State of Utah

Federal Receipts Reporting

Fiscal Year 2021





Table of Contents

Introduction	5
Explanation of Information	5
Federal Receipts Report:	
Designated State Agencies	7
State System of Higher Education	9
Local Education Agencies	12
State Agency Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions:	
Agriculture and Food	
Meat Inspection	16
Produce Safety/Manufactured Food/Rapid Response Team	18
Cultural & Community Engagement	
AmeriCorps (Corporation for National and Community Service)	20
Division of Arts and Museums	22
Division of State History	24
Utah State Library General Operations	26
Environmental Quality	
Clean Diesel Programs	28
Drinking Water Federal State Revolving Funds (FSRF)	30
Nonpoint Source Project Grants	34
Performance Partnership Grant	36
Superfund Sites	38
Targeted Airshed Grants	40
Water Quality State Revolving Fund Loans	42
Government Operations	
Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES)	44
Governor's Office of Economic Development	
Impacted Small Business Catalyst Grant Program	46
Health	
Affordable Care Act – Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home	
Visiting Program	48
Asthma, Biomonitoring, and Environmental Health Tracking	50
Children's Health Insurance Program – CHIP/CHIPACAID	52
EPICC Diabetes, Nutrition, Physical Activity, Obesity, School Health	56
Epidemiology and Lab Capacity for Infectious Disease	58
Epidemiology and Lab Capacity for Infectious Disease (COVID)	60
HIV Surveillance Prevention Program	62
Hospital Preparedness Program	64
Immunization and Vaccines for Children	68
	Continues

Health (continued)	
Immunization and Vaccines for Children (COVID)	70
Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities	72
Maternal and Child Health Block Grant	74
Medicaid – Federal Survey and Certification Title 19	76
Medicaid – Medical Assistance Program	
National Cancer Prevention and Control	
Public Health Crisis Response	
Prescription Drug Overdose, Abuse, Misuse Prevention; Sexual	
Violence; Violent Death Reporting; Core Violence & Injury	
Prevention	84
Preventive Health Services Block	
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	
Ryan White Part B (Ryan White Care Act Title II, Ryan White Part B	
Supplemental and ADAP Shortfall Relief	92
Tobacco Control Program	_
Utah WISEWOMAN Program	
Vaccine Distributions	
Women, Infant and Children (WIC) Program	
Women, Infant and Children (WIC) Technology	
women, infant and children (wie) reclinology	102
Human Services	
Adoption Assistance Title IV-E	104
Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	
Child Welfare Title IV-B Subpart 1	
Family Violence Prevention and Services	
Foster Care Title IV-E	
IV-B Subpart 2, Promoting Safe and Stable Families	
IV-D Child Support Collections / Incentives	
Opioid Targeted Response Grants	
Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	
Projects of Regional and National Significance	
Social Services Block Grant, Discretionary & TANF Transfers	124
·	
State of Utah Emergency Behavioral Health Grants	120
Title III Grants for State & Community Programs on Aging and	120
Nutritional Services Incentive Program (NSIP)	
Utah Strong Project Recovery	130
Labor Commission	
UOSH (Utah OSHA) Compliance	132
OOSII (Otali OSIIA) Compilance	132
National Guard	
Law Enforcement Assistance	134
Military Construction Cooperative Agreement	
Military Operations and Maintenance	
17111141 y Operations and intentation	13(

atural Resources	
Abandoned Mine Reclamation Program	142
Abandoned Mine Environmental Protection Program	
Boating Safety Coast Guard	
Coal Program	148
Cooperative Agreements	150
Fish, Wildlife, and Plant Conservation Resource Management	152
Forestry, Fire, and State Lands	154
Land and Water Conservation	156
Recreational Trails Program	158
Sportfish Restoration	160
Wildlife Restoration	162
Public Safety	
Disaster Grants	164
Highway Safety	166
Mitigation Grants	168
Preparedness Grants	170
Transportation	
Highway Planning and Construction Program	172
Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program	
Public Transit Program	178
Utah Board of Higher Education and Student Assistance Programs	
Student Loan Guarantee Program	180
Student Loan Purchase Program	
Utah State Board of Education	
Adult Education	184
Assessment and Accountability	186
Career and Technology Education	188
Child Nutrition Programs	190
COVID-19 Emergency Funds - ESEA	194
Special Education IDEA	196
Statewide Longitudinal Data System	
Student Support Services - ESEA	202
Project AWARE	204
Teaching and Learning	
Veterans' Affairs	
Veteran Cemetery Upgrades and Improvements	208
Veterans Nursing Homes	

Workforce Services

Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS)	212
Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Cluster	214
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	216
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)	218
Disability Determination Services (DDS)	220
Emergency Rental Assistance	222
Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program	224
Employment Service (ES) Cluster	226
Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)	228
Refugee and Entrant Assistance	230
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)	232
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	234
Trade Adjustment Assistance	236
Unemployment Insurance (UI)	238
Vocational Rehabilitation (VR)	240
Weatherization Assistance for Low-Income Persons	242
Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Cluster	244

Introduction

Section 63J-1-219 of the *Utah Code* requires the annual reporting of federal receipts received by designated state agencies and requires the report to contain a plan to operate the designated state agency in the event federal receipts are reduced by certain amounts. This report is submitted to the Executive Appropriations Committee.

The report is divided into two sections. The first section is a summary of federal receipts and related budget information for designated state agencies and a summary of federal receipts for the State System of Higher Education and for local education districts and charter schools (LEAs). The second section is the planning information for designated state agencies if there were a reduction of 5 percent and 25 percent in their federal receipts.

Explanation of Information

Federal receipts can vary significantly from year to year for certain federal programs while receipts for other federal programs are fairly constant.

Federal Receipts

The basis for reporting federal receipts is from the State's fiscal year 2021 Single Audit Report for state agencies and the State System of Higher Education. The Single Audit Report is published by the Office of the Utah State Auditor at the conclusion of their audit of federal programs. The financial information included in the Single Audit Report and in this report is prepared by the Division of Finance from the State's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) using information from the State's central accounting system and from information submitted by state agencies and institutions.

The Utah System of Higher Education (USHE) includes federal receipts that are related to the core instruction component and operation of the institution (Institution), federal receipts that are related to financial aid (Student Financial Aid), and federal receipts that are for research and development (R&D). The majority of federal receipts come from R&D grants for specific research projects. Only a small portion of the total federal receipts for USHE is appropriated by the Legislature.

Local education agencies and charter schools (LEAs) are not included in the State's Single Audit Report or ACFR. The information presented is from the LEA's Annual Financial Report; this is unaudited information that was submitted to the Utah State Board of Education. Audited financial statements are due by November 30, 2021, and will be reconciled to their annual financial reports. Also, the LEA's federal revenues are titled *Federal Revenues from All Sources* to note that it includes federal grants that come through the Utah State Board of Education or other state agencies as well as direct federal assistance to the LEAs.

Budget

For this report, the statute requires a "total budget" to be presented. For USHE and LEAs "total budget" was not available. In these instances "budgeted expenditures" were used as the denominator to calculate the percentage of total budget that constitutes federal receipts. More detail is provided below.

The *Final Agency Total Budget* for designated state agencies is from the fiscal year 2021 State of Utah ACFR, Detail Budgetary Comparison Schedules.

The *Budgeted Expenditures* for USHE are the expenditures as reported in the fiscal year 2021 State of Utah ACFR in the Statement of Activities for Component Units.

The *Budgeted Expenditures* for LEAs are from the LEA's unaudited annual financial report submitted to the Utah State Board of Education.

Comparisons

The Federal Receipts Report — Designated State Agencies computes the difference between the *federal* funds appropriated and the *federal* funds receipts. There are cases where the receipts received are more than the appropriated amount. Some of the situations for receipts exceeding appropriations may include:

- Several federal programs are exempted from the approval process by statute (UCA 63J-5-103). Also exempt are pass-through federal funds.
- Some appropriations of federal funds, often related to entitlement programs, are appropriated to one agency but a different agency receives the federal funds.
- Intent language in appropriation bills provides latitude in operating federal programs.
- Efforts are made to accurately identify, approve, and appropriate federal funds that will be spent. However, some federal receipts are estimates based on enrollment and other data, which generally results in variances between the actual amounts received and the amount appropriated.

5% and 25% Plan of Potential Reductions

The statute requires designated state agencies to develop plans to operate their agency in the event federal receipts are reduced by 5 percent and 25 percent. To focus attention on significant programs, designated state agencies were asked at a minimum to report on programs where receipts are \$1 million and greater. In addition, the designated state agencies were allowed to report on groups of programs where the programs or the plans were similar in nature. Reporting federal programs where receipts were less than \$1 million was optional. A federal program is identified by an Assistance Listing number (ALN), such as an ALN of 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction from the Federal Highway Administration. Prior year plans were included for those federal program plans not received as of the publication of this report.

State of Utah Federal Receipts Report — Designated State Agencies

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

Designated State Agency		Federal Funds Receipts	Federal Funds Appropriated	Appropriated Over/(Under) Receipts (2) - (1)	Final Agency Total Budget	Federal Receipts % of Total Budget	I	5% of Ged. Receipts]	25% of Fed. Receipts (1) x 25%
Agriculture and Federal grants		d 4,743,246	\$ 8,555,500	\$ 3,812,254	\$ 68,913,000	6.9%	\$	237,162	\$	1,185,812
Commerce Federal grants	\$	460,880	\$ 488,000	\$ 27,120	\$ 48,809,000	0.9%	\$	23,044	\$	115,220
Corrections Federal grants	\$	638,669	\$ 1,498,500	\$ 859,831	\$ 351,638,000	0.2%	\$	31,933	\$	159,667
Cultural & Comp Federal grants		ity Engagement 8,488,545	16,347,300	\$ 7,858,755	\$ 80,658,000	10.5%	\$	424,427	\$	2,122,136
Environmental Q Federal grants	-	ity 41,751,586	\$ 44,272,000	\$ 2,520,414	\$ 75,797,000	55.1%	\$	2,087,579	\$	10,437,897
Government Ope Federal grants		ons ** 759,068,536	\$ 793,767,300	\$ 34,698,764	\$ 924,357,000	82.1%	\$	37,953,427	\$	189,767,134
Governor's Office Federal grants	e of \$	Economic Deve 16,321,938	ment 33,686,900	\$ 17,364,962	\$ 293,298,000	5.6%	\$	816,097	\$	4,080,484
Health Federal grants	\$	3,079,587,153	\$ 4,016,777,200	\$ 937,190,047	\$ 4,640,707,000	66.4%	\$	153,979,358	\$	769,896,788
Human Services Federal grants	\$	166,173,799	\$ 201,993,700	\$ 35,819,901	\$ 1,053,038,000	15.8%	\$	8,308,690	\$	41,543,450
Labor Commission Federal grants		2,996,053	\$ 3,095,400	\$ 99,347	\$ 20,164,000	14.9%	\$	149,803	\$	749,013
National Guard Federal grants	\$	43,256,097	\$ 58,757,400	\$ 15,501,303	\$ 59,283,000	73.0%	\$	2,162,805	\$	10,814,024
Natural Resource Federal grants		67,006,233	\$ 72,373,700	\$ 5,367,467	\$ 362,515,000	18.5%	\$	3,350,312	\$	16,751,558
Public Safety Federal grants	\$	127,831,389	\$ 199,163,100	\$ 71,331,711	\$ 364,956,000	35.0%	\$	6,391,569	\$	31,957,847
State Board of E Federal grants		588,776,061	\$ 905,365,900	\$ 316,589,839	\$ 4,980,352,000	11.8%	\$	29,438,803	\$	147,194,015
Tax Commission Federal grants	\$	546,084	\$ 620,900	\$ 74,816	\$ 102,925,000	0.5%	\$	27,304	\$	136,521
Technology Serv Federal grants		814,394	\$ 815,400	\$ 1,006	\$ 4,683,000	17.4%	\$	40,720	\$	203,599
Transportation Federal grants	\$	419,129,520	\$ 623,649,100	\$ 204,519,580	\$ 1,759,763,000	23.8%	\$	20,956,476	\$	104,782,380
Veterans' Affairs Federal grants		38,507,884	\$ 3,667,000	\$ (34,840,884)	\$ 7,790,000	494.3%	\$	1,925,394	\$	9,626,971

Continues

State of Utah

Federal Receipts Report — Designated State Agencies

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

Continued

Designated State Agency		Federal Funds Receipts	Federal Funds Appropriated	Appropriated Over/(Under) Receipts (2) - (1)	Final Agency Total Budget	Federal Receipts % of Total Budget	5% of Fed. Receipts	25% of Fed. Receipts (1) x 25%
Workforce Servi Federal grants	ices \$	828,022,253	\$ 4,218,435,700	\$ 3,390,413,447	\$ 1,212,700,000	68.3%	\$ 41,401,113	\$ 207,005,563
TOTALS Federal grants	\$	6,194,120,319	\$ 11,203,654,200	\$ 5,009,533,881	\$ 16,490,592,000	37.6%	\$ 309,706,016	\$ 1,548,530,079

^{*} Veterans' Affairs received \$35.080 million in federal receipts that are not subject to appropriation.

Designated State Agencies with no Federal Receipts:

Alcoholic Beverage Control Financial Institutions Human Resource Management Insurance Public Service Commission Utah Board of Higher Education

Enterprise Funds

Enterprise funds are used for loan and certain other programs that are accounted for as business-type activities separate from the normal budgeted operations of a state agency. The employers' unemployment premiums spent are required to be reported in the Single Audit. In addition, some enterprise funds noted below received federal funds used for administration. These costs are reported in the above state agency amounts.

	 Employers' Premiums	Federal Funds Receipts	<u> </u>	5% of Fed. Receipts]	25% of Fed. Receipts
Unemployment Compensation Fund						40.5 =0.5 50.5
 Workforce Services 	\$ 379,851,240	\$ 746,342,772	\$	37,317,139	\$	186,585,693
Housing Loan Programs – Workforce Services Student Assistance Programs –		\$ 4,962,840	\$	248,142	\$	1,240,710
Utah Board of Higher Education ***		\$ (18,916,809)	\$	(945,840)	\$	(4,729,202)

^{***} The Student Assistance Programs had FY 2021 Federal revenues of \$8 million less student loan special allowance payments of 26.986 million resulting in a net balance of (\$18.917) million. The student loan interest and special allowance rates, set by Federal statute, have traditionally been intended to adjust student loan yields upward to market, resulting in additional positive income. However, in the current low interest rate environment, the Federally mandated formula has placed the student loan yield above market, requiring the difference to be refunded to the Federal government.

Sources:

<u>Federal Funds Receipts</u> — Fiscal Year 2021 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA). Does not include federal loan program activity and balances reported as SEFA expenditures.

<u>Federal Funds Appropriated</u> — Appropriated federal funds from line items in appropriation acts.

<u>Final Agency Total Budget</u> — Fiscal Year 2021 State of Utah Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR), Budgetary Comparison Schedules.

^{**} Government Operations received \$759.038 million in federal receipts from CARES Act funding that was awarded to and reported under the Government's Office in the SEFA.

State of Utah

Federal Receipts Report — State System of Higher Education For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

Utah System of Higher Education	Federal Funds Receipts *	Budgeted Expenditures	Federal Receipts % of Total Budget	State Appropriated Federal Funds
University of Utah ** Institution Student Financial Aid Research and Development	\$ 73,545,546 29,812,468 381,330,932 \$ 484,688,946	\$ 5,966,089,000	8.1%	\$ <u>\$</u>
Utah State University Institution Student Financial Aid Research and Development	\$ 28,496,737 36,394,111 264,358,543 \$ 329,249,391	\$ 877,044,000	37.5%	\$ — 3,902,300 \$ 3,902,300
Dixie State University Institution Student Financial Aid Research and Development	\$ 1,667,677 15,206,790 49,115 \$ 16,923,582	\$ 146,304,000	11.6%	\$ \$
Salt Lake Community College Institution Student Financial Aid Research and Development	\$ 1,692,785 22,500,275 316,397 \$ 24,509,457	\$ 230,652,000	10.6%	\$ \$
Snow College Institution Student Financial Aid Research and Development	\$ 780,420 6,573,011 7,549 \$ 7,360,980	\$ 55,954,000	13.2%	\$ \$
Southern Utah University Institution Student Financial Aid Research and Development	\$ 11,548,033 16,767,009 8,799 \$ 28,323,841	\$ 180,856,000	15.7%	\$ —
Utah Valley University Institution Student Financial Aid Research and Development	\$ 4,773,178 53,349,236 1,029,081 \$ 59,151,495	\$ 406,202,000	14.6%	\$ \$
Weber State University Institution Student Financial Aid Research and Development	\$ 4,183,327 27,944,471 224,646 \$ 32,352,444	\$ 273,427,000	11.8%	\$ \$
Total — Utah System of Higher Education	\$ 982,560,136	\$ 8,136,528,000	12.1%	\$ 3,902,300

Continues

State of Utah Federal Receipts Report — State System of Higher Education For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

Continued

Utah System of Technical Colleges	<u>I</u>	Federal Funds Receipts *	I	Budgeted Expenditures	Federal Receipts % of Total Budget	Appr Fe	State copriated ederal unds
Bridgerland Technical College							
Institution	\$	88,369				\$	_
Student Financial Aid		877,285					_
Research and Development	Φ.	417,781		21 021 000	6.207	•	
	\$	1,383,435	\$	21,924,000	6.3%	\$	
Davis Technical College							
Student Financial Aid	\$	1,151,690				\$	
	\$	1,151,690	\$	30,928,000	3.7%	\$	
Dixie Technical College							
Institution	\$	117,511				\$	_
Student Financial Aid	*	660,017				*	_
	\$	777,528	\$	12,896,000	6.0%	\$	_
Mountainland Technical College							
Student Financial Aid	\$	663,540				\$	_
2.000.007	\$	663,540	\$	20,416,000	3.3%	\$	
Ogden-Weber Technical College							
Institution	\$	644,237				\$	_
Student Financial Aid	,	825,570				,	_
	\$	1,469,807	\$	24,992,000	5.9%	\$	
Southwest Technical College							
Institution	\$	649,168				\$	_
Student Financial Aid		406,122					_
	\$	1,055,290	\$	7,857,000	13.4%	\$	
Tooele Technical College							
Institution	\$	397,177				\$	
Student Financial Aid		313,110					
	\$	710,287	\$	7,286,000	9.7%	\$	
Uintah Basin Technical College							
Institution	\$	428,580				\$	_
Student Financial Aid		188,542					
	\$	617,122	\$	13,059,000	4.7%	\$	
Total — Utah System of							
Technical Colleges	\$	7,828,699	\$	139,358,000	5.6%	\$	

Continues

State of Utah Federal Receipts Report — State System of Higher Education For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

Continued

State System of Higher Education		Federal Funds Receipts *	Budgeted Expenditures	Federal Receipts % of Total Budget	 State Appropriated Federal Funds
TOTALS					
Institution	\$	129,012,745			\$ _
Student Financial Aid		213,633,247			_
Research and Development	_	647,742,843			 3,902,300
Total — State System of					
Higher Education	\$	990,388,835	\$ 8,275,886,000	12.0%	\$ 3,902,300

Sources:

Federal Funds Receipts — FY 2021 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) categorized by type of federal assistance: Institution, Student Financial Aid, and Research and Development. Institution is primarily the core instruction component and operation of the institution of higher education. Beginning fiscal year 2016, federal receipts do not include federal loan program activity and balances reported as SEFA expenditures.

Budgeted Expenditures — FY 2021 State of Utah Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) college and university expenses in the Statement of Activities for Component Units.

State Appropriated Federal Funds — College and university appropriations of \$3,902,300 are for USU agriculture experiment station and cooperative extension service.

Federal receipts acquired directly by the institutions.

Includes University of Utah's hospital and clinics.

