



Legislative Boards and Commissions

2019 Report Responses | September 18, 2019

**OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
AND GENERAL COUNSEL**

Purpose

The Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel (OLRGC) is required to prepare an annual report each year regarding legislative boards and commissions, which are boards and commission that have a member who is required to be a member of the Legislature or appointed by a member of the Legislature (See Utah Code Section [32-12-22](#), as enacted by [2019 H.B. 387](#)). In preparation for that report, each legislative board or commission is required to submit a report to OLRGC containing certain information about the board or commission (See Section [32-12-22\(2\)](#)). To assist boards and commissions in complying with that requirement, OLRGC solicited responses from legislative boards and commissions through an online survey. This packet contains the responses submitted by each board or commission.

Legislative Boards and Commissions that Did Not Report

The following legislative boards and commissions do not have reports included in packet because they did not submit a report to the Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel under Subsection [36-12-22\(2\)](#).

- Air Quality Policy Advisory Board
- Commission on Housing Affordability
- Division of Indian Affairs Joint Meetings
- House Management Committee
- Point of the Mountain State Land Authority Board
- State Council for Interstate Adult Offender Supervision
- State Monument Management Committee
- ULEAD Director Selection Committee

Administrative Rules Review Committee

Respondent: Arthur L. Hunsaker, ahunsaker@le.utah.gov

<p>Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.</p>	<p>From Utah Code 63GF-3-501: (3)(a) The committee shall exercise continuous oversight of the rulemaking process. (b) The committee shall examine each rule submitted by an agency to determine: (i) whether the rule is authorized by statute; (ii) whether the rule complies with legislative intent; (iii) the rule's impact on the economy and the government operations of the state and local political subdivisions; (iv) the rule's impact on affected persons; (v) the rule's total cost to entities regulated by the state; (vi) the rule's benefit to the citizens of the state; and (vii) whether adoption of the rule requires legislative review or approval. (c)(i) To carry out these duties, the committee may examine any other issues that the committee considers necessary.</p>
<p>How many total members does your board or commission have?</p>	<p>10</p>
<p>How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?</p>	<p>10</p>
<p>Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.</p>	<p>Per Diem for meetings attended.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>Discussed Permits and Fees Related to the Disposition of a Body for Burial and whether they should be authorized by administrative rule. Discussed modifications made to Driver License Division rules related to the DUI hearing process. Discussed statutes, executive orders, and a Department of Human Resource Management rule related to political activities of state employees. Discussed a draft Board of Education rule Prohibiting LEAs and Third Party Providers from Offering Incentives or Disbursements for Enrollment or Participation - LEA Requirements to Supervise and Monitor Third Party Providers. Discussed with representatives of the Utah Tax Commission issues related to Tax Commission rule R884-2P-33 2018 Personal Property Valuation Guides and Schedules Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. Section 59-2-301. Discussed legislation requested by the Driver License Division to open a bill file to provide means for the division to implement, by administrative rule, the changes required by the Legislature's repeal of R708-14-9, a division rule, in the 2018 General Session. Discussed a Department of Health rule requiring the department to exclude any provider who "has a current restriction on their license from DOPL or another state's equivalent agency to treat only a certain age group or gender or DOPL requires another medical professional to supervise and restrict the provider's activity" from serving Medicaid patients, and why Medicaid providers licensed by DOPL are</p>

exempted from this restriction.

Discussed and reviewed the process used by executive branch agencies when incorporating material by reference into their administrative rules; what standards are used by the Office of Administrative Rules to assess whether incorporations by reference have been done correctly and to what degree those standards are followed; what agencies do to make sure that materials incorporated by reference are made available to the public; and what steps are taken to ensure that material incorporated by reference is current.

Received a briefing from committee staff on the impact of 2019 General Session H.B. 32, "Rulemaking Fiscal Accountability Amendments," on the work of the committee.

Discussed an Insurance rule that limits inducements to \$50 per person per day for a business meal or business activity and includes thresholds for other activities and whether the dollar amount should be adjusted or eliminated given the passage of 2019 General Session S.B. 121 Controlled Business in Title Insurance Repeal.

Received a presentation from committee staff focused on research regarding how states address whether an agency's action should be a policy or an administrative rule.

Discussed situations where applicants for a learner's permit must first pass a written exam. If learner permit holders choose to take the driver license test 18 months or more from the date the original learner permit was issued, they must retake the written exam. These requirements relate to the providing or prohibiting of a material benefit, one of the triggers for requiring an agency to make an administrative rule. At this time, this process is not included in Driver License Division rule.

Received an update from committee staff summarizing current state agency compliance with requirements to make rules to implement bills from the 2017 and 2018 General Session.

Discussed the statutory definition of "bullying" and concerns that, by citing examples of what bullying "includes" in Board of Education administrative rule, the board appears to be expanding on the statutory definition of term.

Discussed the State Board of Education's process for receiving public comment on draft board rules and the board's analysis of its rules, including a rule's impact on affected persons, and whether the process provides the public with adequate opportunity to provide input prior to the state board taking final action on the rules.

Approved a motion that the committee chairs send a letter to the Social Services Appropriations Subcommittee stating that the Administrative Rules Review Committee looked at fees for the disposition of a body for burial and is concerned that the funds being generated by the fees are being used for more than covering the cost of services provided and have instead inappropriately become a source of general revenue. The committee also directed that the letter be sent to the Revenue and Taxation Interim Committee and the Executive Appropriations Committee with a request that they report back to the Administrative Rules Review Committee whether they find the fee excessive for the services provided.

Approved a motion to open a bill file to clarify statutes related to the DUI hearing process.

Approved a motion that the committee chairs send a letter to the chairs of the Government Operations Interim Committee explaining the committee's concerns regarding and the deficiencies found in the Elections Code, Title 20A, Chapter 12, including recommendations for points of clarification, and requesting that the committee review the issue and deficiencies and consider moving forward, either as a

Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.



	<p>committee or individual member, in sponsoring legislation for the 2019 General Session of the Legislature.</p> <p>Approved a motion recommending, as amended, draft legislation "Reauthorization of Administrative Rules" as a committee bill.</p> <p>Approved a motion recommending draft legislation "Driver License and Implied Consent Modifications" as a committee bill.</p> <p>Approved a motion requesting that the Utah Title & Escrow Commission to review its inducement rules and come back to the committee with proposed rule changes at a future meeting.</p> <p>Approved a motion requesting that the Driver License Division prepare an administrative rule to address the issue of permit testing and bring the draft rule to the committee for review at a future meeting, and to request that the agency review other policies the division should consider placing into administrative rule.</p>
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None at this time.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Beer Availability Work Group

Respondent: Rep. Tim Hawkes, thawkes@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The purpose of the Group is to collect pre- and post-implementation data and other information regarding the change of the ABV limit of beer sold at retail establishments in Utah from 3.2 to 4.0 ABW, which occurs on Nov. 1, 2019. The group is tasked with collecting information on product availability and other commercial and social impacts here in Utah as well as to consider the effects of similar changes in other states.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	11
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	4
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Legislator members may receive compensation consistent with the usual rules governing service on boards, commissions, and the like. Other members may receive per diem and reimbursement for travel expenses consistent with Department of Finance rules.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	None. The Work Group has not yet convened.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Same as above.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None at present.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Child Welfare Legislative Oversight Panel

Respondent: Karin Rueff, krueff@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The Child Welfare Legislative Oversight Panel is responsible for examining and observing the process and execution of laws governing the child welfare system, including studying and recommending any proposed changes to the laws. The Oversight Panel accomplishes these responsibilities by soliciting testimony and recommendations from – and making recommendations to – the public, the executive branch, and the judicial branch. The Oversight Panel may also request reports regarding budgetary issues impacting the child welfare system.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	5
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	5
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Compensation and expenses of a member of the panel who is a legislator are governed by Section 36-2-2 and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Legislative Compensation and Expenses.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Since September 1, 2018, the Child Welfare Legislative Oversight Panel has done the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Discussed the importance of funding parenting programs that address substance use disorders for families with children in foster care. - Discussed steps taken by the Department of Human Services to address the Panel's concern related to the fatality review process. - Discussed services currently provided by the Division of Child and Family Services for youth who are transitioning out of foster care to obtain employment, housing, and education, and what could be done in the future to provide more assistance to transitioning youth. - Discussed the Child Protection Unit Pilot Program. - Discussed the Psychotropic Medication Oversight Program.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Since September 1, 2018, the Child Welfare Legislative Oversight Panel has taken the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Voted to reauthorize the Psychotropic Medication Oversight Program for five years with a requirement that the Program report back to the Panel every other year; also, that a bill file be opened by the Panel to accomplish this. - Voted to reauthorize the Child Protection Unit Pilot Program for two years. - Requested an audit to examine the processes for protecting children involved in divorce cases that include allegations of abuse and neglect as well as visitation and custody disputes. - Submitted a letter to the Judicial Conduct Commission regarding the conduct of a juvenile court judge.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to	Not at this time.



make the board or commission more effective.	
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	Each year, the Child Welfare Legislative Oversight Panel conducts a statutorily required review of fatality reports of individuals served by Utah's child welfare system in the previous fiscal year.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Child Welfare Parental Defense Oversight Committee

Respondent: David Walsh, dwalsh@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The Committee is charged with overseeing training standards for parental defenders under contract regarding child welfare cases. Eight hours of continuing education are required each year.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	7
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	1
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	No compensation is allowed but they are eligible to receive per diem and travel expenses.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	No meetings were held during the last year.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	No official actions were taken by the committee last year.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	During the 2019 General Session the Parental Defense responsibilities were transferred from the Department of Administrative Services to the Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (See SB 251). The Department of Administrative Services established the committee by rule (Rule 19). However, this rule expired at the end Fiscal Year 2019.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	No
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	We believe that now that parental defense responsibility has been transferred to the Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice that the Commission can provide the necessary oversight and that a separate committee either by statute or rule would not be necessary. The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice representation from the Indigent Defense Commission, the Department of Human Services, the Legislature, and legal defenders who represent juveniles.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	None

Clean Air Act Compliance Advisory Panel

Respondent: Bryce Bird, bbird@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The Compliance Advisory Panel is required by U.S.C 2507 Sec. 507 and is a required element of the EPA approved Title V Operating Permit Program. The duties are outlined in federal code to serve as a source of feedback relating to the impacts of air quality regulation on small businesses.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	7
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	0
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Per Diem only for meeting attendance.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	One meeting was held on 12/12/2018.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	12/12/2018 - Reviewed rules that impact small businesses and compliance outreach materials. Volkswagen Settlement Update - Lisa Burr, DAQ Environmental Planning Consultant - https://deq.utah.gov/air-quality/volkswagen-settlement Summer Air Monitoring Review – Result and Impacts Associated with Fire Season - Bowen Call, DAQ, Air Monitoring, Environmental Program Manager. Ombudsman Survey Results Update - Paul Harding, Business Assistance. DEQ CAP Representative Update - Paul Harding, Business Assistance.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	The existence of the CAP is a required element for maintaining primacy to implement the Title V Permitting program in the state.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	There are often challenges with filling membership and having meaningful meetings after 20+ years of the program.

Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice

Respondent: Kim Cordova, kimcordova@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.

Promote broad philosophical agreement concerning the objectives of the criminal and juvenile justice system in Utah;
provide a mechanism for coordinating the functions of the various branches and levels of government concerned with criminal and juvenile justice to achieve those objectives;
coordinate statewide efforts to reduce crime and victimization in Utah;
promote the communication and coordination of all criminal and juvenile justice agencies;
study, evaluate, and report on the status of crime in the state and on the effectiveness of criminal justice policies, procedures, and programs that are directed toward the reduction of crime in the state;
study, evaluate, and report on programs initiated by state and local agencies to address reducing recidivism, including changes in penalties and sentencing guidelines, cost savings associated with the reduction in the number of inmates, and evaluation of expenses and resources needed to meet goals regarding the use of treatment as an alternative to incarceration, as resources allow;
study, evaluate, and report on policies, procedures, and programs of other jurisdictions which have effectively reduced crime;
identify and promote the implementation of specific policies and programs that the commission determines will significantly reduce crime in Utah;
provide analysis and recommendations on all criminal and juvenile justice legislation, state budget, and facility requests, including program and fiscal impact on all components of the criminal and juvenile justice system;
provide analysis, accountability, recommendations, and supervision for state and federal criminal justice grant money;
provide public information on the criminal and juvenile justice system and give technical assistance to agencies or local units of government on methods to promote public awareness;
promote research and program evaluation as an integral part of the criminal and juvenile justice system;
provide a comprehensive criminal justice plan annually;
review agency forecasts regarding future demands on the criminal and juvenile justice systems, including specific projections for secure bed space;
promote the development of criminal and juvenile justice information systems that are consistent with common standards for data storage and are capable of appropriately sharing information with other criminal justice information systems by: developing and maintaining common data standards for use by all state criminal justice agencies;
annually performing audits of criminal history record information maintained by state criminal justice agencies to assess their accuracy, completeness, and adherence to standards;
defining and developing state and local programs and projects associated with the improvement of information management for law enforcement and the administration of justice; and

establishing general policies concerning criminal and juvenile justice information systems and making rules as necessary to carry out the duties under Subsection (1)(k) and this Subsection (1)(m);
 allocate and administer grants, from money made available, for approved education programs to help prevent the sexual exploitation of children;
 allocate and administer grants funded from money from the Law Enforcement Operations Account created in Section 51-9-411 for law enforcement operations and programs related to reducing illegal drug activity and related criminal activity;
 request, receive, and evaluate data and recommendations collected and reported by agencies and contractors related to policies recommended by the commission regarding recidivism reduction;
 establish and administer a performance incentive grant program that allocates funds appropriated by the Legislature to programs and practices implemented by counties that reduce recidivism and reduce the number of offenders per capita who are incarcerated;
 oversee or designate an entity to oversee the implementation of juvenile justice reforms;
 make rules and administer the juvenile holding room standards and juvenile jail standards to align with the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act requirements pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Sec. 5633;
 allocate and administer grants, from money made available, for pilot qualifying education programs;
 oversee the trauma-informed justice program described in Section 63M-7-209; and administer the Child Welfare Parental Defense Program in accordance with Sections 63M-7-211, 63M-7-211.1, and 63M-7-211.2.

