. This is contrary to what Snowbird, Solitude, and Brighton have done, which is to offer up valuable land outside their established boundaries for trade. This is not to say that I think they should give up Grizzly Gulch; it is their property, and a potentially valuable resource for them. However, to gain something of value, they must be will to offer something of value in trade. They have other property that could, and probably should be on the table for negotiation, otherwise to the layman, it seems the ALC is making a bad faith</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. "Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. The language in the updated draft explicitly gives Alta Ski Lifts the ability to apply to the USFS for resort expansion within the "ASL Resort Boundary Adjustment Area." In the legend of the 10/26/18 working draft map, lands on Patsey Marley Ridge were listed as part of ASL's "Boundary Expansion Area." The following proposed amendment to the 10/26/18 map would rename this area to clarify that it is the current Avalanche Protection Zone permit bordering ASL's existing resort boundary, which would be available for application by ASL for a ski area expansion proposal. "</p>	
<p>Bill Cutting</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Website</p>	<p>As a Utah Sierra Club member, and an alpine and backcountry skier, I wholeheartedly support MOST of the provisions of the NCRA. I would very much like to see the draft bill modified so that it INCLUDES protections for the Patsey Marley/Catherine Pass area — one of the areas most heavily used for dispersed, self-powered recreation by the public. The NCRA must include protection of these lands. They are precisely the kind of lands the NCRA was intended to protect from commercial exploitation. I plan to attend the Open House hearing on Nov. 5 in Cottonwood Heights. Bill Cutting</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing.</p>	

Daren Cottle	11/5/2018	Website	<p>Hi, I'm pleased the CWC is moving forward with a revised plan, in spite of Alta Ski Lifts decision to unilaterally exclude Grizzly Gulch from the land exchange proposal. I find it incredibly disappointing that Alta Ski Lifts' current ownership and management have taken this step and have put the years long Mountain Accord project in jeopardy. The most galling part of Alta's current position is their desire to remain in the CWC legislation and receive expanded base operation lands while keeping Grizzly, one of the linchpins in the Mountain Accord. I believe Alta's current position leaves the CWC no choice but to move forward without Alta Ski Lifts participation. Hopefully, moving forward will entice Alta Ski Lifts to reconsider their position and act in the best interest of Utah recreation and conservation. However, I'm not optimistic. With any luck, Alta Ski Lifts will not obtain the permitting and remaining lands necessary to develop Grizzly Gulch with lift served skiing. Please keep up the good work and your efforts to keep the public involved. Sincerely, Daren Cottle Sandy Utah 801-755-2180</p>	Thank you for your comment, and for your support.	
Gary Nichols	11/5/2018	Website	<p>For the most part I like what I see in the CWNCR plan. I am concerned about some of the area at Alta. I want to see Grizzly Gulch and the Patsey Marley/Catherine Pass area protected from encroachment by the ski resort. I believe the public Forest Service parts should be better protected under the current plans. These are areas where much of the public, including me, spend a great deal of time hiking and snowshoeing and skiing. The latest proposal makes it too easy for the resort to expand in the future. Thanks for the work that's been put into this so far.</p>	Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCR. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing.	
Tony Field	11/5/2018	Website	<p>All effort should be made to protect the Grizzly Gulch area from development. Grizzly Gulch is so widely used by winter enthusiasts, that for Alta to develop the area for ski lifts, and in the process block public access, would be an outrage. Please protect this jewel of the Wasatch. Thank you.</p>	Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCR. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing.	

Sheila Pryor	11/5/2018	Website	Here is the looming problem: We cannot all live on Samoa - half of us would be up to our necks or further in water. The same argument holds true for 'expansion' of the ski resorts moving land into private hands and/or public lands and then given the option to purchase same lands from the USFS. What is at issue here is not, well we are trying to accommodate all these people that want to ski, - not possible!. I am reminding of the two cats and the monkey - squabbling over which piece of cheese they wanted with the monkey volunteering to "even up" the pieces - outcome, no cheese. Conclusion - inch by inch the land will be developed.	Thank you for your comment. The CWNCRA acknowledges the increased pressures facing the tri-canyon areas, and aims to mitigate those pressures in a way that allows for concentrated ski resort growth in the resort base areas on already impacted lands, while adding nearly 8,000 acres of Wilderness in the canyons and permanently fixing the ski resort boundaries. The boundary fixture ensures that after the land exchanges take place, the participating ski resorts will not be able to develop any further lands.	
Blake DeVries	11/5/2018	Website	Central Wasatch Conservation and Recreation Area Maps, Details and Timeline. Keep our land wild and ski resort expansion out. Wild Wasatch.	Thank you for your comment.	
Richard Steiner	11/5/2018	Website	I have read Alta's statement justifying their decision to pull Grizzly Gulch out of the land exchange and believe it contradicts itself. On the one hand they claim they need the area to assure they can keep up with skier demand and on the other hand they talk about the transportation limits on canyon use. Their website states that they would be willing to include Grizzly Gulch in the exchange if a hard plan is put forward to build a tunnel or a tramway to increase the number of daily visitors. So they admit that under the current transportation limits they do not need Grizzly Gulch, except for cat skiing, but if a variety of governments shell out millions (billions?) of dollars for new transportation modes to get more skiers up the canyon they would give up potential for expansion into Grizzly. A backwards argument for sure! Alta further states that they are willing to trade Baldy peak land, but that defeats the purpose of the land exchange because Baldy is already in the area boundaries with lift approval. Trading that land for base area land where more development can occur exacerbates the problems the land exchange concept was trying to mitigate. Little Cottonwood Canyon essentially has only two major access points to back country skiing and hiking: White Pine Trailhead and Grizzly Gulch. Summer travel to Albion Basin has already been usurped by Alta. What is to prevent them from closing Grizzly Gulch to the public in the summer in addition to the winter consequences? The purpose this whole process was to balance dispersed recreation, ski area development and environmental concerns. Letting Alta keep Grizzly Gulch AND letting them trade land already within a developed area for land they can further develop completely	Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. The accompanying draft map shows Alta Ski Lifts current ski user permit boundary, boundary expansion area, and private lands. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. The language in the updated draft explicitly gives Alta Ski Lifts the ability to apply to the USFS for resort expansion within the "ASL Resort Boundary Adjustment Area"	

<p>Ben Chenot</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Mariana Sablan</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Thomas Beers</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Sarah Hill</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Krissie Karren</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Seneca Kay</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

Karl Paugh	11/5/2018	Email	I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail.	Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.	
-------------------	-----------	-------	--	---	--

<p>Katie Clayton</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Written Letter/Public Comment at Meeting</p>	<p>My name is Katie Clayton. I live in Granite, a small community near Little Cottonwood Canyon. I am a shareholder in South Despain Ditch co. I am a member of the Granite Commnuity Council. Many of my constituents are shareholders in the South Despain Ditch co., hence my interest in these proceedings. I am not representing the Granite Community Council. I am representing myself. My remarks concern the White Pine section of the Central Wasatch Conservation and Recreation Area Act. That language contains language that contradicts itself. Legislation with language that contradicts itself is a breeding ground for lawsuits. Subsection 2 (A) of the White Pine Designation reads: The use of motor vehicle and mechanical transport is prohibited.... except for administrative purposes or responding to an emergency. Obviously, that would prevent South Despain Ditch Co. from transporting equipment to repair and maintain the dam. On the other hand, subsection 4 allows motorized access and road maintenance to maintain water infrastructure. If I were a member of this commission, I would be embarassed, if not ashamed, to advance legislation that contradicts itself. South Despain Ditch Co. serves 250 households in the Granite area. It owns WhitePine Dam. It owns the rights to the water behind the dam. It owns the road to the dam. My question: what gives this commission the authority to take control of a privately owned road away from its owner, in this case South Despain Ditch Co., and turn it over to the federal government? If you have the authority to do that, every private land owner in the canyons should be terrified.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The language in Subsection 2 (A) of the CWNCRA was drafted in collaboration with South Despain Ditch Co.'s legal counsel, and speciacally addresses administartive access to maintain the dam. The 10/26/18 draft includes additional provisions to the White Pine Special Management Area to clarify protection for the South Despain Ditch Company's water rights and use (Sec. 5). The road that shareholders and owners use to access the South Despain Ditch is a Forest Service Road, which is accessible through a special use permit. That access will contnue under the CWNCRA.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The language in Subsection 2 (A) of the CWNCRA was drafted in collaboration with South Despain Ditch Co.'s legal counsel, and speciacally addresses administartive access to maintain the dam. The 10/26/18 draft includes additional provisions to the White Pine Special Management Area to clarify protection for the South Despain Ditch Company's water rights and use (Sec. 5). The road that shareholders and owners use to access the South Despain Ditch is a Forest Service Road, which is accessible through a special use permit. That access will contnue under the CWNCRA.</p>
-----------------------------	------------------	---	---	---	---