		Budgeted	Federal Receipts		
School District	Federal Receipts	Expenditures	Percentage of		
Alpine District	76,403,103	1,008,671,939	<u>Budget</u> 7.6%		
Beaver District	2,226,267	24,818,541	9.0%		
Box Elder District	14,807,819	140,428,476	10.5%		
Cache District	15,337,525	246,425,546	6.2%		
Canyons District	33,398,249	538,288,630	6.2%		
Carbon District	4,493,937	59,928,050	7.5%		
Daggett District	396,757	5,290,987	7.5%		
Davis District	63,748,943	802,141,600	7.9%		
Duchesne District	6,844,427	70,610,000	9.7%		
Emery District	3,063,265	86,209,446	3.6%		
Garfield District	1,106,072	35,153,651	3.1%		
Grand District	2,343,502	48,819,271	4.8%		
Granite District	78,959,146	841,902,221	9.4%		
Iron District	11,164,631	111,206,179	10.0%		
Jordan District	47,721,882	694,537,560	6.9%		
Juab District	3,089,904	34,117,000	9.1%		
Kane District	1,780,890	25,880,706	6.9%		
Logan City District	8,693,584	82,946,860	10.5%		
Millard District	4,522,823	41,078,662	11.0%		
Morgan District	2,393,424	38,797,897	6.2%		
Murray District	6,467,281	74,581,156	8.7%		
Nebo District	30,297,963	467,593,846	6.5%		
*North Sanpete District	6,108,180	34,068,893	17.9%		
North Summit District	872,085	15,877,863	5.5%		
Ogden City District	24,759,985	206,069,425	12.0%		
Park City District	3,305,243	108,617,258	3.0%		
Piute District	998,862	6,675,682	15.0%		
Provo District	19,042,336	184,062,202	10.3%		
Rich District	993,097	13,106,697	7.6%		
Salt Lake District	34,658,679	331,122,606	10.5%		
San Juan District	20,712,296	61,238,021	33.8%		
Sevier District	7,465,275	73,577,508	10.1%		
South Sanpete District	4,603,743	50,596,599	9.1%		
South Summit District	1,168,771	25,326,605	4.6%		
Tintic District	280,461	4,395,000	6.4%		
Tooele District	18,987,252	221,127,477	8.6%		
Uintah District	8,711,238	101,302,771	8.6%		
Wasatch District	6,277,552	112,639,818	5.6%		
Washington District	35,148,501	415,622,519	8.5%		
Wayne District	749,992	9,861,749	7.6%		
Weber District	29,508,062	365,427,986	8.1%		
Total Districts	643,613,005	7,820,144,903	8.2%		

Charter Schools	Federal Receipts	Budgeted Expenditures	Federal Receipts Percentage of Pudget		
Academy for Math Engineering & Science	261,899	4,678,693	<u>Budget</u> 5.6%		
Advantage Arts Academy	211,389	3,001,304	7.0%		
*American Academy of Innovation	330,690	4,970,988	6.7%		
American Leadership Academy	1,362,151	15,651,028	8.7%		
American Preparatory Academy	5,054,276	49,062,962	10.3%		
Ascent Academies of Utah	2,040,383	25,657,759	8.0%		
Athenian eAcademy	292,089	5,338,122	5.5%		
·			7.1%		
Athlos Academy of Utah	511,937	7,210,204			
Bear River Charter School	175,746	1,801,424	9.8%		
Beehive Science & Technology Academy	258,001	2,994,629	8.6%		
Bonneville Academy	580,809	4,672,598	12.4%		
Bridge Elementary School	604,939	4,629,665	13.1%		
C.S. Lewis Academy	555,845	3,018,492	18.4%		
Canyon Grove Academy	256,347	4,826,849	5.3%		
Canyon Rim Academy	201,952	3,398,316	5.9%		
Channing Hall	336,220	5,044,397	6.7%		
City Academy	194,729	1,864,790	10.4%		
Davinci Academy	891,733	10,807,004	8.3%		
Dual Immersion Academy	932,727	4,720,386	19.8%		
Early Light Academy at Daybreak	563,257	8,749,285	6.4%		
East Hollywood High	263,900	3,196,362	8.3%		
Edith Bowen Laboratory School	387,927	3,568,296	10.9%		
Endeavor Hall	774,087	3,797,235	20.4%		
Entheos Academy	1,500,896	9,300,662	16.1%		
Esperanza School	1,020,052	4,713,228	21.6%		
Excelsior Academy	1,122,916	11,175,865	10.0%		
Fast Forward High	144,442	2,946,305	4.9%		
Franklin Discovery Academy	601,685	5,694,645	10.6%		
Freedom Preparatory Academy	1,875,307	28,859,120	6.5%		
Gateway Preparatory Academy	986,143	6,572,316	15.0%		
George Washington Academy	856,623	8,591,873	10.0%		
Good Foundations Academy	406,509	3,747,602	10.8%		
Greenwood Charter School	459,520	3,837,266	12.0%		
Guadalupe School	835,461	3,698,887	22.6%		
Hawthorn Academy	1,106,901	14,239,259	7.8%		
Highmark Charter School	313,179	5,574,087	5.6%		
Ignite Entrepreneurship Academy	205,806	14,063,366	1.5%		
Intech Collegiate Academy	186,900	2,108,872	8.9%		
Itineris Early College High	248,287	3,912,837	6.3%		
Jefferson Academy	501,128	5,290,984	9.5%		
John Hancock Charter School	150,215	1,519,695	9.9%		
JOHN HANCOCK CHARTEI SCHOOL	130,213	1,519,093	フ. ファ0		

Federal Receipts Rep	ort- Local Education	n Agencies	
Karl G. Maeser Preparatory Academy	172,049	5,391,981	3.2%
Lakeview Academy	610,103	8,196,637	7.4%
Leadership Academy of Utah	145,533	3,713,202	3.9%
Leadership Learning Academy	892,378	8,436,536	10.6%
Legacy Preparatory Academy	328,657	8,804,520	3.7%
Lincoln Academy	830,212	7,830,458	10.6%
Lumen Scholar Institute	113,885	4,239,630	2.7%
Mana Academy Charter School	475,873	2,848,193	16.7%
Maria Montessori Academy	206,900	3,385,330	6.1%
Merit College Preparatory Academy	408,420	4,463,488	9.2%
Moab Charter School	186,646	1,078,039	17.3%
Monticello Academy	1,064,556	8,623,555	12.3%
Mountain Heights Academy	343,847	10,424,545	3.3%
Mountain Sunrise Academy	120,201	2,807,471	4.3%
Mountain View Montessori	374,930	2,658,546	14.1%
Mountain West Montessori Academy	302,304	3,910,437	7.7%
Mountainville Academy	204,682	5,211,253	3.9%
Navigator Pointe Academy	188,180	7,153,107	2.6%
No. UT. Acad. for Math Engineering & Science	220,286	10,905,009	2.0%
Noah Webster Academy	536,338	4,378,859	12.2%
North Davis Preparatory Academy	751,034	21,991,278	3.4%
North Star Academy	462,805	12,183,278	3.8%
Odyssey Charter School	248,245	3,459,042	7.2%
Ogden Preparatory Academy	1,495,502	9,978,760	15.0%
Open Classroom	280,497	3,215,250	8.7%
Pacific Heritage Academy	603,702	3,421,593	17.6%
Paradigm High School	203,331	4,343,717	4.7%
Pinnacle Canyon Academy	1,236,914	5,539,421	22.3%
Promontory School of Expeditionary Learning	512,482	3,807,416	13.5%
Providence Hall	1,161,995	19,256,862	6.0%
Quest Academy	1,068,404	8,394,779	12.7%
Ranches Academy	145,008	3,004,050	4.8%
Reagan Academy	613,516	5,747,720	10.7%
Real Salt Lake Academy High School	42,920	19,095,426	0.2%
Renaissance Academy	259,137	15,633,299	1.7%
Rockwell Charter High School	229,098	3,302,967	6.9%
Roots Charter High School	222,485	2,952,314	7.5%
Salt Lake Arts Academy	224,831	5,238,759	4.3%
Salt Lake Center for Science Education	263,553	4,056,675	6.5%
Salt Lake School for the Performing Arts	498,444	2,843,201	17.5%
Scholar Academy	716,943	5,738,768	12.5%
Soldier Hollow Charter School	164,439	2,996,523	5.5%
Spectrum Academy	1,864,603	29,739,303	6.3%
St. George Academy	167,489	3,088,421	5.4%
Success Academy	212,358	4,879,917	4.4%
•	<i>'</i>	<i>''</i>	

Total Local Education Agencies	711,264,491	8,659,827,397	8.2%
Total Charer Schools	67,651,486	839,682,494	8.1%
Winter Sports School	98,168	1,257,972	7.8%
Weilenmann School of Discovery	270,387	5,408,684	5.0%
Weber State University Charter Academy	6,592	286,040	2.3%
Wasatch Waldorf Charter School	422,662	5,633,909	7.5%
Wasatch Peak Academy	338,840	3,496,240	9.7%
Wallace Stegner Academy	1,064,392	6,240,748	17.1%
Walden School of Liberal Arts	562,378	4,926,598	11.4%
Voyage Academy	661,357	4,281,443	15.4%
Vista School	567,321	7,807,786	7.3%
Venture Academy	724,307	6,969,144	10.4%
Vanguard Academy	1,518,842	5,407,529	28.1%
Valley Academy	579,990	4,442,488	13.1%
Utah Virtual Academy	876,823	25,225,859	3.5%
**Utah Mountain	-	237,962	0.0%
Utah Military Academy	853,996	14,070,522	6.1%
Utah International Charter School	328,467	2,781,563	11.8%
Utah County Academy of Science	506,104	6,061,360	8.3%
Utah Connections Academy	987,085	9,514,727	10.4%
Utah Career Path High School	87,642	1,793,492	4.9%
Utah Arts Academy	269,257	3,546,050	7.6%
Uintah River High	648,127	1,627,033	39.8%
Treeside Charter School	546,551	3,771,910	14.5%
Timpanogos Academy	134,272	4,126,800	3.3%
Thomas Edison	265,814	15,714,065	1.7%
The Center for Creativity Innovation and Discover	473,000	4,061,072	11.6%
Terra Academy	716,764	8,382,288	8.6%
Syracuse Arts Academy	1,511,240	19,445,083	7.8%
Summit Academy High School	272,436	5,703,332	4.8%
Summit Academy Summit Academy High School	1,417,960	20,583,605	6.9%

Disclaimers

Federal revenue from all sources includes direct federal assistance and pass-through from state agencies. Exclusions: Fund 60- Internal Service Fund.

Amounts reported as of 11/1/2021 are considered unaudited. Data may be subject to change on reports produced by USBE after receiving audited financial reports.

^{*}Amounts are budgeted revenues. LEAs with an asterisk did not provide Actual data as of 11/1/2021.

^{**}Charter School closed as of June 30, 2021

Department of Agriculture and Food

Meat Inspection

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	10.475
Agency contact name and phone number	Leann Hunting (801) 982-2242

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,290,534		
Number of FTEs	28		
Recipients/Clients Served	33 Proc	33 Processing and Harvesting plants	
	53 Custom Exempt Plants		
	35 Farm C	ustom Slaughter mobile units	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Meat and poultry processing, harvesting;		
·	Custom Exempt and Farm Custom Slaughter		
	establishments	-	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$64,527)	(\$322,634)
State:		
General Fund	(64,527)	(322,634)
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$129,054)	(\$645,268)
F		
FTEs	-1.25	-6.5

Maintenance of Effort	In order for the state of Utah to have a Meat and Poultry Inspection program,
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	we need to maintain. Utah Code Title 4-32-102. Adoption of federal
effort requirements. Include references to federal	provisions. at least equal to, with United States Department of Agriculture
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	(USDA) Food Safety Inspection Service (FSIS) regulations and the Federal
	meat and Poultry products inspection Acts, Humane Slaughter Act, and title
	9 Code of Federal Regulation Part 300 through Part 500.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % UDAF Meat and Poultry Inspection program provide daily coverage to office establishments, so they can market their products for retail and/or wholesale accounts.
- UDAF Meat and Poultry Inspection program provide daily coverage to office establishments, so they can market their products for retail and/or wholesale accounts. Because of the cuts, it would not be feasible for UDAF to continue the inspections. There would not be enough inspection personnel to inspect all of the plants within the state. The federal government would have to take over our program.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

17

- 5% If we are forced to take a 5% Federal cut in our budget. We would also lose an additional 5% of matching funds from the state as well so overall there would be the 10% cut in our budget. Therefore we would have to reduce our workforce by approximately two inspection personnel. This will result in turning over to the federal government two slaughter establishments and/or five to seven processing establishments.
- 25 % If we are forced to take a 25% cut in our budget. We would also lose an additional 25% of matching funds from the state as well so overall there would be a 50% cut in our budget. Therefore we would have to reduce our workforce by approximately 12 inspection personnel. This will result in turning over to the federal government 11 slaughter establishments and/or 21 processing establishments.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % No, because the federal government would have to take over the state inspection program, which will result in Utah businesses making a decision to come under federal inspection or closing their business.
- 25 % No, because the federal government would have to take over the state inspection program, which will result in Utah businesses making a decision to come under federal inspection or closing their business.

Department of Agriculture and Food

Produce Safety/Manufactured Food/ Rapid Response Team

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.103
Agency contact name and phone number	Travis Waller (801) 982-2250

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$1,479,534	
Number of FTEs	4.85	
Recipients/Clients Served	1,500	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Produce Growers.	Manufactured Food Facilities, UDAF Inspectors and FDA

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

State/other funds and	FIEs associated wi
5 %	25 %
(\$73,977)	(\$369,884)
(\$73,977)	(\$369,884)
24	-1.2
	5 % (\$73,977)

Maintenance of Effort	The Utah Food Protection Rule and the Utah Wholesome Food act give the
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	Utah Department of Agriculture and Food authority to protect the public in
effort requirements. Include references to federal	regards to food safety and the adoption of the Code of Federal Regulations.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	21 CFR and all sections related to Food Safety.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

If our Federal funding was cut by 5% our program would not be affected.

Under a 25% reduction in federal grant money, the program(s) would continue to maintain our existing level of FTE's, Outreach and Education Activities and the upcoming inspections. However, current DTS FSMS upgrade projects would be impacted. We would have to cut the amount of money we have assigned to that project. The Manufactured Food Regulatory Program (MFRP) and the Utah Rapid Response Team (URRT) would need to cut back on outreach and education activities and replacement of inspection and emergency response equipment would be impacted. Federal compliance with the Manufactured Food Regulatory Program Standards could also be impacted.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 % No impacts identified.

25 % Under a 25% reduction in federal grant money, our DTS FSMS upgrades would be negatively impacted; resulting in completing inspections on paper forms. In fact, this could possibly result in the necessity of hiring additional secretarial staff and retooling too handle paper files. This will cost the taxpayer more money in the long run and it will be an inconvenience to the customers. Efforts to continue the development of the Utah Rapid Response Team (URRT) could be impacted.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

5 % No. This is a cooperative agreement between UDAF and FDA. There are no other government resources.

25 % No. Cooperative agreement between UDAF and FDA There are no other government resources.

Department of Cultural and Community Engagement

AmeriCorps (Corporation for National and Community Service)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	94.003, 94.006, 94.009, 94.021
Agency contact name and phone number	Tenielle Young Humphreys 801-641-2856 or

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ai Teai 2021 redetai riogiani inioi	nation.
Federal Receipts	\$ 4,306,000
Number of FTEs	5
Recipients/Clients Served	1,350,000
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	UServeUtah, the Utah Commission on Service & Volunteerism, in partnership with
	the Corporation for National and Community Service, brings National Service
	programs to Utah. Programs operate in almost every region of the state, bringing
	much needed support and training to rural and urban Utah. 1800 AmeriCorps
	members currently serve across the state serving thousands of clients. The Utah
	portfolio comprises 15 AmeriCorps programs that target underserved populations in
	the areas of: Economic Opportunity, Education, Environmental Stewardship,
	Disaster Preparedness, Healthy Futures and Veterans and Military Families.
	Programs currently operating in the state include the USU/Utah Conservation Corps;
	Association for Utah Community Heath; Salt Lake City; Playworks; Boys and Girls
	Club; Utah AmeriCorps Education Initiative, Utah Campus Compact; Red Cross;
	Four Corners School; Habitat for Humanity; and BYU.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	-\$215,300	-\$1,076,500
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$215,300)	(\$1,076,500)

FTEs	0	0	
Maintenance of Effort	There is a 1:1 mate	ch required on the \$2	280,000 administrative funding provided
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	for the program. The	nis match is met thro	ugh a General Fund appropriation and
effort requirements. Include references to federal	in-kind contribution	s. Match on pass-th	ru program funding is met by individual
laws, regulations, or grant provisions,)	organizations rece	ving grant funds	,

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

5 %	A 5% reduction would result in less program funding for support of local AmeriCorps members. Fewer members would be available to serve throughout the state. Admin funding is guaranteed at the Small State
	Minimum of \$280,000. No change in statute would be required.
	-
25 %	A 25% reduction would result in less program funding for support of local AmeriCorps members. Fewer
	members would be available to serve throughout the state. Admin funding is guaranteed at the Small State
	Minimum of \$280,000. No change in statute would be required.
What v	would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?
Wha	at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	There would be fewer AmeriCorps members serving/volunteering throughout the state, which would directly
0 70	impact children tutored, individuals receiving health care resource services, children served in after school
	programs, resources for the homeless and land maintenance projects completed.
	programs, resources for the homeless and faild maintenance projects completed.
25 %	There would be significantly less AmeriCorps members serving/volunteering through the state, which would
	directly impact children tutored, individuals receiving health care resource services, children served in after
	school programs, resources for the homeless and land maintenance projects completed.
	sensor programs, resources for the nomeress and tand maintenance projects completed.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?
Are	there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	No, there are no mandated services.
25 %	No, there are no mandated services.
1	

FTEs

Department of Heritage and Arts

Division of Arts and Museums

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	45.025
	10.020
this program	
1 3	
Agency contact name and phone number	Tenielle Young Humphreys 801-641-2856 or
3 ,	
	Natalie Petersen. (801) 236-7558

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 782,700		
Number of FTEs	0		
Recipients/Clients Served	1,500,000		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Arts organizations,	individual artists, students, schools, teachers, communities, and	
•	people attending events funded by the division, directly and indirectly		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal Federal	(\$39,135)	(\$195,675)
	(\$39,135)	(\$195,675)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$39,135)	(\$195,675)

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	National Endowment for the Arts grant requires a one-to-one match in state
effort requirements. Include references to federal	dollars.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % Grants to nonprofit arts organizations, schools and school districts would be reduced. No change in statute or rules.
- 25 % Grants to nonprofit arts organizations, schools, and school districts would be cut and program budgets would be reduced.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5 % Funding opportunities for arts organizations, schools, and school districts to support projects and programs would be limited.
- 25 % Funding opportunities would be limited for arts organizations, schools, and school districts to support projects and programs. Program budgets would be reduced limiting the outreach capabilities of the division.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?				
5 %	No.			
25 %	No.			
_0 /0	100.			

Department of Heritage and Arts **Division of State History**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

As	ssistance Listing numbers that comprise	15.224, 15.511, 15.904.
		1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1
tn	s program	
Ad	gency contact name and phone number	Tenielle Young Humphreys 801-641-2856 or
1 "	joiney contact name and prione name.	
		Kevin Fayles, (801) 245-7254

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ai Year 2021 Federai Program into	rmation:		
Federal Receipts	\$ 1,014,200		
Number of FTEs	12		
Recipients/Clients Served	3,000		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served		highway, water, power, and housing and development projects	
		assistance prior to project onset, as required by state and federal	
	laws; Utah archaed	ological consultant firms receive ongoing cost-saving online	
	access to approximately 124,000 archaeological records and 120,000 historic site		
	records; 95 local governments throughout the state with historic preservation		
	commissions receive	ve technical assistance and preservation grants; Utah building	
	owners obtain appr	oximately \$20 million in historic preservation tax credits; Utah	
	building owners are	e assisted with listing properties on the National Register,	
	stimulating heritage	e tourism and economic development; the division formally	
	interacts with over	80 federal, state, and local agencies who receive ongoing	
	technical assistanc	e and services.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(misert amount of expected merease/(decrease) in	State/Other runus and	I I LS associated w
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$50,710)	(\$253,550)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$50,710)	(\$253,550)

FTEs	0	-4

Maintenance of Effort	\$112,000 of the federal funds listed above are derived from cooperative
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	agreements with BLM, BOR, and USDA and have no matching or maintenance of effort requirements. The remaining federal funds. \$862.738.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	are State Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) grants, which must be matched 60/40 (federal/state). Approx. 1/3 of the matching share is provided through sub-recipient match from local governments. The remaining HPF match is provided by General Fund appropriations to the Historic Preservation
	program for state-mandated functions.