How many total members does your board or commission have?

--

How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?

--

Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.

--

Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.

The Commission holds regular meetings every other month throughout the year for the purpose of performing its statutory duties.
 The Juvenile Justice Oversight Committee also meets every other month throughout the year for the purpose of performing its statutory duties.
 The Commission meets weekly during the session to review and take positions on proposed criminal justice related bills which include the areas of juvenile, mental health, substance use, education, law enforcement, judiciary, indigent defense, victims, and health. The positions taken on the bills by the Commission are communicated to the Legislature each week. The Commission works with bill sponsors throughout the session (and during interim) to ensure proposed legislation is evidence based and reviewed by agencies that work throughout the criminal justice system. Summary is attached.
 Legislation assigns CCJJ various tasks and work groups are formed. Specifically assigned to us this past session and from the 2018 session are jail incarceration



deaths, multi-disciplinary informed trauma, inmate births and shackling, cavity searches in jails, and pay for stay jail practices.

Work groups are formed to gain input from agencies on proposed legislation that include restitution, criminal code task force, threat assessment in schools, indigency, JRI, Juvenile reform, state forfeiture, competency and insanity defense, involuntary civil commitments, grants, grand juries, enhancements, and protective orders.

The Commission also has a lot of pass through funding for state and federal appropriations. Some funding includes: sexual assault kit initiative, hospital response teams, assistance to Washington County, diversion programs in Cache and Washington counties, juvenile and adult expungements, naloxone kits, Utah prenatal Substance Use Prevalence Study, IDC, investigative tools for the Department of Public Safety, and asset forfeiture.

Pew’s Public Safety Performance Project (PSPP) - a task force to focus specifically on community supervision with the goals of increasing successful outcomes; reducing prison admissions and time spent incarcerated for individuals on community supervision based on technical violations; and right-sizing the community supervision population to reduce caseloads and focus supervision resources on high-risk individuals.

The Commission is responsible for overseeing jail reimbursement for all county jails in the State.

The Commission oversees the functions and duties of the Utah Board of Juvenile Justice.

The Commission collaborates with the Sentencing Commission and partnering agencies to study, evaluate, and report on programs initiated by state and local agencies to address reducing recidivism, including changes in penalties and sentencing guidelines, cost savings associated with the reduction in the number of inmates, and evaluation of expenses and resources needed to meet goals regarding the use of treatment as an alternative to incarceration.

The Commission approves study evaluations and reports on the effectiveness of criminal justice policies, procedures, and programs that are directed toward the reduction of crime in the state.

Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.

For the 2019 General Session, the Commission reviewed 844 bills, tracked 333 bills, and took 195 official positions. Of the 333 tracked bills, 121 passed.

The Commission approved funding for hospital response teams, JAG grants, RSAT grant, and Title II grants.

The Commission formed work groups to study competency, insanity defense, enhancements, and protective orders.

Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.

Delegation authority to an executive committee.

Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.

(Yes)

Please provide any explanation you wish

This Commission consists of members of the highest level of leadership in all agencies that connect and make up the criminal justice system. It is a Commission filled with talent, resources, and expertise to assist policy makers in ensuring the state’s



regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):

approach to criminal justice is thoughtful, deliberate, and evidence based. The Commission continues to participate in national dialogue on current trends by attending and presenting at conferences throughout the country. The Commission populated the work groups for the adult and juvenile reforms. It is the pass through state agency for millions of dollars in state appropriations and federal grants. The Commission continues to facilitate work groups on relevant and important policy issues as well as implement research based and data driven projects given to us by the Legislature. The criminal justice system continues to evolve and the Commission is a necessary and essential conduit and facilitator for the very important issues surrounding public safety, victim's rights, constitutional rights, fairness, transparency, and costs of these social issues. Therefore, the Commission should continue to exist.

Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=10UgyTmsJQOcUQhXDcp1cc3CYcgDugX3m>

Constitutional Defense Council

Respondent: Kathleen Clark

<p>Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.</p>	<p>The Constitutional Defense Council provides advice to the governor, state planning coordinator, and the public lands policy coordinator concerning coordination of state and local government rights under R.S. 2477, and other public lands issues; Approve a plan for R.S. 2477 rights developed in accordance with Section 63C-4a-403, Review, at least quarterly: financial statements concerning implementation of the plan for R.S. 2477 rights; and financial and other reports from PLPCO concerning its activities; and study, formulate, and recommend appropriate legal strategies and arguments to further the policy described in Section 63C-4a-103.</p>
<p>How many total members does your board or commission have?</p>	<p>18</p>
<p>How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?</p>	<p>4</p>
<p>Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.</p>	<p>Legislators are compensated by the Senate and/or the House of Representative for attending meetings.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>On August 23, 2018, the Constitutional Defense Council approved a motion to reimburse Kane County for a portion of its R.S. 2477 rights-of-way legal fees in the amount of \$400,000 .</p> <p>On January 31, 2019, the Constitutional Defense Council approved Beaver County's funding request for \$22,000 for its wild horse litigation, contingent on legislative appropriation. The Constitutional Defense Council also approved the reimbursement request by Kane County for its R.S. 2477 legal expenses in the amount of \$1.3 million contingent on the amount that the legislature appropriates for this request up to \$1.3 million.</p> <p>On June 17, 2019, the Constitutional Defense Council approved the Updated Plan for R.S. 2477 Rights-of-Way for FY 2019.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>On August 23, 2018, the Constitutional Defense Council approved a motion to reimburse Kane County for a portion of its R.S. 2477 rights-of-way legal fees in the amount of \$400,000 .</p> <p>On January 31, 2019, the Constitutional Defense Council approved Beaver County's funding request for \$22,000 for its wild horse litigation, contingent on legislative appropriation. The Constitutional Defense Council also approved the reimbursement request by Kane County for its R.S. 2477 legal expenses in the amount of \$1.3 million contingent on the amount that the legislature appropriates for this request up to \$1.3 million.</p> <p>On June 17, 2019, the Constitutional Defense Council approved the Updated Plan for R.S. 2477 Rights-of-Way for FY 2019.</p>
<p>Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to</p>	<p>Modifications to statutory, rule, or other changes to make the board or commission more effective are not recommended at this time.</p>



make the board or commission more effective.	
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	The Constitutional Defense Council should continue to exist in order to provide advice to the governor, state planning coordinator, and the public lands policy coordinator concerning coordination of state and local government rights under R.S. 2477, and other public lands issues and to continue to evaluate and approve plans for R.S. 2477 rights.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Criminal Code Evaluation Task Force

Respondent: John Feinauer, jfeinaure@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Review the state's criminal code and related statutes and make recommendations regarding the proper classification of crimes by degrees of felony and misdemeanor; and other modifications related to the criminal code and related statutes.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	15
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	6
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Salaries and expenses of the members of the task force who are legislators shall be paid in accordance with Section 36-2-2 and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Chapter 3, Legislator Compensation. A member of the task force who is not a legislator may not receive compensation for the member's work associated with the task force and may receive per diem and reimbursement for travel expenses incurred as a member of the task force at the rates established by the Division of Finance under Sections 63A-3-106 and 63A-3-107.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Since September 1, 2018, the task force has held 7 meetings to explore the effectiveness of current criminal penalties in the Utah Code and to discuss potential changes relating to the following study areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • aggravated murder; • domestic violence; • driving under the influence; • drug sentencing; • expungement; • sex offenses and the sex offender registry; • tax fraud; • storm water pollution prevention plan violations • inmate violence penalties; • firearm serial number violations; • decriminalizing sex work; and • intoxication and consent.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>A working group was formed within the task force to evaluate and recommend changes to criminal penalties. By motion from the task force, these recommendations were presented to the committee.</p> <p>The task force recommended draft legislation, "Criminal Code Task Force Amendments," which modified certain criminal offenses and penalties. Representative Paul Ray, Chair, served as the chief sponsor of the legislation and Senator Karen Mayne, Chair, served as the floor sponsor through the 2019 General Session.</p> <p>In accordance with statute, the task force approved a report that reflected the task</p>



	force's recommendations that was presented to the Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice Interim Committee.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	Necessary changes were made during the last General Session, including expanding the scope of the task force and providing a sunset date.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	The task force is set to sunset in 2020.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Data Security Management Council

Respondent: Stephanie Weteling, stephanie@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	<p>(a) Review existing state government data security policies;</p> <p>(b) Assess ongoing risks to state government information technology;</p> <p>(c) Create a method to notify state and local government entities of new risks;</p> <p>(d) Coordinate data breach simulation exercises with state and local government entities; and</p> <p>(e) Develop data security best practice recommendations for state government.</p>
How many total members does your board or commission have?	9
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	0
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	None
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Since September 1, 2018, the council studied several topics in detail, such as election security, tools for monitoring, federal partnerships, and information sharing. The council reviewed Security Assessment processes and recommendations. A major focus of the council during the past year was the Cyber Center, which provides all government entities the necessary resources to identify risks, remediate incidents, and communicate effectively. The Cyber Center provides a single point of contact for assistance with cyber security.</p> <p>The council participated in a data breach simulation exercise with the Department of Homeland Security. The exercise provided the opportunity to coordinate with federal, state, and local partners.</p> <p>The council identified several best practice recommendations for all Utah government entities,</p>
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	None
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	The Department of Technology Services needs the Data Security Management Council created in Utah Code 63F-2 to always be a closed meeting for information security purposes. DTS recommends the Legislature study the possibility of the Council being included in the list of meetings in 52-4-2(2).
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes



Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):

Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

The Department of Technology Services needs the Data Security Management Council created in Utah Code 63F-2 to always be a closed meeting for information security purposes.

Energy Producer States' Agreement Committee

Respondent: Rep. Carl Albrecht, calbrecht@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	To consult with other energy producer states' legislators and discuss possible legislation or status of certain bills within those states.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	3
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	3
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	None. Only travel costs if a meeting is necessary.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	None
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	None
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	No
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	Not necessary in my opinion.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Federalism Commission

Respondent: Karin Rueff, krueff@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The Federalism Commission (1) evaluates federal law, (2) reviews and makes recommendations regarding management of federally-controlled public lands in Utah, (3) evaluates the federal “payment in lieu of tax” program, and (4) studies, assesses, and makes recommendations on the risk and implications of a reduction in federal funds.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	9
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	9
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Compensation and expenses of a member of the commission who is a legislator are governed by Section 36-2-2 and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Legislative Compensation and Expenses.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Since September 1, 2018, the Federalism Commission has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Highlighted the work accomplished by the former Federal Funds Commission, Commission on Federalism, and the Stewardship for Public Lands Commission; - Discussed the federal “payment in lieu of tax’ program - Discussed the Federalism Index tool, currently being developed by Utah Valley University.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Since September 1, 2018, the Federalism Commission has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Negotiated the terms of a contract with Geomancer to complete additional work on the valuation of federal lands in Utah - Adopted two Commission for the Stewardship of Public Lands ‘letters involving a request to issue an RFP for management plans and a request to issue an RFP for lobbying and relationship efforts in Washington D.C.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None at this time.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	The Federalism Commission is a new commission, created by statute in the 2019 General Session. The Legislature combined three commissions with similar missions – Commission for the Stewardship of Public Lands, Commission on Federalism, and Federal Funds Commission – into the Federalism Commission. The new Federalism



Commission has only met once since creation. For more information on the activities of the three former commissions since September 1, 2018, please contact Karin Rueff at krueff@le.utah.gov.

