Collateral Consequences in Occupational Licenses

Economic Development and Workforce Services Committee

Testimony by
Lee McGrath
Institute for Justice
October 16, 2019

Take Aways

• The best occupational license for a person with a criminal record is a license that does not exist.

• Popular type reform.

Licensing Reforms

Individual licenses

Repeal Exemptions

Tangential reforms

Collateral consequences

Ban on new municipal licenses

Default on student loans

Interstate mobility

Process reforms

Background

Biggest labor market institution in Utah

• Occupational licensing: 16% of workers

• Union representation: 6% of workers

• Minimum wage: 3% of hourly paid workers

Crime statistics

Quoted statistic

1 in 3 people have a criminal record

• U.S.

• Utah

1,197,987

6,346

<u>100,000</u> residents

375

215

Slivinski's Research

• Turning Shackles into Bootstraps (2016) Study period: 1997-2007

• States with heaviest occupational licensing burdens: Increase in the 3-year, new-crime recidivism rate of over 9%.

• Conversely, states with lowest burdens: Decline in that recidivism rate of nearly 2.5%.

Popular Reform

- Collateral Consequences on Occupational Licensing
 - 27 states changed test to disqualify applicants with a criminal record.
 - Including new petition-process in 12 states

Model Collateral Consequences Act

• No automatic/mandatory/permanent/blanket ban.

• Petition at any time, including before obtaining training.

- Shifts burden to board to prove:
 - Past felony or violent misdemeanor "is directly, substantially and adversely related to the state's interest in protecting public safety;"

Model Collateral Consequences Act

- Requires boards to consider 10 factors reflecting rehabilitation.
- Excludes boards from considering:
 - Non-conviction information—deferred adjudication, diversion programs or arrests not followed by convictions;
 - Sealed, dismissed, expunged or pardoned conviction;
 - Juvenile adjudication;
 - Non-violent misdemeanor; or
 - Conviction older than 3 years.*

Q&A (Appendix)

Lee McGrath
Senior Legislative Counsel
Institute for Justice
520 Nicollet Mall-Suite 550
Minneapolis MN 55402
o:(612) 435-3451
c: (612) 963-0296
Lmcgrath@ij.org

www.ij.org/legislation

Institute for Justice (IJ)

- Advocate for fewer occupational licenses
 - Litigate and lobby
 - Original research License to Work (2017) and At What Cost? (2018)
- Non-profit public-interest law firm
 - Founded 1991
 - 50 attorneys in seven offices
 - Clinic at the University of Chicago law school
 - Clayton v. Steinagel (2012)—Utah braider case
- Six cases before U.S. Supreme Court
 - Kelo v. New London (2005)—public use clause in eminent domain takings.
 - *Timbs v. Indiana* (2018)—incorporating 8th Amendment's excessive fines clause against states.

Resources

• IJ's Model Act: <u>www.tinyurl.com/IJ-ccola</u>

• State enactments: <u>www.tinyurl.com/IJ-ccola-Enactments</u>

• License to Work: www.ij.org/report/license-work-2/

• Shackles: <u>www.tinyurl.com/shackles-bootstraps</u>

Background

Occupational licensing has high costs:

• Increases unemployment: 1% or 3 million jobs

• Increases consumer prices: \$200 billion/\$1,000 family p.a.

• Reverse Robin Hood: Transfers wealth to higher classes

Privileges: Formal education over on-the-job training

Designed to allow: Regulatory capture

Promotes: Corporate welfare

Disproportionally: Affects disadvantaged/criminal record

• Increases: Criminal recidivism

Background

- Licensing has few benefits to consumers over reputation:
 - "...most research does not find that licensing improves quality of public health and safety."

Occupational Licensing: A Framework for Policymakers (2015) Council of Economic Advisors to President Barack Obama.

- 19 studies assessing effect of occupational licensure on quality.
 - Common finding: neutral, mixed or unclear.
 - 3 studies: positive effect on quality.
 - 4 studies: negative effect on quality.

State of Occupational Licensure in Wisconsin https://tinyurl.com/Mercatus-WI

Conclusion

• Licensing is a High-Cost/Low-Benefit public policy

Alternative: Inverted Pyramid of Least Restrictive Regulation

Market Competition Consumer-created Ratings and Review **Private Certification** Voluntary Bonding and Insurance Private Civil Action Deceptive Trade Practice Act Mandatory Disclosures Regulation of Process Inspections Mandatory Bonding & Insurance Registration Government Certification License

Protect Consumers by Using Least Restrictive Regulation.

Consumer Concern

- Fraud
- Health & safety, cleanliness
- Damages to buyer or 3rd-parties (externalities)
- Fly-by-night providers
- Knowledge gap (Asymmetrical information)
- Government reimbursement for new medical niche services

Response

- Deceptive Trade Practice/ Mandatory Disclosures
- Inspections
- Bonding/insurance
- Registration
- Certification
- Specialty license

Individual reforms

- Many low-hanging-fruit reforms have been enacted since 2014.
- 44 bills enacted repealing licenses
 - Michigan repealed 7 regulations including auctioneers and dieticians
 - Connecticut repealed licenses for swimming-pool assemblers, shorthand reporters and itinerant vendors.
 - Arizona repealed citrus packers, yoga-teacher instructors and assayers
- Cosmetology
 - 17 states exempted hair braiders
 - Other exemptions for threaders and blow-dry artists
- Contractors
 - Utah ended requirement of 2 years of work experience

Other tangential reforms

- 11 states repealed license-suspension for default of loans.
- Ban on new municipal licenses
 - Michigan, Tennessee and Wisconsin
- Interstate mobility

	Complete	AZ
 Recognition 	Military families	Only CT doesn't at all
Comparison		PA
Reciprocity	State-by-state	FL-MN realtors
Compacts	Multistate	Nurses, Doctors Senator Cotton

Process reforms

- Antitrust reviews
 - Mississippi, Oklahoma
- Mandate to the administrative branch
 - Louisiana
- Interim studies
 - Arkansas, Idaho, Oklahoma, Utah
- Sunrise and Sunset Reviews
 - Arkansas, Nebraska, Ohio
- Petition rule change and burden shift
 - Arizona, Tennessee