VVOI	would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? It so list references.		
5 %	Reduction in grants to local governments. Impact would be negligible – No change to statutes or rules.		
25 %	Reduction in grants to local governments and reduced funding for cultural resource management staff. This reduction of staff would not materially impact federally mandated services, but may impact services provided to state and local districts. This would not require a change in statute or rules.		
	would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?		
5 %	Negligible impact requiring no changes to programs or services.		
25 %	A reduction in State History cultural resource management staff would slow down, but not stop, the delivery of services to state and federal agencies. No changes in programs or services would be needed.		
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	No.		
25 %	No.		

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

FTEs

Department of Heritage and Arts

Utah State Library General Operations

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	45.310 (LSTA, ARPA, and CARES)
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Tenielle Young Humphreys (801) 641-2856 or
. 9)	
	Chaundra Johnson, State Librarian (801) 715-6770

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ar rear 2021 reactar riogram information.		
Federal Receipts	1,975,500	
Number of FTEs	.5	
Recipients/Clients Served	3,206,000	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	physically disabled that that lend their Library Program pr anyone in Utah. Lil to 13 academic libr	am provides materials for blind, visually and print impaired, and populations; Lender Support Program supports 20 Utah libraries materials to people outside their service areas; Utah's Online ovides educational and informational online premium resources to prary Development LSTA grants and other projects are available raries; 59 public libraries; 804 schools; and other special libraries, syrved and rural Utah citizens.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$98,800)	(\$493,900)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$98,800)	(\$493,900)

Maintenance of Effort	The total annual match for this grant equals 34%. MOE must continue at an
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	average of the prior three years' MOE. (Ref: Fed Law: 20 U.S.C.§
effort requirements. Include references to federal	9133(c)(1)(A)(i) and (c)(2).)
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	The Lender Support Program that provides financial support for lending library materials to Utahn's outside the libraries service area may see a reduction of services based on the same percentage of reduction in funding.		
25 %	Additional reductions or possibly the elimination in services to the Lender Support Program may occur in specific areas. Library Development LSTA competitive grants that provide funding support for Utah libraries and Bookmobile Libraries (which service underserved rural areas) would see additional reductions in services. Additionally funding for the Utah's Online Library Program would be reduced.		

26

27

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5 % A reduction in funding to Lender Support Program may result in users not being able to request and obtain materials not in their local library without a fee for service. Interlibrary loan operations throughout the state would likely cease or be reduced.
- Reduction of 25% to the Lender Support Program may reduce incentives for libraries to lend materials to users in other libraries, thus making it difficult or impossible for Utah's library users to obtain materials not in their own library without a fee for service.

Library Development LSTA grants allow libraries in Utah to provide technology for users, to build collections of materials needed by customers, to enhance or increase public programs, and digitize and make publicly available valued and historical print resources. A reduction in funding would result in nearly all Utah libraries who apply for grants to be rejected because of lack of funds. Many libraries that apply for grants would not be able to pay for these programs in any other way.

Reduction in funding may result in the decline of the Utah's Online Library Program. There could be a reduction of 1 to 3 online statewide resources-databases; Local public libraries would have to provide these costly resources themselves if they had funds available. Smaller and poorer communities, in particular, would likely lose access to these resources entirely.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- The Library of Congress may contract with public or non-profit libraries to carry out their mission (2 U.S.C. Section 135b). Utah's Blind Library Program is under contract to serve as a center of circulation. There is currently statewide access to a selection of audiobooks for blind and disabled library users. With a 5% reduction, monies could be reallocated to cover this.
- The Library of congress may contract with public or non-profit libraries to carry out their mission (2 U.S.C. Section 135b). Utah's Blind Library Program is under contract to service as a center of circulation. There is currently statewide access to a selection of audiobooks for blind and disabled library users. Other library activities would have to be reduced to continue funding this program.

FTEs

Department of Environmental Quality

Clean Diesel Programs

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	66.039 and 66.040
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Andrea Riddle, (801) 898-9808

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,445,434.00	
Number of FTEs	1.12	
Recipients/Clients Served	9	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Some diesel truck	companies and school districts.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$72,272)	(\$361,359)
State:		
General Fund	(6,765)	(33,823)
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other: In-Kind Match from recipients	(28,186)	(140,930
TOTAL	(\$107,223)	(\$536,111

Maintenance of Effort	Our state match is 72% on the State Clean Diesel grant. We also have 39%
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	Other match that is provided by the recipients of payments from the State
effort requirements. Include references to federal	Clean Diesel and the National Clean Diesel federal grants.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % A 5% reduction in federal funds would result in a reduction of the replacement of diesel engines in trucks and school buses, which results in a lower impact in reducing air pollution in Utah.
- 25 % A 25% reduction in federal funds would result in a bigger reduction in the replacement of diesel engines in trucks and school buses, which results in a much lower impact in reducing air pollution in Utah.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- With a 5% reduction in federal funds, the grant recipients probably wouldn't be able to replace the engines that they were hoping to. Some of the recipients depend on the help of the federal grant in order for them to be able to afford to replace the engines.
- 25 With a 25% reduction, it would cause an impact on even more of the grant recipients who may depend on the help of the federal grant in order for them to be able to afford to replace the diesel engines that they need to.

- Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

 Are there other resources available to meet these needs?
- 5 % Utah is only obligated to operate this program because of the federal funding. There aren't any additional resources that the state of Utah could use to operate this program.
- 25% Utah is only obligated to operate this program because of the federal funding. There aren't any additional resources that the state of Utah could use to operate this program.

Department of Environmental Quality

Drinking Water Federal State Revolving Funds (FSRF)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	66.468
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Craig Silotti, (801) 536-4460
Agency contact name and phone number	Craig Gilotti, (GGT) 330-4400

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ai Year 2021 Federai Program inioi	mation:	
Federal Receipts	\$13,645,182	
Number of FTEs	21	
Recipients/Clients Served	1,040	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Grant is to provide aging, failing, and or maintain compl Grant allow a port asides) for specifi administer the loa The set-asides an recipients may the	e Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) Capitalization or grants and low interest loans to Utah communities to replace inadequate facilities. These projects help water systems achieve iance with the Safe Drinking Water Act. The conditions of the ion of the grant (up to 31%) to be set aside (simply called setcativities. The Division of Drinking Water uses such set-asides to n program and to supplement the division's program management. a also used for direct technical assistance to the communities. The prefere be any water system in the State of Utah (total active 1,040) and the clients served consists of the entire population in

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$682,259)	
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$682,259)	(\$3,411,296)

ETEC	2	11

Maintenance of Effort (Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	The state is not required to offer a loan/grant program, but loss of the set-asides would be devastating to the Division of Drinking Water. The grant requires an overall 20% state match deposited directly into the SRF Fund which is provided from sales tax UCA 73-10c-5 (Fund 5235). References are R309-700 & R309-705, and 40 CFR Parts 9 and 35 Federal Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), Title XIV Section 1413, " A State has primary enforcement responsibility for public water systems for which the Administrator determines that such State: (1) has adopted drinking water regulations that are no less stringent that the national primary drinking water regulations" If it is determined that Utah is not meeting this requirement, which could include dropping programs that would ordinarily be required
	10% of the total grant can be used for the following state programs: Program augmentation, Capacity Development, and Source Water protection. Additionally,

30

ch could include dropping programs that would ordinarily be required

another 10% of the grant is being used to technically support water systems to train and assist.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % A 5% reduction in federal loan amounts would directly reduce the amount of money available for loans and grants to water systems. This will mean reduced ability to provide funding assistance to water systems facing water system infrastructure problems. No rules would be changed. Utah drinking water infrastructure needs in the next 20 years is estimated to exceed \$4.4 billion based on a recent Infrastructure Needs Survey Report conducted by EPA and presented to Congress in March 2018.
 - A 5 % reduction in set-asides would also reduce the Division's operating budget and by association would reduce the amount of direct technical assistance we can offer to water systems. This change would not require any rule or statute changes. This could eliminate 2-3 FTEs. In numerous EPA audit reports in the past, EPA auditors stated that the Utah Division of Drinking Water is understaffed and lacks the manpower and resources to implement the programs required by the Primacy. A reduction of 2 to 3 FTEs would further worsen the understaffing problem.
- 25 % A 25% reduction in federal loan amounts would significantly reduce the amount of money available for loans and grants to water systems. This will mean a reduced ability to provide funding assistance to water systems facing water system infrastructure problems. No rules would be changed. Utah drinking water infrastructure needs in the next 20 years is estimated to exceed \$4.4 billion based on a recent Infrastructure Needs Survey Report conducted by EPA and presented to Congress in March 2018.

The 25% reduction would also mean eliminating at least 11 FTE's from the division's operating budget due to the set-asides provided by the DWSRF funding.

- The Division will have to eliminate the programs that are critical to protecting drinking water quality
 and public health but are not specifically called out in the Primacy packet. One such example is the plan
 review and approval of drinking water infrastructure projects. Another example is the assessment of
 source and storage capacities of public water systems. Up to ten FTEs could be eliminated in these
- The Division would also eliminate the technical assistance provided to water systems with surface water treatment plants. This involves a single FTE.
- EPA mandates sanitary surveys of all active water systems every three years. One third of the water systems currently equal 348 systems each year. Reducing the manpower by eleven FTE's would redistribute the surveys conducted by those eleven FTE's to the remaining approximately twenty employees that conduct surveys.

Rules affected: R309-500 through 550, R309-105-12, R309-305

Continued on next page.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 W Overall, less money will be available for water systems and municipalities facing infrastructure, treatment, capacity, and other problems. These monies can be allocated to water systems with more favorable terms when systems are deemed to be disadvantaged, using criteria in R309-705. A reduction in funding for the SRF loan program means that less money will be available to meet these needs among all water systems, including those that have fewer financial resources to correct problems, i.e., disadvantaged communities.

It must be noted that many systems have no alternative funding for project construction. Commercial loans would be financially prohibitive or simply unavailable.

Water systems benefit from direct technical support that is freely provided to water systems outside of the regulatory process. A reduction in this service means that water systems will have to turn to the private sector for this help, at additional expense to the system, or the system may make costly mistakes and compromise public health.

25 % The impacts of a 25 % reduction would be devastating when compared to those for 5% reduction, but of course the scope of the impact is much larger, and even fewer systems would receive meaningful assistance.

It must be noted that many systems have no alternative funding for construction projects. Commercial loans would be prohibitively expensive or simply unavailable.

Elimination of the plan review and approval program for drinking water infrastructure (including storage tanks, distribution systems and even drinking water treatment facilities) would jeopardize the Utah Division of Drinking Water's mission of ensuring a safe and reliable water supply to the public. Without the plan review and approval program, faulty designs may be missed or overlooked, and substandard constructions may occur, and the risks of introducing untreated water, bacteria, and other contaminants into distribution pipes, homes and businesses will increase.

Elimination of the technical assistance to surface water treatment facilities could significantly impact the small water systems with treatment facilities. This may result in treatment objective breakdowns and possible waterborne disease.

The Division would consider a reduction of this size to be unsustainable, especially if combined with reductions associated with other Federal grants. The risk of loss of primacy would be very high.

Continued on next page.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

As a condition of obtaining primacy to have the autonomy to implement the Safe Drinking Water Act in Utah, the Division of Drinking Water is required to implement all programs that are identified in the Primacy packet submitted to US EPA. All public water systems in Utah must meet all applicable drinking water standards, which are established under: the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act, the State Safe Drinking Water Act or both. Those water systems that do not or cannot meet standards sometimes use loan/grant funding to remedy areas where infrastructure is needed to comply. The only other fund available to help systems make those improvements is the Drinking Water Security Development Fund provided in UCA 73-10c-5 which is used to meet the 20% state match requirement for the SRF Program. It awards loans to systems using somewhat similar criteria as its federal counterpart and is currently being used in conjunction with the federal program to assist water systems.

Increasing or removing the sales tax cap from the Water Development Security Fund would increase available funding for water systems in need to be able to provide a bridge to safe drinking water if the federal government reduces the DWSRF Capitalization Grant.

As a condition of obtaining primacy to have the autonomy to implement the Safe Drinking Water Act in Utah, the Division of Drinking Water is required to implement all programs that are identified in the Primacy packet submitted to US EPA. All public water systems in Utah must meet all applicable drinking water standards, which are established under: the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act, the State Safe Drinking Water Act or both. Those water systems that do not or cannot meet standards sometimes use loan/grant funding to remedy areas where infrastructure is needed to comply. The only other fund available to help systems make those improvements is the Drinking Water Development Security Fund provided in UCA 73-10c-5 which is used to meet the 20% state match requirement for the SRF Program. It awards loans to systems using somewhat similar criteria as its federal counterpart and is currently being used in conjunction with the federal program to assist water systems.

Increasing or removing the sales tax cap from the Water Development Security Fund would increase available funding for water systems in need to be able to provide a bridge to safe drinking water if the federal government reduces the DWSRF Capitalization Grant. With the reduction of 11 employees, it would eliminate the Division's ability to conduct plan reviews for drinking water infrastructure resulting in compromised drinking water quality, potential non-compliance with federal requirements, and higher risk to public health.

Department of Environmental Quality

Nonpoint Source Project Grants

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

	Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	66.460
ł	Agency contact name and phone number	Erica Gaddis, 801-536-4312

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,252,341.67
Number of FTEs	0
Recipients/Clients Served	10
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Local land owners and local
·	conservation districts

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$62,617)	(\$313,085)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other: In-Kind Match from land owners	(41,745)	(208,724)
TOTAL	(\$104,362)	(\$521,809)

Maintenance of Effort	Match for this grant is 40% of federal award amount. This is provided by
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	contracts with in-kind match.
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
tanna manufatiana an anant anantaiana	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

5 %

A 5% reduction in federal funds for this program would result in a dollar for dollar reduction in 319 Nonpoint Source projects funded. There would not be any change needed in statute or rules.

25 %

A 25% reduction in federal funds for this program would result in a dollar for dollar reduction in 319 Nonpoint Source projects funded. It is likely that EPA would require some of the budget cut to be taken in the staffing &

staffing for this program. There would not be any change needed in statute or rules.

support administrative side of this program. This could result in a reduction of funds currently supporting DWQ

Wh	What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?					
5 %	There would be 5% fewer on the ground projects implemented to address nonpoint source pollution sources.					
25 %	There would be 25% fewer on the ground projects implemented to address nonpoint source pollution sources. This reduced ability to address nonpoint sources of pollution would eventually result in continued degradation of state water quality.					
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?					
5 %	Utah is only obligated to operate this program at the levels provided by federal funding. Thus, reductions in federal funds would not require the state to provide additional resources.					
25 %	Utah is only obligated to operate this program at the levels provided by federal funding. Thus, reductions in					

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

federal funds would not require the state to provide additional resources.

FTEs

Department of Environmental Quality

Performance Partnership Grant

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	66.605
Agency contact name and phone number	Craig Silotti, (801) 536-4460

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 6,056,055		
Number of FTEs	44		
Recipients/Clients Served	3,310,774		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	All Utah citizens (2021 estimated population) and the state's environment are		
	benefited and affected. This grant provides funding to monitor and regulate federal		
	programs over Utah's air, land, and water. We regulate various industries that		
	release pollutants in the land, air, and water to benefit all Utah citizens.		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$302,803)	(\$1,514,014)
State:		
General Fund	(115,209)	(576,045)
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Environmental Quality Restricted Account		
(1082)	(15,710)	(78,550)
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$433,722)	(\$2,168,609)

Maintenance of Effort	This grant combine	s 10 different federa	I programs in one. Each has a different
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	match requirement	from zero to 50% ar	nd averages 30.18%. Two grants have a
effort requirements. Include references to federal	maintenance of bas	se amount totaling \$	1,668,400 which does not change with
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	reductions to the fe	deral award amount	

-2.0

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- This grant provides funding to operate programs to ensure state compliance with federal regulations to limit pollutants to acceptable limits to ensure the health of the citizens and environment of the state. The programs include small business assistance, Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, Drinking Water Act and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The reduction would not result in changes to rules or statues but would result in a loss of some personnel reducing the department's capacity to comply with all federal regulations.
- 25 % This grant provides funding to operate programs to ensure state compliance with federal regulations to limit pollutants to acceptable limit to ensure the health of the citizens and environment of the state. The programs include small business assistance, Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, Drinking Water Act and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The reduction would not result in changes to rules or statues, but would result in a significant loss of personnel reducing the department's capacity to comply with all federal regulations.

Inspections and other compliance activities would be significantly reduced. Reductions of this amount would reduce staff training which would impact their ability to properly perform their duties.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5 % This would reduce our ability to conduct outreach activities to help small business and keep citizens informed. The effect on industry and general public would not be significant but it would have some impact on our ability to timely issue permits and there would be some reduced monitoring of the environment and industry compliance.
- 25 % This would result in a loss of most all public outreach efforts and help to small business. There would be significant delays in issuing permits, reduced oversight and compliance activities, delays in replacing equipment, reduced training of staff. This would increase the risk to the public of exposure to unhealthy air quality, drinking water, water in lakes and streams, and land exposures.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % Programs are mandated by federal and state laws.
- 25 % Programs are mandated by federal and state laws. Primacy for the federal programs would be threatened if other funding sources were not identified. Fees could be increased.

37

FTEs

Department of Environmental Quality

Superfund Sites

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	66.802, 66.961
Agency contact name and phone number	Alicia Silotti, (385) 391-8155

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,207,903.00	
Number of FTEs	10.8	
Recipients/Clients Served	91 Sites	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	All citizens of the State affected by sites that have contaminated land and water	
•	caused by mining and manufacturing activities.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrea	se) in State/other fund	s and FTEs associa
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$60,395)	(\$301,976)
State:		
General Fund	(1,720)	(8,600)
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$62,115)	(\$310,576

Maintenance of Effort	10% state match on Superfund CORE funding (40 CFR 35.6235).
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions,)	

-2.7

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

-0.5

- 5 % State Superfund program. Moderate reductions to site discovery and assessment, involvement in investigation, cleanup, and maintenance of remedies at Superfund sites, coordination with EPA and other stakeholders. No change of statute or rule would be required.
- 25 % Significant reductions to the State Superfund program would be required. Discovery and assessment would be cut. A large portion of site assessment work would likely be returned to EPA to complete. State participation in addressing sites and decision- making on cleanups would be curtailed. Delays in cleanups and program development would likely occur. No change of statute or rule would be required.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?
What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 % Moderate reductions in the pace of discovery, investigation and cleanup would affect residents, businesses, and State and local agencies. Also reduction in coordination with stakeholders in addressing Superfund sites.

25 % Significant reduction in the pace of Superfund site cleanup activities would result; also a reduction in the number of sites discovered and evaluated. Significant reduction in State participation in addressing existing sites in Utah, including reduced coordination with stakeholders on site cleanup and other site-specific concerns and integrated.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

The Superfund program is established by the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). While Superfund is not delegated to the states, EPA is required to provide states with meaningful and substantial involvement. This is done through cooperative agreements. If federal funding is cut, state involvement would be reduced. There are no mandated services requiring state maintenance.

25 % Cuts would significantly reduce state participation in the Superfund program. No federal mandates for services and no other funding sources available.