Health Reform Task Force

Respondent: Mark Andrews, MarkAndrews@le.utah.gov

<p>Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.</p>	<p>Utah Code Section 36-29-106: "...(5) The task force shall review and make recommendations on health system reform, including the following issues: (a) the need for state statutory and regulatory changes in response to federal actions affecting health care; (b) Medicaid and reforms to the Medicaid program; (c) options for increasing state flexibility, including the use of federal waivers; (d) the state's health insurance marketplace; (e) health insurance code modifications; (f) insurance network adequacy standards and balance billing; (g) health care provider workforce in the state; (h) rising health care costs; and (i) non-opiate pain management options...."</p>
<p>How many total members does your board or commission have?</p>	<p>11</p>
<p>How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?</p>	<p>11</p>
<p>Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.</p>	<p>Utah Code Section 36-29-106: "...(3) Salaries and expenses of the members of the task force shall be paid in accordance with Section 36-2-2 and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Chapter 3, Legislator Compensation...."</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>The task force held meetings September 28, 2018; October 25, 2018; December 11, 2018; and June 17, 2018. A meeting is scheduled for August 22, 2019 and additional meetings will likely be held in September, October, and November of 2019.</p> <p>The task force has addressed the following issues during this period:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MEDICAID <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Expansion of Medicaid eligibility in response to 2018 and 2019 legislation. * The initiative approved by voters in November 2018 to expand Medicaid eligibility. * FY19 and long-term reimbursement rates for Medicaid accountable care organizations. * Federal authorization to enroll incarcerated individuals in Medicaid 30 days prior to their release. 2. COMMERCIAL HEALTH INSURANCE <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Balance billing by out-of-network healthcare providers. * State regulation of short-term, limited-duration health insurance in light of a recent reversal of a federal regulation. * Issues related to the offering of association health plans. * Rate increases, carrier participation, and enrollee participation in the

	<p>individual and small group health insurance markets.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Options for state action under new federal guidance regarding Section 1332 (of the Affordable Care Act) waivers. <p>3. HEALTHCARE SPENDING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Options for addressing escalating healthcare prices, including pharmaceutical prices. * The feasibility of lower prescription drug spending by importing drugs from Canada. * Online posting of standard charges by hospitals. * Value-based purchasing of healthcare products and services. * Controlling healthcare costs and producing better outcomes using integrative medicine. <p>4. HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Regulation of advanced practice registered nursing. * Availability of social workers for behavioral healthcare.
<p>Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>In December 2018, the task force went on record as "supporting Rep. Dunnigan's efforts to address balance billing" and stating "that this issue be brought to a conclusion during the 2019 Annual General Session of the Legislature."</p> <p>Topics discussed by the task force during 2018 were the subject of numerous bills debated during the 2019 General Session.</p>
<p>Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.</p>	<p>No recommendations.</p>
<p>Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):</p>	<p>Question 11 was marked "Yes" only to allow this survey to be submitted.</p> <p>Whether the task force should be continued beyond June 1, 2021 has not been discussed publicly by the task force. For potential considerations, please contact the chairs, committee members, and committee staff.</p>
<p>Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).</p>	<p>From 2008 through 2018, inclusive, the Health Reform Task Force was created and subsequently reauthorized multiple times using UNCODIFIED language. In 2019, the task force was CODIFIED with a June 1, 2021, repeal date under the Legislative Oversight and Sunset Act. If the Legislature wishes the task force to continue beyond 2021, it could consider whether task force should be reauthorized using uncodified rather than codified language.</p>

Higher Education Strategic Planning Commission

Respondent: Nathan Brady, nbrady@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Develop a strategic plan for higher education in Utah.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	21
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	4
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	N/A
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The commission has met officially twice since September 2018 to receive status updates from the contracted consultant. The commission entered into a contract with a consultant to study issues addressing higher education in Utah and that process is underway. The consultant held six regional meetings across Utah in April 2019 to gather information that will be used to develop the strategic plan. It is expected that the commission will receive a report from the consultant in September and develop a final strategic plan to the Education Interim Committee by November 30, 2019.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	N/A
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None. The commission will finish its work by November 2019.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	No
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Indigent Defense Commission

Respondent: Joanna Landau, JLandau@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The Utah Indigent Defense Commission (IDC) protects constitutionally guaranteed liberties through ongoing support for effective indigent defense services throughout the state.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	--
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	--
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	--
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Built significant capacity in Utah's indigent defense systems, through the IDC's discretionary grant program, providing technical assistance to local indigent defense systems, reporting on the IDC's progress with local governments through data reporting, advocating for legislative funding and policy improvements to ensure the state is meeting its 6th Amendment constitutional responsibilities.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Completed a business case that was successful in achieving a budget recommendation from the Governor for \$5,000,000 in ongoing funds for the IDC's discretionary grant program. Ensured that budget recommendation came through EOCJ intact, and then worked to ensure funding was sufficient in the final budget negotiations. The IDC ended up with over \$5 million, and \$4.3 m of that funding is designated for grants to local governments. The IDC recently evaluated over 25 grant applications from local governments and awarded 24 new grants. The IDC was able to allocate less than the total \$4.3 million through careful analysis of the most effective and efficient ways to award grants. The remaining grant funding will be used to bring additional local governments into the program and to ensure ongoing support for indigent defense systems.</p> <p>The IDC also helped Senator Weiler and Representative McCay pass SB32 a comprehensive overhaul of Utah's indigent defense code provisions, which ensured clarity and constitutionality in Utah's statutes. Most significantly that code change also ensures that no children face formal court proceedings without counsel. The bill also added an additional legislator to the IDC, so that we have a senator and a representative. Representative Ferry joined the IDC once the bill went into effect.</p>
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	We operate with a discretionary grant program for an ongoing state responsibility. We need to move to ongoing funding and direct oversight/control of certain programs to help the state meet its constitutional responsibilities.

Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.

(Yes)

Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):

The 6th Amendment to the Bill of Rights as well as the Utah Constitution, guarantee the right to counsel. The 14th Amendment means the 6th Amendment applies to the states. Thus, it is the state's responsibility to ensure the right to counsel. Without the IDC, the state has no mechanism to provide that oversight and ensure it is meeting its constitutional responsibilities.

Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1fqevVvs18iKikH6zAozc5RRTkqaCVB8X>

International Relations and Trade Committee

Respondent: International Relations and Trade Committee, SPEIRT@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Assist the Utah Legislature in promoting the state's economic development efforts to attract and develop foreign business and trade as part of its overall economic development strategy. A secondary, but no less important legislative export to the world is promoting best practices of local democratic institutions. These goals benefit directly from Utah legislators enjoying broad interests, relationships and expertise gleaned from around the globe.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	10
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	10
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	No compensation is paid.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hosted multiple foreign delegations and dignitaries including ambassadors from Russia, Romania, Azerbaijan, Austria and Kirdistan and regional Consul Generals representing Italy and the European Union to name a few. 2. Played a major role hosting Chinese delegations visiting for Spike 150. 3. Hosted and held discussions with Utah's Sister Province, Liaoning Province, during Spike 150 festivities. 4. Hosted the UN Under-Secretary-General Alision Smale during a site visit in March in preparation for the August UN Civil Society Conference hosted by Salt Lake City. 5. Various other international hosting duties.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	See above.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	The previous commission was recently dissolved and the lawmaker-only committee formed to take its place. This is still the learning process of what works and what doesn't. Therefore, it is still too early to offer a valid answer to this question.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	This answer would parallel the response given in question #10.



Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

I don't believe additional information is needed to be provided at this time.

Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision

Respondent: Dan Blanchard, danblanchard@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Established under 77-28c-103 COMPACT ARTICLE IV Appointment of the compact commissioner to the National Interstate Commission. Additionally, each state council has oversight and advocacy concerning its participation in Interstate Commission activities and other duties as may be determined by each member state including, but not limited to, development of policy concerning operations and procedures of the compact within that state.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	14
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	1
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	None
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Review and vote on proposed compact rule amendments that go before the Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision. Review Utah compact data, compliance notices, and policy recommendations for Utah. Last compact council meeting held December 2018, next meeting scheduled for August 2019.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Approved minutes for two prior compact council meetings. Nominated and approved in favor of designating a new compact commissioner, Dan Blanchard, Division Director of Adult Probation & Parole with the Utah Department of Corrections.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None at this time. The Compact Council will discuss and evaluate any potential recommendations.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	The compact council should continue to exist as required by 77-28c-103 and in support of Utah's participation in the Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Judicial Conduct Commission

Respondent: Alex G. Peterson, apeterson@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The JCC is constitutional established and empowered to investigate and conduct confidential hearings regarding complaints against state, county and municipal judges throughout the state. The JCC may recommend the reprimand, censure, suspension, removal, or involuntary retirement of a judge.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	11
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	4
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	None (travel expenses are paid for public members and a lunch is provided during monthly Commission meetings).
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Review, consideration, disposition and confidential hearings on preliminary and full investigations into complaints against Utah Judges.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Dismissal of complaints, Dismissal of complaints with warning to Judge, recommendations to Utah Supreme Court for public sanction of judges.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None at this time.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	Constitutionally required.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Legislative Records Committee

Respondent: Brian Bean, bbean@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Hear appeals to GRAMA requests that have been denied in whole or in part by any of the Legislature's offices.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	4
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	4
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Legislative Records Committee are considered "authorized legislative meetings" as designated by the Legislative Management Committee and attendees are therefore entitled to the daily compensation rate set by the Legislative Compensation Commission, which is currently \$285 per legislative day.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	None. The committee meets only as needed to hear an appeal and all GRAMA potential GRAMA appeals in the Legislature have been resolved without a meeting of the Legislative Records Committee.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	None. The committee meets only as needed to hear an appeal and all GRAMA potential GRAMA appeals in the Legislature have been resolved without a meeting of the Legislative Records Committee.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	While the committee meets infrequently, it performs a vital function when it does in providing administrative adjudicative proceedings for the legislative branch without having to unnecessarily involve the executive branch or the judicial branch.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Legislative Water Development Commission

Respondent: Brian Allred, ballred@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The Legislative Water Development Commission is created to determine the state's role in the protection, conservation, and development of the state's water resources.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	22
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	13
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Non-legislators receive no compensation.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Recommended legislation for 2019 General Session: "Water Supply and Surplus Water Amendments" "Extraterritorial Jurisdiction Amendments" "Proposal to Amend Utah Constitution-Municipal Water Resources" Discussed Other water resource related subjects including water financing, water banking, water pricing, watershed protection, etc.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Recommended legislation for 2019 General Session: "Water Supply and Surplus Water Amendments" "Extraterritorial Jurisdiction Amendments" "Proposal to Amend Utah Constitution-Municipal Water Resources"
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	Legislation is being prepared to amend the process for appointing non-voting members of the Commission.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	I indicated "yes" based on the recent recommendations of the Natural Resources, Agriculture, and Environment Interim Committee. However, as staff, question 11 is not for me to answer.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Local Food Advisory Council

Respondent: Jack Wilbur, jackwilbur@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	This is a Legislature created council to assess local food production, distribution and access, and make recommendations on how to improve local food systems.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	13
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	3
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	\$2,000 a year goes to the Utah Senate (1 member). \$4,000 a year goes to the Utah Legislature (2 members). Currently four additional council members qualify for meeting and travel reimbursement.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Council work groups and the full council researched and identified four actions/proposals to the Legislature for funding that the council believed would greatly improve Utah's local food systems. The four proposals developed were: Local Produce Incentives Incentivizing Local Purchasing in School Meals Food Hub start up grant fund One FTE coordinator to manage the efforts.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The Council (Representative Stephen Handy) submitted all four proposals. Of the requests, the Legislature granted \$400,000 to go to the Utah Department of Health for Utah Produce Incentives. The council gets regular reports from the program staff to ensure the money is being spent as appropriated.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	In 2019, the nature of the requests from the council were financial. The council is currently researching statutes to determine if any law or rule changes would improve local food systems.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	This council meets regularly, has great, motivated representation from the Utah Legislature, The Utah Department of Agriculture and Food, The Utah Department of Health, The Utah State Board of Education, Utah State University, Utah Farm Bureau, Utahns Against Hunger and other groups. We have been told repeatedly by groups active in local food issues that they have been waiting for a body like this.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	This council is scheduled to sunset at the end of 2022. The finite time frame motivates the members to aggressively move forward to accomplish a lot in a short time.

