

Utah State Board of Education <u>Budget Requests</u>

Fiscal Year 2021 Funding Requests
2020 General Session – Public Education Appropriations Committee
February 3, 2020

Utah State Board of Education | 250 East 500 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 | 801.538.7500 | schools.utah.gov

1

Strategic Direction







Early Learning:

Each student starts strong through early grades with a foundation in literacy and numeracy



Personalized Teaching & Learning:

Each student and educator has access to personalized teaching and learning experiences



Safe & Healthy Schools:

Each student learns in a safe and healthy school environment



Effective Educators & Leaders:

Each student is taught by effective educators who are supported by effective school leaders

2

US

🛊 WPU	¢200 £41 000	Ongoing	\$127,000,000	
	Amount	Type of Request	FY2020 Funding Amount	1896
SBE Budget Pri	orities	,		

	Amount	Request	Amount
★ WPU (6% Increase to the value of WPU)	\$200,541,000	Ongoing	\$127,900,000
Local Education Agency Financial Information Systems	\$4,000,000	Ongoing	-
★ Student Transportation	\$5,000,000	Ongoing	\$98,461,900
★ Optional Enhanced Kindergarten (OEK)	\$18,647,200	Ongoing	\$10,380,000
♣ Utah School Leadership Initiative	\$15 200 000	Ongoing	\$5,483,300

USBE Budget Priorities

Amount	Type of Request	FY2020 Funding Amount
\$2,280,900	Ongoing	\$5,483,300
\$4,913,600	Ongoing	\$11,750,900
\$2,000,000	Ongoing	\$11,880,000
\$5,400,000 on	Ongoing	\$11,880,000
\$325,000	Ongoing	\$1,866,514
\$3,000,000	Ongoing	\$9,105,500
	\$2,280,900 \$4,913,600 \$2,000,000 \$5,400,000 \$325,000	### Amount Request \$2,280,900





6% WPU Value Increase – \$200,541,000 ongoing 🍁 📳 🗀





Problems to Address

• Attracting, developing and retaining quality educators

• Creating access across the state—both in rural and urban

• Funding keeping up with continually increasing costs to





Historical Funding Levels and Purpose FY 2020 Increase: \$127,900,000 (4%)

FY 2020 WPU Value: \$3,532

Purpose:

- Provides flexible, equitable funding for local education priorities
- Addresses growing demands and costs for services
- Enables quality, equitable educational opportunities

Projected Outcomes and Results

Continued disparities between LEAs

throughout Utah

provide education

Impact if not Funded

- Be able to increase educational quality for all students
- More easily attract, train and retain effective educators
- Not have to increase unequal revenue sources

- · Reprioritizations of LEA educational offerings, detracting from children's experiences
- Continued strain on limited resources to provide equal opportunities for education to all students

Impact to Teacher and Student Success Account

\$30,905,400 (Driven By Increased Local Revenues)

Included Funding Increases:

- Transportation \$5,977,700
- Enhancement for At-Risk Students \$2,841,100
- Necessarily Existent Small Schools \$2,062,000
- Youth in Custody \$1,531,300
- Adult Education \$860,600
- Concurrent Enrollment \$713,400

K-12 Computer Science Initiative Grants Program - \$10,200,000 ongoing

Historical Funding Level and Purpose of the Program:

Current (SFY 2020) funding level: \$3,150,000 one-time for Computer Science for Utah Grant Program (H.B. 227, 2019)

Summarize the purpose of this program:

- Creates the Computer Science for Utah Grant Program in partnership with Talent Ready Utah.
- Local Education Agencies (LEAs) will create comprehensive plans for building access to Computer Science for all students.
- Supports the Utah State Board of Education CS Taskforce goal of "Computer Science access for all Utah schools by 2022."

Results/Benefits of the Program:

- Increased computer science access for Utah K-12 students in alignment with the Utah State Board of Education K-12 Computer Science Standards which envisions a future in which students:
 - Critically engage in public discussion on computer science topics.
 - Develop as learners, users, and creators of computer science knowledge
 - Better understand the role of computing in the world around them. - Learn, perform, and express themselves in other subjects and interests.
- Opportunity to partner with industry for K-12 Computer Science to catalyze change.
- Supports LEAs moving from planning stage to implementation of K-12 computer science with students and teachers.

Performance Measures if \$10,200,000 ongoing is appropriated: **Local Pilot Program Measures**

The Utah State Board of Education will work with Talent Ready Utah to approve LEA plans with measures for access and learning in alignment to rigorous computer science experiences in K-12.