FTEs

Department of Environmental Quality

Targeted Airshed Grants

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

ſ	A 2.4 12.6 1 0 4 2	00 000 00 000
	Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	66.202, 66.956
	this program	
	Agency contact name and phone number	Andrea Riddle, (801) 898-9808

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 2,239,692	
Number of FTEs	3.65	
Recipients/Clients Served	435	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Health Department	School Districts, and public who replaced a wood stove

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021: (Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with		
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$111,985)	(\$559,923)
State:		
General Fund	(186)	(929)
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other: In-Kind Match from recipients	(86,228)	(431,141)
TOTAL	(\$198,399)	(\$991,993)

Maintenance of Effort	We have a 10% state match on one of the grants and then Other match
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	ranging from 17% – 77% (depending on the grant) that is provided by the
effort requirements. Include references to federal	recipients of payments from the Targeted Air Shed grants.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

-0.18

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5% | A 5% reduction in federal funds would result in a reduction of the work done in each of the Targeted Air Shed grant programs, as well as a reduction in our FTEs that are working on the project, and this would impact managing the project as well. This also results in a lower impact in reducing air pollution in Utah.
- 25 % A 25% reduction in federal funds would result in a bigger reduction in each of the Targeted Air Shed grant programs, as well as a reduction in our FTEs that are working on the project, and this would impact managing the project as well. This also results in a lower impact in reducing air pollution in Utah.

	What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?		
school buses/wood stoves/vehicles, etc. that they were hoping to. A lot of		With a 5% reduction in federal funds, the grant recipients probably wouldn't be able to fix or replace their school buses/wood stoves/vehicles, etc. that they were hoping to. A lot of the recipients depend on the help of the federal grant in order for them to be able to afford to fix or replace what they need to.	
	25 %	With a 25% reduction, it would cause an impact on even more of the grant recipients who may depend on the help of the federal grant in order for them to be able to afford to fix or replace what they need to.	

	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	Utah is only obligated to operate this program because of the federal funding. There aren't any additional resources that the state of Utah could use to operate this program.
25 %	Utah is only obligated to operate this program because of the federal funding. There aren't any additional resources that the state of Utah could use to operate this program.

FTEs

Department of Environmental Quality

Water Quality State Revolving Fund Loans

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	66.458
Agency contact name and phone number	Erica Gaddis, 801-536-4312

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 12,747,532	
Number of FTEs	5	
Recipients/Clients Served	76	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Outstanding Loans	issued. Recipients include cities, towns, and districts throughout
	the State of Utah.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs asso			
Funding Information	5 %	25 %	
Federal	(\$637,377)	(\$3,186,883)	
State:			
General Fund			
Education Fund			
Transportation Fund			
Transportation Investment Fund			
Restricted Fund/Account Name: Wastewater Loan Program (5260)	(127,475)	(637,377)	
Other Fund:			
Dedicated Credits			
Other:			
Other:In-Kind			
TOTAL	(\$764,852)	(\$3,824,260)	

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	The match is 20%.
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % A 5% reduction in federal funds for this program would result in a dollar for dollar reduction in funding for community wastewater infrastructure projects. It would also result in a reduction in the set-aside allowance used to fund Division FTE administering these wastewater infrastructure projects. There would not be any change needed in statute or rules.
- 25 % A 25% reduction in federal funds for this program would result in a dollar for dollar reduction in funding for community wastewater infrastructure projects. It would also result in a reduction in the set-aside allowance used to fund Division FTE administering these wastewater infrastructure projects. There would not be any change needed in statute or rules.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- A 5% reduction in federal funds for this program would result in a dollar for dollar reduction in funding for community wastewater infrastructure projects. Generally communities seeking this funding are the least able to secure private funding and critical infrastructure needs may go unmet. The 5% reduction in federal funds would also result in a reduction in the set-aside allowance used to fund Division FTE administering these wastewater infrastructure projects. At this funding level reduction, project management performance would be impacted.
- 25 % A 25% reduction in federal funds for this program would result in a dollar for dollar reduction in funding for community wastewater infrastructure projects. Generally, communities seeking this funding are the least able to secure private funding and critical infrastructure needs may go unmet. The 25% reduction in federal funds would also result in a reduction in the set-aside allowance used to fund Division FTE administering these wastewater infrastructure projects. At this funding level reduction, one FTE would be lost and project management performance would be impacted.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- Utah is only obligated to operate this program at the levels provided by federal funding. Thus, reductions in federal funds would not require the state to provide additional resources.
- 25 % Utah is only obligated to operate this program at the levels provided by federal funding. Thus, reductions in federal funds would not require the state to provide additional resources.

Department of Government Operations

Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

[Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	21.019
	this program	
Ì	Agency contact name and phone number	Janica Gines, (801) 957-7727; Duncan Evans (801) 538-1592

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 759,037,595	
Number of FTEs	Various	
Recipients/Clients Served	Statewide	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Funds support CO	VID-19 response and mitigation efforts statewide.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	\$0	\$0
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$0	\$0

Maintenance of Effort	No state matching dollars are required.
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws regulations or grant provisions	

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.		
25 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.		

Wha	at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.
25 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? There other resources available to meet these needs?
	there other resources available to meet these needs:
5 %	No

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

FTEs

Governor's Office of Economic Opportunity

Impacted Small Business Catalyst Grant Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	21.027
Agency contact name and phone number	Kamron Dalton, (801) 538-8677; Duncan Evans (801) 538-1592

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 15,000,000	
Number of FTEs	Various	
Recipients/Clients Served	Statewide	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Small Businesses	nonprofits, and organizations negatively impacted by CC

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	\$0	\$0
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$0	\$0

Maintenance of Effort	No state matching dollars are required.
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws regulations or grant provisions	

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
5 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.			
25 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.			

5 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.
25 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?

FTEs

Department of Health

Affordable Care Act Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.870
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Katherine Bark, 385-271-7231

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

 ii Teal 2021 Federal Hogram information.				
Federal Receipts	\$ 3,108,794.65			
Number of FTEs	3			
Recipients/Clients Served	Approx. 560			
	families			
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Low-income, pregnant women, mothers, and young children in Salt Lake, Weber,			
	Carbon, Emery, Grand, Utah, and Wasatch counties			

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease)	_	_
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$155,440)	(\$777,199)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$155,440)	(\$777,199)

Maintenance of Effort	None
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 6 Mome Visiting services to mothers, infants, children and families including support for breast feeding, early intervention, depression screening, mental health, early childhood development, substance abuse, domestic violence prevention, child maltreatment prevention, child welfare, education, and access to community resources. No change in statue or rules.
- 25 % Home Visiting services to mothers, infants, children and families including support for breast feeding, early intervention, depression screening, mental health, early childhood development, substance abuse, domestic violence prevention, child maltreatment prevention, child welfare, education, and access to community resources. No change in statue or rules.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?
What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 % Reduce funding of contracts to LHDs and other contractors by 5%, reduce current expenses by 5%, and reduce travel expenses by 5%. This cut would limit the number of mothers, infants, and children receiving Home Visiting services. Staffing is not reduced in this proposal as a 5% reduction in staffing would prohibit the appropriate subrecipient monitoring as required by the federal funds.

25 % Reduce funding of contracts to LHDs and other contractors by 25%, reduce current expenses by 25%, and reduce travel expenses by 25%. Results of a 25% cut would limit the number of mothers, infants, and children who receive Home Visiting services. Staffing is reduced by 0.5 FTE in this proposal as a full 25% reduction in staffing would prohibit the appropriate subrecipient monitoring as required by the federal funds. However, a

reduction of 25% would eliminate 1 to 2 service providers, and appropriate adjustments to staffing could be

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

made to continue to monitor subrecipient's.

- 5 We are required to contract out 75% of the federal funds for Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program. There are no additional resources that could be used to offset the cut.
- 25 We are required to contract out 75% of the federal funds for Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program. There are no additional resources that could be used to offset the cut.

Department of Health

Asthma, Biomonitoring, and Environmental Health Tracking

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.070
Agency contact name and phone number	Sam LeFevre, (801) 538-6188

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$1,309,980	
Number of FTEs	8.7	
Recipients/Clients Served	Residents of	
	Utah	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	All residents of the	State of Utah

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021: (Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$65,500)	(\$327,495)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$65,500)	(\$327,495)
FTEs	-0.4	-2.1

Maintenance of Effort	State Epidemiologist 0.05 FTE in kind, Toxicologist 0.05 FTE in kind.
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductio	ns
Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.	

- 5% Expansion and enhancement projects would be minimized. Some collaboration with other projects (i.e., asthma and biomonitoring) would be minimized. Contracted activities would be terminated.
- 25 % The project would go into a minimal sustainment. Outreach, marketing and training activities would be terminated. All special projects would be terminated. Approximately 30% of data production activities would be eliminated. Data transaction to CDC would be minimized. All collaboration and support of external partners would be terminated. All contracted work would be terminated. All publications of topic specific and summarized data would be terminated. Program evaluation would be suspended.

	What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?		
5 %	Data production and interpretation services would be less frequent and slower in development.		
25 %	Initially minimal, however, over time the project would become obsolete. At this level, the project is not		
	sustainable for more than a few years.		

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?			
5 %	Blood lead surveillance. Currently no other resources are available for this service.		
25 %	Blood lead surveillance. Currently no other resources are available for this service. Disease cluster and statistical reviews. Currently no other resources are available for this service. R-Training. The Bioinformatics program can conduct this service. Public health assessment (PHA) of CERCLIS sites ("Superfund" sites) (mandated by NEPA). ATSDR can conduct PHA of CERCLIS sites.		

Department of Health

Children's Health Insurance Program – CHIP/CHIPACAID

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.767
Agency contact name and phone number	Shari Watkins, (801) 538-6601

Fiscal Year 2021 federal program information:

Tibear Tear 2021 Teaerar program	IIII OI III WII OIII		
Federal Receipts	\$ 100,691,992.77		
Number of FTEs	0		
Recipients/Clients Served	15,029 / month		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	CHIP eligible children with family incomes less than or equal to 200% of the federal		
	poverty level (FPL) (after the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act [ACA]		
	implementation in January 2014 enrollment changed to less than or equal to 138%		
	FPL). Recipients/Clients Served listed above is the average number of enrollees post		
	ACA implementation	on as the other children are now covered by Medicaid.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in		
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$5,034,600)	(\$25,172,998)
State:		
General Fund	(755,875)	(3,779,377)
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name: Tabacco		
Settlement Restricted Account #1320	0	0
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits	0	0
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$5,790,475)	(\$28,952,375)

FTEs	0	0

Maintenance of Effort
(Describe any State matching and/or
maintenance of effort requirements. Include
references to federal laws, regulations, or
grant provisions.)

Under the MOE provisions, to receive federal Medicaid funds, states cannot impose eligibility and enrollment policies that are more restrictive than those in place at the time the ACA was enacted (March 23, 2010) until 2014 for adults and until 2019 for children in Medicaid and CHIP. This restriction would have to be lifted if either 5% or 25% cuts are required. If we were to make either of these cuts, we would put all of our federal funding for CHIP and Medicaid funding (\$38+) at risk.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

5 % The average monthly enrollment in CHIP would have to be reduced by 2,692 recipients. Currently the State is required by law to be open to any qualifying child. This law would have to be rescinded and CHIP enrollment would need to be capped.

An alternative option would be to reduce the amount of CHIPicaid expenditures funded by the CHIP grant. CHIPicaid expenditures are Medicaid expenditures for children that were previously eligible for CHIP prior to ACA or would have been eligible for CHIP had the eligibility rules implemented by ACA not occurred. This option would not necessarily cause any children to lose insurance coverage but would increase other costs for the state because the same expenditures would be reimbursed at the lower, Medicaid reimbursement rate, rather than the CHIP enhanced reimbursement rate. This option would have an increased state cost in the Medicaid budget of approximately \$1,281,600.

5 % The options to achieve a 25% reduction would be the same as the 5% reduction but the cuts to CHIPicaid or the monthly CHIP enrollment would be more severe. Reducing CHIPicaid expenditures would not necessarily cause any children to lose insurance coverage but would increase other costs for the state because the same expenditures would be reimbursed at the lower, Medicaid reimbursement rate, rather than the CHIP enhanced reimbursement rate. This option would have an increased state cost in the Medicaid budget of approximately \$6.407.800.

The alternative option would be to reduce the average monthly enrollment in CHIP by 13,458 recipients. This option would reduce monthly CHIP enrollment by approximately 90%. Currently the State is required by law to be open to any qualifying child. This law would have to be rescinded and CHIP enrollment would need to be capped.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 % The average monthly enrollment in CHIP would have to be reduced by 2,692 recipients. Currently the State is required by law to be open to any qualifying child. This law would have to be rescinded and CHIP enrollment would need to be capped. Current law does not allow for reduction in services. CHIP premiums are paid at a capitated rate. Reduction to this rate would likely cause all service providers to sever their contracts.

The alternative option of reducing CHIPicaid expenditures funded by the CHIP grant would minimize the impact on recipients but would increase the State's cost in another budget. This is a viable option only if the hypothetical reductions in federal funding are not imposed on all federally-funded programs.

25 % The average monthly enrollment in CHIP would have to be reduced by 13,458 recipients. Currently the State is required by law to be open to any qualifying child. This law would have to be rescinded and CHIP enrollment would need to be capped. Current law does not allow for reduction in services. CHIP premiums are paid at a capitated rate. Reduction to this rate would likely cause all service providers to sever their contracts.

The alternative option of reducing CHIPicaid expenditures funded by the CHIP grant would minimize the impact on recipients but would increase the State's cost in another budget. This is a viable option only if the hypothetical reductions in federal funding are not imposed on all federally-funded programs.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

The option to cut CHIP eligibility would not cause the burden for coverage to shift to the State. Under ACA, the children's families would be required to find other insurance coverage or face tax penalties. The services provided through this budget are required for the program to exist and changes to state and federal law would have to occur in order for the restrictions on eligibility to be applied.

The option to reduce CHIPicaid expenditures funded by the CHIP grant would shift coverage of the related costs from CHIP to Medicaid, which has a lower federal match rate versus the CHIP match rate. Therefore, this option would have an increased state cost in the Medicaid budget of approximately \$1,281,600.

The option to cut CHIP eligibility would not cause the burden for coverage to shift to the State. Under ACA, the children's families would be required to find other insurance coverage or face tax penalties. The services provided through this budget are required for the program to exist and changes to state and federal law would have to occur in order for the restrictions on eligibility to be applied.

The option to reduce CHIPicaid expenditures funded by the CHIP grant would shift coverage of the related costs from CHIP to Medicaid, which has a lower federal match rate versus the CHIP match rate. Therefore, this option would have an increased state cost in the Medicaid budget of approximately \$6,407,800.

This page intentionally left blank.

FTEs

Department of Health

EPICC Diabetes, Nutrition, Physical Activity, Obesity, School Health

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.426
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Linnea Fletcher. (801) 538-6146

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

v	ii i cai zozi i caciai i logiami imoi	munom.	
	Federal Receipts	\$ 1,845,781	
	Number of FTEs	9.5	
	Recipients/Clients Served	State of Utah	
	•	residents	
	Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Utah residents with	n diabetes, uncontrolled hypertension and those at risk for those
		conditions and wel	I as Utah residents who are overweight or obese and children.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other runds an	d F I Es associated w
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$92,290)	(\$461,445)
State:		
General Fund	(23,100)	(115,400)
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$115,390)	(\$576,845)

Maintenance of Effort	1:4 required State: Federal match.
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	Current match comes from an allocation of general funds.
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws regulations or grant provisions	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % Reductions to the school's nutrition and physical activity interventions would result in 30,000 less students being served, reductions to quality improvement efforts around high blood pressure and diabetes resulting in 300+ less people being served. Reductions to local health district efforts and partner efforts. No change in rule or statute.
- Reductions to the schools nutrition and physical activity interventions would result in 150,000 less students being served. Reductions to quality improvement efforts around high blood pressure and diabetes would result in 700+ less people being served. Significant reductions to local health district efforts and partner efforts. No change in rule or statute.

	would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	Decreased effort on improving schools nutrition and physical activity policies, decreased effort on blood pressure and diabetes control. Reduced funding to local health districts and partner agencies. Increased incidence of diabetes, high blood pressure, obesity, heart disease and stroke. Reduction in funding to key partners including local health districts.
25 %	Decreased effort on improving schools nutrition and physical activity policies, decreased effort on blood pressure and diabetes control. Reduced funding to local health districts and partner agencies. Increased incidence of diabetes, high blood pressure, obesity, heart disease and stroke. Significant reduction in funding to key partners including local health districts

	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	N/A
25 %	N/A

FTEs

Department of Health

Epidemiology and Lab Capacity for Infectious Disease

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.323
Agency contact name and phone number	Cindy Burnett, 81-538-6692

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ai i eai 2021 redeiai riogiani inioi	mation.
Federal Receipts	\$ 4.595.906
Number of FTEs	32
Recipients/Clients Served	Statewide
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Funds support epidemiologic, laboratory, and informatics capacity related to: enteric diseases and foodborne disease outbreak detection and response; influenza and other respiratory pathogens; health information systems; healthcare associated infections; arboviral diseases, including Zika virus and West Nile virus; projects related to vaccine-preventable and other types of diseases; and the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS) implementation in Utah (UT-NEDSS). Funds support personnel that attend to surveillance, investigation, and response to diseases and outbreaks in collaboration with local health partners, and healthcare partners. Funds also support critical laboratory infrastructure and supplies, and critical informatics infrastructure. Contractual funds support courier service for statewide transportation of samples to the Utah Public Health Laboratory (UPHL), implementation of electronic laboratory reporting and refinement of Tri-Sano, and statewide participation in surveillance and infectious disease response efforts with local public health the health and other key partners.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$229,795)	(\$1,148,976)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$229,795)	(\$1,148,976)

Maintenance of Effort	N/A
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 M At the 5% level, reductions would occur in contracts supporting local health department involvement in response to outbreaks and key infectious diseases, including arboviral, vaccine-preventable, prion, and mycotic diseases. Reduction would also occur in contracts supporting UT-NEDSS. At this level, no staff would be eliminated, and programs would only be reduced, not eliminated. This would not require a change in statute or rules.
- 25 % At the 25% level, all contracts, including those supporting courier service for sample transport to UPHL, local health department investigation support, healthcare associated infection (HAI) related projects, maintenance of UT-NEDSS, and implementation of electronic laboratory reporting, would be discontinued. In addition, approximately 8 full-time equivalents (FTEs) would have to be eliminated from these programs. This would not require a change in statute or rules.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- At the 5% level, capacity to support local health department response to infectious diseases, including arboviral, vaccine-preventable, prion, mycotic, and healthcare associated, would be reduced, which would impact public health's capacity to detect and promote prevention for these conditions. While State health department staff may be able to absorb some of these efforts, the reduction in support to local health department swuld be challenging for public health in Utah, and relationships would be negatively impacted. Capacity to maintain and continue implementation of electronic systems, including laboratory reporting, would be reduced. This could lead to outbreak identification being missed, or delayed, due to reporting inefficiencies.
- 25 % At the 25% level, all contracts would be eliminated. Courier capacity for sample transport would be eliminated, and work towards electronic systems, including laboratory reporting, would be halted. Local health department contracts would be eliminated. Critical HAI prevention efforts would be halted. Maintenance for UT-NEDSS would be halted. While 8 FTEs would be lost, contractual funds for informatics support would translate to additional personnel being lost as well. This level of reduction would be devastating to laboratory and epidemiology public health programs given the resulting sudden reduction in capacity, and this would have a negative impact on external partnerships, including with local health departments, medical providers and facilities, laboratories, and other key partners. Electronic systems would be stagnant, which would be deleterious long-term given its potential for improving efficiencies and data quality, and given the frustration it would cause with partner laboratories waiting to be brought on to the system.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % No.
- No mandated services would be from the State level; investigation of cases and outbreaks is required in State statute and rule. Internal personnel would be assigned to cover investigations and other critical work previously assigned to reduced or eliminated positions.

FTEs

Department of Health

Epidemiology and Lab Capacity for Infectious Disease (COVID)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.323
Agency contact name and phone number	Cindy Burnett, 81-538-6692

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 39,665,814	
Number of FTEs	105	
Recipients/Clients Served	Statewide	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Funds support CO	VID-19 response and mitigation efforts statewide

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	\$0	\$0
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$0	\$0

Maintenance of Effort	N/A
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	<u> </u>

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.		
25 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.		
	What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?		
5 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.		

25 % One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the

limited period of availability.

re there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	No.	
5 %	No.	