Martha Hughes Cannon Statue Oversight Committee

Respondent: Sen. Deidre Henderson, Dhenderson@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	To oversee the creation and installation of the Martha Hughes canon statue in US statutory hall, and the removal and placement of the Philo T Farnsworth statue.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	9
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	2
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	None
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Selecting an artist, fundraising, planning the timeline and steps necessary to pay for, ship, and install the new Martha Hughes Cannon statue. We meet monthly.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Reviewing artist RFQs, selecting an artist, approval of the maquette.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	Our committee work will be completed by the end of 2020, after which our committee will sunset.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Mental Health Crisis Line Commission

Respondent: Katherine Rhodes, krhodes@agutah.gov

<p>Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.</p>	<p>(1) (a) The commission shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) identify a method to integrate existing local mental health crisis lines to ensure each individual who accesses a local mental health crisis line is connected to a qualified mental or behavioral health professional, regardless of the time, date, or number of individuals trying to simultaneously access the local mental health crisis line; (ii) study how to establish and implement a statewide mental health crisis line, including identifying: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (A) a statewide phone number or other means for an individual to easily access the statewide mental health crisis line, including a short code for text messaging and an N11 number for calls; (B) a supply of qualified mental or behavioral health professionals to staff the statewide mental health crisis line; and (C) a funding mechanism to operate and maintain the statewide mental health crisis line; and (iii) coordinate with local mental health authorities in fulfilling the commission's duties described in Subsections (1)(a)(i) and (ii). <p>(b) The commission may conduct other business related to the commission's duties described in Subsection (1)(a).</p> <p>(2) The commission shall consult with the Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health regarding the standards and operation of the statewide mental health crisis line, in accordance with Title 62A, Chapter 15, Part 13, Statewide Mental Health Crisis Line.</p>
<p>How many total members does your board or commission have?</p>	<p>11</p>
<p>How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.</p>	<p>Compensation and expenses of a member who is a legislator are governed by Section 36-2-2 and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Legislative Compensation and Expenses. After each meeting, I email the staff of the House and Senate and let them know if their legislators on the Commission were in attendance, and they take care of the compensation accordingly. No other members of the Commission are compensated.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>Since September 1, 2018, this Commission has met ten times to work on improving crisis services in Utah. It put forth legislation in the 2019 Legislative Session to create a pilot program to fund mental health receiving centers for individuals experiencing mental health crises and fund the Stabilization and Mobile Response program that the Department of Human Services currently runs in only part of the state. This legislation did not pass, but the legislation discussed in the Commission regarding funding for additional mental health counselors in public schools did pass (HB 373). This Commission enrolled the help of Congressman Stewart and Senator Hatch, and the National Suicide Hotline Improvement Act of 2018 was passed in August of 2018. This act directed the FCC to identify a three-digit number that could be used</p>

nationwide as a crisis line. In mid-August of this year, the FCC offered their report and recommended that 9-8-8 be used as the number. There is still a ways to go before this number is used for that purpose, but the members of this Commission have been working since 2014 to get a three-digit crisis line number for the state of Utah, and now one has been secured for the entire county.

The Commission has continued to work on legislation for the 2020 Session.

Representative Eliason has created a bill with the Commission, which includes funding for the Stabilization and Mobile Response program, funding for a pilot program for mental health receiving centers, and funding for Mobile Crisis Outreach Teams in rural areas. The Commission has planned out how much each of these should cost and how each of these would run. The Commission also deduced how much money improved mental health services would save the state overall through data-driven and evidence-based reports. The Commission also is working to open more Medicaid codes so that there is more federal funding for mental health in Utah so that the state has other sources of funding. This Commission is dedicated to leverage as much through federal funds as is possible.

The Commission met with different agencies to review how effective their mental health services are and how improved mental health services would affect them. For example, the Salt Lake Police Department were deployed in over 3000 instances that would have been handled better by crisis services, whether law enforcement had been able to take persons in crisis to a mental health receiving center or a mobile crisis outreach team had been deployed instead.

Some Commission members are planning a trip to Arizona in fall of 2019 to view robust crisis services down there and speak with those who were able to implement them so that Utah can follow a similar model that is catered to needs of its citizens. (This trip is being paid for by either the individuals themselves or their agencies.) In short, this Commission has taken many concrete actions to optimize crisis health services in Utah as efficiently and cheaply as possible.

Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.

The Commission has taken few official actions that needed a vote in the past year. Items voted on included approving minutes and approving draft legislation. However, many non-official actions were taken, such as contacting Medicaid to open additional codes to provide for additional services and alleviate the funding shortage that some parts of Utah are suffering from due to mental health needs, and working with local mental health authorities to determine the areas of most need and how to help provide crisis services. Additional unofficial actions are summarized in question 8.

Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.

The Commission would like to add to additional seats to the Commission, one to represent law enforcement and one to represent the Utah Hospital Association. The Commission would also like to change its name to the Mental Health and Crisis Response Commission. These changes will be introduced in legislation that Representative Steve Eliason will be running in 2020.

Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.

Yes

Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):

Mental Health and Crisis Services are definitely improving in Utah, but there is still a long way to go, and this team of experts is made up of people from different agencies who are able to prioritize, plan, and carry-out the best way to do so.



Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

Mental Health Protections for First Responders Workgroup

Respondent: Jaceson Maughan, jacesonmaughan@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	To study workers' compensation issues for first responders, including education and potential barriers to receiving treatment.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	17
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	2
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Unknown.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Have put the Workgroup together and will begin meeting in Sept/Oct 2019.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	See above.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None at this point.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Military Installation Development Authority

Respondent: Detlef Galke, dgalke0280@gmail.com

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The Military Installation Development Authority is an independent, nonprofit, separate body corporate and politic, with perpetual succession and statewide jurisdiction, whose purpose is to facilitate the development of land within a project area or on military land associated with a project area; a political subdivision of the state; and a public corporation, as defined in Section 63E-1-102
How many total members does your board or commission have?	7
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	2
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	No compensation is paid.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The MIDA Board managed and conducted the business and affairs of the authority and determined all questions of authority policy. All powers of the authority were exercised through the board and by resolution delegated powers to authority staff.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The Board met and passed resolutions that pertained to project areas in Davis County - Falcon Hill Project and Wasatch County - Military Recreation Facility that the authority is currently working on. Major resolutions: Adopting Budgets, Interlocal Agreements, Development Agreements, Various Contracts, Project Area Plans.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Native American Legislative Liaison Committee

Respondent: Arthur L. Hunsaker, ahunsaker@le.utah.gov

<p>Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.</p>	<p>From Utah Code 36-22-2: 36-22-2. Duties. (1) The committee shall: (a) serve as a liaison between Utah Native American tribes and the Legislature; (b) recommend legislation for each annual general session of the Legislature if the committee determines that modifications to current law are in the best interest of the state of Utah and of the Utah Native American tribes; (c) review the operations of the Division of Indian Affairs and other state agencies working with Utah Native American tribes; (d) help sponsor meetings and other opportunities for discussion with and between Native Americans; and (e) hold a meeting at which public education is discussed as required by Section 53F-5-604.</p>
<p>How many total members does your board or commission have?</p>	<p>8</p>
<p>How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?</p>	<p>8</p>
<p>Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.</p>	<p>Per Diem for meetings attended.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>Received updates and reports from the San Juan School District and Eagle View Elementary School on efforts in teacher recruitment/retention, student improvement, and test scores. Received a report from the Utah Tribal Leaders Chair made at the request of the committee chairs. Rather than have each tribe present a list of their individual concerns, the chairs requested that the leaders of all the Tribes consult with one another, identify two or three issues that they agree are the highest priority issues to all the Tribes, and present those issues to the committee. They were: the disposition of Native American remains, implementation of the Indian Child Welfare Act, and making the Director of the Division of Indian Affairs a Governor's Cabinet-level position. Two Tribes discussed additional priority concerns affecting their tribes. Committee members attended the Governor's 2019 Native American Summit and other meetings that they are invited to or deemed necessary.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>Committee has taken specific actions on bills and resolutions but not since Sept.1, 2018. Committee member Senator Iwamoto sponsored the following two bills and one resolution (two relating to the Navajo Nation specifically and one to the Tribes generally) in the 2019 General Session: 1. S.B. 81 Native American Remains Amendments (a committee member was House Floor sponsor) 2. S.B. 101 Navajo Code Talker Recognition (The committee's House Chair was the</p>

	House Floor Sponsor) 3. S.C.R. 2 Concurrent Resolution Recognizing Navajo Code Talkers (The committee's House Chair was the House Floor Sponsor)
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	Committee operates efficiently as is, although the reduction in members from 11 to 8 in the 2019 General Session makes it more difficult to get a quorum for meetings.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	Since the position that Larry Echohawk is filling for the Governor is new, the nature of his role is uncertain. He serves the Governor and we in the Legislature serve our constituents, which include the tribes. We serve as a different entity and many times are not seen as an adversary in dealing with the tribes. We are the body that can introduce and pass legislation that can help solve problems with the tribes.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Occupational and Professional Licensure Review Committee

Respondent: Adam Sweet, asweet@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Legislative committee that deals with occupational and professional licensing. See Title 36, Chapter 23.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	9
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	6
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Legislators: normal compensation. Non-legislators: unpaid.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Committee meeting agenda on 11/07/2018:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The committee considered draft legislation to extend the sunset date of statutory provisions related to: (1) the reporting requirements of certain adverse anesthesia events and (2) to what constitutes unprofessional conduct when administering anesthesia in an outpatient setting. - The committee considered draft legislation to reauthorize the sunset date of the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Licensing Act. - The committee considered an application for sunrise review that proposes that state license or newly regulate equine dentistry practitioners. - The committee considered draft legislation that allows an employee of a restaurant licensee who is at least 18 years of age to offer an alcoholic product for sale and take a patron's order for an alcoholic product. - The committee considered draft legislation to amend the Utah Constitution to restrict government from infringing on the right to pursue a trade, vocation, occupation, or career, except in specified circumstances. <p>The committee discussed competency-based testing for occupational and professional licensing.</p> <p>Committee meeting agenda on 09/01/2019:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The committee considered an application for sunrise review that proposes that the state license or newly regulate sleep technologists. - Utah Code Subsection 36-23-109(2) requires the committee to study and make recommendations regarding lawful occupations that require a license in the state so that each licensed lawful occupation is reviewed every 10 years. The committee discussed its preferred method of organizing and sorting each licensed lawful occupation to map out its approach for reviewing each licensed lawful occupation. - Some states have introduced legislation that reduces or eliminates licensing regulations for hair stylists who only provide blow drying and other limited services to their clients. Under current Utah statute, blow drying requires that a licensee have at least a barber license, which requires a minimum of 1,000 hours of instruction. Similarly, the application of eyelash extensions, requires that a licensee have at least

	<p>an esthetician license, which requires a minimum of 600 hours of instruction. The committee discussed whether these issues will be studied in a future meeting.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>Committee meeting agenda on 11/07/2018, Interim Highlights:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Action: Approved as a committee bill draft legislation, "Anesthesia and Sedation Related Provisions Reauthorization," which extends the sunset date to 2022 of statutory provisions related to the reporting requirements of certain adverse anesthesia events and to what constitutes unprofessional conduct when administering anesthesia in an outpatient setting. - Action: Approved as a committee bill draft legislation, "Occupational Restriction Amendments," which allows an employee of a restaurant licensee who is at least 18 years of age to offer an alcoholic product for sale and take a patron's order for an alcoholic product. - Action: Approved as a committee bill draft legislation, "Sunset Reauthorization—Speech Pathology and Audiology Licensing Act," to extend the sunset date of the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Licensing Act until 2029. - Action: Voted to support potential future legislation to certify equine dentistry practitioners. <p>Committee meeting agenda on 09/01/2019:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - None: several failed motions.
<p>Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):</p>	
<p>Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).</p>	

Occupational Licensing Policy Learning Consortium

Respondent: Adam Sweet, asweet@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Identify licensing criteria to ensure that existing and new licensing requirements are not overly broad, burdensome or restrictive, and that they do not create unnecessary barriers to labor market entry. Improve the portability and reciprocity provisions for selected occupations across state lines.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	11
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	4
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Legislator: compensation Legislative Staff & Executive Branch members: normal compensation
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Three-day conference in Florida with ten other member states to discuss project progress and best practice. - All-day Core Team meeting with NCSL and NGA representatives. - Meeting at Department of Commerce with BYU students engaged in research on behalf of Core Team. Heard three presentations.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Occupational licensing related legislation during the 2020 General Session.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	N/A
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Pete Suazo Utah Athletic Commission

Respondent: Scott Bowler, Sbowler@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	To oversee and regulate "Unarmed Combat" sports in the State of Utah: Boxing, Kickboxing and Mixed Martial Arts.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	5
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	0
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Commission members are paid a \$60 Stipend for meetings and up to \$90 for Events lasting over 4 hours.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The Commission has conducted monthly meetings to adjudicate the Administrative Rules as it applies to all "Unarmed Combat" sports. Facilitating more than 25 live events across the State to ensure the safety and well-being of the athletes and promotions.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The Pete Suazo Athletic Commission (PSAUC) has worked tirelessly with the Association of Boxing Commissions (ABC) to ensure the rules and safety protocols are updated and within the national standard. At the annual conference the PSUAC took a very proactive stance in the implementation of the new medical requirements set forth the Association of Ringside Physicians (ARP). The PSUAC has ruled on many incidents of rule violations to maintain the highest level of integrity in Unarmed Combat Sports. The PSUAC was also an integral part of the USA Boxing National Championships Tournament held for the second year here in Salt Lake City.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	We have a very active Commission that is not only engaged in the safety and progress of "Unarmed Combat" sports but they have a vested interest in the development of the businesses within the sport. The economic impact is significant to the State within these niche communities. We conduct more events annually than all of our neighboring States combined. (Sans Nevada- The Fight Capital of the World).
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	This Commission effects the lives and livelihood of thousands of Utah residents. We provide a safe and fair environment for which young athletes compete. The financial impact is significant to the communities in which these events occur. Example; the USA Boxing National Championships saw nearly 900 competitors from around the nation come to Salt Lake City for a week-long event last December. Large Promotions with worldwide television contracts such as the Ultimate Fighting Championships, Invicta Fighting Championships, and Legacy Fighting Championships bring much revenue to the Great State of Utah. Without a solid, educated, active Commission to oversee these events these businesses would simple move on to other States to hold these events.



Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

The PSUAC also has been dedicated to the progress and financial stability of the local businesses who promote these great events. Utah is rich with Boxing history and has tuned out some of the most successful young Mixed Martial Artist in the world. This Commission has played a major role in these successes.

Point of the Mountain Development Commission

Respondent: Ryan Hunter, rhunter@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Evaluate, study, prepare one or more reports, and make recommendations concerning the future planning and development of the Point of the Mountain area including the state-owned prison site.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	15
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	4
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	"... with Section 36-2-2 and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Chapter 3, Legislator Compensation. (2) A commission member who is not a legislator may not receive compensation or benefits for the member's service on the commission, but may receive per diem and reimbursement for travel expenses incurred as a commission member at the rates established by the Division of Finance under: (a) Sections 63A-3-106 and 63A-3-107; and (b) rules made by the Division of Finance pursuant to Sections 63A-3-106 and 63A-3-107."
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The commission is currently completing the third phase of its work, which is to provide recommendations regarding funding options to complete the vision for the Point of the Mountain area adopted by the commission. In January 2019, the commission held a full commission meeting to review initial funding options, and has held several working group meetings with key stakeholders since.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The commission approved invoices from its consultant at the January 2019 meeting.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	The commission is in the process of completing its work. It has also worked with the Kem C. Gardner Institute to evaluate the role of the commission going forward. The commission will review the Gardner Institute's report at a future meeting and will then provide recommendations to the legislature regarding the future of the commission.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Road Usage Charge Advisory Committee

Respondent: Linda Hull, lhull@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Assist the Utah Department of Transportation to conduct a road Usage Charge (RUC) demonstration program authorized under Utah Code 72-1-213, and to receive a report from UDOT of its findings.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	25
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	4
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Per Utah Code 72-1-213(2)(d) a member of the committee may not receive compensation or benefits for the member's service, but may receive per diem and travel expenses in accordance with state law and rules.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The full advisory committee heard a report of the final recommendations developed by the six technical groups that had been formed within the RUC Advisory Committee to establish parameters associated with the new voluntary Road Usage Charge program that will begin on January 1, 2020. Members of the RUC Advisory Committee had considered issues and developed recommendations in meetings conducted earlier in the year related to the following six areas: compliance and enforcement; data collection technology; business and industry; policy and legislation; privacy and security, communications. It their November 2019 meeting, the Advisory Committee finalized and adopted recommendations that provided the basis for statutory suggestions made by UDOT to the Transportation Interim Committee. Those recommendations were incorporated in in SB 72 adopted in the 2019 General Session.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Finalized and adopted recommendations for parameters of the voluntary Road Usage Charge program that will begin on January 1, 2020.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	No changes recommended.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	The committee should continue until the statutorily established sunset date of January 1, 2022. In addition to the new voluntary road usage charge program that will begin for alternative fuel and hybrids vehicles on January 1, 2020, the legislature has also directed UDOT to conduct RUC demonstration programs. The Advisory



	Committee will provide valuable input to on-going research that explore other aspects of a RUC program.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Rural Online Working Hubs Grant Advisory Committee

Respondent: James Dixon, jdixon@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	An advisory committee of seven members is formed under 63N-4-505. It is known as the Rural Online Working Hubs Grant Advisory Committee. The Committee shall advise and make recommendations to the office (Governor's Office of Economic Development) regarding the awarding of grants. Each application for the Rural Co-working and Innovation Center Grant will be scored by reviewers from staff and the Rural Online Working Hubs Grant Advisory Committee to ensure objectivity and fairness in evaluation. Final grant awards are awarded by the executive director of GOED, after consultation with the Advisory Committee.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	7
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	1
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	9) A member may not receive compensation or benefits for the member's service, but a member, who is not a legislator, may receive per diem and travel expenses in accordance with: Section 63A-3-106; Section 63A-3-107; and rules made by the Division of Finance pursuant to Sections 63A-3-106 and 63A-3-107.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The statute became effective on July 1, 2019. This board (committee) is newly formed, with appointments made on August 16, 2019. This board will not have a formal meeting until September 2019.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	This board has not formally convened, and has taken no action to date.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	No recommendations are offered.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	This newly formed board will convene for the first time in September, 2019
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	NA

SafeUT and School Safety Commission

Respondent: Katherine Rhodes, krhodes@agutah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The commission shall coordinate: (a) Statewide efforts related to the SafeUT Crisis Line; and (b) With the State Board of Education and the State Board of Regents to promote awareness of the services available through the through the SafeUT Crisis Line.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	11
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	2
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Compensation and expenses of a member who is a legislator are governed by Section 36-2-2 and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Legislative Compensation and Expenses. After each meeting, I email the staff of the House and Senate and let them know if their legislators on the Commission were in attendance, and they take care of the compensation accordingly. No other members of the Commission receive compensation.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>The SafeUT and School Safety Commission met ten times between September 1, 2018 and September 1, 2019. During this time, usage of the app more than doubled, due largely to the efforts of the Commission. New marketing materials were created at the end of 2018 to appeal to students, and ads for SafeUT have been popping up on social media, billboards, music streaming sites, and other places. Some ads have even been personalized to capture the spirit and mascot of the school. The marketing team has also ordered swag that will appeal to students, including pens, chapsticks, and socks.</p> <p>Members of the SafeUT Commission and UNI attended literally hundreds of events to promote SafeUT, including Queer Prom, the Pride Parade, FanX in fall 2018 and spring 2019, BYU Girls Cyber Security Camp, LoveLoud, and other community or suicide prevention events.</p> <p>The SafeUT Commission works closely with school administrators as well. Newsletters about SafeUT, its functions, troubleshooting, and its usage are issued quarterly to schools and districts to make sure that admins are always in the know. The Commission also holds regular user group meetings to get feedback from educators using the dashboard to see what can be improved.</p> <p>Due to the effort and work of the Commission, all public institutions of higher education, including U of U, USU, UTECH Schools, and others, have been enrolled. All public middle, junior high, and high schools have been enrolled as well. The enrollment of charter, private, and elementary school has increased. Members of the SafeUT Commission are currently in talks with the private institutions of higher education, including BYU and Westminster, to roll out SafeUT as soon as possible. Members of the Commission have also been meeting with leadership in the Utah National Guard on a regular basis, and the Guard plans to roll out the app to their members in October of 2019.</p> <p>Many updates have been made to the SafeUT app under the direction of the Commission, whether for educators through the administrative dashboard, for the</p>

	<p>clinicians reading and responding to the chats and tips, or for the students on the user end of the app.</p> <p>The SafeUT Commission is also trying to reach out to the general public. Over the past few months, project managers have spent time improving and updating the website. It now hosts better information and matches the current marketing design. The website holds information about the app and the Commission and provides resources on mental health. The Commission also made it a priority to create a report on SafeUT for the 2018-2019 school year, so that the public can be aware of what the app has done over this time period.</p> <p>One challenge that arose for the SafeUT Commission this year is the increase in the submission of fake tips by one student to bully another. This problem was happening throughout the state and solutions were not readily available, as we want to discourage the misuse of this app but don't want students to stop using the app overall. The Commission has decided that the app will display a reminder to the user when a tip is submitted that submitting tips that they know is fake is the same as calling 911 to report a false crime, and they can get in trouble for doing so. The Commission is excited to see what comes out of this solution.</p> <p>Suicides among teenagers decreased about 14% over the last year, and the Commission would like to think that they have helped this decline. Other states have begun to take note of the solution that SafeUT provides in saving lives, and the Commission has spoken with representatives of about ten other states who are interested in adopting similar models. The SafeUT code can be sold to these states if they would like to replicate the program exactly and have the infrastructure to do so, and some states have expressed interest in this.</p>
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Official actions include approving minutes, approving final marketing designs, supporting legislation, rolling out the SafeUT app in schools, participating in community events, and approving changes and improvements to the app. More information can be found in the answer to question 8.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None come to mind.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	The SafeUT and School Safety Commission has provided many solutions in the arena of mental health and school safety. It works efficiently and effectively. It is important that the SafeUT app is guided as usage expands. It is also important that the Commission finds more solutions to the problems that are still prevalent.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Senate Management Committee

Respondent: Mark Thomas, mthomas@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	<p>The Senate Management Committee shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) receive legislative resolutions directing studies on legislative matters and may assign these studies to the appropriate interim committee of its house; (b) assign to interim committees of the same house, matters of legislative study not specifically contained in a legislative resolution but considered significant to the welfare of the state; (c) receive requests from interim committees of its house for matters to be included on the study agenda of the requesting committee. Appropriate bases for denying a study include inadequate funding to properly complete the study or duplication of the work; (d) establish a budget account for interim committee day as designated by Legislative Management Committee and for all other legislative committees of its house and allocate to that account sufficient funds to adequately provide for the work of the committee; and (e) designate the time and place for periodic meetings of the interim committees.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	8
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	8
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	None
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>The Senate Management Committee is the Senate's representation on the Legislative Management Committee (LMC). The committee has met various times since September 1, 2018 to fulfill its duties as required by Utah Code 36-12-7. The Senate Management Committee has not met separately outside of LMC since at least September 1, 2018.</p>
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>The Senate Management Committee has not met separately outside of LMC since at least September 1, 2018.</p>
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes



Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):

Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

Sentencing Commission

Respondent: Marshall Thompson, marshallthompson@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The Sentencing Commission provides recommendations on criminal sentencing policy to all three branches of the Utah government. It also produces sentencing guidelines, supervision length guidelines, and other publications designed to increase uniformity in sentencing and promote public safety.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	--
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	--
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	--
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The Sentencing Commission produced the first ever supervision length guidelines pursuant to HB 291 (2018), which went into effect on January 1, 2019. The Sentencing Commission then provided training on these guidelines to stakeholders throughout the state including AP&P, the Board of Pardons, judges, prosecutors, and defense attorneys. During the legislative session, the Sentencing Commission made several recommendations on legislation to improve sentencing in Utah and took substantive positions on 75 bills. Currently, the Sentencing Commission is revising the Adult Sentencing Guidelines and developing a ready reference for Juvenile Adjudications. Beyond the substantive changes, these revisions will include a top-to-bottom redesign to improve clarity and make them easier to use. The Sentencing Commission also coordinates efforts, speaks to the press, and participates in several work groups and task forces to promote and refine the Justice Reinvestment Initiative and other criminal justice policy initiatives.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The Sentencing Commission voted to approve the Supervision Length Guidelines after the appropriate public comment period. The executive committee of the Sentencing Commission also took 75 substantive positions on bills dealing with criminal sentencing in Utah.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	I would recommend that we add a justice court judge to the commission's membership.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	It has been an essential part of the Utah sentencing apparatus for over three decades and continues to be a vital part of our criminal justice system. The benefits of having a neutral, evidence-based source of information on criminal sentencing policy cannot be overstated. The Sentencing Commission, working with important partners in all



three branches of the government, has helped Utah develop a criminal justice system that regularly gains national attention for wise and judicious operations.

Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

Spinal Cord and Brain Injury Rehabilitation Fund and Pediatric Neuro-Rehabilitation Fund Advisory Committee

Respondent: Traci Barney, tabarney@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Adopt rules and procedures that establish priorities and criteria for the advisory committee to follow in recommending distribution of money from the fund to assist qualified IRC (601 (c)(3) charitable clinics to provide services as defined by the bill. Identify, evaluate, and review the quality of care available to individuals with spinal cord injuries, brain injuries or children with non-progressive neurological conditions. Explore, evaluate and review possible funding sources and make a recommendation to the Legislature regarding sources that would provide adequate funding for the advisory committee to accomplish its responsibilities.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	8
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	2
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	There currently is no compensation paid to the members of the committee.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The committee identified areas of the state where there was a lack of services. The committee reviewed the budget and recommended that an RFP be released that focused on Logan, Brigham City, Ogden, Provo, St George and other areas of the state. The committee also identified a population that is underserved and requested funding for Pediatric Neuro-Rehabilitation. The Pediatric Neuro-Rehabilitation Fund was created and added to the current Spinal Cord and Brain Injury Rehabilitation Fund. This also added 3 more board members to the committee.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	A new RFP was released to reach more people around the state. A new Fund was created the Pediatric Neuro-Rehabilitation Fund
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	



Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

Standards Review Committee

Respondent: Jennifer Throndsen, jennifer.throndsen@schools.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	As a statutorily-defined prescribed, the Standards Review Committee convenes to review current standards and to make recommendations to the Utah State Board of Education with respect to revisions that may need to occur to the current standards.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	17
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	0
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	N/A
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	From September 1, 2018 to present, no Standards Review Committees were convened. The next anticipated Standards Review Committee will likely occur September/October 2019 to begin the review of the social studies standards for elementary.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	N/A
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	N/A
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