<p>John Andersen</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Written Letter/Public Comment at Meeting</p>	<p>My name is John Andersen. I have 1,000 acres of land claims in the canyons and long time resident of Salt Lake City. Cottonwood Mountain Accord run by Ben McAdamas and Heights Mayor Peterson, rich, old Salt Lake City charges poor, young, Cottonwood Heights and Millcreek \$500,000 a year in watershed protection fees to buy Salt Lake City land in the canon. This is not just. What are you going to do about it? Will you ask my Mayor Biskupski to refund the millions of dollars wrongfully collected and end this unjust practice? On CWC's website explaining the new federal legislation for 79,000 acres it states: Quote. "The U.S. Forest Service will maintain ownership and management of the lands" Unquote. So whats the point? There is no point unless there is ulterior motivation. Quote. "Natural resources and watersheds will be protected." Unquote. This already occurs in a most abusive manner under Salt Lake City's "watershed muscle" to small land owners. Snowbird is annexing into Sandy to escape the abuse and injustice of FCOZ. The town of Alta has already escaped. The only ones being stepped on in the canyon are folks like me. Mayor Biskupski, will you end the bullying of small canyon land owners? Quote. "Ski resort permit boundaries on U.S. Forest Service land will be fixed permamently..." Unquote. 50% of Utah can't afford to ski in their own canyon now. Our population is doubling especially in Salt Lake County where half of Utah's \$8.4 Billion Tourism dollars are spent. Creating ski resort monopolies in a market currently lacking ski resort product will drive more Utahns out of their own ski slopes. We need more ski resorts, not less. Who can afford \$5,200 for the Gold Ski Pass now or after the new federal legislation when the</p>	<p>The CWNCRA does not affect private property holdings. Whatever private property owners have prior to the legislation, they will also have after the legislation passes. The land exchanges will trade critical mountainside lands and headwaters for already impacted lands at the base of the participating resort areas. This will allow for simultaneous concentrated development and resort expansion, and preservation of the Central Wasatch.</p>	
-----------------------------	------------------	---	---	--	--

<p>Becky Johnson</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Written Letter/Public Comment at Meeting</p>	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the updated Central Wasatch Conservation Recreation Area Act. I am Becky Johnson, and have been serving on the Friends of Alta Board of Directors since before our involvement with Mountain Accord and now the Central Wasatch Commission. The CWC's focus on implementation has kept the FOA board and staff engaged and encouraged we can accomplish something truly great for the future of the Central Wasatch and possibly Alta. While our mission is Alta-centric we recognize and can appreciate the value of the NCRA to the Central Wasatch and have continued to provide support, guidance, and comments along the way as this legislation has been drafted. The current draft excludes a land swap with Alta. We feel that for Alta Ski Area to be brought back into this legislation and included in a swap with the Forest Service that they need to be exchanging lands of value outside of their ski area that can have additional protections placed on them. We would hate for this process, legislation, and the greater bigger picture for conservation to be up by the Alta community's inability to resolve issues based around Grizzly Gulch. If those issues cannot be resolved, then excluding Alta Ski Area seems to be the better solution than a bad deal for Alta's community. That being said, FOA does see great value in putting the dry lots owned by the Alta Ski Area that are currently in the Albion Basin and Albion Alps subdivisions into public ownership. If the Town of Alta is excluded from the NCRA this one time opportunity to acquire private lands would be lost. Acquisition of private lands in Albion Basin is something we have been working on for over 30 years in order to protect our watershed and open</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. The language in the updated draft explicitly gives Alta Ski Lifts the ability to apply to the USFS for resort expansion within the "ASL Resort Boundary Adjustment Area." In the legend of the 10/26/18 working draft map, lands on Patsey Marley Ridge were listed as part of ASL's "Boundary Expansion Area." The following proposed amendment to the 10/26/18 map would rename this area to clarify that it is the current Avalanche Protection Zone permit bordering ASL's existing resort boundary, which would be available for application by ASL for a ski area expansion proposal.</p>	
-----------------------------	------------------	---	---	---	--

<p>Catherine Sharpsteen</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Written Letter/Public Comment at Meeting</p>	<p>To the Commission tasked with writing the draft documents establishing the Central Wasatch Conservation and Recreation Area: Thank you for your work on this newest draft of the CWNCRRA. Your months and months of effort allow the rest of us the benefit of a beautiful mountain range right in our backyards. I support this draft because it contains a number of important provisions: it adds to existing wilderness areas. It adds the Mt. Aire Grandeur Peak Wilderness Area. It provides a layer of rotection to land that is not within the wilderness areas. The provisions in the draft are important to protecting the environment of the area, and more critically, our watershed. It provides for land swaps beneficial to the ski areas as well as to the citizenry. In addition to the above, I would urge the commission to include the area between Catherine Pass and Patsy Marley in the National Conservation and Recreation area. Thank you for this open and transparent effort toward protecting the Wasatch.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment and fr your support. Negotiations with Alta Ski Lifts pertinignto which lands will be included in its exchange with the U.S. Forest Service, if any, are ongoing.</p>	
------------------------------------	------------------	---	--	---	--

<p>George Vargyas</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Written Letter/Public Comment at Meeting</p>	<p>WBA has been an active stakeholder in the CWNCRA process from the beginning. Although far from perfect, it has been a herculian task and we are encouraged by the goals and vision set forth. We of course have not had all of our desires met, but in the spirit of community, collaboration, and compromise with so many different interests, the proposals put forth asks something of everyone who cherishes and uses these lands. The concept of protecting and conserving wild areas and backcountry outside of developed areas, with the trade off of concentrating development in already established sites is a reasonable compromise -- for both the resorts and the conservation community. To that end, we support the CWC's efforts to seek compromise and potential solutions with the most challenging stakeholder -- ASL. With the subsequent inability to find acceptable compromise between ASL and the public regarding GG, the decision to leave the ASL permit area out of the NCRA jurisdiction, and the associated land exchanges , in unfortunate but necessary. To that end, a large swath of GG is also being left out of the NCRA because much, but not all, of it is privately owned by ASL. The most popular backcountry trailhead in the CW being left out of the legislation is a real loss for the regions fastest growing user group - human powered recreationists. Skiers, snowboarders, jibbers and jump makers, bikers, snowshoers, walkers, runners, are included, plus it is the regions most popular outdoor avalanche education classroom. If these lands are eventually left out, we urge the CWC to ensure that the Avalanche Protection Zone of Patsy Marley, which is above the summer road, and in upper North Facing GG, which includes the</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. The language in the updated draft explicitly gives Alta Ski Lifts the ability to apply to the USFS for resort expansion within the "ASL Resort Boundary Adjustment Area." In the legend of the 10/26/18 working draft map, lands on Patsey Marley Ridge were listed as part of ASL's "Boundary Expansion Area." The following proposed amendment to the 10/26/18 map would rename this area to clarify that it is the current Avalanche Protection Zone permit bordering ASL's existing resort boundary, which would be available for application by ASL for a ski area expansion proposal.</p>	
------------------------------	------------------	---	---	---	--

<p>Mike Maughan</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Written Letter/Public Comment at Meeting</p>	<p>It is my understanding that this Commission is proposing to move legislation forward to create a conservation and recreation area, adjust wilderness boundaries, and authorize land trades between US Forest Service and the ski areas in the Cottonwood Canyons, exclusive of Alta Ski Area. It is very disappointing that this commission would exclude one of the primary stakeholders and an environmental leader in the Cottonwood Canyons from this legislation because it has decided not include its private land in Grizzly Gulch in a land exchange with the Forest Service. Private lands which are within the ski area boundary and have been used for over 15 years in its Cat Skiing operation. Private lands which the ski purchased many years ago as an area in which to accommodate recreational growth. A little over a month ago, under Chair McCandless's lead, this commission was working towards a legislative solution that recognized Alta Ski Area's right to exclude its private lands in Grizzly Gulch from the land exchange and include Alta Ski Area's remaining property, over 500 surface acres and 1300 acres of mineral rights in the land exchange. It is my understanding, this concept was discussed with members of our legislative body in Congress. Unfortunately, those unhappy with Alta's right and decision to exclude its private lands in Grizzly Gulch became obstructive to moving a solution forward with consensus that provided wins for all stakeholders. Instead of working together for a win-win solution, a rhetoric of half-truths and inaccurate information has been sensationalized through social media, newsletters, and emails to this commission and others. Some of the inaccurate assertions being spread include: Alta is being obstructive. Alta</p>	<p>We appreciate the ongoing input and participation of ASL in the decisions of the Central Wasatch Mountains. While we have been disappointed that ASL has withdrawn from the agreements in Mountain Accord and HR 5718, we have been working to try to facilitate an alternative solution, thus far without agreement. Per many conversations and statements in writing and orally from ASL, the fallback of removing ASL and the Town of Alta from the CWNCRA is reflected in the most recent Draft of the Bill, dated 10/26/2018. By excluding ASL from the CWNCRA, the Ski Resort may pursue its expansion proposals as it could today or without the Legislation. As noted by the CWC, ongoing efforts to further resolve the Grizzly Gulch-ASL issue may be pursued and are welcomed.</p>	
<p>Cruzer Rowland</p>	<p>11/5/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Ralph, My family owns a modest property in Big Cottonwood Canyon known as Mill D North 9.6 miles up 190 in Big Cottonwood Canyon. You will pass thru our gated community as you head to Donut Falls, hopefully on foot, snowshoes or skis. Cardiff Association of Property Owners or C.A.P.O. our registered name, not to be included or confused with other similar associations in the area. Please note the "at large" communities as defined by the B.C.C.A. and the different representation that goes with it. Barbara Cameron can provide a better look at the some overlapping issues that may arise from time to time. As a long time land and home owner I am most interested in the restoration and preservation of the Wasatch Front. Please help to maintain the wild, undisturbed qualities of the the Wasatch. Thank you Ralph Becker.</p>	<p>Cruzer, In reviewing emails, I've seen your email and it has been included in our comments. I'm not sure I responded to you, but want to assure you that we have received, appreciate, and will be addressing your concern and intend to include you in considerations of how we might address Cardiff Canyon issues. We are moving forward, slowly, with consideration of the Cardiff Canyon situation and any approach will take into consideration you and the CAPO. Regards, Ralph</p>	