Department of Health

HIV Surveillance Prevention Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.940
Agency contact name and phone number	Scott White, MS MPH – 801-538-6288

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,174,648	
Number of FTEs	5.6	
Recipients/Clients Served	1500	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Clients served include the general public (HIV Education Campaign), physicians	
	(provider education	n), and underserved at-risk patients (HIV Testing, Linkage to Care,
	Pre-exposure Pron	hylaxis (PrEP))

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021: (Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other runds and	i F i Es associated
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$58,732)	(\$293,663)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$58,732)	(\$293,663
FTEs	.25	1.4

Maintenance of Effort	No state matching dollars are required.
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
lawa regulations or great provisions	

5 %	Reductions of this size would force the program to eliminate the provider education contract and reduce the
	contracted amount for the Free HIV Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) clinic.
25 %	Reductions of this size would force the program to eliminate the provider education contract, the Free HIV PrEP
	Clinic contract, the HIV Education Campaign contract, and the Reengagement to Care contract and would
	decrease funding for HIV testing processed by Utah Public Health Laboratory.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 %	These contractual changes would result in less persons prescribed PrEP in Utah and consequently could lead to
	increased HIV transmission.

Γ	25 %	These contractual changes would be detrimental to HIV prevention efforts in Utah as it would severely decrease
		the number of persons in-care of HIV, receiving PrEP to prevent HIV, being tested for HIV, and being aware of
L		HIV. These changes together would severely limit our ability to prevent increases in HIV transmission.

	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	No
25 %	No

Department of Health

Hospital Preparedness Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.889
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kevin McCulley 801-273-6669

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information

al Year 2021 Federal Program Information:		
Federal Receipts	\$ 2,408,667.52	
Number of FTEs	4.25	
Recipients/Clients Served	Utah Hospitals, Hospital	
	Association, , Local Health	
	Departments, healthcare	
	association, EMS, Disaster	
	Preparedness Training,	
	others/Statewide	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	preparedness and response capacit hospitals, to local public health, to Ei clinics. Public health preparedness r continuous improvement of public he These systems are built through flex support. Sub-grantees include all (13 Medical Surge Coalitions, all hospital	titie state through funding and developing y and capability within healthcare systems, from MS, to long-term care associations, to outpatient equires the long-term development and salth systems that can respond to all hazards. ible, sustained federal, state, and territorial 3) local health departments, (7) Regional Is and long-term care facilities through Center organizations in the state, and internally

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$120,433)	(\$602,167)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$120,433)	(\$602,167)
FTEs	-0.0	-1.25

Maintenance of Effort	Matching Funds: ASPR and CDC may not award a cooperative agreement to a
(Describe any State matching and/or	state or consortium of states under these programs unless the recipient agrees
maintenance of effort requirements. Include	that, with respect to the amount of the cooperative agreements awarded by
references to federal laws, regulations, or	ASPR and CDC, the state will make available nonfederal contributions in the
grant provisions.)	amount of 10% (\$1 for each \$10 of federal funds provided in the cooperative
	agreement, regardless of whether those funds are provided through financial
	assistance or direct assistance) of the award. Match may be provided directly or
	through donations from public or private entities and may be in cash or in kind,
	fairly evaluated, including plant, equipment or services. Amounts provided by
	the federal government or services assisted or subsidized to any significant

extent by the federal government may not be included in determining the amount of such nonfederal contributions. Please refer to 45 CFR § 75.306 for match requirements, including descriptions of acceptable match resources. Documentation of match, including methods and sources, must be included in the Budget Period 1 application for funds, follow procedures for generally accepted accounting practices, and meet audit requirements.

We use FTE match from hospital emergency managers and other healthcare partners who work on HPP related projects but are not paid salary from the grant, to satisfy our match requirement. (Ex. A hospital emergency manager earns \$50,000 and works 10% FTE on projects funded by HPP, but is not paid by HPP grant, so that is a \$5,000 match toward total). We also provide opportunities for hospitals to note any inkind match from equipment and supplies that are purchased but not funded through the grant, yet stilli, contribute to grant outcomes.

Maintenance of Funding (MOF)/Maintenance of Effort Statutory Basis Maintenance of Funding (HPP 319C-2) and Maintain State Funding (PHPE) 319C-1 is a responsiveness criterion. Recipients must stipulate the total dollar amount in their cooperative agreement funding applications. Recipients must be able to account of MOF/MSF separate from accounting for federal funds and separate from accounting for any matching funds requirements; this accounting is subject to ongoing monitoring, oversight, and audit. MOF/MSF may not include any subrecipient matching funds requirement where applicable.

Maintenance of Funding/Maintaining State funding

(A) In general- An entity that receives an award under this section shall maintain expenditures for public health security at a level that is not less than the average level of such expenditures maintained by the entity for the preceding 2 year period.

(B) Rule of construction - Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit the use of awards under this section to pay salary and related expenses of public health and other professionals employed by State, local, or tribal public health agencies who are carrying out activities supported by such awards (regardless of whether the primary assignment of such personnel is to carry out such activities).

This represents a recipient's historical level of contributions or expenditures (money spent) related to federal programmatic activities that have been made prior to the receipt of federal funds. The maintenance of effort (MOE) is used as an indicator of nonfederal support for public health security and health care preparedness before the infusion of federal funds. These expenditures are calculated by the recipient without reference to any federal funding that also may have contributed to such programmatic activities in the past. MOF does not apply to future contingent emergency response awards that may be authorized under 317(a) and 317(d) of the Public Health Service Act unless such a requirement were imposed by statute or administrative process at the

The state of Utah does not contribute to this project, so we certify that MOF is \$0 and validate this by 'certifying with a signature.'

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	See below; no statute or rule change needed.	
25 % See below; no statute or rule change needed.		

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented? 5% Cut will result in reduction of facility-level funds for hospitals, long-term care facilities, and community health clinics. It will also result in a reduction in local health department funding, but only for funds that were allocated for shared healthcare coalition purchases. Additional losses would be seen in UDOH program elements, including available funds for EMS Strike Teams, Disaster Response Units, and other projects. Overall the impact would be minimal on achieving successful project outcomes. A 25% cut would result in a loss of \$623,407 for the HPP program. To keep under the administrative cap of 15% per the ASPR HPP grant, 1.25 FTE would have to be cut from the 3.68 FTE currently funded under the program. Additionally, travel would be cut by 40%, and equipment and supplies would be cut by half. Facility level funds would be reduced by as much as 50%, as well as funding to local health districts by a similar amount. Funding for UDOH projects such as EMS Strike Teams and maintenance of disaster response trailers would also be cut by as much as half under this scenario. This would have a severe impact on the program in terms of meeting all proposed outcomes, but we could scale back expectations and pass-through funding and still have a viable program that demonstrates success. The more significant concern would be with the reduction of UDOH FTE that gets paid off this program, and uncertainties would be present to cover these losses with other funds.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- We have four projects that are essential for the HPP 1) ESAR-VHP (Utah Responds) an electronic system that enrolls, tracks, credentials, and deploys healthcare volunteers; 2) Utah's Health Alert Network (Utah Notification and Information System (UNIS)) which will need to continue operations; 3) Sustainment of Regional Medical Surge Coalitions; and 4) Maintenance of the capacity and capability for UDOH to manage emergency responses through our Department Operations Center. Each of these projects will need to be sustained. There are no other identified resources available to meet these needs.
- Yes/Yes in part See above. We would still need to sustain these programs, but it may be at the expense of other critical project components, including funding at Local Health Departments who receive 45% of these funds. The maintenance costs are fixed for these projects, and we could not cut them.

This page is blank

09/2021 Division of Finance

FTEs

Department of Health

Immunization and Vaccines for Children

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

	Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.268
l	Agency contact name and phone number	Rich Lakin, (801) 538-6905

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 3,854,695	
Number of FTEs	22.5	
Recipients/Clients Served	Utah's children	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	36.1% of Litab child	dren are served through the Vaccine for Children Program

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021: (Insert amount of expected increase) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$192,735)	(\$245,000)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$192,735)	(\$245,000)

Maintenance of Effort	No state matching dollars are required.
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements, Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- The immunization programs ability to meet federal grant guidelines and reporting would be reduced. The reduction would not require a change in statute or rule. Contracts to Local Health Department's (LHD), and other stakeholders would be reduced which would result in reduced immunization services across the State of Utah.
- 25 % The reduction would seriously impact the programs ability to oversee the accountability of 350 provider groups. The reduction would not require a change in statute or rule. Immunization program would have to reduce FTE's from 21 to 17 and LHD and other contracts would be dramatically reduced to where immunization services would be impacted. Additionally, 350 provider groups would be impacted resulting in us not meeting CDC requirements.

	What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?	
		There would be a 5% reduction in pass through funding in provider contracts. This impact would reduce immunization services. LHD and other contracts would be reduced.
	25 %	There would be a 25% reduction in pass through funding in provider contracts. This impact would reduce immunization services significantly. This would result in possible cutting of all contracts and immunization services such as data loggers for CDC fridge compliance.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	No	
25 %	No	

FTEs

Department of Health

Immunization and Vaccines for Children (COVID)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.268
Agency contact name and phone number	Rich Lakin, (801) 538-6905

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 15,278,004
Number of FTEs	2
Recipients/Clients Served	Statewide
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	COVID-19 Vaccina

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

State/Other runds an	
5 %	25 %
\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0
	\$0

Maintenance of Effort	No state matching dollars are required.
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.	

		Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
-	5 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.			
	25 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.			

VVha	at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.
25 %	One-time COVID-19 Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?
Are	
	there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	there other resources available to meet these needs? No
5 % 25 %	

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

Department of Health

Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	84.181
Agency contact name and phone number	Lisa Davenport 801-273-2961

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$4,650,696.26	
Number of FTEs	7.25	
Recipients/Clients Served	5,780	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Children ages birth	to three years with an approved diagnosed condition or
	moderate developmental delay, and their family.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs ass			
Funding Information	5 %	25 %	
Federal	(\$232,535)	(\$1,162,674	
State:			
General Fund			
Education Fund			
Transportation Fund			
Transportation Investment Fund			
Restricted Fund/Account Name:			
Other Fund: Medicaid/CHIP			
Dedicated Credits			
Other: Parent Fee			
Other:			
TOTAL	(\$232,535)	(\$1,162,674	

FIES	-0.4	-2.0	
Maintenance of Effort	Non-supplanting re	quirement states tha	t the "same amount of state dollars must
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	be spent on progra	m activities as in the	previous year."
effort requirements. Include references to federal			
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)			

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- Would not require a change in statute or rule. We would reduce <u>either</u> the amount of funding distributed to local early intervention programs through contracts with local health departments, universities, and private non-profit agencies, or the amount of supplies, training, and IT services purchased to implement systems activities.
- Would reduce <u>both</u> the amount of funding distributed through contracts to local early intervention programs, and the amount of supplies, training, and IT services purchased to implement systems activities. Reduction to local early intervention program contracts would require a change in child eligibility requirements for services, thereby limiting the number of children served in the program. This action would require approval from the federal funding agency, as well as a change in State rule R398.20.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5 % Reduce funding of local health departments and other service provider's contracts by 5%.
 Limit local health departments and service provider's budgets for purchasing supplies, training, and IT support.
- 25 % Reduce funding of contracts to local health departments and other service providers by 25%. Consider changing eligibility criteria to serve only children with severe delays. This will decrease the number of children with developmental delays being served in early intervention, and result in an increase in the number of school age children requiring special education services. Thus, there will be additional strain on state funding for the school age programs.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % The State would be required to continue to provide the full array of services to all children enrolled in Utah's Part C early intervention program. These services are mandated by federal law. There are no other resources to meet these needs.
- The State would be required to continue to provide the full array of services to all children enrolled in Utah's Part C early intervention program. These services are mandated by federal law. There are no other resources to meet these needs.

Department of Health

Maternal and Child Health Block Grant

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.994
Agency contact name and phone number	Lynne Nilson 801-273-2858

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 4,650,696.26		
Number of FTEs	37.6		
Recipients/Clients Served	Approximately 63,062 clients		
	(per Form 5 from the most		
	recent MCH Block Grant		
	Service Report)		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	These funds are used for matern	nal and child health populations including infants,	
·	adolescent, and children with special health care needs.		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other funds and	1 F I Es associated w
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$232,535)	(\$1,162,674)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other: Collections/Clinical		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$232,535)	(\$1,162,674)

Maintenance of Effort	Per grant requirements, MOE is the amount spent in 1989 which is \$3,897,700.
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	Match requirement is 3 state to 4 federal dollars and is not in addition to the
effort requirements. Include references to federal	MOE.
tanna arandatiana arandana kandatana	

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
5 %	This level of cut might result in RIFs to several staff, cuts of 5% to contracts			
25 %	This level of cut would result in RIFs and/or dissolution of programs as well as cuts to contracts.			

	at would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 % Reduce funding of contracts to LHDs and others by 5%, reduce current expenses by 5%, and receive expenses. This cut would reduce the numbers of mothers, infants, children including those with care needs served in the Department (CSHCN clinics) and in local health departments.	
25	Reduce funding of contracts to LHDs and others by 25%; reduce current expenses by 25%; reduce travel expenses by 25%; review all state positions to determine if cuts need to be made to get to a total reduction of 25%. Results of a 25% would limit our ability to serve mothers, infants, children including those with special health care needs, reduce the services provided by the State, local health departments, and others with whom we have contracts.

Are th	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?
Are	there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	We are required to use 30% of the federal funds for Children with Special Health Care Needs and another 30%
	for children. There are no additional resources that could be used to offset the cut.
	for children. There are no additional resources that could be used to offset the cut.
25 %	We are required to use 200% of the federal funds for Children with Special Health Care Needs and greather 200%
23 /6	we are required to use 50% of the redefit rands for clinicien with special freath care recess and another 50%
	for children. There are no additional resources that could be used to offset the cut.

FTEs

Department of Health

Medicaid - Federal Survey and Certification Title 19

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.777
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Joel Hoffman, (801) 273-2804

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$3,879,006.56		
Number of FTEs	12		
Recipients/Clients Served	Health Facilities		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Nursing Care Facilities, Hospitals, Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with		
•	Intellectual Disabilities.		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated associated increases.		d FTEs associated v	
Funding Information	5 %	25 %	
Federal	(\$193,950)	(\$969,752)	
State:			
General Fund	15,688	78,438	
Education Fund			
Transportation Fund			
Transportation Investment Fund			
Restricted Fund/Account Name:			
Other Fund:			
Dedicated Credits			
Other:			
Other:			
TOTAL	(\$178,262)	(\$891,314)	

Maintenance of Effort	Match rate is 75/25 or 50/50 depending on activity. For Personnel, Travel, and
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	training 75/25 applies. For all other activities 50/50 applies.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions,)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

-0.6

- 5 % This would not require a change in rule or statute. A 5% cut would result in the reduction of 0.6 staff that inspects nursing facilities. These inspections are done to certify these providers to receive Medicaid funding for health services. Staff also completes complaint investigations on these types of facilities. This would reduce the ability of the office to respond to complaints from the public.
- This may require a change in the state Medicaid Plan. A 25% cut would result in the reduction of 3 staff that inspects nursing facilities. These inspections are done to certify these providers to receive Medicaid funding for health services. If they cannot be certified, then Federal funding would not be available. Staff also completes complaint investigations on these types of facilities. This would significantly reduce the ability of the office to respond to complaints from the public.

- 5 % If this reduction was implemented, our office would not be able to meet the Federal survey requirements to ensure that nursing home providers are in compliance with Medicaid requirements. Certification of these health providers to obtain Medicaid funding may be in jeopardy.
- 25 % If this reduction was implemented, our office would not be able to meet the Federal survey requirements to ensure that nursing home providers are in compliance with Medicaid requirements. Certification of these health providers to obtain Medicaid funding would be in jeopardy.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5 % There is no extra state money to support this function of certification inspections. Certification for Medicaid is based on the State and Federal requirements for facilities that receive Medicaid funding.
- 25 % There is no extra state money to support this function of certification inspections. Certification for Medicaid is based on the State and Federal requirements for facilities that receive Medicaid funding.

Department of Health

Medicaid - Medical Assistance Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.778
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Shari Watkins, (801) 538-6601
Agency contact name and phone number	Grian Walkins, (001) 550-0001

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

	Federal Receipts	\$ 2,789,465,955
	Number of FTEs	0
	Recipients/Clients Served	413,953 / month

Describe Recipients/Clients Served People with low income, with physical or mental disabilities, and the aged.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$139,473,298)	(\$697,366,489)
State:		
General Fund		(\$31,333,303)
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Hospital Provider Assessments #2241		(\$43,487,625)
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Nursing Care Facilities Provider Assessment #2243	(\$37,847,743)	(\$37,847,743)
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Ambulance Service Provider Assessments #2242		(\$4,140,006)
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Medicaid Expansion Fund #2252		(\$28,732,086)
Dedicated Credits/Expendable Receipts	(\$31,023,391)	(\$87,625,189)
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$208,344,432)	(\$930,532,441)

FTEs	0	0

Maintenance of Effort	Under the MOE provisions, to receive federal Medicaid funds, states cannot
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	impose eligibility and enrollment policies that are more restrictive than those in
effort requirements. Include references to federal	place at the time the ACA was enacted (March 23, 2010) until 2014 for adults
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	and until 2019 for children in Medicaid and CHIP. It is assumed that some
	restrictions under this legislation will be lifted if either 5% or 25% cuts are
	required.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % Programs Eliminated: Nursing Home Non-State Government Owned Upper Payment Limit and Nursing Home Assessment.
- Programs Eliminated: Nursing Home Non-State Government Owned Upper Payment Limit, Nursing Home Assessment, Disproportionate Share Hospital, Graduate Medical Education, Inpatient Upper Payment Limit, Outpatient Upper Payment Limit, University of Utah Medical Group Physician Enhancement, Transitional Outpatient Payments, Nursing Home Quality Incentives, Ambulance Provider Assessment, Hospital Provider Assessment, Targeted Adult Medicaid, Medically Complex Children's Waiver, Blind/Disabled Adult Dental.
 - Eligibility Groups Eliminated: Medically Needy and Targeted Adult Medicaid

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5% Non-State Government Owned Nursing Facilities will take reductions in funding from the reduction of the NSGO UPL and the Nursing Home Assessment.
- The same as the 5% scenario plus: All hospitals that have Graduate Medical Education (GME) and Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) programs will take reductions. All hospitals participating in the Inpatient UPL program will take reductions. The University of Utah will take reductions in funding from the Physician Enhancement. All hospitals participating in the Outpatient UPL program will take additional reductions. All hospitals that have Transitional Outpatient payments will take reductions. Nursing Facilities would not receive quality incentives. ACOs will take reductions for the Hospital Provider Assessment as well as their share of the Inpatient UPL, Outpatient UPL and UUMG Provider Enhancement. Ambulatory Service Providers will take reductions based on the reduction of the Ambulatory Service Provider Assessment. Additionally, 14,319 individuals will lose coverage with the elimination of the Medically Needy program and

9,239 individuals will lose coverage with the elimination of the Targeted Adult Medicaid program.

	re mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? here other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	Nothing mandated.
25 %	Nothing mandated; however, uncompensated care costs to hospitals will increase. All programs cuts are optional and coverage groups are optional; however, many of the individuals who are cut may qualify through the spend down program. We assume federal maintenance of effort requirements will be lifted if the federal funding is cut.