State Capitol Preservation Board

Respondent: Dana Jones, danajones@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Please reference Statute 63C-9-101 State Capitol Preservation Board.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	11
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	6
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	None
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Please reference the public notice website meeting minutes showing for the Capitol Preservation Board for requested dates.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Please reference the public notice website meeting minutes showing for the Capitol Preservation Board for requested dates.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	Official State of Utah business.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

State Fair Park Committee

Respondent: Larry Mullenax, Lmullenax@utahstatefair.com

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Review the annual performance of the Utah State Fair Corporation.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	8
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	5
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Committee members are provided compensation as allowed by law.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Reviewed the 2018 Utah State Fair results, and year to date event revenue along with the corporation's financial progress. The Fair Corporation utilize a calendar fiscal year Jan-Dec. The hearing was held in November affording the committee the opportunity to review 10 months or performance. Review and discuss the Utah State Fair Corporations upcoming budget request for the upcoming session.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The Committee voted to support the Utah State Fair Corporations one time ask request and provided a letter of the Utah State Fair Corporations Ask support to the house and Senate.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	I'm not aware of any changes that are needed
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

State Interagency Coordinating Council (for Infants and Toddlers with Special Needs and Their Families)

Respondent: Lisa Davenport, lisadavenport@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The purpose of the Utah Interagency Coordinating Council, referred to as the ICC, is to provide meaningful direction, assistance, and support to the lead agency, Baby Watch Early Intervention (BWEIP).
How many total members does your board or commission have?	29
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	1
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Per § 303.603 (a) (2) in Subpart G of the Federal Regulations, Utah's ICC Reimburses parent members of the Council for reasonable and necessary expenses for attending Council meetings and performing Council duties (including childcare).
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Throughout the 2018-2019 year, the Interagency Coordinating Council for Infants and Toddlers with Special Needs and Their Families (ICC) has worked closely with the Baby Watch Early Intervention Program (BWEIP). In collaboration with BWEIP, and with guidance from the Governor's Office, the Council created and approved a new ICC Procedural Manual in September 2018. This procedural manual replaced the ICC By-Laws, previously written in 2010.</p> <p>Since September 2018, Council members of the ICC have actively participated on five work groups that support ongoing work for Utah's Part C State Systemic Improvement Plan (SSIP). The five work groups that have utilized ICC stakeholder participation include: Assessment, Professional Development, Family Engagement, Collaboration, and Compliance and Quality Improvement. In order to demonstrate progress, each state receiving federal Part C funds submits their SSIP to the Office of Special Education Programs in the Department of Education each year.</p> <p>In addition to participation on SSIP stakeholder work groups, the ICC has focused their attention throughout the 2018-2019 year to researching and gathering information to support infant mental health in the State of Utah. Following presentations, research, and discussion throughout the year, the ICC successfully made a motion in May 2019 for the Council Chair and Co-Chair to provide a list of recommendations to Baby Watch Early Intervention for infant and early childhood mental health. The recommendations will be reviewed during the September 25, 2019 ICC meeting.</p> <p>Also, in May 2019, the Council requested to reactivate the ICC Finance Sub-Committee in order to support the Baby Watch Early Intervention Program in exploring additional funding options to further support Utah's Part C program.</p>
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • September 26, 2018: Council approved new ICC Procedural Manual. • January 23, 2019: In keeping in alignment with the Open and Public Meetings Act, Part C Federal Regulations, and the newly approved ICC Procedure Manual, the ICC

board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>streamlined procedures for ICC membership, including processes for filling vacancies on the Council.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May 22, 2019: Council passed a motion to create a list of infant and early childhood mental health recommendations, review with the Council by email, provide the recommendations to BWEIP, and review the recommendations during the September 25th ICC meeting. • May 22, 2019: Council requested to reactivate the ICC Finance Sub-Committee to help support the Baby Watch Early Intervention Program in exploring additional funding options to further support Utah's Part C program.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	<p>Per the September 2011 Department of Education, 34 CFR Parts 300 and 303 Early Intervention Program for Infants and Toddlers With Disabilities; Assistance to States for the Education of Children With Disabilities; Final Rule and Proposed Rule, this board must continue to exist.</p> <p>§303.125 State interagency coordinating council states: Each system must include a State Interagency Coordination Council (Council) that meets the requirements of Subpart G of this part.</p> <p>(Approved by Office of Management and Budget under control number 1820–0550) (Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1435(a)(15))</p>
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

State Workforce Development Board

Respondent: Elizabeth Carver, ecarver@utah.gov

<p>Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.</p>	<p>The State Workforce Development Board supports the Governor’s vision for a dynamic state with a richly diversified economy that is attractive both to employers who create and sustain jobs and individuals who bring knowledge and skills to those jobs. The board is a partnership of state and local government, education, business, economic development and community organizations. It guides the strategic alignment of state programs, resources and services with employer needs to create a strong workforce development system for Utah. The board fulfills the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) statutory responsibility.</p>
<p>How many total members does your board or commission have?</p>	<p>39</p>
<p>How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.</p>	<p>SWDB members who are not public members, state or local government members, or higher education members, may receive compensation, per diem and expenses at the established State of Utah rates (e.g. only the pre-approved State rate amounts will be reimbursed for hotels and meals) established by the Division of Finance.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>Updated Certification Criteria An important assignment, required under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), is for the State Workforce Development Board to review and update one-stop certification criteria every two years. The board reviewed the criteria and made recommendations that have streamlined and improved the process.</p> <p>Ogden One-Stop Certification Utah’s State Workforce Development Board certified Utah’s fifth Comprehensive One-Stop Center. The certification process includes partner agency management meeting, training all partner staff to ensure they are familiar with all the services and resources available, the board’s review of the center to ensure customers have access to high quality services from all WIOA partners, and the centers commitment to meeting at least two times per year for continuous service alignment and coordination improvement.</p> <p>Implementation of Job Seeker and Employer Survey A job seeker survey was developed with active participation from the State Workforce Development Board. The survey was implemented statewide in all comprehensive and affiliate one-stop centers. Early results are providing information to help improve and align services and coordinate with partners in the one-stop centers. An employer survey was developed by the board and has been distributed to employers throughout the state. Employers have the opportunity to provide feedback to help improve Utah's overall workforce system.</p> <p>Adult Education The State Workforce Development Board actively participated in the grant award</p>

	<p>process for Adult Education and Family Literacy Act (AEFLA) funds. The board made recommendations based on their review of selected sections of the grant forms. Adult Education awarded funds to 24 districts and organizations throughout Utah.</p> <p>Apprenticeship Support The board was actively involved in organizing Utah's 2nd annual apprenticeship job fair. Board members used their network of employers to help provide apprenticeship opportunities at the job fair. The board has interviewed and scored apprenticeship commissioner candidates and recommended top candidates for the commissioner position.</p> <p>Annual Report Completed the 2017-2018 Annual Board Report outlining work completed and accomplishments.</p> <p>Partner Referral System A requirement under WIOA is that partners develop a way to create seamless referrals. This has been done manually. The board reviewed and guided the development of an online referral system for all WIOA partners to help improve the job seeker experience.</p> <p>Youth online workshops To help youth better connect to the workforce, the board shaped and developed online workshop curriculum focused on job preparation activities. These online courses will provide youth with information they need to prepare to be actively engaged in the workforce.</p>
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Approved updated one-stop employment center certification criteria. Updated Bylaws to ensure members on the board meet statutory requirements. Scored portions of the Adult Education and Family Literacy Act grant proposals and made recommendations.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	(Yes)
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	The board is required under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	N/A

Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement Governing Board

Respondent: Commissioner Rebecca L. Rockwell, Utah State Tax Commission, rrockwell@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The official purpose of the Streamlined Sales Tax Governing Board is to simplify and modernize sales and use tax administration, through a multistate agreement of member states, in order to substantially reduce the burden of tax compliance.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	--
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	--
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	--
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The work of the board focuses on improving sales and use tax administration systems nationwide for all sellers and for all types of commerce through: state level administration of sales and use tax collections; uniformity in state and local tax bases; providing a central, electronic registration system; simplifying state and local tax rates; providing uniform sourcing rules for all taxable transactions; providing simplified administration of exemptions, providing simplified tax returns; simplifying tax remittances; and protecting consumer privacy.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The Streamlined Sales Tax Governing Board met in St. Louis, MO, on October 2-4, 2018, and in Providence, RI, on May 6-8, 2019. We discussed states' actions in response to the United States Supreme Court decision in South Dakota v. Wayfair, made recommendations on amendments to the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement, reviewed federal actions related to state taxation, and discussed other business matters related to the operation and administration of the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement and Governing Board.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	No. All necessary language is already incorporated into the Utah Code. Because this is a multistate organization, changes related to the Governing Board are generally recommended on a multistate level.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	(Yes)
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	The Streamlined Sales Tax Governing Board is needed to promote efficient and simplified sales tax administration among the participating states. It also facilitates Utah's ability to require remote sellers, such as online sellers, to collect and remit sales and use taxes to the state.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Talent Ready Utah Board

Respondent: Kimberlee Carlile, kcarlile@utah.gov

<p>Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.</p>	<p>The following are board responsibilities outlined in statute:</p> <p>SB 131 (2018)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Further education and industry alignment in the state -Coordinate the development of new education programs that align with industry demand -Coordinate or partner with other state agencies to administer grant programs -Promote the inclusion of industry partners in education, provide outreach and information to employers regarding workforce programs and initiatives -Develop and analyze stackable credential program -Determine efficiencies among workforce providers -Map available workforce programs focusing on programs that successfully create high-paying jobs <p>HB 227 (2019)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Create a computer science education master plan <p>SB 172 (2019- Utah Works)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Develop workforce solutions that meet the needs of businesses that are creating jobs and economic growth in the state <p>SB 138 (2019)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Create an apprentice pilot program in the Talent Ready Utah Center
<p>How many total members does your board or commission have?</p>	<p>15</p>
<p>How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.</p>	<p>Only for those traveling from rural Utah to the meetings, we can reimburse for mileage. That has only come up for one board member.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>The Talent Ready Utah board during the past year has accomplished the follow:</p> <p>Organized a sub-committee to create the state-wide computer science master state plan. The board voted and approved that plan, which will guide the computer science grant process as well as the direction for every school district in the state to align with Governor Herbert's goal to have computer science taught in every school by 2022.</p> <p>Discussed the Utah Futures online counseling platform and heard presentation on the functionality of the platform. The board decided to work with a consultant who is meeting with stakeholders to gather information. The board will ultimately make recommendations back to the legislature on the direction of the platform as it moves</p>

	<p>under the Talent Ready Utah board in 2020.</p> <p>Created a subcommittee of board members that will vet and recommend the Utah Works program applications. This program was created in SB 172 and will provide funding towards a training program to help with the workforce needs companies are facing. The full board will vote and approve the final proposals for the program on August 22.</p> <p>Voted on and approved the Talent Ready Utah grants that were awarded to 8 education institutions.</p> <p>Focused efforts on creating a youth apprenticeship program. That program is being piloted by Stadler Rail, Salt Lake City School District and Salt Lake Community College. The program will begin in August 2019, and is being announced on August 19, 2019.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>October 2018 Board Meeting: Board members written in statute, discussed and nominated industry representatives to sit on the board. In October 2018, the board voted on those four additional board members that were required to represent that board. The industry board members include; Aaron Skonnard, Andrea Moss, Chuck Taylor and Jeff Nelson.</p> <p>The board also voted on the chair and vice chair positions. Val Hale was nominated as chair and Dave Buhler as vice chair.</p> <p>December 2018 Meeting: Board voted to support the computer science for all proposal which formed a sub-committee to gather information for the computer science plan.</p> <p>January 2019 Board Meeting: Board voted to support the plan to hire a consultant to do research and focus groups on the Utah Futures online counseling platform.</p> <p>March 2019 Board Meeting: Board voted to approve the in-kind contribution from the Pluralsight One Foundation to develop a statewide computer science plan that would be subject to the approval of the Talent Ready Utah computer science committee, the Talent Ready Utah Board and also the State Board of Education.</p> <p>June 2019 Board Meeting: Board voted to approve the Talent Ready Utah grants that were awarded to 8 various education institutions.</p> <p>July 2019 Board Meeting: Board voted to approve the Computer Science Statewide Master plan that will act as a guide to meet the goal to have computer science taught in every school by 2022.</p>
<p>Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to</p>	<p>None</p>



make the board or commission more effective.	
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	<p>The Talent Ready Utah board is a rare combination of government leaders, heads of the three education systems in Utah, two legislators and industry CEO's. The board allows for representation from many different organizations and stakeholders that are all working together to better prepare the future workforce in Utah. The board started meeting quarterly, but had to move the meetings to every other month to accommodate the legislative programs that have been added to the board.</p> <p>Workforce is the number one concern that businesses are facing in the state of Utah today. The Talent Ready Utah board is the body that provides resources, funding, guidance and support to better align industry with education to meet the growing workforce needs. What started out as pathway programs has now grown into a Talent Ready Utah center that oversees programs such as Utah Works, Talent Ready Apprenticeship Connection, stackable- credentialed pathway programs all while working with every region in the state including all post-secondary institutions as well as companies in high demand, high wage industries. The Talent Ready Utah board has also been asked to take on additional state-wide initiatives such as the Computer Science for Utah goal and the Utah Futures Online Counseling platform.</p>
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Tax Restructuring and Equalization Task Force