Cliff Curry	11/6/2018	Website	Hi, I don't see meeting minutes on your website. How does the public access those? Will you live stream and post recordings of meetings? Thanks - Cliff	The CWC has replied directly to this commenter, providing the pertinent links to the information.	
Amanda Banks	11/6/2018	Email	I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.	Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.	

<p>Sami Beatty</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Kelley Johnson</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Ross Tristman</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Lexi Bryner</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Blake Jardine</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Kollin Sweat</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

Tobin Waters	11/6/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
Andres Villacres	11/6/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Densie Paisley</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Isaac Pawling</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Russ McCluskey</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Lyon Vinsonleder</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Serena Blake</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Chris Michaels</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

Cody Cock	11/6/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
Caitun Smith	11/6/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Britney Helms</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Jenny Hargis</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Shelby Gonzales</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Tyler Fredsall</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Savana Brown</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Nick Owen</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Vanessa Reese</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Ava Johns</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Rachel Pearce</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Elliot Frei</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Ethan Bradshaw</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Thomas Burns</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Trisha Matsuura</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Alyssa Coria</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

Carson Bold	11/6/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
Carter Pilling	11/6/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Ana Mankouski</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Sameet Sarkaria</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Lindsey Samotis</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Tanner Marshall</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

Angel Lopez	11/6/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
Brandon Evans	11/6/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>William Kingsbury</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Slade Dahlen</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

Ben Brewer	11/6/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
Melissa Brunkerr	11/6/2018	Email	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Kyle Ticotin</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Michelle Murphy</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Chandler Brown</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Mackenzie Connelly</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Arthur Whiting</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Samantha Beck</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Celine Foquer</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Nick Arthurs</p>	<p>11/6/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

Hyrum Maynez	11/7/2018	Website	I am an avid climber, skier and supporter for the conservation of public and protected lands. I wish to make my opinion heard because I believe this to be paramount for future generations. Please consider my comment. Thank you. Warmly, Hyrum Maynez	Thank you for your comment.	
Steven Peterson	11/7/2018	Website	I support the NCRA and want to protect our land, and prevent ski resort land expansion.	Thank you for your comment and the support.	
Mollie French	11/7/2018	Website	I think that more people should be paying attention to our land and our resources	Thank you for your comment.	
Gavin Fraser	11/7/2018	Website	I fully support this organization and all that they do. The things they do to preserve wildlife and land is amazing and must be continued!	Thank you for your comment and support.	
Katy Yeakey	11/7/2018	Website	I support the NCRA and value for value land exchange	Thank you for your comment and support.	
Alexandria Mumphery	11/7/2018	Website	I support the NCA.	Thank you for your comment and support.	
JeffreyLim	11/7/2018	Website	I support NCRA!	Thank you for your comment and support.	
Justis Sisneros	11/7/2018	Website	I believe in this cause and we should work towards saving our canyon.	Thank you for your comment and support.	
Emily Reichmann	11/7/2018	Website	I support!	Thank you for your comment and support.	
Zach Ison	11/7/2018	Email	I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.	Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.	

<p>Lizzy Goldsworthy</p>	<p>11/7/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Chloe Davis</p>	<p>11/7/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

<p>Jake Havins</p>	<p>11/7/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	
<p>Shannon McCallum</p>	<p>11/7/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>I support the NCRA overall, but I would like to see these changes being made to better reflect wilderness, ecosystems, our water supply, and our public lands: --Making White Pine a Wilderness Area --Alta cannot leverage lands INSIDE its resort boundary as part of a land exchange, only lands outside the resort boundary can be part of an exchange as that is where the public benefit lies --If Grizzly Gulch is not protected, no water (snowmaking or base development) should be given to Alta --If we can make amends on White Pine, we can continue working on Wilderness Boundary Adjustments to complete the multi-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. As a recipient of clean water, diverse recreation, and a high quality of life provided by the Wasatch Range, I encourage the CWC to reflect these changes and move forward with recommending the NCRA be heard before Congress.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The 10/26/18 draft removes Alta Ski Lifts from the land exchanges and holds their private lands harmless in CWNCRA. Alta Ski Lifts private lands adjacent to permit areas are also excluded from the NCRA designation boundary. Alta Ski Lifts Resort Boundary Adjustment Area includes the Avalanche Protection Zone Forest Service Permit Area, and the slivers of Forest Service Land at the mouth of Grizzly Gulch. Negotiations about which lands will be exchanged between Alta Ski Area and the U.S. Forest Service are ongoing. To accommodate mountain biking for the popular Bonneville Shoreline Trail corridor, a portion of wilderness would need to be adjusted. In this draft of the bill, like earlier drafts, mountain biking would not be permitted in the White Pine Special Management Area, but dam maintenance and access is specifically permitted in order to accommodate the South Despain Ditch Company shareholders.</p>	

Azissa Singh	11/11/2018	Website	I support the NRCA and hope that Alta Ski Area will join in on this amazing protection effort!	Thank you for your comment and support.	
Brett Carroll	11/12/2018	Website	I strongly support the formation of the Central Wasatch National Conservation and Recreation Area. The Central Wasatch is home to many finite resources and, given its proximity to a large urban population, needs to be managed responsibly. There is already sufficient ski resort development in the canyons to satisfy that demand. All undeveloped area in the canyons should remain undeveloped for the preservation of local ecosystems, the health of the watershed, and wilderness-based recreation (like hiking, climbing, and backcountry skiing).	Thank you for your comment and your support. The CWNCRRA will add approximately 8,000 new acres of Wilderness within the NCRA boundary. The legislation also authorizes land exchanges between the participating ski resorts and the U.S. Forest Service wherein the resorts will exchange mountainside land to the Forest Service for already impacted lands at each resort's base area. Following the culmination of the exchanges, the resort boundaries will be permanently fixed, precluding any further land development.	
Paul Gongaware	11/12/2018	Website	I am an Alta resident. I have the same problem with this draft as with the last one. Lots of good things in there but this attempts to permanently fix ski area boundaries in BCC and LCC. As population increases in the valley more will want to use these precious natural resources. No one knows now how we will feel about these boundaries in the future. An inability to be able to adjust things in the future makes no sense. How can we say now what we should do then? Permanent fixed boundaries for our ski resorts should be removed from the final draft.	Thank you for your comment. All participating ski resorts in the 10/26 draft have agreed to the land exchanges contained in the proposed legislation, including the fixed resort boundaries. In an effort to preserve the ecological value of the Central Wasatch, while also allowing for concentrated ski resort development, land exchnages and fixed boundaries have been proposed.	