Department of Health **National Cancer Prevention and Control**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.898
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Marie Nagata (801) 538-6519

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 2,997,277	
Number of FTEs	8.1	
Recipients/Clients Served	Approximately	
	6,000	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Uninsured or underinsured Wor	nen age 40 to 64 at or below 250% of federal poverty
-	level	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(insert amount of expected increase/(decrease)	in State/other funds	and FIES associate
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$149,864)	(\$749,319)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$149,864)	(\$749,319)

FTEs	.4	2

Maintenance of Effort (Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	The Breast and Cervical Program funded through this grant requires Maintenance of Effort funding of \$535,600 of State general funds which is equal to the average amount of State expenditures for breast and cervical cancer programs and activities for the two year period prior to the first Federal fiscal year of funding for NBCCEDP. The total Maintenance of effort amount is required in order to accept any Federal funding by terms of the grant award.
	The grantor requires the Cancer Program provide matching participation from non-Federal funding sources in the amount of \$1 for every \$3 of federal funding. Matching funds may be cash, in-kind, or donated services.

	be the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Ild this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.
5 %	The Utah Cancer Control Breast & Cervical Cancer Screening program would reduce the number of Clinical Breast Exams and Pap tests from 4251 screens to 4038 (a reduction of 213 screens). This would not require a change in statue or rule.
25 %	The UCCP screening program would reduce the number of Clinical Breast Exams and Pap tests from 4251 screens to 3188 (a reduction of 1063 screens). This would not require a change in statue or rule.

	would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	Women served by the program would receive 213 less screening services. Also, Local Health Departments and Community Health Centers currently receive \$548,850 to implement the UCCP screening program and outreach activities. With a 5% cut this amount would be reduced by \$27,193.
25 %	Women served by the program would receive 1063 less screening services. Also the amount received by Local Health Departments and Community Health Centers would be reduced by \$135,962.

	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	No and No
25 %	No and No

Department of Health

Public Health Crisis Response

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise 93.354	
this program	
triis program	
Agency contact name and phone number Kevin McCulley 801-273	3-6669

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$2,123,367.28	
Number of FTEs	37.64	
Recipients/Clients Served	Statewide in	
	response to	
	COVID-19	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	All residents of Utah for the purpose of response missions pertaining to COVID-19	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated		
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$106,168)	(\$530,842)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$106,168)	(\$530,842)

FTEs	-1.88	-9.41	
Maintenance of Effort	None		
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements, Include references to federal			
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)			

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	This was one year one-time funding. All activities were planned and conducted based on the fact that everything needed to be done in that timeframe. No statute or rule change required.		
25 %	This was one year one-time funding. All activities were planned and conducted based on the fact that everything needed to be done in that timeframe. No statute or rule change required.		

	What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?		
Wha	What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?		
	This was one year one-time funding. All activities were planned and conducted based on the fact that everything needed to be done in that timeframe.		

This was one year one-time funding. All activities were planned and conducted based on the fact that everything needed to be done in that timeframe.

	Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	No		
25 %	No		

FTEs

Department of Health

Prescription Drug Overdose, Abuse, Misuse Prevention; Sexual Violence; Violent Death Reporting; Core Violence & Injury Prevention

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.136
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Anna Fondario (801) 538-6201

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ai i cai 2021 i cuciai i iogiaili illioi	mation.	
Federal Receipts	\$ 4,676,427	
Number of FTEs	23.4	
Recipients/Clients Served	Residents of	
	Utah	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The reach of these combined grants is the state of Utah population. The overall goals of this funding is to reduce injuries and injury deaths in Utah, reduce or eliminate sexual assault in Utah, reduce drug overdose, abuse and misuse among Utahns, and to collect comprehensive data on violent deaths in Utah.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$233,821)	(\$1,169,107)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$233,821)	(\$1,169,107)

Maintenance of Effort	None
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

-5.85

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % A reduction in travel for professional development and grantee site visits would be made. In addition, printing and dissemination of resources/materials would be limited.
- 5 % Up to 3 FTE of staff time would be reduced. This would include the Prescription Drug Overdose Prevention Coordinator, the Program Evaluator, and the Epidemiologist. This would also limit the scope and breadth of the program. Specifically, contract amounts with local communities to do rape prevention and prescription drug abuse prevention would be reduced in addition to the contracts to analyze and link data to the controlled substance database to help inform state prevention and intervention efforts.

84

85

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5 % There would be limited opportunity to network with colleagues from other states to learn from their strategies and implementation of efforts. Reduced technical assistance available, as well as fewer resources and materials would impact the grantees and the public.
- 25 % Community partners would receive limited data to help identify high risk areas in their community for targeted interventions. Community members, would not have needed resources and tools to address injury, sexual assault, opioid abuse, misuse, and overdose.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- There aren't any mandated federal services the State would have to maintain with federal funding cuts. There is limited state funding available to address opioid abuse, misuse, and overdose but it would be difficult to absorb these programmatic efforts with those funds. No state funding exists for sexual assault or overall injury or surveillance. No other resources available to meet these needs.
- 25 % There aren't any mandated federal services the State would have to maintain with federal funding cuts. There is limited state funding available to address opioid abuse, misuse, and overdose but it would be difficult to absorb these programmatic efforts with those funds. No state funding exists for sexual assault or overall injury or surveillance. No other resources available to meet these needs.

FTEs

Department of Health

Preventive Health Services Block

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.991
Agency contact name and phone number	Janae Duncan, (801) 538-9273

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,334,128	
Number of FTEs	2.1	
Recipients/Clients Served	Statewide	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The Prevention Block Grant funds a number of different programs with statewide reach, including efforts to promote physical activity and healthy eating, prevent unintentional injuries, such as motor vehicle crashes, falls and suicide, and prevent sexual assault.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$66,706)	(\$333,532)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$66,706)	(\$333,532

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	N/A
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
Efforts to promote physical activity and healthy eating will be reduced.			
Efforts to promote physical activity and healthy eating, and prevent unintentional injuries at the community level will be drastically reduced.			

25 %	Community, school and worksite-level efforts to increase physical activity, improve healthy eating, and prevent injuries will be dramatically reduced in all 13 local health department jurisdictions. Significantly fewer citizens will be reached with critical information, education, and resources that promote health.		
Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?			
5 %	5 % N/A		
25 %	N/A		

5 % Fewer citizens will be reached with critical information, education, and resources that promote physical activity

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

Department of Health

Public Health Emergency Preparedness

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.069
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kevin McCulley 801-273-6669

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

i Tear 2021 Federar Frogram information.		
Federal Receipts	\$5,064,100.12	
Number of FTEs	14.25	
Recipients/Clients Served	UDOH,13 LHDs, Tribal Entities,	
	Population of the State of Utah	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Public Health Preparedness efforts benefit the entire population of Utah. A community's ability to recover from a disaster begins with its efforts in pre-disaster preparedness, mitigation, and recovery capacity building. State, territorial, and local public health leaders work to provide the education, tools, ongoing training, policies, and programs to equip their jurisdictions with critical capabilities to prevent and mitigate threats to the public's health and respond to and recover from potential disasters. Funds go to three UDOH bureaus (Epi, Lab, and Preparedness) and support two offices (Tribal Health and Public Information), all LHDs, and tribes. Efforts are in place to protect all Utah citizens during emergencies. Funds go toward enhancing the public health system capacity and capability to respond to public health threats, including incident management, laboratory functions, epidemiology, surveillance, and medical countermeasures management.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$253,205)	(\$1,266,025)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$253,205)	(\$1,266,025)

F	FTEs	-1.2	-1.5

Maintenance of Effort	Matching Funds: ASPR and CDC may not award a cooperative agreement to a
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	state or consortium of states under these programs unless the recipient agrees that, with respect to the amount of the cooperative agreements awarded by ASPR and CDC, the state will make available nonfederal contributions in the amount of 10% (\$1 for each \$10 of federal funds provided in the cooperative agreement, regardless of whether those funds are provided through financial assistance or direct assistance) of the award. Match may be provided directly or through donations from public or private entities and may be in cash or in kind, fairly evaluated, including plant, equipment or services. Amounts provided by
	the federal government or services assisted or subsidized to any significant extent by the federal government may not be included in determining the amount of such nonfederal contributions. Please refer to 45 CFR § 75.306 for match requirements, including descriptions of acceptable match resources. Documentation of match, including methods and sources, must be included in

the Budget Period 1 application for funds, follow procedures for generally

UDOH requires all LHD partners to match 10% on any awarded funds. Additionally, UDOH staff working on PHEP, but not funded by PHEP, submit matching through the state's ESS payroll system.

Maintenance of Funding (MOF)/Maintenance of Effort Statutory Basis Maintenance of Funding (HPP 319C-2) and Maintenance of Funding (HPP 319C-2). Funding (PHEP) 319C-1 is a responsiveness criterion. Recipients must stipulate the total dollar amount in their cooperative agreement funding applications. Recipients must be able to account for MOF/MSF separate from accounting for federal funds and separate from accounting for any matching funds requirements; this accounting is subject to ongoing monitoring, oversight, and audit. MOF/MSF may not include any subrecipient matching funds requirement where applicable.

Maintenance of Funding/Maintaining State funding

(A) In general- An entity that receives an award under this section shall maintain expenditures for public health security at a level that is not less than the average level of such expenditures maintained by the entity for the preceding 2 year period.

(B) Rule of construction - Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit the use of awards under this section to pay salary and related expenses of public health and other professionals employed by State, local, or tribal public health agencies who are carrying out activities supported by such awards (regardless of whether the primary assignment of such personnel is to carry out such activities).

This represents a recipient's historical level of contributions or expenditures (money spent) related to federal programmatic activities that have been made prior to the receipt of federal funds. The maintenance of effort (MOE) is used as an indicator of nonfederal support for public health security and health care preparedness before the infusion of federal funds. These expenditures are calculated by the recipient without reference to any federal funding that also may have contributed to such programmatic activities in the past.

MOF does not apply to future contingent emergency response awards that may be authorized under 317(a) and 317(d) of the Public Health Service Act unless such a requirement were imposed by statute or administrative process at the

The state of Utah does not contribute to this project, so we certify that MOF is \$0 and validate this by 'certifying with a signature.'

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	5 % See below; no statute or rule change needed.	
25 %	See below; no statute or rule change needed.	

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 % Reduce funding to LHDs and tribes by percentage (5%); reduce funds to Epidemiology, Laboratory, Public Information Office (PIO), and Preparedness bureaus/offices by percentages. A 5% cut would be handled with a reduction in specific preparedness funded activities and capabilities, including the release of 1.18 FTE.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

25 % Reduce funding to LHDs and tribes by percentage (25%); reduce funds to Epidemiology, Laboratory, PIO, and Preparedness bureaus/offices by percentages. A 25% cut would eliminate preparedness funded activities and directly affect FTEs paid for from the cooperative agreement at the UDOH. EMS/P: Cut 1.4 FTE, cut state travel by 75%, cut supplies and exercise funds, approach EMS to cover jointly

Chemistry & Bio laboratory: Staff would be let go (1 FTE), systems ended, or tests would not be able to be done.

	Epidemiology: End National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS) work, PIO: 5% and 25% cuts would decrease 1.48 FTE status of an employee.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	We have numerous performance measures that are expected to be maintained. One is required through the
	Pandemic and All-Hazards Preparedness Reauthorization Act (PAHPRA) legislation, which involves notification and assembly of emergency response personnel. No other resources are available to meet this need.

25 % Same as the answer above.

This page is blank

FTEs

Department of Health

Rvan White Part B

(Ryan White Care Act Title II, Ryan White Part B Supplemental and ADAP Shortfall Relief)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.917
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Tyler Fisher

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 5,171,311	
Number of FTEs	0	
Recipients/Clients Served	1,400	
	approximate	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	HIV positive individuals receive assistance in accessing HIV-related medications	
	either through coverage of cost of medication or with insurance costs in addition to	
	wrap around services including ambulatory/outpatient care, case management,	
	treatment adherence and emergency financial assistance.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease)	in State/other funds	s and F1Es associa
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$258,566)	(\$1,292,828)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$258,566)	(\$1,292,828

Maintenance of Effort	There is matching and maintenance of effort requirements for the Ryan White
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	Care Act Title II funding (ADAP Supplemental funding). Match amount for is
effort requirements. Include references to federal	\$42,000.
lawa regulations or great provisions	

3.25

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

5 % Reducing this funding source by 5% would result in 20 HIV positive individuals being removed from the AIDS

Drug Assistance Program (ADAP). No change in statute or rules is required.

Reducing this funding source by 25% would result in 101 HIV positive individuals being removed from the AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP). No change in statute or rules is required.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5 % The impact would be that the 20 individuals affected would not receive their HIV medications and would need to find another way to obtain HIV medications. The medications are expensive, the annual average cost per ADAP client is \$14,667.00 and individuals cannot afford them without assistance. There are no other state or local programs that assist with HIV medications.
- The impact would be that there would be 101 individuals affected that would not receive their HIV medications and would need to find another way to obtain HIV medications. The medications are expensive, the annual average cost per ADAP client is \$14,667.00 and individuals cannot afford them without assistance. There are no other state or local programs that assist with HIV medications.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- The State is not obligated to provide medications to HIV positive individuals, but when the individuals become ill from lack of medications, the hospitals are obligated to provide medical care which would be much more expensive than the cost of the medications. Individuals may be able to receive the medications through a patient assistance program, but this is uncertain and depends on what HIV medications the person is on.
- 25 %

 The State is not obligated to provide medications to HIV positive individuals, but when the individuals become ill from lack of medications, the hospitals are obligated to provide medical care which would be much more expensive than the cost of the medications. Individuals may be able to receive the medications through a patient assistance program, but this is uncertain and depends on what HIV medications the person is on.

FTEs

Department of Health **Tobacco Control Program**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.387
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Braden Ainsworth, 801-538-6187

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,036,081
Number of FTEs	5.5
Recipients/Clients Served	Around 200,000 Utah
	youth and adults
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Utah tobacco users

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other funds and F	TEs associated v
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$51,804)	(\$259,020)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$51,804)	(\$259,020)

Maintenance of Effort	None
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

	be the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Ild this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.
5 %	Reductions to Utah Tobacco Quit Line cessation interventions (resulting in 150-200 callers not served) and reductions in local health department community interventions. No change in statute required.

Reductions to Utah Tobacco Quit Line cessation interventions (resulting in 150-200 callers not served) and reductions in local health department community intervention contracts (with a loss of approximately 2–2.5 FTEs in the local health districts). All UDOH positions are a requirement of the federal funding. No change in statute required.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 % Decreased access to tobacco quit services and community programs and policies that reduce the burden of tobacco; Increased smoking with resulting health and economic costs to Utah.

	tobacco; Increased smoking with resulting health and economic costs to Utah.
	re mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	N/A
25 %	N/A

25 % Decreased access to tobacco quit services and community programs and policies that reduce the burden of

Department of Health

Utah WISEWOMAN Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

	Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.436
Ì	Agency contact name and phone number	Kalynn Filion , (801) 538-7009

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,111,421		
Number of FTEs	4.8		
Recipients/Clients Served	4,800		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Funding provides cardiovascular and diabetes screening (cholesterol, glucose and blood pressure) health coaching and referral to health behavior support services to		
	uninsured or underinsured Utah women aged 40-64 who live at or below 250% of		
	the Federal Poverty Level (FPL).		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(msert amount of expected increase/(decrease) if	State/other funds and FTES associated		
Funding Information	5 %	25 %	
Federal	(\$55,571)	(\$277,855	
State:			
General Fund			
Education Fund			
Transportation Fund			
Transportation Investment Fund			
Restricted Fund/Account Name:			
Other Fund:			
Dedicated Credits			
Other:			
Other:			
TOTAL	(\$55,571)	(\$277,855	

FTEs	25	-1.2
	•	

Maintenance of Effort	None
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions,)	

Describe the program,	activity, or	r expenditure	type that	t would b	e impacted	I by the	proposed	federal t	funds r	receipts	reductions.
Would this reduction	n require a	change in sta	atute or r	ules? If s	so list refere	ences.					

- Reduce the ability of the program to provide education and support materials to client to help them reach their physical activity, nutrition and weight loss goals. Additionally, the program would be able to provide the services including health coaching to 234 fewer women. This would not require a change in statue or rule.
- 25 % Reduce the ability of the program to provide education and support materials to client to help them reach their physical activity, nutrition and weight loss goals. Additionally, the program would be able to provide the services including health coaching to 1,168 fewer women. Reduce UDOH staffing by 25% FTE This would not require a change in statue or rule.

	t would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? hat changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	Reduce the amount of funding by approximately \$55,000 that the UDOH contracts to Local Health Departments and community based organizations to provide the services (cholesterol, glucose, blood pressure testing and lifestyle counseling for nutrition, physical activity, and healthy weight) to Utah women aged 40-64 who live at or below 250% FPL. Program would offer services to fewer women and be required to evaluate the impact of the program with limited resources.
25 %	UDOH program staff and funding contracted to Local Health Departments and community-based organizations would be reduced by 25%. Contracted funding is used to provide services (cholesterol, glucose, blood pressure testing and lifestyle counseling for nutrition, physical activity, and healthy weight) to Utah women aged 40-64 who live at or below 250% FPL.

	Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?					
7110	and other resources available to meet aleast needs:					
5 %	No					
	No					
25 %	No					
	No					

Department of Health

Vaccine Distributions

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

	Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.268
l	Agency contact name and phone number	Rich Lakin, (801) 538-6905

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

1 041 2021 1 040141 1 10514111 111101	11100110111	
Federal Receipts	\$ 33,932,323	
Number of FTEs	0	
Recipients/Clients Served	Approximately	
	356,000	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Vaccine for Childre	n clients and the underinsured (insurance does not cover
	vaccines)	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected <u>increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated</u> with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other funds and	1 F I Es associated w
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$1,696,616)	(\$8,483,080)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$1,696,616)	(\$8,483,080)
FTEs	0	0

Maintenance of Effort	No state matching dollars are required.
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

Descri Wou	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.				
5 %	The reduction would impact our ability to respond during an outbreak and reduce the number of vaccines that would be able to be purchased for VFC clients and the underinsured. The reduction would not require a change in statute or rule.				
25 %	The reduction would seriously impact our ability to serve VFC and underinsured. The reduction would not require a change in statute or rule.				

	What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?				
Wha	at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?				
= 0/					
5 %	The reduction would impact our ability to respond during an outbreak.				
25 %	This change would send underinsured clients from local health departments to a Federally Qualified Health				
	Center (FQHC) or Rural Health Center (RHC).				

	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?	
5 %	No	
25 %	No	

Department of Health

Women, Infant and Children (WIC) Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	10.557
Agency contact name and phone number	Chris Furner 801-554-4509

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 32,092,225	
Number of FTEs	12.43	
Recipients/Clients Served	Approx.	
	37,948/month	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Pregnant women, r	new mothers, and children up to the age of 5

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$1,604,611)	(\$8,023,056
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$1,604,611)	(\$8,023,056

FIES	-0.62	-3.11	
Maintenance of Effort	None		
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of			
effort requirements. Include references to federal			
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)			

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5% cut to the WIC Program may impact every funding source, including: NSA (Administrative dollars), Food funding, General Infrastructure, Operational Adjustment Funds and possibly Breastfeeding/Peer Counseling dollars. No changes to state rules would need to be made. A 5% cut would not negatively affect program services or statewide operations in a significant way, though the smallest local health department WIC Programs may be forced to consolidate clinic locations and/or be required to furlough staff to other local health department programs.
- 25 %

 A 25% cut to the WIC Program would significantly impact state office and local health department program services and statewide operations. If WIC were to take a 25% reduction in monies, it would immediately force the entire WIC Program into caseload management (CM). CM requires the UDOH to reduce our total benefitted participation counts by cutting out the "healthiest caseload" from the WIC roles first.

This is completed with strict oversight from our funding agency, USDA. This would mean 4-year old children as well as post-partum women would be immediately affected if a 25% cut took place. Depending on whether these cuts would allow us to complete the year without a deficit would depend on how many individuals we would need to pulled from the program. The last time Utah was forced into CM, due to tight financial times, many WIC families "auto-terminated" themselves from the Program without our knowledge or recommendation. It is a phenomenon that we cannot control. WIC would be significantly impacted by a 25% cut.

	would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	No case management cuts expected if the program were to experience a 5% cut.
25 %	Immediate case management cuts would be expected if the program were to experience a 25% cut from our funding streams. The state and local health department budgets would immediately be reduced, negatively impacting service delivery statewide.

	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	All WIC services would continue to be required.
25 %	All WIC services would continue to be required, though we would only be able to serve a reduced number of
	participants. State and local staff counts would be impacted significantly.