Respondent: Alex Janak, ajanak@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Study and make recommendations to solve the state's structural revenue imbalances.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	14
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	10
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	Salaries and expenses of the members of the task force who are legislators shall be paid in accordance with Section 36-2-2 and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Chapter 2, Lodging, Meal, and Transportation Expenses, and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Chapter 3, Legislator Compensation. A member of the task force who is not a legislator may not receive compensation for the member's work associated with the task force, but may receive per diem and reimbursement for travel expenses incurred as a member of the task force at the rates established by the Division of Finance under Sections 63A-3-106 and 63A-3-107.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Two regular committee meetings on Capitol Hill. Eight regional town hall meetings across the state.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	No major actions to date, just listening and studying.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	none
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Utah Commission on Uniform State Laws

Respondent: Eric Weeks, eweeks@le.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Represent the state of Utah as attorneys who are members of the national organization: The Uniform Commission on State Laws (www.uniformlaws.org)
How many total members does your board or commission have?	7
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	2
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	None. Reimbursement for per diem and travel expenses for annual meeting. Attendance is required by national organization.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Participated in drafting, recommendation, and passage of 10-12 uniform laws that are disseminated to multiple states.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	Held a state meeting on the Commission in December. Gave a report to the governor. A majority of the members participated in law drafting conferences paid for by the national organization. Commission members attended the national organization's annual meeting in July and reviewed and approved for circulation to the states multiple proposed uniform laws on issues such as commercial code, driverless cars, currency matters, real estate matters, and drones, among others.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None at this point.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	The commission provides a valuable service by proposing standardized laws to be adopted by individually by the states, rather than leaving the issues to be voted on or taken over by the federal government, among many other advantages I'd be happy to discuss.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Utah Inland Port Authority Governing Board

Respondent: Jill Flygare, jflygare@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Governing board of the Inland Port Authority of Utah, delegating authority to the Executive Director.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	11
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	2
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	None
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hired a consulting firm to search for an executive director 2. RFP for the following: Legal Services, Community Engagement, Business Plan 3. Contracts with: Michael Best, Envision Utah, CPCS 4. Hired an executive director 5. Approved a project area 6. Approved FY 2019 & FY 2020 budget
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hired a consulting firm to search for an executive director 2. RFP for the following: Legal Services, Community Engagement, Business Plan 3. Contracts with: Michael Best, Envision Utah, CPCS 4. Hired an executive director 5. Approved a project area 6. Approved FY 2019 & FY 2020 budget
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Utah Marriage Commission

Respondent: Paul Schvaneveldt, pschvaneveldt@weber.edu

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The mission of the Utah Marriage Commission is to help people form and sustain healthy and enduring marriages and relationships. The Commission promotes and supports marriage and relationship education services, with a special focus on serving populations at higher risk for family instability.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	17
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	4
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	None
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Every year, the Utah Marriage Commission and the Utah Department of Human Services contract with state colleges and universities to provide free or low-cost evidence-based relationship education classes, activities, and events in communities throughout the state.</p> <p>This year, contracts included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weber State University • Utah State University Extension in Weber and Washington Counties • Utah Valley University • Salt Lake Community College <p>A free online relationship education course is also provided to Utah couples who are engaged to be married through a contract with PREP, Inc. Over 1000 classes</p>
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>The Marriage and Premarital Counseling and Education Amendments were signed into law by Governor Gary S. Herbert on March 20, 2018. This legislation provides for a \$20 discount on a marriage license fee for couples who invest in premarital education or counseling that meets basic content requirements. To take advantage of this discount, couples must apply for their Utah marriage license through an online system. The purpose of this Utah Marriage Education Discount is to increase participation in premarital education and counseling by couples in Utah, thus building stronger and longer lasting marriages, more stable families, and reducing incidences of divorce.</p> <p>Since this time, the commission has actively prepared for implementation of this new law.</p> <p>Implementation</p> <p>The Utah Marriage Commission is working with the Utah County Clerk's office on the implementation of an online marriage license application system to provide a mechanism for couples to receive their \$20 discount. Current projections see the system as being fully operational and available to the public by the end of this year.</p> <p>Promotion</p>



	<p>The Utah Marriage Commission is actively promoting the MED through social media channels and networking with relationship education and counseling providers.</p> <p>Oversight The Utah Marriage Commission is tracking completion of MED requirements and issuing certificates of completion through an online form and database. The form is password protected and only accessible to approved education or counseling providers.</p> <p>Support from Utah Wedding Vendors Several Utah wedding vendors have generously offered additional discounts on their products and services for couples who complete the Utah MED requirements.</p>
<p>Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.</p>	<p>None</p>
<p>Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):</p>	<p>We are very active and have many goals for the coming year including: Goals and Activities for 2020</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Even more relationship education classes and events being offered throughout the state! In addition to ongoing providers of relationship education services, we've added a contract with Southern Utah University to provide workshops and skills groups in Iron County. • New commission members! We've had some long-time members retire this past year whose contributions were instrumental in keeping the Commission running and building stronger marriages in Utah. Our heartfelt gratitude goes out to Pearl Hart, LaVar Christensen, Alan Hawkins, Becky Edwards, and Jacalyn Leavitt for everything they've done for marriages and families in Utah! We're excited about adding some new faces to the group and the unique skills and insights that they'll bring with them. • The Stronger Marriage Experience! The Utah Marriage Commission is in the early planning stages for The Stronger Marriage Experience, an educational event for engaged and seriously dating couples. Couples will be able to learn relationship skills from certified presenters, earn their Marriage Education Discount, and enjoy fun, food, and entertainment! This event is tentatively scheduled for March 2020.
<p>Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).</p>	

Utah Seismic Safety Commission

Respondent: Bob Carey, bcarey@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The mission of the Utah Seismic Safety Commission is to improve earthquake safety in Utah--to save lives, prevent injuries, protect property and the environment, and reduce social and economic disruption from earthquakes. Individuals and communities do not have to be at the complete mercy of earthquake forces. We can have some control, we can reduce earthquake losses, and we can build community resiliency.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	15
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	2
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	There is no compensation.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The Utah Seismic Safety Commission (USSC, https://ussc.utah.gov) has conducted four quarterly meetings (required by statute), created an informational brochure about the USSC (https://ussc.utah.gov/pages/view.php?ref=1624), contributed to the planning and execution of a regional Unreinforced Masonry (URM) Summit held at the Utah State Capitol, contributed to the planning for the Basin and Range Province Seismic Hazards Summit IV to be held in Salt Lake City in 2020, provided technical and general outreach related to 2019 Bluffdale earthquake sequence, provided multiple presentations at the National Earthquake Program Managers Conference in Salt Lake City, and hosted Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) staff tour of the earthquake hazard and risk along the Wasatch Front in preparation of a FEMA national earthquake exercise. The USSC Safety Assessment Committee held four post-earthquake building safety evaluation training workshops. The USSC Geoscience Committee held multiple technical working group meetings in February as part of the Utah Geological Survey Utah Earthquake Working Groups (https://geology.utah.gov/hazards/info/workshops/). A Publications Committee was reinstated to work on producing a new update to the USSC Putting Down Roots in Earthquake Country Handbook (https://ussc.utah.gov/pages/view.php?ref=1) and to publish a Spanish language version of the handbook. The Committee will be updating EERI's scenario for the Scenario for a Magnitude 7.0 Earthquake on the WasatchFault-Salt Lake (https://ussc.utah.gov/pages/help.php?section=EERI+Salt+Lake+City+M7+Earthquake+Scenario). The Committee is updating The Utah Guide for the Seismic Improvement of Unreinforced Masonry Dwellings (https://ussc.utah.gov/pages/help.php?section=Utah+Guide+for+URM+Dwellings). Organized and celebrated the 25th anniversary of the commission with an open house (including speakers, displays, tours, and refreshments) in the rotunda of the State Capitol.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the	The USSC issued Letters of Support for the reauthorization of the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP), to a consortium of organizations applying for a



board or commission since September 1, 2018.

U.S. Geological Survey grant to study the impacts to life safety, building damage, and building downtime for potential IBC Structural/Seismic Recommendations, and to the Geological Society of America for nomination of the 2019 John C. Frye Environmental Geology Award for the "Earthquake Probabilities for the Wasatch Front Region in Utah, Idaho and Wyoming" report. The USSC reinstated the Publications Committee, created the Advocacy and Advisory Committee, created the Unreinforced Masonry Building (URM) Committee that encourages mitigation activities for this prevalent and dangerous building type, and hosted a USSC 25th Anniversary celebration.

Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.

By statute, one representative from each, Utah State Senate and the Utah House of Representatives, are commissioners on the USSC. The USSC recommends that these positions be changed from the current two legislators to two representatives from other earthquake-related organizations that have an interest in reducing earthquake-related loss in Utah; however, we do encourage continued coordination with representatives from the Utah State Senate and the Utah House of Representatives. We also recommend that \$25,000 be appropriated each fiscal year to support vital USSC activities which can be matched with federal funding opportunities.

Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.

Yes

Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):

It is vital that USSC, <https://ussc.utah.gov>) continues to be an active and viable commission as provided by state statute. The USSC is best suited to fill the role of bringing the proper entities together to provide community resilience planning in the face of a moderate to a large seismic event. In 2016, a panel of earthquake experts calculated a 57% probability (greater than a one in two chance) of a magnitude 6.0 of a larger earthquake occurring in the greater northern Utah area in the next 50 years. This likelihood of a very damaging earthquake is only increasing each day. Experts have identified the size of potential economic losses to be staggering, not only affecting Utah but the U.S. as a whole.

Many other states and cities in the U.S. have been proactively identifying the highest risk infrastructure in their communities and undertaking mitigation to reduce economic and financial costs, loss of life and injury, and loss of jobs in their communities. The USSC advises the Legislature, Governor, state and local government agencies, industry, and the public on earthquake hazard, risk, and safety issues. The USSC acts as an unbiased, authoritative information source for those concerned with earthquake risk and safety, promotes earthquake-loss-reduction measures along with legislation, and implementing education and awareness campaigns in order to save lives, prevent injuries, protect property, and reduce economic and societal disruption from the effects of severe earthquake activity in Utah.

Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

The Commission in coordination with other state agencies have created numerous publications. Examples of these publications include public awareness document, "Putting Down Roots in Earthquake Country", the earthquake scenario document, "Scenario for a Magnitude 7.0 Earthquake on the Wasatch Fault-Salt Lake City Segment", an assessment of schools, "Students at Risk," Utah Students At Risk The Earthquake Hazards Of School Buildings", A self-help document, "The Utah Guide For The Seismic Improvement Of Unreinforced Masonry Dwellings", and a rural support document, "Earthquake Emergency Handbook For First Responders And Incident Commanders". These documents may be found on the Utah Seismic Safety Commission website at www.ussc.utah.gov.

Utah Standards Assessment Questions Committee

Respondent: Darin Nielsen, darin.nielsen@schools.utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Review all standards assessment questions.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	15
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	0
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	No compensation is permitted. Participants are provided lunch during the review process and lodging for those that qualify.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The group of parents met for 4 days and reviewed new items (assessment questions) that are designed to strengthen the item bank for the Utah standards assessment.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	See above.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	This committee provides a valuable service, but may benefit by adding statutory language requiring participants to be a parent of a current public education students. I believe this would ensure that committee participants are fully invested in Utah's public education system. Currently it is possible for participants to bring an alternative agenda to the process which can interfere with the intent of the group.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Utah State Fair Corporation Board of Directors

Respondent: Larry Mullenax, Lmullenax@utahstatefair.com

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	Oversee the general management of the fair corporation a 501c3 non for profit corporation.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	14
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	0
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	<p>Board members are compensated for their time as allowed by law.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Board meetings under 4-hours in length \$60.00 2. Board meetings over 4-hours in length \$90.00 3. Board members that commute over 50 miles are reimbursed mileage @.51 cents per mile <p>*Board members that represent public government are not eligible for compensation.</p>
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Manage the policies, procedures and fiscal performance of the Utah State Fair Corporation. Monthly board meetings are conducted and the board reviews the prior months performance. The board discussed and approved numerous capital and lease hold improvements. This is a working board with fiduciary accountability.</p>
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>Approved the annual budget, approved numerous policy changes, approved the corporation to pursue the Vans World Championship, approved the corporation to work with Salt Lake city RDA and pursue a feasibility study to place a year around international market at the fairgrounds. Reviewed and took action on unauthorized drug use on Jr. livestock show animals, Investigated livestock ownership eligibility requirements and took action. Visited with multiple law makers during the annual session helping them to better understand the implications of their proposed changes in law.</p>
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	<p>Each year new bills are introduced and new laws are created that require quasi state agencies to execute as if they were state offices. The unintended consequences of some of these new laws place this corporation (board) and others in an unfair competitive positions. Limit the number of bills that are introduced each session to a reasonable level. Thereby allowing thoughtful discussion before passing new laws, which will free up time spent lobbying that is better served elsewhere.</p>
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	N/A
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	N/A