Bart Rueling	11/16/2018	Email	<p>We recently had Ralph Becker attend our BCCC meeting while trying to implore us to support the NCRA, he stressed the importance of the vision of the CWC as to the continuing efforts of maintaining water quality for SLC residences and the vision to preserve the quality of the canyons for its locals. We also have heard from SLPU and the FS that their primary vision is similar in scope. What you seem to be missing is talking with the people who actually use the facilities in the canyons, experiencing first hand what the state of these facilities are when people actually use them. And understanding that they do have alternatives in lieu of using the restroom. We are very appreciative of the efforts SLPU has taken in replacing some of the single stall vault toilets, with double stall toilets. We have also seen the county council approve and fund the new facility at Silver Lake at Brighton that was badly needed. But the real need beside replacing and expansion of existing facilities, is the need to maintain the existing toilets with cleaning and replenishing toilet paper and general clean-up. The other key point the the BCCC has stressed is that there is a great desire now to remove the toilet facilities from the highway corridor (Storm Mountain, Mill B, and Cardiff) connected to the sewer and replace them with vault toilets that would be less expensive to maintain. The problem with this is two fold. One, it is currently against State Law; if it is within three hundred feet of the sewer line it needs to be connected to the sewer. And two, that with the experience of how vault toilets have been maintained in the past, most people would prefer not to use the vault toilets because of lack of toilet paper, garbage strewn around the toilets, and with the</p>	<p>The CWC is putting together a strategic plan to inform future work as it relates to the "Three T's". At the direction of the CWC board, the CWC hopes to address restroom maintenance and the funding needed for adequate maintenance. While the CWC itself will likely not be the entity charged with providing the maintenance, we are dedicated to finding resources and partners who can improve this necessary work. See the following for a portion of the Salt Lake Public Utilities maintenance work in Big Cottonwood Canyon: - Silver Lake Restrooms – Demolish & Rebuild. Funded by SL County - \$300,000. SLCDPU has contributed roughly \$30,000 in addition. SLCDPU is point agency in project. Lead on contracting project out, obtaining all permits and project oversight. Agreement w/US Forest Service to work on USFS lands. Big Cottonwood Canyon - Guardsman Pass Winter Trailhead i. Replaced existing vault with double vault. SLCDPU funded - \$41,409.23, not including SL County permit. Big Cottonwood Canyon - Donut Falls Trailhead Replaced existing vault with double vaults. SLCDPU funded - \$45,272.09, not including SL County permits</p>	
--------------	------------	-------	--	---	--

<p>Evan Johnson</p>	<p>11/17/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>In 1993, Salt Lake City Public Utilities had hatched a scheme to use "watershed muscle" to deny recorded lots in the Albion Basin of Alta, Utah water as memorialized by their infamous August 30, 1993 "watershed muscle" memo. 25 years later, how did the "watershed muscle" program work out? It failed, the Shrontz's are building 9 houses in the Albion Basin, and 23 recorded lots still are owned by private parties. It failed because injustice is the basis of the watershed muscle program and culture. What culture was created by Salt Lake City Public Utilities that has lead the town into so many lawsuits and so belly aches? One day SLC claims the interconnect is a scheme to destroy the canyons, the next day it's a panacea for all the canyon ills. One day, SLC won't allow an 2002 Winter Olympics in the local canyons, today SLC begs for the 2030 winter Olympics. Clearly canyon policies can change. It's time to set aside the injustice and humor of the watershed muscle program and replace it with a program based in justice like trading some density credits for an Albion Basin lot. We have two lots in the Albion Alps Subdivision which according to Salt Lake City Public Utilities have no 50 gallon per day limit. "Albion Alps Subdivision (no limit specified)" - Salt Lake City Public Utilities March 23, 1992.. The lot we recently purchased had not traded hands in 55 years. We have four lots in the Cecret Lake Subdivision annexed into Alta in 1975 and entitled to water under the 1976 SLC-TOA water agreement. This was memorialized by W. Paul Thompson Town of Alta Counsel on November 22, 2002 to Ms. Judity Maack, a Cecret Lake Subdivision lot owner, when he wrote, "As a property owner in the Cecret Lake Subdivision, your lot would</p>	<p>The CWNCRA legislation does not affect private property rights negatively or positively. If the bill passes, private property owners will be entitled to and own exactly what they owned prior to the legislation.</p>	
----------------------------	-------------------	--------------	--	---	--

<p>Norm Henderson</p>	<p>11/18/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>By establishing a new protected area in the Wasatch (which includes new wilderness) you are potentially making it more difficult/expensive for the USFS to treat existing forests to reduce fire hazard to the towns in the Wasatch (Brighton and Alta) as well as the residents in unincorporated communities . Why do you want to put these residents at risk like this by making a federal protected area where residents and local managers may have little control over the type of management is implemented. I have heard from the enviros that they don't want the private property owners in the canyons anyway so why not burn them out, right? Ralph, you're setting CWC up for a big fall on this issue right now. I suggest you back off until the USFS gets its house in order. Making a new protected area right now (that might have controls over how forests are managed) is not the way to go.</p>	<p>Norm, Thank you for this email on fire dangers and your other email on FS funding. I appreciate receiving your comments. Re: FS funding deficiencies: As you know, this has been a long-festering problem that will need to be addressed outside of any CWNCRAs legislation. The CWC is committed to doing its part to find help find resources to improve management in the Central Wasatch Mountains. Re: funding for FS and the challenge of increasing fires: this issue, as well, has been a growing challenge for the FS, state and local governments, and private property owners. CWC and the CWNCRAs acknowledge this as a major issue. The legislation does not significantly affect the dangers associated with fire in our region; we are all going to need to step up our efforts in prevention, suppression, and funding. While I appreciate your continuing position that the CWNCRAs and the CWC are harmful to our Central Wasatch Mountains, local, state, and federal governments, almost all major stakeholders, and the responses we receive from the public encourage the effort to move forward with the consensus conclusions of Mountain Accord and realize the benefits from the solutions we are working on together to secure a better future for our mountains. I will continue to look forward to our ongoing dialog.</p>	
------------------------------	-------------------	--------------	--	--	--

Carl Fisher	11/19/2018	Email	<p>As you decide whether or not to include in the boundary of the CWNCRRA some of the lands between Alta Ski Area and Big Cottonwood Canyon, I thought it important to share with you a few photos and a 30 second video to help show the area. I'm taking you on a virtual tour here, perhaps we can go for a walk when we get some snow. This first photo is just to the south of Twin Lakes Pass on public land looking at the USFS land in Big Cottonwood Canyon from the Little Cottonwood ridgeline. The second photo is looking north back toward Solitude and Twin Lakes Pass. You can see the Highway to Heaven traverse. Solitude's Summit lift would be just below that saddle on the center right of the image. This third image might suggest why the traverse in the prior image is called the Highway to Heaven, because this is where it leads you. This is Patsey Marley, prized public lands. As you near the top of the climb to Patsey Marley, you then find yourself staring in the the eye of a Wolverine... Wolverine cirque that is, with its iconic chutes. Here's a 30 second video that gives you and idea of what it is. Now picture a lift up there. How will this experience change? Hundreds of people a day (at least) are already walking to this spot, enjoying a quiet day in the mountains. The purpose of the Central Wasatch NCRA is to maintain the balance of developed and undeveloped lands. It is to help place protection on places and experiences both at our resorts but also in an ever shrinking backcountry, not to mention a stressed watershed. The questions I hope you will all consider tonight are these: How does not placing the protections afforded by the NCRA onto these cherished public lands maintain the balance we have today? How</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. AS you know, discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts and Save Our Canyons in an effort to reach a tenable solution for all involved.</p>	
-------------	------------	-------	---	---	--

<p>Norm Henderson</p>	<p>11/19/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Dear Governor Herbert: I would like to voice my opposition to the creation of the Central Wasatch National Conservation and Recreation Area (CWNCRA). I have previously voiced my opposition to the legislation due to its being primarily the fruit of the poisonous tree. As you know, I am one of the plaintiffs for the Mountain Accord lawsuit where we have clearly established that Mountain Accord was both subject to and flagrantly violated the Utah Open and Public Meetings Law (OPMA). There are literally no voice recordings or approved minutes of any executive board meetings. We have confirmed that many of the decisions and deliberations (like the ones to create the Central Wasatch Commission and the first iteration of the legislation to create the CWNCRA) were conducted in secret. In addition to the violations of OPMA and decisions behind closed doors, Mountain Accord executive board ensured that local residents and land owners from Big Cottonwood Canyon had no seat on the executive board and thus no voice in these important deliberations. Now with the CWC, the federal legislation remains flawed and should be rejected. Now is not the time to be creating new protected areas in the nations national forests. Protected areas tend to be more difficult to manage than multiple use forests because the end ecological goals can be much different. Protection to some means that the resources are left to the forces of nature and certain effective human management practices are prohibited by federal regulation. This tends to throttle active mechanical management to reduce the density of trees and brush or the removal of hazardous fuels. Such protectionist management policies will increase the fire</p>	<p>As noted, the CWC has committed to an open, inclusive, transparent process. Thus far, there have been multiple comment opportunities on the past (HR 5718, 2016) Congressional proposal as well as drafts of possible Congressional legislation going forward. And, those comments have been summarized, responded to, and made available for all interested persons to access on the CWC Utah Public Notice website. We welcome comments as the draft legislation continues to be considered for recommendation by the CWC to the Utah Congressional Delegation. Mountain Accord held numerous public meetings and opportunities for public comment, and the thousands of participants and dozens of stakeholders shaped the consensus agreement signed by all local and state jurisdictions, the business community (including the ski resorts), environmental groups, and many individuals.</p>	
------------------------------	-------------------	--------------	---	---	--