USBE Computer Science for Utah Grant

- Increased number of approved LEA Computer Science plans Increased number of students engaged in K-12 Computer Science
- Increased number of students in Computer Science pathways
- Increased number of students with Computer Science certifications

Impact if not Funded:

Estimated Consequences:

USBE will not be able to provide resources to support Computer Science expansion for all students in K-12. This has the potential to hinder LEAs success in systematic changes to computer science learning for students

Scaling Potential:

Request: \$10,200,000 (ongoing)

Qualified Grant for LEAs based on 4-vear plans



The request is scalable.

A reduced amount would lead to a competitive grant model for LEAs.



Education Technology Management System – \$1,700,000 ongoing

Historical Funding Levels and Purpose

FY 2020 Funding Level: \$1,700,000 one-time FY 2019 Funding Level: \$1,700,000 one-time

Purpose of the program:

- To provide analytical software for schools to monitor the impacts of their education technology solutions (ed-tech)
- LearnPlatform continues as the monitoring and analysis tool
- Central point for LEAs to organize, streamline, and analyze edtech tools
- Supports LEAs in the Digital Teaching and Learning (DTL) program, Early Interactive Reading Software program, and other similar programs

Problems to Address

- LEAs need a solution to monitor ed-tech tools
- DTL program requires LEAs to have a plan for monitoring
- Education technology programs are often more successfully implemented with monitoring
- Basic info on the impact of ed-tech tools is not readily available
- An ed-tech management system is needed for LEAs to make informed decisions
- Data/evidence is needed in many cases for systems paid with state and/or federal funds

Projected Outcomes and Results

- Better management and monitoring of ed-tech tools to improve student outcomes
- Quantitative measures on the effectiveness of ed-tech systems for student performance
- Qualitative measures on teacher experience with ed-tech tools
- Consistent, measured success and ROI of various ed-tech tools

Impact if not Funded

- Not effectively monitor and analyze their use of ed-tech tools
- Need to start over with and fund individually a new system
- Not have access to a statewide contract that provides cost savings and consistent data collection.

Can this funding be scaled?

LEAs will:

Lower funding would result in downgrading services for LEAs who have successfully implemented the management system.

7



Partnership for Student Success – \$56,200 ongoing

Funding Levels and Purpose

Current (FY 2020) funding level: \$3,006,400

Funding Level in FY 2019: \$3,006,400

Purpose of the Program:

 Builds cross-sector (public-private) partnerships to improve educational outcomes for low-income students

Problem to Address

- Administering this grant program requires more staff time than current administrative funding covers
- Administrative set-asides do not cover necessary evaluations

Projected Outcomes and Results

This request:

- Aligns administrative funding set-asides to align with actual administrative costs to oversee and evaluate the program
- Provides for cost-savings
- Enables the grant administrator and program evaluator to collaborate daily

Impact if not Funded

- Decreased technical assistance to grantees
- Diminished effectiveness and impact of evaluations

Can the funding be scaled?

Any increase in administrative funding brings closer together the requisite human resources required to administer the grant. However, less than the requested amount does not solve all issues.

4



USBE Intergenerational Poverty Grants – \$88,100 ongoing

Funding Levels and Purpose

Current (FY 2020) funding level:

\$1,001,100

Funding Level in FY 2019: \$1,001,100

Purpose of the Program:

 Provides out-of-school education services to students affected by intergenerational poverty to help them succeed academically

Problems to Address

- Administering this grant program requires more staff time than administrative set-asides cover
- Current program funds are encumbered for grantees
- Reallocating a portion of the current appropriation to administration would reduce out-of-school education services for students
- Statutory language needs to change to clarify that a portion of the program's grant funding can be used for administrative costs

Projected Outcomes and Results

This request:

- Aligns administrative funding set-asides to align with actual administrative costs to administer the program
- Ensures sufficient administrative support to effectively oversee and run the program
- Does not reallocate encumbered grant funds from grantees

Impact if not Funded

- Decreased technical assistance to grantees
- Reduced scope of program's effectiveness and impact evaluation

Can the funding be scaled?

The total amount of \$88,100 for administrative costs is not scalable.

(



Program Quality Enhancement (PQE) Grant – \$19,500 ongoing

Funding Levels and Purpose

Current (FY 2020) funding level: \$126,200 **Funding Level in FY 2019:** \$125,000

Purpose of the Program:

- Improves the quality of existing afterschool programs
- In statute, called the Educational Improvement Opportunities Outside of the Regular School Day Grant Program

Problems to Address

- Statute requires an impact evaluation of program quality and academic performance of participating students
- The \$12,500 set-aside is insufficient to administer the program and to evaluate the impact of grantees

Projected Outcomes and Results

This request:

- Provides the funding necessary for USBE staff to effectively administer and evaluate the grant program
- · Enables USBE to conduct effective impact evaluations

Impact if not Funded

- Decreased technical assistance to grantees
- Reduced scope of effectiveness/impact evaluations

Can the funding be scaled?

Since only \$19,500 in additional administrative funding is needed, the request is not scalable.

5