Department of Health

Women, Infant and Children (WIC) Technology

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	10.578
Agency contact name and phone number	Chris Furner 801-554-4509

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 2,46	,460,278.95
Number of FTEs		.75
Recipients/Clients Served	9 state WIC	IC programs
Describe Recipients/Clients	Served State WIC pr	program operating systems for serving pregnant women, new mothers,
-	and children	en up to the age of 5

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$123,014)	(\$615,070)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$123,014)	(\$615,070)

FTEs	0	0
Maintenance of Effort	None	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of		
effort requirements. Include references to federal		
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)		

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

5 % The Utah WIC Program currently holds the Maintenance and Enhancement Contract for the Mountain Plains
States Consortium WIC Data System which consists of nine state agencies. A 5% cut to this project would mean
an immediate reduction of enhancement requests and proposed change ideas generated by Mountain Plains User
Group member states.

No changes to state rules would need to be made. WIC does not believe a 5% cut would reduce services or program operations and believes we would still meet the USDA deadline for the implementation of electronic WIC benefits.

The Mountain Plains User Group (MPUG) is part of an overall FNS initiative to plan, develop, deploy, and maintain a model information systems used by and available for transfer to WIC State agencies. A 25% reduction to our base budget for the EBT Maintenance and Enhancement Contract to implement WIC Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) to the WIC Program would immediately hinder the progress on the State Agency Model mandate for designing, building, and implementing the Mountain Plains User Group WIC information systems. A 25% cut would affect the WIC programs of Iowa, Nebraska, Nevada, Inter-Tribal Council of Nevada, North Dakota, Vermont, Wyoming, Colorado, and Utah. This reduction may halt ongoing maintenance and support by our contractor, Custom Data Processing (CDP).

No changes to state rules would need to be made, however, a 25% reduction of these funds could potentially quash the plans laid out in the 2022 Implementation Advance Planning Document, Update that was just approved for federal fiscal year 2022 regarding ongoing MPUG system maintenance and operations.

	would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	No impact on recipients, however our services listed in the contract would likely need to be negotiated.
25 %	Immediate changes and delays in the implementation of WIC EBT would be expected if we experience a 25% cut from our funding streams.

	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	All WIC services would continue to be required.
25 %	All WIC services would continue to be required.

FTEs*

Department of Human Services Divisions of Child & Family Services (DCFS) & Executive Director Operations (EDO)

Adoption Assistance Title IV-E

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.659
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325; Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 13,020,075	
Number of FTEs	10.81	
Recipients/Clients Served	4,698	
(unduplicated)		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Clients are children with special needs who are adopted from foster care or who are recipients of Supplemental Security Income (SSI) due to disability who are adopted. Client counts (and FTEs) were not included for the Executive Director Operations portion.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$651,004)	(\$3,255,019)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$651,004)	(\$3,255,019)

Maintenance of Effort	Title IV-E requires state match as follows:
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	*Adoption Assistance Payments – FMAP Rate
effort requirements. Include references to federal	*Adoption Assistance Administration – 50%
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	*Enhanced Training Match – 25%
	Social Security Act Part E, Section 474; 45 CFR 1356.60
	The State is also required to meet MOE requirements pertaining to qualifying
	new IV-E adoptions under the Fostering Connections to Success and

Increasing Adoptions Act of 2008, Social Security Act Subsection 473(a)(8).

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

5 % Reduction would impact funding for monthly subsidies to help families meet the needs of adoptive children with special needs. Reduction would also impact on one-time reimbursement for expenses associated with the adoption process. Change in statute or rules may be necessary if subsidies are reduced or eliminated.

25 %	Reduction would significantly impact funding for monthly subsidies to help families meet the needs of adoptive
	children with special needs. Reduction would also have a serious impact on one-time reimbursement for
	expenses associated with the adoption process. Change in statute or rules may be necessary if subsidies are
	reduced or eliminated

	What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?		
5 % Children with special needs would receive fewer services and may remain longer in foster care. Compli with federal requirements could be degraded. Request for replacement State funds is an option.			
25 %	See above.		

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	The State would be mandated to continue to provide adoption assistance to children that meet Title IV-E eligibility requirements. Other resources are not available to meet these needs for adoptive children.	
25 %	See above.	

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

Department of Human Services Division of Substance Abuse & Mental Health (DSAMH)

Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.958
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325; Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 6,395,550	
Number of FTEs	3.20	
Recipients/Clients Served	56,653	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Adults with Serious	s and Persistent Mental Illness (SPMI) and Seriously E
· ·	Disturbed (SED) of	hildren

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other runds and	d F I Es associated w
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$319,778)	(\$1,598,888)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$319,778)	(\$1,598,888)
ETE-+	0.40	0.00

Maintenance of Effort	Maintenance of effort is required by the grant. It is calculated on a 2-year rolling
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	average. A dollar for dollar reduction in federal funds is assessed for failure to
effort requirements. Include references to federal	meet the MOE requirement. The penalty is assessed the year following MOE
lowe regulations or great provisions	failure 42 LLC Code para 200v 4/b)

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	Mental Health programs in the community would be decreased. Expenditures would be reduced by the amount of the funding decrease. No change would be required to Statute or Rule.		
25 %	Same description as 5% above		

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5% Local Mental Health Authorities would likely provide fewer services and could experience some staff reductions. Request for replacement State funds is an option.

	served. Request for replacement State funds is an option.
Are the	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?
Are	there other resources available to meet these needs?

25 % | Local Mental Health Authorities would see staff reductions and a significant reduction of services and clients

	Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	No.		
25 %	No.		

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

FTEs*

Department of Human Services Executive Director Operations (EDO) & Division of Child & Family Services (DCFS)

Child Welfare Title IV-B Subpart 1

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.645
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325; Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 4,179,236	
Number of FTEs	43.21	
Recipients/Clients Served	3,902	
(unduplicated)		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	This funding supp	orts child welfare services for adults and children for which child
	abuse or neglect	is a risk or a concern, in a variety of programs including, but not
limited to, child protective services, in-home services, support ser		otective services, in-home services, support services for children
	in foster care, add	option, and child abuse prevention.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other funds and	I F I Es associated v
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$208,962)	(\$1,044,809)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$208,962)	(\$1,044,809

Maintenance of Effort	State match is required at 25%. Social Security Act Title IV-B Subpart 1,
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	Section 424.
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
lows regulations or great provisions	

-10.80

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds	receipts reductions
Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.	

5 %	This funding supports child welfare services. Reduction of this funding would impact child welfare services
	provided statewide, to an extent. The reduction would not require a change in statute or rules, but would lessen
	our capacity to fulfill our statutory obligation for child welfare.
25 0/	mil 0 ti

25% This funding supports child welfare services. Reduction of this funding would impact child welfare services provided statewide, to an extent. The reduction may require a change in statute or rules.

*Actual FTE impa	act is unknown,	see the reducti	ion plan for	further in	formation
------------------	-----------------	-----------------	--------------	------------	-----------

108

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?			
	What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?		
5 %	5 % Less funding to support child welfare services. Request for replacement State funds is an option.		
25 %	See above.		

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs? Yes, the State would continue to be obligated to provide core child welfare services including child protective services investigation, in-home services (pre-placement prevention activities to prevent entry into foster care), and supports for children in foster care, and adoption services. Other resources are limited. 25 % See above.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

Department of Human Services Division of Child & Family Services (DCFS)

Family Violence Prevention and Services

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.671
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325; Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,642,495		
Number of FTEs	.67		
Recipients/Clients Served	unavailable		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Adults and children who are victims of domestic violence receiving services through		
	domestic violence shelters		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

state/other runds and	LEs associated wi	
5 %	25 %	
(\$82,125)	(\$410,624)	
(\$82,125)	(\$410,624)	
-0.03	-0.17	
	5 % (\$82,125)	

Maintenance of Effort	None
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws regulations or grant provisions	

Describe the program,	activity, or	expenditure t	ype that would	be impacted b	by the propose	d federal funds	receipts r	eductions.
Would this reduction	n require a	change in stat	tute or rules? I	f so list referen	ices.			

5% Reduction would impact funding for domestic violence shelter services in thirteen communities in Utah. This reduction would not require a change in statute or rules.

25 % Same as 5% above.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

Domestic violence shelters could see some decreased ability to serve adults and children seeking safety and services. Request for replacement State funds is an option.

25 %	Domestic violence shelters would have a significant decreased capacity. A decision would likely have to be
	made to either close some shelters or seriously underfund them all. Request for replacement State funds is an
	option.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- The State would be obligated to continue to meet Federal grant requirements for remaining funds, in accordance with Federal law and rules. Federal services would not be mandated beyond funding capacity.
- 25 % Same as 5% above.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

10/2021 Division of Finance

Department of Human Services Divisions of Child & Family Services (DCFS), Juvenile Justice Services (JJS) & Executive Director Operations (EDO)

Foster Care Title IV-E

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.658
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325; Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 25,511,055		
Number of FTEs	170.11		
Recipients/Clients Served	3,994		
(unduplicated)			
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Clients are children in foster care that qualify for Title IV-E. These children are legal wards of the State. Client counts (and FTEs) were not included for the Executive Director Progrations profiton		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

e) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$1,275,553)	(\$6,377,764)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$1,275,553)	(\$6,377,764)
FTEs*	-8.31	-41.53

Maintenance of Effort	Title IV-E requires state match as follows:
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance	of *Foster Care Maintenance – FMAP Rate
effort requirements. Include references to federal	*Foster Care Administration – 50%
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	*Enhanced Training Match – 25%
	Social Security Act Part E. 474: 45 CFR 1356.60

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references,

Loss of funding in this area impacts support and services for foster care. See below for further information from Divisions of Child & Family Services (DCFS) and Juvenile Justice Services (JJS):

DCFS: Reduction would impact funding to pay for room, board, and supervision of children in foster care, which are payments made to foster parents, small businesses that provide proctor or residential care, and local governments that provide shelter services; caseworkers (personnel) for children in foster care; administration of the foster care program; services to prevent entry into foster care; and training for staff, for individuals preparing for employment with DCFS, and for partner agencies. The reduction would not require a change in statute or rules, per se, but the reduction does NOT reduce the number of clients that will need foster care services nor

does it eliminate DCFS responsibility to care for the children in custody, which is a statutory responsibility (62A-4a-105). NOTE: Title IV-E is a Federal entitlement program, which means that the state may be reimbursed for all allowable costs on behalf of eligible children with no cap on funding. A major change in Federal law would be required to modify reimbursement to the State under this entitlement program.

JJS: Community based services and case management - Title IV-E helps pay the room and board of eligible clients and the administrative costs associated with those clients, including case management. Most youth in the temporary custody of JJS receive community-based services that serve as a platform/base for other services that delinquent youth receive. The community-based services are delivered primarily through a network of private providers. Private providers also deliver other services to youth, such as mental health assessments; therapy; gender specific programming for issues such as sex offending, substance dependency, mental health issues, and behavioral issues; tracking; etc. Because the residential community-based services qualify as a Title IV-E foster care setting, JJS receives Title IV-E funding for eligible clients placed in those settings. A reduction in federal participation does not result in the elimination of the need for community-based services for delinquent youth committed by a Juvenile Court. A reduction in Title IV-E would not require a change in statute.

25 % See above. Additional information from DCFS follows:

DCFS: A cut in funding of this magnitude may require a change in statute to narrow the population that could be ordered into DCFS custody by the courts. Without a statutory change, the funding reduction would not reduce the number of clients that will need foster care services nor would it eliminate DCFS responsibility to care for the children in custody (62A-4a-105). A major change in Federal law would be required to modify reimbursement to the State under this entitlement program.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

DCFS would see some reduced ability to provide basic care and supervision and clients' personal needs. Also impacted would be administrative and accountability functions, recruitment and retention of foster parents, and possibly some local agencies going out of business.

JJS would experience a reduction in available community-based bed days resulting in increased pressure on more expensive and restrictive, less appropriate institutional placements. Request for replacement State funds is an option.

25 % DCFS would see a significant reduced ability to provide basic care and supervision and clients' personal needs. Also impacted would be administrative and accountability functions, recruitment and retention of foster parents, and some local agencies going out of business.

JJS See above

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

DCFS: Yes, the state is obligated to provide foster care services and to complete administrative activities necessary to administer the Title IV-E plan. State general funds currently provide for these services for children that do not meet Title IV-E eligibility requirements. Other resources are not available to meet the needs currently funded by Title IV-E.

JJS: The state would still be required to identify those clients who are Title IV-E eligible (in order to continue to receive the remaining 95% of federal funds). Although unlikely, other short-term federal grants may be available to address the Title IV-E loss impact. Otherwise, there are not any other resources available to meet these needs.

25 % See above.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

Department of Human Services Division of Child & Family Services (DCFS)

IV-B Subpart 2, Promoting Safe and Stable Families

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.556
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325, Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 2,093,944		
Number of FTEs	1.5		
Recipients/Clients Served	2,426		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Children and families in which there is risk for child abuse and neglect, children in		
	foster care or returning home from foster care and their parents, and children		
	adopted from foster care or from other child welfare services and their adoptive		
	parents.		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$104,697)	(\$523,486)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$104,697)	(\$523,486)
FTEs*	-0.08	-0.38

Maintenance of Effort	State match is required at 25%, as well as MOE.	Social Security Act Title IV-B
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	Subpart 2, particularly Section 434.	
effort requirements. Include references to federal		
town as a deticate an arrant area delegan.		

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 8 Reduction would impact a variety of services that are available for target clients, such as family support services/parenting skills training, family preservation/intensive in-home services, reunification services/mental health or substance abuse treatment to parents of foster children, and adoption support/post-adoption support services to parents of adoptive children with serious disabilities, mental health problems, or other special needs, capacity for caseworker visits to clients, and support to kin caregivers. This would not require a change in statute or rules.
- Reductions would impact the same categories of services described above because the grant requires a minimum percentage of services in each of four categories, but would result in a more significant cut in available support to children and families. This would not require a change in statute or rules.

114

- What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

 What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
- Fewer grant funded services to fewer children and families, which may result in more and longer foster care.

 Non-profit agencies would likely reduce support services. Request for replacement State funds is an option.
- 25 % Same as 5% above.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- M The State would be obligated to continue to meet Federal grant requirements for remaining funds, in accordance with Federal law and rules. There are some community programs that provide services in the family support and kin caregiver areas, but less so in the other mandated categories. These programs would probably not be able to pick up the gap, and in some cases are the programs funded by this grant.
- 25 % The State would be obligated to continue to meet Federal grant requirements for remaining funds, in accordance with Federal law and rules. There are some community programs that provide services in the family support and kin caregiver areas, but less so in the other mandated categories. These programs would not be able to pick up the gap, and in some cases are the programs funded by this grant.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

Department of Human Services

Executive Director Operations (EDO) & Office of Recovery Services (ORS)

IV-D Child Support Collections / Incentives

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.563
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325, Don Moss(801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 25,328,159	
Number of FTEs	248	
Recipients/Clients Served	291,012	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Mothers, Fathers, &	& Children. Client counts (and FTEs) were not included for the
•	Executive Director	Operations portion

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease)) in State/other funds and FTEs associa		
Funding Information	5 %	25 %	
Federal	(\$1,266,408)	(\$6,332,040)	
State:			
General Fund			
Education Fund			
Transportation Fund			
Transportation Investment Fund			
Restricted Fund/Account Name:			
Other Fund:			
Dedicated Credits			
Other:			
Other:			
TOTAL	(\$1,266,408)	(\$6,332,040)	

FTEs*	-17.00	-79.00

Maintenance of Effort
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of
effort requirements. Include references to federal
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)

The State is required to contribute 34% to all IV-D (Child Support) expenditures. This contribution must be in the form of State General Funds and cannot be replaced with Fees assessed to clients receiving the service. See 45 CFR 304, 305.34, & 305.35, Section 455 of the Social Security Act.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % All services provided by the IV-D program are required. Expenditures within the IV-D program either support basic infrastructure that must remain in place for any IV-D program to exist or are related to personnel; therefore, any significant funding cut to the IV-D program will result in a decrease in personnel. Personnel cuts would reduce the time and resources that could be spent on individual cases. Ultimately this would reduce collections and increase complaints from constituents receiving services. A change to statute would not be required.
- The same answer would apply for a 25% cut except the cuts would be magnified to a level where minimum Federal performances standards may not be met. This would jeopardize future Federal funding for the IV-D program and the TANF block grant.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

	collections as well as a decrease in ability to establish legal paternity, establish support orders and modify orders
	to match current earning capacity. Lowered collections affects State funds and other State agencies as well
	custodial parents' ability to provide for their children. Additional public assistance applications for the neediest
	families would likely occur. Request for replacement State funds would be an option. Increased payment
	processing fees could be considered but only to a level where the fee does not exceed the cost of the service
	provided.
25 %	See above

5% Ability to adequately monitor cases and perform routine casework would be diminished, resulting in lower

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 M All services provided by ORS are mandated and would be required to be maintained. As a result, the cuts would be absorbed through additional staff reductions. This would increase case load sizes for remaining staff and reduce the time and quality of services that could be provided.
- 25 % A 25% cut would magnify the problem described above.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

Department of Human Services

Division of Substance Abuse & Mental Health (DSAMH)

Opioid Targeted Response Grants

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.788
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325, Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$11,818,656	
Number of FTEs	3.80	
Recipients/Clients Served	8,066	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Prescription opioids	and illicit opioids prevention, treatment, and recovery services for
	unfunded, underserv	ed youth (age 12 - 17) and adults (18+) at risk, or with an opioid use
	disorder.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$590,933)	(\$2,954,664
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$590,933)	(\$2,954,664
FTEs*	-0.19	-0.95

Maintenance of Effort	None	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal		
laws regulations or grant provisions)		

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reduction
Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % Opioid Substance Abuse programs in the community would be decreased. Expenditures would be reduced by the amount of the funding decrease. No change would be required in statute or rule.
- 25 % Same description as 5% above.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

Amounts paid to local substance abuse authorities would be reduced. These entities would likely serve fewer clients. Program expenditures would be reduced by the same amount as the revenue reduction. A funding decrease could result in a loss of jobs for staff in the local communities.

25 %	Same description as 5% above.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	No
25 %	No

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

Department of Human Services Divisions of Substance Abuse & Mental Health (DSAMH)

Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.959
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325; Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Federal Receipts	\$ 18,665,447	
Number of FTEs	5.40	
Recipients/Clients Served	19,650	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Number of clients is	a duplicated count. DSAMH does not collect data in a way that
	allows for unduplicat	ed counting. The clients served are those who were at risk of
	abusing substances	and/or abused substances during the period reported.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other funds and	d FTEs associated v
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$933,272)	(\$4,666,362)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$933,272)	(\$4,666,362)

FTEs*	-0.27	-1.35	
Maintenance of Effort	Maintenance of eff	ort is required by the	grant. It is calculated on a 2-year rolling
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	average. A dollar for	or dollar reduction in	federal funds is assessed for failure to
effort requirements. Include references to federal	meet the MOE requ	uirement. The penalt	y is assessed the year following MOE

	ibe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. ould this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.
5 %	Substance Abuse programs in the community would be decreased. Expenditures would be reduced by the amount of the funding decrease. No change would be required to Statute or Rule.
25 %	See above.

5 %	Majority of impact would be to Local Substance Abuse Authorities who would receive reduced funding. The Local Authorities would likely provide service to fewer clients. Expenditures would be reduced by the amount
	of the funding decrease. A funding decrease could result in a loss of jobs for staff in the local communities.
25 %	See above.
Are the	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
Are	there other resources available to meet these needs?
Are	there other resources available to meet these needs?
Are	there other resources available to meet these needs?