<p>Greg Schiffman and Vaughan Cox</p>	<p>11/19/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Dear Governor Herbert, Senator Lee, Senator Elect Romney, Representative Bishop, Representative Stewart, Representative Love, and Representative Curtis, Throughout the Mountain Accord process and now with the Central Wasatch Commission, we have been told time after time that the proposed Federal Designation has "consensus" and the support of the public. This claim of consensus is simply not true. Numerous organizations, representing the citizens most impacted by this proposal have consistently opposed this new federal designation. Big Cottonwood Community Council, made up of residents living in the heart of the proposed designation, have year after year opposed the federal designation. They voted unanimously against it. Granite Community Council, made up of residents living at the mouth of Little Cottonwood Canyon, reject the need of more federal designation in the Wasatch and voted against this proposal. ACCT (Association of Community Councils Together) who represent over 100,000 Salt Lake County residents in the Unincorporated County have testified on Utah's Capitol Hill against the Federal Designation. They continue their opposition. Now, with the most recent version of the bill, Mountain Accord/CWC has left out Alta Ski Resort. One can assume Alta Ski Resort is not in favor of the current proposal. These facts clearly show there is not consensus in favor of this proposal. Another layer of Federal control is not the answer and will not bring better management to our Wasatch Canyons. Yes, there are issues that need to be addressed in the canyons, but those issues are better addressed at the local level. The local residents are ready, willing and able to participate in canyon</p>	<p>While I appreciate your continuing position that the CWNCR and the CWC are harmful to our Central Wasatch Mountains, local, state, and federal governments, almost all major stakeholders, and the responses we receive from the public encourage the effort to move forward with the consensus conclusions of Mountain Accord and realize the benefits from the solutions we are working on together to secure a better future for our mountains. At Alta Ski Lift's request, ASL privately held lands and lands under special use permits have been omitted from the CWNCR. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.</p>	
--	-------------------	--------------	--	--	--

Colin Gregersen	11/19/2018	Email	<p>Dear Mr Becker, Considering there is a special meeting tonight, I wanted to send you a quick note to voice my concerns over Alta Ski Lifts and the creation of the CWNCR. I'll try to be succinct as I imagine your time is limited today. 1. I do not support any compromise with ASL regarding Grizzly Gulch. 2. I understand and appreciate that ASL owns property in Grizzly Gulch and can potentially put a chairlift in there without the need for forest service land. However, there is a parcel of forest service land at the mouth of Grizzly that (in my opinion) is critical for them to create an enjoyable ski experience in Grizzly. If they get that parcel, then they can create an easy glide for their customers. Without the parcel, expanding into Grizzly is much more difficult for them and degrades skier experience. In short, the exit out grizzly would 'suck' for their customers without additional Forest Service land. I believe ASL is overplaying their hand here in order to get this parcel. Please to not include this in the CWNCR bill! 3. I do not believe that a compromise on Grizzly will permanently protect the forest land in grizzly, on Patsy Marley, or in Catherines Pass. If the CWC makes a compromise with Alta on Grizzly, I believe ASL will be empowered to connect their resort terrain between the Supreme and Grizzly lifts. This land will essentially be an island within a ski area. Alta would likely close the summer road for uphill access and shut down public use. Further, I believe they will make a compelling argument to the Forest Service that Patsy Marley is an "Avalanche Zone" endangering customers who are traveling from the supreme chair to the grizzly chair. This public safety argument would be convincing and eventually be resolved by putting a chairlift to the</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. At Alta Ski Lift's request, ASL privately held lands and lands under social use permits have been omitted from the CWNCR. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.</p>	
Kjerstin Phillips	11/19/2018	Webiste Form	I would love to help in any way!	Thanks for your comment. Staff will be in touch.	

<p>Evan Johnson</p>	<p>11/20/2018</p>	<p>Email</p>	<p>Now that Rep McAdams-D has been elected, Ralph Becker's new quasi-Wilderness plan can rush forward with Speaker Nancy Pelosi to pushing for more DC control of our canyons and state affairs. Public Questions: 1-Rep Nancy Pelosi-D, how is Utah going to compete with Colorado for ski dollars after passing McAdams new legislation to prohibit new Forest Service ski resort permits or expansions of on old ski resorts in perpetuity (forever)? Colorado has 11X's the Forest Service ski resort permits than Utah. 2-Rep Ben McAdams-D, why do we want California like fire problems on 79,000 acres of our prime Wasatch lands? If you don't mow your lawn for 30 years, your house may burn down. It's not climate change though the climate does change. Why are we creating a health, wildlife, and property hazard in our canyons. Ca Gov Brown and President Trump recommends forest management reform which conflict with CWC's new federal legislation. Road-less, graze-less, timber harvested-less forests are unhealthy and dangerous to people, wildlife and property. 3-CWC Ralph Becker-D, how is creating ski resort monopolies a good thing for Utah? Most of Utah can't afford to ski on their own slopes now. 4-CWC Chris McCandless, how is creating land development monopolies in ski base camps a good thing for Utah? \$2 million ski condos won't be owned locals. 5-Senator Mitt Romney, how is he Dems turning our old roads into new trails a good thing for Utah? 6-Senator Mike Lee, how the Dems is turning our land deeds into toilet paper stepping on small land owners to create ski resort and development monopolies a good thing for Utah? There is no consensus on the McAdams/Becker bill. The new Town of Brighton, Cardiff Canyon Owners</p>	<p>The legislation does not significantly affect the dangers associated with fire in our region; we are all going to need to step up our efforts in prevention, suppression, and funding. While I appreciate your continuing position that the CWNCRA and the CWC are harmful to our Central Wasatch Mountains, local, state, and federal governments, almost all major stakeholders, and the responses we receive from the public encourage the effort to move forward with the consensus conclusions of Mountain Accord and realize the benefits from the solutions we are working on together to secure a better future for our mountains.</p>	
----------------------------	-------------------	--------------	---	---	--

Greg Schiffman	11/20/2018	Email	Dear Ralph and Chris, Just to make sure I understand where you are trying to go with this federal designation. You want to: - Assign more control to the Feds of our Wasatch when they are clearly underfunded and do not have the resources to manage what they already have. The Forest Service has \$5 Billion in deferred maintenance. The National Parks Service has \$12 Billion in deferred maintenance. California is on fire and many people are dead. Our Wasatch Canyons are over-grown with dead and down, etc. They are a tinder box and instead of pursuing healthy forests, you spend millions of taxpayer dollars trying to hand over more control to the very entity who says they cannot handle what they are already tasked with. Where is the reason and good stewardship to support your actions. I have included a link to a recent story in the Deseret News and also attached the Forest Service report. It is time to stop this insanity of what you are proposing.	FS funding deficiencies has been a long-festering problem that will need to be addressed outside of any CWNCRRA legislation. The CWC is committed to doing its part to find help find resources to improve management in the Central Wasatch Mountains. Re: funding for FS and the challenge of increasing fires: this issue, as well, has been a growing challenge for the FS, state and local governments, and private property owners. CWC and the CWNCRRA acknowledge this as a major issue. The legislation does not significantly affect the dangers associated with fire in our region; we are all going to need to step up our efforts in prevention, suppression, and funding. While I appreciate your continuing position that the CWNCRRA and the CWC are harmful to our Central Wasatch Mountains, local, state, and federal governments, almost all major stakeholders, and the responses we receive from the public encourage the effort to move forward with the consensus conclusions of Mountain Accord and realize the benefits from the solutions we are working on together to secure a better future for our mountains. I will continue to look forward to our ongoing dialog.	
Todd Leeds	11/20/2018	Email	You guys blew it big time. Grizzly will be lost and with it most of the surrounding terrian. You should be embrassed for not protecting the Wastach	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, inlcuding Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	
Dixie Huefner	11/20/2018	Website Form	Ralph and team, Thanks for all your hard work and negotiation re NCRA. So sorry Alta wouldn't come along, but the other compromises reach for win-win. Good work. With gratefulness, Dixie	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, inlcuding Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	

Katy Andrews	11/20/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank you for bringing everyone together to determine how to protect Salt Lake's most valuable asset, the Wasatch Mountains. This is by far the most important thing for our county, whether you recreate in the mountains or not. Alta's stubbornness is disappointing, but I hope that they will reconsider their participation in this important plan when they see the successes between public lands and other private lands (ski areas).</p> <p>All the best,</p> <p>Katy Andrews</p>	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	
Stephen brown	11/20/2018	Website Form	<p>Congratulations and job well done, CWC crew. The agreement and precedent for cooperation are going to be an important legacy. In 30 years, I bet folks will look back and thank you for your prescience and patience.</p>	Thank you for your comment.	
Magali Lequent	11/20/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank you!</p>	Thank you for your comment.	
David Kliger	11/20/2018	Website Form	<p>I can't imagine how difficult it has been getting all the concerned parties to come to an agreement. Bravo to you and sad to see Alta has not been a willing partner. How ironic. So many of us have a warm feeling toward Alta. Our love of skiing was nourished there. I myself still have a ski pass there but spend most of my time in the back country. And now they are just being malevolent. Thanks for all your hard work.</p>	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	
Jason P Fox	11/20/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank You!</p> <p>It's great to know that people such as yourselves are out there tirelessly working to protect our Wilderness, our refuge from work and the ever growing chaos of day to day life as we know it. What a sad path Alta has taken, thanks for standing strong and please continue to protect our wilderness from those with myopic views.</p> <p>Have a Great Holiday and please toast your amazing accomplishment and our victory!</p> <p>Cordially, Jason Fox</p>	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	
Gretchen DuBois	11/20/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank you so much for everything you have done for the Central Wasatch National Conservation Recreation Area Act! The many years of work and collaboration you have put in have resulted in a fantastic product and one that will protect our public lands, as well as other interests in the Wasatch Mountain. I completely support your efforts! Thank you!</p>	Thank you for your support.	