Utah Transparency Advisory Board

Respondent: Ken Hansen, khansen@utah.gov

<p>Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.</p>	<p>Section 63A-1-203(3) provides that the Utah Transparency Advisory Board "shall: (a) advise the state auditor and the department on matters related to the implementation and administration of this part; (b) develop plans, make recommendations, and assist in implementing the provisions of this part; (c) determine what public financial information shall be provided by a participating state entity, independent entity, and participating local entity, if the public financial information (d) evaluate the cost effectiveness of implementing specific information resources and features on the website; (e) require participating local entities to provide public financial information in accordance with the requirements of this part, with a specified content, reporting frequency, and form; (f) require an independent entity's website or a participating local entity's website to be accessible by link or other direct route from the Utah Public Finance Website if the independent entity or participating local entity does not use the Utah Public Finance Website; (g) determine the search methods and the search criteria that shall be made available to the public as part of a website used by an independent entity or a participating local entity under the requirements of this part, ... ; (h) analyze ways to improve the information on the Utah Public Finance Website so the information is more relevant to citizens...."</p>
<p>How many total members does your board or commission have?</p>	<p>14</p>
<p>How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.</p>	<p>A member who is not a legislator may not receive compensation or benefits for the member's service, but may receive per diem and travel expenses. Compensation and expenses of a member who is a legislator are governed by Section 36-2-2 and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Legislative Compensation and Expenses. See Subsection 63A-1-203(9).</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>From August 2018 through December 2018, the Board had subgroups working on various issues and priorities related to the websites. In particular, under the approval of the board, the Division of Finance worked on archiving older data on the website in order to improve the speed of the website for public users. The board was also looking at other ways to improve the database for public and state-approved power users. Another subgroup looked focused on the possibility of using consistent entity names between the different transparency-related websites. During the 2019 Legislative session, the statute regarding the Transparency Advisory Board was changed. The first meeting held after this change was June 25, 2019. The group discussed the new statute including membership, the move of the Financial Transparency website to the Auditor's office (the signed MOU was reviewed by the Board), the move of the administration of the Board from the DAS Division of Finance to the Executive Director's Office and how the board should move forward with its new mission (the Board will review the previous statute and ensure that everything was completed, moving forward with any items not completed during the previous</p>

	Board's term). There were 2 business items that were discussed including the Open Records update and the Data Portal.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	In the Utah Transparency Advisory Board Meeting held on September 4, 2018: 1) a policy revision was approved allowing the Division of Finance to grant smaller volunteer organizations an exception, when circumstance warrant, from reporting quarterly to the Financial Transparency Website as long as they report at least annually; 2) the board created a subgroup of the board to look into social media issues related to transparency and report their findings at the next board meeting. In the meeting held. At its June 25, 2019, meeting, in light of changes made by HB0178 (2019), the board elected a new chair.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	Possible statutory changes may be forthcoming.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	The Board is studying this interim which parts of its charter have already been accomplished and what might be left to implement. Additionally, attendance by board members, especially legislators, has been waning the past couple of years. At the end of the interim, the Board hopes to make a recommendation whether it should continue, be retired, statutorily more limited in its scope based on what members feel still needs to be accomplished.
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	Information regarding the Utah Transparency Advisory Board was submitted to the Governor's Office in response to the reporting requirement for Executive Boards.

Veterans and Military Affairs Commission

Respondent: Arthur L. Hunsaker, ahunsaker@le.utah.gov

<p>Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.</p>	<p>From Utah Code 36-28-103: The commission shall: . . .</p> <p>(2) study and make recommendations to the Legislature on the following issues as they impact active duty servicemembers, veterans, and their dependents:</p> <p>(a) reintegration from military to civilian status;</p> <p>(b) employment;</p> <p>(c) finances;</p> <p>(d) education;</p> <p>(e) health and mental health, including suicide prevention;</p> <p>(f) military affairs, including the impact of military bases; and</p> <p>(g) coordination of state and local government resources to assist active duty servicemembers, veterans, and their dependents; and</p> <p>(3) consider the unique role of the Utah National Guard and its servicemembers in regard to the issues in Subsection (2).</p>
<p>How many total members does your board or commission have?</p>	<p>23</p>
<p>How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.</p>	<p>From Utah Code 36-28-102:</p> <p>(8) A member may not receive compensation or benefits for the member's service but may receive per diem and travel expenses in accordance with:</p> <p>(a) Section 63A-3-106;</p> <p>(b) Section 63A-3-107; and</p> <p>(c) rules made by the Division of Finance pursuant to Sections 63A-3-106 and 63A-3-107.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>Visited Camp Williams and received several briefings on its mission, accomplishments, and initiatives including sentinel landscapes and the impact of education on recruitment, retention, and talent management.</p> <p>Discussed a staff presentation on options provided by states and the federal government to incentivize employers to hire servicemembers who may be deployed.</p> <p>Received a briefing on the implementation of 2018 General Session S.B. 227 Licensing Standards for Military Spouses.</p> <p>Received a staff presentation on Section 59-10-1031 "Nonrefundable tax credit for employing a recently deployed veteran" and determined the issue required further study.</p> <p>Received an update from the USS Utah Commissioning Committee regarding the USS Utah, a Virginia Class submarine, currently under construction.</p> <p>Received a presentation on the progress of the @Ease program, a joint initiative of the Utah Attorney General's Office and the Utah Department of Veterans and Military Affairs that connects a network of volunteer attorneys to qualified veterans and servicemembers who need help with Utah legal matters regarding SCRA, USERRA, Landlord, Debt, Consumer Fraud, Predatory Lending, Immigration, Wills, and Power of</p>



	<p>Attorney. Discussed the merits of expanding the use of Veterans Courts in the state of Utah. Discussed the need for statutory clarification of who is eligible for veterans preference by private employers. Received a presentation on the benefits to Veterans of Utah State University's Equine Assisted Activities and Therapies Program. Received a presentation from a representative of the Fort Douglas Military Museum regarding its mission and needs. Received and discussed a staff presentation regarding granting preferences to Veteran-owned businesses when they submit proposals for a state contract (which Utah does not currently provide), including a 50-state review. Received a presentation from the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters regarding its education, training, and service programs for Veterans.</p>
<p>Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.</p>	<p>Approved a motion directing the commission chairs write a letter to the current White House Administration requesting that a hard count of veterans be included in the 2020 United States Census. Approved a motion directing the commission chairs to send a letter to the Tax Restructuring and Equalization Task Force asking the task force to review the current taxation on military retirement. The motion passed unanimously. Approved a motion expressing support for the funding and legislative appropriation of veterans treatment courts in Weber and Washington counties. Approved 2020 General Session Draft Legislation "Veterans Preference in Private Employment" as a commission bill. Approved a motion recognizing the value of Utah State University's Equine Assisted Activities and Therapies Program, which promote mental health and stability for veterans in Utah. Approved a motion directing the commission chairs to open a bill file for the 2020 General Session designating Fort Douglas Military Museum Utah's official military museum. Approved a motion directing the commission chairs to open a bill file to amend Utah Code Title 53B to address Veterans Tuition Gap Funding and to amend the definition of "Eligible Person" to clarify whether a Veteran or servicemember is entitled to receive post-secondary education benefits.</p>
<p>Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.</p>	<p>None</p>
<p>Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):</p>	<p>The commission has done a very good job of looking out for and addressing issues impacting Veterans and needs to continue filling that role.</p>



Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

Western States Transportation Alliance Cooperating Committee

Respondent: Chad Sheppick, csheppick@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The unique format of Western States Transportation Alliance (WSTA) provides an effective platform for state transportation legislators and public officials to meet with the transportation industry and develop sound policy initiatives for western transportation issues concerning our highway system. WSTA's primary function is being a policy group that identifies transportation issues, encourages an effective distribution of information on these issues and develops and support policy statement/model legislation for member utilization in their state legislatures. The board is made up of industry representatives and state legislators from member states.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	15
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	11
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	N/A
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	<p>The following were topics of interest for possible legislation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Truck parking – Truck parking is at the forefront of WSTA agenda items. The problem continues and grows and a recent report indicates that 48% of all long haul truck drivers spent an hour or more looking for safe parking during the week while 96% of long haul drivers noted that they parked in an area at one time during the year that was not designated for trucks. The lack of parking has led to some drivers violating their hours of service as they desperately seek a safe place to take their rest period. In addition, this is costing drivers approximately \$5,000.00 per year in lost productivity due to shortage of parking spaces. WSTA is encouraging state legislatures to look at creative approaches to address this problem such as acquiring old parking facilities of retail stores that have closed or looking at other properties that are used for few days of the month. • CDL Compact Agreement with Western States – In 2018, WSTA was active on a number of fronts to include the CDL driver shortage issue. WSTA Board of Directors approved a resolution for a CDL Compact Agreement for states to utilize in coming legislative sessions. The intent is for Congress to approve a Western CDL Compact Agreement dealing with utilization of CDL drivers age 18-20 for interstate driving authorization, Member states were requested to submit such resolutions and enabling legislation in 2019. • WSTA Files Comments on FMCSA's hours of service rulemaking – WSTA, along with many other industry groups, is supportive of the federal hours of service regulations. We are also supportive of the ELD mandate in general. However, there is room for improvement in the details of how these regulations are both implemented and enforced. • Cargo Theft – WSTA Board also has discussion on another emerging issue, cargo



	<p>theft. WSTA continues to review the best policy options for cargo theft in the Western States.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Human Trafficking – The board has had discussions about the growing problem of human trafficking and formulate possible solutions to combat this.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	See number 8.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	None.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

Women in the Economy Commission

Respondent: Kathy Bounous, kbounous@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The commission's purpose is to: (a) increase public and government understanding of the current and future impact and needs of the state's women in the economy and how those needs may be most effectively and efficiently met; (b) identify and recommend implementation of specific policies, procedures, and programs to respond to the rights, needs, and impact of women in the economy; and (c) facilitate coordination of the functions of public and private entities concerned with women in the economy.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	11
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	4
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	A member of the commission described in Subsection (2)(e) or (f) may not receive compensation or benefits for the member's service, but may receive per diem and travel expenses. Compensation and expenses of a member who is a legislator are governed by Section 36-2-2 and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Legislative Compensation and Expenses.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	We have met four times to discuss policy recommendations, tax reform, a recent study on women in higher education, childcare, and other issues that can promote or prohibit women's success in the economy.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	No Actions, other than to study and evaluate issues facing women in the economy, and provide a public forum to discuss these issues.
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	No recommendations.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes
Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):	
Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).	

World War II Memorial Commission

Respondent: Kevin Fayles, kfayles@utah.gov

Please describe the official function or purpose of your board or commission.	The purpose of the World War II Memorial Commission is to hold hearings regarding site options and design proposals for a monument; issue a request for designs for a World War II Memorial; and make a certain report and recommendations to the House Government Operations Standing Committee and the Senate Government Operations and Political Subdivisions Standing Committee.
How many total members does your board or commission have?	9
How many of the total members of the board or commission are legislators?	3
Please explain the compensation, if any, paid to the members of the board or commission.	A commission member may not receive compensation or benefits for the member's service on the commission but may receive per diem and reimbursement for travel expenses incurred as a commission member as allowed in Sections 63A-3-106 and 63A-3-107 and rules that the Division of Finance makes in accordance with Sections 63A-3-106 and 63A-3-107. Compensation and expenses of a commission member who is a legislator are governed by Section 36-2-2 and Legislative Joint Rules, Title 5, Legislative Compensation and Expenses.
Please provide a description of the actual work performed by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	The Commission was created during the 2019 legislative session. Because of the time it took to select and approve the nine commission members, the commission held an informal inaugural meeting on 13 August 19. It was considered an "informal" meeting because there was not adequate time to post public notices and no actions took place. The next meeting (3 September 19) will follow the Open Meetings Act and post public notices.
Please provide a description of the actions taken by the board or commission since September 1, 2018.	At the 13 August 19 informal meeting, the commission discussed the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed a white paper on existing World War II monuments in Utah. 2. Defined the commission's purposes according to House Bill 369. 3. Reviewed and discussed a "public art process" as outlined by the Public Art Network and Council and the Americans for the Arts. 4. Discussed a process to identify potential sites for the monument during the timeframe described in H.B. 369. 5. Discussed a process to gather information on the design of the memorial during the timeframe described in H.B. 369. 6. Discussed potential next steps. A chair and vice-chair from the commission will take place at the first voting meeting (3 September 19).
Please provide any recommendations on any statutory, rule, or other changes that are needed to make the board or commission more effective.	As we have only had the one informal meeting, no recommendations exist at this time.
Please indicate whether your board or commission should continue to exist.	Yes



Please provide any explanation you wish regarding your answer to question #11 (optional):

Please provide any other additional, relevant information (optional).

Light blue rectangular area for providing an explanation.

White rectangular area for providing additional information.



OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH AND GENERAL COUNSEL

Utah State Capitol Complex
W210 House Building | P.O. Box 145210 | Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 - 5210
O: (801) 538-1032 | F: (801) 538-1712