Kristen	11/20/2018	Website Form	Hello! I read about your support of legislation protecting the Wasatch at the meeting on Nov 19. I haven't been very involved, but I'm so very grateful for all who have been, and for their ability to negotiate and work things out--what an example to our bifurcated nation!! Thank you, thank you so much!	Thank you for your comment.	
Gabrielle Roh	11/20/2018	Website Form	Thank you for all of your efforts to preserve the Wasatch Canyons.	Thank you for your comment.	
Stanford Neering	11/20/2018	Website Form	Thanks for all your hard work!	Thank you for your comment.	
Noah Miterko	11/20/2018	Website Form	Thank you for being competent and passionate leaders for a sustainable Central Wasatch. Kindly, Noah Miterko	Thank you for your comment.	
Ellie Ienatsch	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thank you for your tremendous effort on behalf of our precious Wasatch Range. The results that have come from your work are absolutely wonderful. I know you have done everything possible to bring Alta into the agreement. The projected expansions they outline are seriously troubling. The areas in question - Patsey Marley, Wolverine, Grizzly Gulch, Twin Lakes Pass and routes in that area - are areas that are dear to the hearts of many of us who are, or have been, backcountry skiers and hikers. I'm very sorry that Alta has turned out to be an enemy of our watershed, a dangerous entity in efforts to protect the Wild of our irreplaceable mountains. Thanks again for all you have done.	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	
Jan Ellen Burton	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thank you. I am glad the entire process of organizing a plan for the Wasatch was not stopped by one bulwark, and that there is a plan in place. I have viewed these lands as my playground in the past, and the obvious increased overcrowding has worried me. There will be crowds, but at least some agreement on preserving lands has been made.	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	
Carolyn Clark	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thank you for all your hard work. I appreciate the wilderness areas that were able to be saved.	Thank you for your comment.	
Shelly and Thomas Filgo	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thank you for all do. We know how hard, stressful, sleepless nights you all have negotiating for places you all love. Perhaps the people will show alta with their pocketbooks Shelly and Thom Filgo	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	

Henry Whiteside	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thanks for the incredible job you've and your spirited resistance to Alta's unreasonable demands. KUDOS!	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	
Jeremy Cummings	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thank you for all your work on the CWCRA. I appreciate your efforts and dedication in protecting the Wasatch!	Thank you for your comment.	
Craig Wallentine	11/21/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank you for the great work advancing the Central Wasatch National Recreation Area. The proposed Grandeur Peak wilderness area and coordination with the Bonneville Shore Line trail is wonderful.</p> <p>So many good things being done to protect our unique mountains.</p> <p>Unfortunate about the lack of cooperation of Alta Ski Resorts but without public support they will not be able to expand.</p> <p>The economic future of the Central Wasatch (and Alta) is in preserving the land, wild flowers, water quality, dark skies, well designed summer/winter trails and rock climbing sites in order to generate tourism and equipment sale taxes along with canyon user fees rather than thoughtless development as at Snowbird and proposed for Grizzly Gulch.</p> <p>The Millcreek Canyon user fee has done wonders to clean up Millcreek over the years - please consider an all canyons user pass to reduce traffic and improve facilities in the Wasatch.</p> <p>Best regards,</p> <p>Craig Wallentine 2731 Gallivan Loop Park City, Utah, 84060</p>	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	
Jon paulding	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thank you to all members of the CWC for your vision and tenacious work to develop this plan. I sincerely hope the legislation passes and can serve as a model for future wilderness and out of the box thinking to produce solutions and conservation victories!	Thank you for your comment.	

Ayja C Bounous	11/21/2018	Website Form	<p>Very grateful for all the time and energy put into the CWC. Thank you for all your hard work - my children and grandchildren will be able to thank you when they're able to enjoy the Wasatch in their future.</p> <p>Too bad about Alta...it hurts seeing them shift from having respect for the surrounding Wasatch wilderness to putting higher priority on their profit.</p>	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	
Kagan West	11/21/2018	Website Form	Great work thank all who participated in looking to a future that respects the wilderness and our access.	Thank you for your comment.	
Joni	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thank you for conserving the central Wasatch	Thank you for your comment.	
Marjorie McCloy	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thanks for all your efforts to protect the Wasatch!!!	Thank you for your comment	
Erme Catino	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thank you for getting the land bill approved and moving towards another chance in Washington D.C. If Alta continues to only look out for themselves it will eventually not align the way they hope for. That was a great decision to exclude them, and an unfortunate one, but I think it was the right action. Thanks again for all of the work you guys are doing.	Thank you for your comment.	
John Kennington	11/21/2018	Website Form	<p>Would like to congratulate the Commission, it's staff, volunteers and partners for the great work done on getting the agreement completed for the Central Wasatch NCRA bill, and getting it ready for Congress. Hopefully McAdams can carry it, and Alta will eventually come around!</p> <p>Thanks very much for all your hard work!</p>	Thank you for your comment.	
Harry R Hill	11/21/2018	Website Form	Great job, but we absolutely have to find some way to prevent Alta from destroying Grizzle Gulch, Wolverine, etc. Being a long time, Alta pass holder and backcountry skier in the terrain mentioned above; should locals plan a boycott against purchasing Alta ski passes next year??	Thank you for your comment. Discussions will continue with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts in an effort to reach a land exchange solution that is tenable for all stakeholders involved.	

Shelley E Reynolds	11/21/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank you for all the work that went into the legislation to protect almost 80,000 acres of land in the Central Wasatch Mountains!</p> <p>I have an intense love for our beautiful Wasatch mountains and the recreational opportunities we as citizens and visitors get to enjoy year round.</p> <p>I will further state that I don't agree with further expansions of the ski resorts or other private entities per se.</p> <p>Thank you for using reason and sound judgement to protect these lands.</p> <p>All the best,</p> <p>Shelley Reynolds Realtor</p>	Thank you for your comment.	
Erik Kish-Trier	11/21/2018	Website Form	<p>Dear CWC,</p> <p>Thank you so much for all your hard work in crafting this legislation, I hope it goes through! My wife and I are busy raising our one year old, so we are a little behind in our activism in support of open spaces... and yet all the more dedicated to the effort so that she might enjoy what we have.</p> <p>Best wishes,</p> <p>Erik (& Syd & Charlotte)</p>	Thank you for your comment.	
Robert Speiser	11/21/2018	Website Form	<p>Last night, the Central Wasatch Commission supported the introduction of legislation that would protect nearly 80,000 acres of land in the Central Wasatch Mountains. I am deeply grateful and want you to know that!</p> <p>The job's not over--perhaps it never will be--but I applaud this important progress while looking ahead to further effort and I hope success.</p>	Thank you for your comment.	

Brett Carroll	11/21/2018	Website Form	I attended your meeting last night and wanted to voice my appreciation of all the hard work that has been put into the proposal of the CWNCRA. I also appreciate that you all took a step towards implementing the many positive aspects of this bill by approving the legislation. Obviously the absence of Alta is less than perfect but, as noted last night, I think there are many positive aspects of the bill that shouldn't go to waste just because ASL won't get on board. I do support continued effort to bring Alta into the legislation, but only if ASL is willing to make significant compromise in favor of conservation. Thank you!	Thank you for your comment.	
Brent Tucker	11/21/2018	Website Form	Good job. Your efforts are most appreciated even without Alta!	Thank you for your comment.	
James (Jim) Thompson	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thank you so much for all of your hard and dedicated work to make the Central Wasatch National Conservation and Recreation to becoming more of a reality with your intent to bring soon put it before Congress. I certainly support your efforts. Yes, I am disappointed about Alta--but maybe they'll someday "see the light." But, in the meantime, it's important to act now without them. Again, thanks so much.	Thank you for your comment.	
Liz Muller	11/21/2018	Website Form	I want you to know how much I appreciate all parties investment in keeping the Wasatch Mountains pristine and available to our children and grandchildren. Thank you so much for your effort	Thank you for your comment.	
Fernando Rodriguez	11/21/2018	Website Form	To whom it may concern, Please accept my sincerest appreciation for your efforts with regard to the conservation of our public lands in Little Cottonwood Canyon. If it weren't for people like you, I can't imagine how the landscape in this beautiful canyon would appear. Sincerely, Fernando	Thank you for your comment.	
Virginia Lee	11/21/2018	Website Form	Thank you for your dedicated hard work to preserve, protect and defend Utah's public-watershed canyons.	Thank you for your support.	
Teresa Crockett	11/21/2018	Website Form	A huge thank you for your amazing and immensely important work on the development of the Central Wasatch NCRA bill!	Thank you for your comment.	

Bob Pruitt	11/21/2018	Website Comment	<p>Why does the CWNCRRA exclude Snowbird from the Ski Area definition, but keeps Alta ski lifts? What about helicopter skiing, not mentioned.... Also, what about the reserved deed rights in the 1938 deed of the mining claims from SL County to the US that allows free use permits in several named mining districts? Valid existing right?</p>	<p>All previously held private rights to the land will remain after the CWNCRRA passes. Snowbird is not proposing any changes in its ski area boundaries in the CWC's area and therefore doesn't need a ski area definition in the Bill. Alta Ski lifts has specific provisions relating to its ski area. We will review your comment to see if there are additional changes that need to be considered.</p>	
Whitney McCarthy	11/22/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank you to the entire CWC team for your work to get the CWC bill passed. It's because of people like you that I have hope about being able to enjoy the Wasatch for years.</p> <p>I appreciate all the hours you've put into this effort.</p> <p>Thank you, Whitney</p>	<p>Thank you for your support.</p>	
Erik Badger	11/22/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank you for all your efforts to protect the incomparable Wasatch mountains.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, and support.</p>	
Sylvia Wilcox	11/23/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank you to all of you at the CWC for your efforts on behalf of our beautiful mountains and canyons and our water! Sometimes the negative people are the loudest so I hope you get frequent pats on the back and know your work is really important and valued. The one meeting I attended recently was very well handled with regard to public comments. Except for one man who didn't end up getting timed, but who was very interesting and informative, everyone kept to their limit and the guy in charge didn't let comments from the "gallery" get disruptive and chaotic or too confrontational. So, well done all and keep up the good work!</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p>	
Shaun Jacobsen	11/24/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank you Jesse, Ralph and CWC for all you are doing. It is reassuring to have another level of advocacy for protection of the Wasatch for all users.</p> <p>Shaun</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p>	

Jason Hall	11/24/2018	Website Form	<p>I would like to express my appreciation to all the efforts that have been put towards the protection of our precious Wasatch. I am also thankful for all the work that has been done on behalf of all citizens of this great state of Utah. I grew up in Utah and have been an avid lover of the great outdoors by participating in many activities including skiing, hiking, hunting, fishing and camping. I am not pleased with the choice Alta has made but I can also appreciate and respect their decision since I'm a firm believer in private property rights which has been a fundamental core value of America since our founding. That being said I also believe in the strong protection of our public lands and since Alta refuses to budge or compromise I truly support all efforts in limiting their pursuit of access to our public lands. Thank you for all your hard work and efforts in helping create this awareness and providing a voice for us all.</p> <p>Regards- Jason Hall American Fork, Utah</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Alta Ski Lifts has been held harmless in the CWNCRRA, which mean that's its private land holdings and lands under special use permit have been excluded from the CWNCRRA, and ASL will be able to pursue independent land exchange proposals through the Forest Service.</p>	
Nina Johnston	11/25/2018	Website Form	<p>I am amazed and grateful for all the effort you are putting into the current and future use of our canyons. I started skiing in the 50s and now hike weekly along the Wasatch and am so grateful for your desire to protect, serve the needs and uses of the mountains, watershed areas. Thank you.</p> <p>Nina Johnston</p>	<p>Thank you for your support.</p>	

Doug Dansie	11/26/2018	Website Form	<p>I strongly support the One Wasatch Concept (the problem with ski link is that is was mostly a real estate sales tool and did not provide ski-able terrain)</p> <p>I can see that the potential connection between Alta and Solitude remains a possibility under this proposal, but I cant tell from the maps if the potential Brighton to Park City connection remains (or if it is within the Brighton Boundary adjustment area).</p> <p>I strongly support connecting Brighton to Park City Ski area with ski-able terrain lift service and would NOT like to see that potential eliminated.</p> <p>I strongly support the land trades intended to clean up the resort base areas (particularity Brighton)</p> <p>I would like to see more than just land trades, such as the proactive authorization/financing to purchase private lands in the canyons so that the only future development is for public and semi-public facilities in recreation nodes. There is no need for more private "cabins" that close off canyon lands for exclusive use (there are neighborhoods in Sandy and Millcreek just a short drive away that can accommodate single family homes). If there is no funding in this proposal, perhaps a "charity" could be specifically authorized to raise funds for land purchases (Such as what was done in Bonanza flats or the Swaner wetland near Park City)</p>	<p>A connection from Alta to Solitude is still a potential outcome of any expansion or land exchange that Alta Ski Lifts pursues, independent of the CWNCRA. The CWNCRA precludes a ski lift connection between Brighton and the Park City area, though a transit connection would still be possible. The new version of the CWNCRA Bill, 10/26/2018, provides for additional lands to be added to the land exchanges authorized in the Bill. See Sec 7(c)(4)(A)</p>	<p>A connection from Alta to Solitude is still a potential outcome of any expansion or land exchange that Alta Ski Lifts pursues, independent of the CWNCRA. The CWNCRA precludes a ski lift connection between Brighton and the Park City area, though a transit connection would still be possible. The new version of the CWNCRA Bill, 10/26/2018, provides for additional lands to be added to the land exchanges authorized in the Bill. See Sec 7(c)(4)(A)</p>
-------------	------------	--------------	---	--	--

William Chandler	11/26/2018	Website Form	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I am elated to hear of the recent expansion of wasatch wilderness areas and the inception of the Central Wasatch NCRA. This is a great step towards further protecting the watershed that hundreds of thousands of people take for granted, as well as providing equitable access to incredible outdoor spaces and protecting those non-human creatures that exist there.</p> <p>As the wasatch is a land of compromise, I urge those at the CWC to take into account the massive benefits that Alta ski resort already experiences as a property holder in the Wasatch as they propose to spread into grizzly gulch and other sensitive areas. Myself and many many others enjoy taking to the slopes in our own foot-powered way that we favor for its minimal impact and the power of solitude and self-reliance. A chairlift never can replace the significance of such an experience. Pair this with the impact on water quality - more people, more machinery, more degradation of the natural systems that a healthy watershed relies upon. Let's not add to the remnants of past industries for those in the future.</p> <p>Does Alta not already have enough developed? More skiable terrain may be good for their bottom line as a result of increased skier visits, but more cars in LCC is unsustainable and impractical on so many levels. Please keep in mind that every bit of approved additional development is one more reason for further future development.</p>	<p>Thank you for you comment. Our goal is to balance the many interests in the canyons, and discussions with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts, the environmental, and recreation groups will continue as we look for a solution tenable for all involved.</p>	
Jeff Barrell	11/28/2018	Website Form	<p>Just a note expressing Thanks for your work toward consensus on managing public lands in the Wasatch. I'm currently living in Boston, but have roots in Salt Lake and I bring groups (through Appalachia Mountain Club) to Utah for skiing in Wasatch and backpacking in southeast Utah. The pressure to develop has been constant, particularly after Snowbird was built (I've been skiing since 1972 and skiing backcountry since 1985). The pressures on you are significant and the politics treacherous, so you have my respect and hope that the limited area of the Wasatch can be used in a balanced and fair way for my children and grandchildren. THANKS again.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p>	

Gordon May	11/29/2018	Email	I believe you are acting in a punitive way toward Alta, who has always been a fine steward of the land entrusted to them. They are the best of the best in the ski industry and should be heralded, not chided or bullied. People who do not respect the private property rights of others are thieves. Please rethink your actions.	The CWC seeks to respect all parties and seek solutions fair to the parties and to the goal of protecting the Central Wasatch Mountains for its many wonderful qualities and uses. Discussions with Alta Ski Lifts and all stakeholders will continue as we seek a solution tenable for all involved.	
Zinnia Wilson	11/29/2018	Email	Thanks for this clear and clean summary.	Thank you for your comment.	
Bob Bonar	11/29/2018	Email	Thanks for your continued efforts to move this forward! Please keep up the good work!	Thank you for your comment.	
Jim Kanaley	11/29/2018	Email	Thanks for pointing out the facts and Alta's false narrative. They portrayed Alta being a 'victim' - not sure what advantage they are trying to gain. Also, I am an avid Alta/Snowbird skier and hiker and biker and concerned about transportation, land use and water supply. I might be interested in possibly joining your committee if any openings.	Thank you for your comment. The Stakeholder Council membership has been announced. As always, we welcome your participation and input.	
Marcus Dippo	11/29/2018	Email	As a business owner in Alta that isn't the Alta Ski Lifts company where does that leave us for long term planning (transportation especially)?	The Town of Alta was removed from the CWCRA boundary, which means that any business or other private interest within Alta Town boundaries will not be affected by the CWCRA. Alta Ski Lifts is able to pursue independent land exchange proposals with the U.S. Forest Service. UDOT is currently conducting a EIS for Little Cottonwood Road projected to reach completion late 2019/early 2020. One outcome for that EIS will be proposals for short and long term transportation solutions in the canyons.	
David Pratt	11/29/2018	Email	I have been following the situation and the communications from CWC have very much felt that you have misinformed and are acting to punish Alta. It is very disappointing and have very little confidence in the integrity of the CWC under Ralph Becker's leadership.	Thanks for your comments. Alta Ski Lifts has been an active member in both the Mountain Accord, and the numerous meetings with the CWC and other stakeholders. The CWC seeks to respect all parties and seek solutions fair to the parties and to the goal of protecting the Central Wasatch Mountains for its many wonderful qualities and uses.	
John Byrne	11/29/2018	Email	Alta isn't Sandy! Go home Central Wasatch Commission!	Thanks for your comment.	

Karla Twinting	11/29/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank you for your hard work trying to save the Wasatch Mountains for present and future generations.</p> <p>I have been a backcountry skier for 45 years in the Wasatch and have watched terrain disappear. It saddens me to see Alta unable to compromise. They were different from other resorts for many years but have changed, as their self proclaimed status as an environmental resort they should be held accountable you can't have it both ways.</p> <p>For me it's not just about winter use I enjoy hiking as well. Ski resorts scar the landscape and continue to scar it because they feel the need to keep improving to compete with resorts world wide. More is not always better.</p> <p>Keep up the fight!</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Discussions with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts, will continue in an effort to find a solution that is tenable for all involved.</p>	
Colin Gregersen	11/29/2018	Website Form	<p>Great email today regarding Alta Ski Lifts.</p> <p>I believe they are deceiving their customers and am saddened by their attempt. Please know I support the CWC decision to exclude ASL from the NCRA and proposed land exchanges in the bill until Alta is willing to compromise.</p> <p>I would support a compromise to allow them a chairlift to Catherines pass and a cat track traversing below the top of the Patsy ridgeline. This would be in exchange for permanent protection of all Grizzly Gulch from expansion.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Discussions with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts, will continue in an effort to find a solution that is tenable for all involved.</p>	
Jeff Grant	11/29/2018	Website Form	<p>Thanks for the hard work. It's appreciated.</p>	<p>Thanks for your support.</p>	

Nick Vasquez	11/29/2018	Website Form	<p>The following message is in response to Alta's attempt to promote its expansion via email and social media campaigns. Knowing how large their customer base is I want to make sure the voice of other user groups in the canyon is not forgotten:</p> <p>I love(d) skiing at Alta, BUT: I DO NOT SUPPORT Alta's decision to refuse to exchange lands in Grizzly Gulch for base area land and resources which they can develop and profit from. I DO NOT SUPPORT Alta, a for-profit corporation, pretending to be for the people, to consume the entire head of Little Cottonwood Canyon for their Customers and profit at the expense of all other user groups. I DO NOT SUPPORT the threat Alta has made to build a lift and cut a service road to the top of Patsey Marley, because it will destroy huge amounts of backcountry terrain for future generations. I DO NOT SUPPORT the threat of Alta building a tunnel, gondola or lift and service road from the town of Alta to the top of the Summit lift at Solitude under any lift alignment at the expense of highly trafficked backcountry areas. I DO NOT SUPPORT the expansion of Alta's special use permit into public land areas shared by Alta and the public currently because it will decrease in the recreation options for future generations. I DO NOT SUPPORT Alta consuming parking for backcountry users at the bottom of Grizzly Gulch for use by their own customers.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Discussions with all stakeholders, including Alta Ski Lifts, will continue in an effort to find a solution that is tenable for all involved. UDOT is currently conducting a EIS for Little Cottonwood Road projected to reach completion lat 2019/early 2020. One outcome for that EIS will be proposals for short and lond term transportation solutins in the canyons.</p>	
Mitch Frankel	11/29/2018	Website Form	<p>Thank you so much for listening to everyone in the efforts to create the CWNCRA. It is a great step forward to limiting resort growth and protecting public lands in the Wasatch. Alta can take their ball and go home, but will hopefully realize how sad they will be being left out.</p>	<p>Thanks for your comment.</p>	
Ryan Wade	11/30/2018	Email	<p>How about leave Alta alone...F""king developers are ruining everything precious too the "Real human race"all too make a buck off the peoples land..Leave it alone..Enough is enough...We must protect the land... Period...</p>	<p>Thanks for your comment. Alta Ski Lifts privately held land and land under special use permit have been omitted from the CWNCRA. This means that ASL is held harmless, and that it has every right that it had prior to the CWNCRA. ASL has the ability to pursue land exchange proposals with the Forest Service, independent of the CWNCRA.</p>	

Dan Murray	12/3/2018	Website Form	I have observed proposals and attended public meetings for over 3 years, first during Mountain Accord and then the Central Wasatch Commission. Alta has been non-committal throughout this entire process. No it appears that they want all the benefits the public lands have to offer without offering anything in return (i.e. have their cake and eat it too). They were very disingenuous with their statement (perhaps a little Trump worship going on here). If they're not willing to deal in good faith, then they should be left out of the CWNCRA process and work with the Forest Service and other governing bodies through the prescribed frameworks.	At its request, Alta Ski Lifts privately held lands and lands under special use permit were left out of the 10/26 CWNCRA. ASL is held harmless, and can pursue its own land exchange proposals with the Forest Service independent of the CWNCRA.	
Hunter Tuesday-Heathfield	12/3/2018	Website Form	Hello! I just wanted to thank the Commission for your work on restricting Alta's development of Grizzly Gulch. It is important to me that the Wasatch be protected from unnecessary development, and it is reassuring to know that we have a great group of citizens who are able to defend the values we hold. Thank you for your continued hard work!	Thank you for your comment, and support	

Evan Johnson	12/3/2018	Website Form	<p>Dear Brighton GM Randy Doyle and Solitude GM Kim Meyhew,</p> <p>Brighton Ski Resort's 1,050 acre ski area is 1/8th that of Power Mountain's 8,464 acres. What is Brighton's daily ski pass cap? 187 prorated against Power Mountain's.</p> <p>Solitude Ski Resort's 1,200 acres is 1/7th that of Power Mountain's 8,464 acres. What is Solitude's daily ski pass cap? 214 prorated against Power Mountain's.</p> <p>"Powder Mountain caps its crowd sizes, selling only 1,500 tickets each day and limiting season pass sales to 3,000 at the 8,464-acre resort." Snow brings earliest opening at Powder Mountain in nearly a decade https://www.ksl.com/article/46438583/snow-brings-earliest-opening-at-powder-mountain-in-nearly-a-decade</p> <p>Skiers already complain of congested and collision prone ski slopes in Big Coittonwood Canyon, so why should the State invest hundreds of million in UTA mass transit to bring more customers to over crowded and collision prone ski slopes in Big Cottonwood Canyon?</p> <p>Since the masses can't afford to ski on their ski slopes now, who is the mass transit for?</p> <p>Colorado has 11X's the Forest Service ski permitted acreage than Utah. How will Utah compete for ski dollars if Becker's National Recreation and Conservation Act (NRCA) passes banning in</p>	<p>Many entities have conducted impact and assesment studies on the Cottonwood Canyons to determine carrying capacity. UDOT is currently conducting an EIS (projected to be completed late 2019/early 2020). The outcome of the EIS will be proposals for long and short-term trnasportation improvements for Little Cottonwood Canyon. The CWNCRA will permanently fix the participating ski resort boundaries in an effort to preserve the natural ecosystem and watershed that we depend on, but the legislation will also authorize land exchanges between the participating ski resorts and the U.S. Forest Service that will allow for already impacted land at the resort base areas to be exchanged into private ownership for undeveloped mountainside lands. These exchanges will facilitate concentrated development to accomodate growing demand for the ski resorts.</p>	
--------------	-----------	--------------	---	---	--

Kirk Nichols	12/4/2018	Website Form	<p>Good morning, I am re-reading the Oct. 26, 2018 CWNCR bill. Are there no restrictions on Snowbird to expand throughout the NCRA? I did not find any statement of permanent boundaries for Snowbird following the land exchange. If so, could Snowbird then purchase any or all of the other resorts and have no restrictions on those areas as well?</p> <p>Will the NCRA develop and operate under a Statement of Management during the 3 years while the NCRA Management Plan is written? Or under what guidance will those first three years be held to?</p> <p>thanks again, Kirk Nichols</p>	<p>Along with the other participating ski resorts, Snowbird's boundaries will be permanently fixed following the completion of the land valuation process and land exchanges with the U.S. Forest Service.</p>	
--------------	-----------	--------------	--	--	--