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information. 120

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

FTEs*

Department of Human Services Executive Director Operations (EDO) & Division of Substance Abuse & Mental Health (DSAMH)

Projects of Regional and National Significance

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.243
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325; Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ai i eai 2021 redetai riogiani inioi	mation.		
Federal Receipts	\$ 6,185,889		
Number of FTEs	8.0		
Recipients/Clients Served	73,291		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Substance Abuse p	revention services to adults from 25 – 55 years of age.	
·	Substance Abuse prevention activities also included physician and pharmacy		
	training, prescriptio	n drug take-back events, and website creation and maintenance.	
	Services were also	provided to mentally ill youth to assist them to successfully	
	transition to adultho	ood. Services to at-risk youth between the ages of 16-25 were	
		port training was provided to parents or other adults involved	
	with children with s	erious mental health conditions, and to individuals 18 years and	
	older in recovery fro	om serious mental illness and/or substance abuse disorders.	
	Recipient client cou	ints include-services that benefit homeless individuals, behavioral	
	health workforce ed	lucation & training, zero suicide project, youth and young adults	
	at clinical high risk	for psychosis, and state youth treatment programs. Client count	
	for the "YES" grant	is not included.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$309,294)	(\$1,546,472)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$309,294)	(\$1,546,472)

Maintenance of Effort	None.	
Maintenance of Enort	None.	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	1	
effort requirements. Include references to federal		
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)		
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.	·	

-0.40

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

*Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

5 %	A reduction in funds would result in a decrease in services provided to assist mentally ill adolescents
	successfully transition to adult living, mentally ill adults needing supported employment assistance, and
	community based substance abuse prevention activities. No change in statute or rules needed.
25 %	Same description as 5% above

	What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?				
	What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?				
what shanges in program(s), services, expenditures, rees, etc. would be made in this reduction to imperimented:					
5 % Amounts paid to providers (mostly local Substance Abuse and Mental Health Authorities) would be reduce					
		These entities would likely serve fewer clients. Program expenditures would be reduced by the same amount as			
		the revenue reduction. A funding decrease could result in a loss of jobs for staff in the local communities.			
	25 %	Same description as 5% above			
		•			

	Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?			
Are	Are there other resources available to meet these needs?			
5 %	No.			
25 %	No.			

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

FTEs*

Department of Human Services

Social Services Block Grant, Discretionary & TANF Transfers

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.667
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325; Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

1 1 441 2021 1 444141 11051411 1110111441011				
Federal Receipts	\$25,812,327			
Number of FTEs	248.36			
Recipients/Clients Served	14,224			
(unduplicated)				
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Funds are used for	or support and delivery of social services. Clients include		
	vulnerable popula	tion of the state such as the elderly, at-risk children, and		
	individuals with disabilities. Client counts (and FTEs) were not included for all areas			
	receiving funding.			

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other funds and FTEs associated wi	
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$1,290,616)	(\$6,453,082)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$1,290,616)	(\$6,453,082)

Maintenance of Effort	None.
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
lows regulations or great provisions	

-12.42

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
5 %	These funds are integral to the Human Service programs. A reduction to the grant will result in less funds for the Department of Human Services.		

5 /6	These funds are integral to the Human Service programs. A reduction to the grant will result in less funds for
	the Department of Human Services.
	•
25 %	See above

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 %	There will be reduced funding to support the vulnerable populations of the State.
25 %	See above.

Į					
	Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?				
	Are there other resources available to meet these needs?				
	5 %	Yes, the funding is integral to Human Services programs. Resources for these programs are limited.			
	25 %	See above.			

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

Department of Human Services Divisions of Substance Abuse & Mental Health (DSAMH)

State of Utah Emergency Behavioral Health Grants

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.665
this program	
tilis program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325. Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ar 1 tar 2021 1 tagram 110 Gram minormanom			
Federal Receipts	\$ 1,883,798		
Number of FTEs	1.50		
Recipients/Clients Served	42,080		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served Funds are prima impacted by the costs mainly cov supplement thos authorities and of authorities and authorities are authorities and authorities and authorities and authorities and authorities and authorities and authorities are authorities and authorities and authorities and authorities and authorities and authorities are authorities and		y used to support direct treatment services for individuals OVID-19 pandemic. Although program staff have their personnel red by other funding sources, it does allow the division to costs. The main source of utilization is passed on to local er State objectives that help to mitigate the risk of suicide and while combatting economic challenges that have been presented iic.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) if	n State/other lunds and	FIES associated wi
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$94,190)	(\$470,950)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$94,190)	(\$470,950)
FTEs*	-0.0	-0.0

Maintenance of Effort	N/A
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.				
5 %	Although a potential reduction to available funds would not require a change in statute or rules, even a 5% cut			
	could be detrimental to planned efforts to alleviate the emotional and economic burdens faced by the programs'			
	recipients.			
25 %	Similarly, no change would be required, but would more severely impact the State's ability to assist individuals			
	with these federal funds.			

126

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

Various projects would be scaled back to accommodate a 5% reduction including assigned mental crisis teams, including training efforts for staff and warm line responders. This would also reduce the operational capacity to assist with intensive outpatient programs for alcohol and simulants, post-loss outreach by the Medical Examiner and treatment and supports for marginalized populations.

25 % The same impacts described above would apply to a quarter reduction, but would significantly take away these services in many areas, including poorly resourced rural areas.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % It is hard to answer whether any of these services are considered "mandated", but they are expected to be carried out to the financial limits granted by SAMHSA. Should any funds be reduced, there would be a direct impact to many Utah communities facing mental health and substance abuse crises. Given the circumstances we are in, this is an area that should not be reduced. There are other funding sources available for these services, but they are already being expended fully.
- 25 % Same as above on a larger scale.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

Department of Human Services Division of Aging and Adult Services (DAAS)

Title III Grants for State & Community Programs on Aging and **Nutritional Services Incentive Program (NSIP)**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.043, 93.044, 93.045, 93.052, 93.053
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325, Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 12,401,415		
Number of FTEs	At the AAA Local Level		
Recipients/Clients Served	46,580		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Duplicate count of vulnerable adults receiving home delivered meals, congregate		
	meals, personal care, supportive services, transportation, nutrition counseling, etc.		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other runds an	d FTEs associated w
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$620,071)	(\$3,100,354)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$620,071)	(\$3,100,354)

FTEs*	0	0	
Maintenance of Effort	The Division must	certify yearly that Ma	aintenance of Effort requi
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	heen met Matchin	a includes: 25% Sta	te match for State Admir

effort requirements. Include references to federal laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)

uirement have match for AAA Admin; 5% match for IIIB, IIIC1, IIIC2; and 25% match for IIIE programs. None required for the Ombudsman Program, NSIP grant or IIID. OAA Section 1321.47 & 49. See OMB A-133 Compliance Supplement.

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	Meals and support services will be reduced.		
25 %	Meals and support services will be reduced. State program oversight would be impacted.		

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?			
5 %	Fewer meals served, longer waiting lists, hours of operation at local senior centers would be reduced. Request for replacement State Funds is an option.		
25 %	Same as 5% above.		

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?					
	5 %	The Older Americans Act requires these programs to be run. Less funding would impact extent of services.			
	25 %	Same as 5% above.			

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

Department of Human Services Divisions of Substance Abuse & Mental Health (DSAMH)

Utah Strong Project Recovery

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.982
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Kody Harvey (385) 479-4325. Don Moss (801) 538-4142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 2,195,837		
Number of FTEs	40		
Recipients/Clients Served	245,180		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The Utah Strong R	ecovery Project was developed by the State of Utah	
	Department of Hun	nan Services, Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health	
	in response to the	COVID 19 Pandemic to all Utah residents. The program	
	covers all 29 count	ies in Utah, including areas occupied by four federally	
	recognized Tribes.	Utah Strong disaster crisis counselors provide community	
	outreach that inclu	des face-to-face contacts, outreach, crisis counseling groups,	
	educational groups	, working with community providers to help those effected by	
	the COVID19 Pand	lemic who are experiencing feelings of grief and loss. The	
	program focuses its efforts on the following high risk populations: Skilled Nursing		
	Facilities (SNFs) and Assisted Living Facilities (ALFs) caring for older adults,		
	especially those wh	no have underlying medical conditions, are highly vulnerable to	
	illness from COVID19 and are reporting increased fear and anxiety due to		
	isolation caused by social distancing measures; First Responders/Healthcare		
	Workers/Social Service Providers who are working in healthcare environments		
	are exposed to the	virus more than those who are distancing; Social Service	
	providers including	those providing support for individuals experiencing homeless	
	have also been imp	pacted as homeless shelters have been hard hit by outbreaks	
	of COVID19; Children and Youth involved in Utah's public school system that		
	have had the school	ol year disrupted; Tribes and ethnic and cultural minority	
	groups that have b	een disproportionately affected who were diagnosed with	
	COVID19 and their	families; and those experiencing homelessness in Utah.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021: (Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$109,792)	(\$548,959)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$109,792)	(\$548,959)

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information. $$^{\rm 130}$$

FIES"	-0.0	-0.0
Maintenance of Effort	N/A	
(Describe and Otata matchine and/or maintenance of		

effort requirements. Include references to federal

laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)

	be the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Ild this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.
5 %	The funding is going to expire on 12/27/21 and therefore there would not be any impact from reductions made at this point. The same is true for all other responses below.
25 %	See above.
	would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	See above.
25 %	See above.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	See above.
25 %	See above.

^{*}Actual FTE impact is unknown, see the reduction plan for further information.

Utah Labor Commission

UOSH (Utah OSHA) Compliance

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	17.503
	11.000
this program	
1 3	
Agency contact name and phone number	Phu Le 801- 530-6816
5 ,	
	Butch Luers 801-530-6335

Fiscal Year 2020 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$1,675,100.00	
Number of FTEs	26.98	
Recipients/Clients Served	1,175 workplace	
	interventions;	
	Utah OSHA has	
	jurisdiction over	
	1,522,690	
	employees and	
	106,639	
	employers	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Employers and em	ployees that have significant workplace safety risks.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

insert amount of expected increase/(decrease)	in State/other funds at	nd F I Es associated wit
Funding Information	5%	25%
Federal:	(\$ 83,755)	(\$ 418,755)
State:		
General Fund	(\$ 83,755)	(\$ 418,755)
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name;	\$ 0.0	\$ 0.0
Other Fund		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL:	(\$ 167,510)	(\$ 837,550)

X		
ETEc	2.0	10.0

Maintenance of Effort
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)

The agreement with federal OSHA requires that the state maintain a program that is "as effective as" the federal program. The agreement requires that a certain number of employees be dedicated to compliance work. In addition, the State is required to provide a 50/50 state fund to federal fund match for compliance activities.

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	UOSH compliance efforts would have to be reduced. This reduction would not require a change in statute or rules.		
25 %	UOSH compliance efforts would have to be reduced. This reduction would not require a change in statute or rules.		

132

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?
What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5 % Compliance with federal and state workplace safety rules may decrease if public and private employers become aware that inspections will be reduced. Safety of private employees, and state and local governmental workers could be compromised.
- 25 % Compliance with federal and state workplace safety rules may decrease if public and private employers become aware that inspections will be reduced. Safety of private employees, and state and local governmental workers could be compromised.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % Yes, there are mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain. At a 5% reduction, the State could maintain the level of service required by Utah statute and the existing agreement with the federal agency OSHA.
- Yes, there are mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain. At a 25% reduction, federal OSHA would need to determine whether the baseline requirement for compliance efforts would remain in effect, since resulting staffing reductions would likely bring the UOSH Division under the staffing levels required under our federal agreement.

133

+

Utah National Guard

Law Enforcement Assistance

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

	Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	16
Ì	Agency contact name and phone number	UNG, Michael J. Norton, 801-432-4445

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 4,696,803
Number of FTEs	110
Recipients/Clients Served	US Dept. of Justice
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Drug Enforcement Agency

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease)	n State/other funds and	FTEs associated wi
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$234,840)	(\$1,174,201)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$234,840)	(\$1,174,201)
FTEs	-3.18	-15.88

Maintenance of Effort	No State matching funds. This program is managed in accordance with a
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	Labor hour contract and a reimbursement agreement between the U.S.
effort requirements. Include references to federal	Department of Justice and the Utah National Guard. It is important to note
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	that this is a law enforcement sensitive program. Support is directly to the
	national level, but information is shared by DEA with multiple federal and
	state agencies.

5 %	The program provides linguist support for the Counter Drug Program. This program supports hundreds of Drug
	Enforcement Agency cases each year. A 5% funding reduction would result in a corresponding cut in cases supported. This would result in a reduction of 3-4 FTE. It would not require a change in statute or rules.
25 %	A 25% funding reduction would result in a corresponding cut in cases supported. This would result in a reduction of about 16 FTE. It would not require a change in statute or rules.

The program would become moderately smaller, fewer services provided to DEA, and expenditures would be reduced. The program does not collect fees. 25 % A 25% reduction would adversely affect the translation capabilities of the Counter Drug program. The program would become significantly smaller with fewer services provided to DEA. The program does not collect fees. Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs? 5 % No mandated federal services. 25 % No mandated federal services

A 5% reduction would cause a corresponding reduction in translation capabilities of the Counter Drug program.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

Utah National Guard

Military Construction Cooperative Agreement

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Federal Fiscal Year 2021

12.400
Michael J. Norton (801) 432-4445

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

1,061,562 0 munities.	
0	
munities	
infulfilles,	
J.S. Army	
Utah, U.S. Army Military Construction provides construction and major capital improvements of facilities necessary to support the 7,000 Soldiers and Airmen of the UNG and over 11,000 Soldiers who train here. These facilities are essential to National Guard readiness to respond to national and state emergencies. UNG facilities become an integral part of their communities supporting, directly or indirectly, citizens of the community. Military construction funds are appropriated by project. As state funds are expended on the project, federal reimbursement is requested. This accounts for the federal receipts. The funds are already obligated and cannot be cut.	
r So parit	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$53,078)	(\$265,391)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$53,078)	(\$265,391)
FTEs	0	0

Maintenance of Effort	In 2019, we received about \$900,000 in federal funds for design, with a state
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	share of \$300,000. Those funds could not be affected. MILCON funds for
effort requirements. Include references to federal	construction are programmed in 2022 for \$16,300,000 with a state match of
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	\$5,464,200 (received in State FY 2020). If we do not receive the federal funds,
	we will not complete the project and consult GOPB on the return of the state
	funds in full or in part.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

5 %

Large military construction projects are approved at the national level by project. A 5% funding cut would cause a minor change in the scope of a project. The funding cuts would result in 0 FTE staffing reduction. The reduction would not require any change in statute or rules.

25 %

A 25% funding cut would dramatically affect the scope of a project and may cause a project to be cancelled or delayed. Funds could be redirected to another project. A funding cut would not directly result in a staff reduction. The reduction would not require any change in statute or rules.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

5 %	A 5% funding reduction could result in a reduction in scope of work with a minor reduction in the effectiveness of a given facility or project and a corresponding minor impact to the effectiveness of training Soldiers for federal and state missions.
25 %	A 25% budget cut would dramatically alter the scope or result in postponement or cancellation of a construction

A 25% budget cut would dramatically alter the scope or result in postponement or cancellation of a construction project. This would cause a lack of a training facility, forcing units to travel elsewhere or make due with inadequate facilities. It would result in additional training costs to travel to an adequate facility. It could result in the loss or damage of expensive military equipment without adequate and secure storage. The mission readiness of the Utah National Guard would be affected by a reduced or delayed facility. Many older facilities suffer health and safety problems which may cause additional expenses to correct without a new or improved facility.

	Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	No mandated federal services.		
25 %	No mandated federal services.		

Utah National Guard Military Operations and Maintenance

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	12.401
Agency contact name and phone number	Michael J. Norton (801) 432-4445

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ai i cai 2021 i caciai i logiani inioi	mation.	
Federal Receipts	\$ 37,497,731	
Number of FTEs	198	
Recipients/Clients Served	State, Army,	
	Air Force	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The UNG has ente Bureau (NGB). Und reimburses UNG fo agreements provide necessary to suppo Guard. It provides to 24 communities thr Airport. These activ	red into a Master Cooperative Agreement with the National Guard der the terms of the various appendices of this agreement, NGB or certain operations and maintenance expenses. These e federal funding in whole or in part to various programs or the 5,500 Soldiers and 1,500 Airmen of the Utah National funds for facility maintenance of Army National Guard armories in oughout Utah and the Air National Guard base at the Salt Lake ritles are essential to the National Guard readiness to respond to mergencies. National Guard units become an integral part of
		supporting, directly or indirectly, all citizens of Utah.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

State/other fullus alle	d FTEs associated w
5 %	25 %
(\$1,874,887)	(\$9,374,433)
(\$1,874,887)	(\$9,374,433)
	5 % (\$1,874,887)

FTEs	-9.38	-46.88
Maintenance of Effort	LING and NGP ha	vo entered into a Mar

Maintenance of Effort	UNG and NGB have entered into a Master Cooperative Agreement with 14
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	appendices. Funding share is determined by the appendices as outlined below.
effort requirements. Include references to federal	1 – Army National Guard (ARNG) Facilities Program: various percentage of
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	federal reimbursement based on the type of facility and type of cost. Some
	costs are 100% federal, 100% state, 75%/25%, or 50%/50%.
	2 – ARNG Environmental Program: 100% federal reimbursement.
	3 – ARNG Security Program: 100% federal reimbursement.
	4 – ARNG Electronic Security Systems: 100% federal reimbursement.
	5 – ARNG "Telecommunications" Program: 100% federal reimbursement.
	7 – ARNG Training Support System Program: 100% federal reimbursement.
	10 – ARNG Antiterrorism Program: 100% federal reimbursement.
	11 – ARNG Emergency Mgmt. Program: 100% federal reimbursement.
	14 – ARNG Administrative Services: 100% federal reimbursement.
	15 – ARNG Petroleum Program: 100% federal reimbursement.
	21 – Air National Guard (ANG) Facilities Program: 75% federal reimbursement.
	24 – ANG Fire Protection: 100% federal reimbursement.

40 – ARNG Distributed Learning Program: 100% federal reimbursement. 41 – Family Program: 100% federal reimbursement

Federal funding reductions will result in reducing expenditures in these programs. However, some costs, such as facility O&M, security, telecommunications, and emergency management, must continue without regard to federal funding.

The state would have an obligation to continue paying utilities and some maintenance for our 535 major facilities. Some facilities may be closed.

The federal funding for these programs is appropriated by congress and then apportioned and distributed by the Army and Air Force through NGB to the UNG. All federal funding is not interchangeable. It is not likely that there would be a 5% or 25% "across the board" funding reduction. More likely, is a funding cut that would devastate some programs and leave others less effected. The effect on personnel could vary widely depending on which program is cut. For example, a \$1 million cut in the Family Support Program would cut about 10 FTE. A \$1 million cut in the ARNG Facilities Program may not effect FTE.

The effects of potential federal funding cuts go far beyond the state budget. Total federal funding to the UNG was about \$371 million. Only a portion of that is represented in the State budget with the rest being spent directly through federal programs and payroll. A 5% cut would be about \$18.5 million. A 25% cut would be about \$18.5 million. A 25% cut could dramatically reduce UNG readiness to respond to state and federal missions. The FTE cuts presented here only address State of Utah employees. Depending on the federal program being cut, we would also expect UNG federal employee cuts.

A short term funding decrease will have less effect than a long term funding decrease. For example, some facility repair or maintenance may be delayed in a short term funding reduction with little long term effect. A long term funding reduction causing a long term facility repair or maintenance delay could lead to a more expensive facility failure. The longer funding levels are reduced, the greater the negative effects.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5% A 5% cut in federal funding would have a minor effect on the UNG facilities programs and a significant effect if applied solely to other programs. A 5% reduction in funding will have a measurable effect on the training readiness of National Guard Soldiers and Airmen. A general 5% funding cut may result in a 9 FTE cut. If applied specifically to certain programs, the FTE cut could be greater. The reduction would not require any change in statute or rules.
- A 25% funding cut would adversely affect the operation, training, and readiness of the Utah National Guard. We would expect deterioration of facility conditions due to the lack of maintenance funds. Multiple years of reduced funding could result in health, life, safety, and security problems in facilities. We would have to consider closing facilities. We would have to dramatically reduce our telecommunications, security surveillance, and fuel support to training units. It would devastate family programs which provide essential support to military families prior to, during, and after deployment. A general 25% funding cut could result in 44 FTEs staffing reduction. Depending on which programs are effected, FTE cuts could be more or less. The reduction would not require any change in statute or rules.

What v	would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?
Wha	at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	A 5% federal funding reduction would have a measurable effect on the readiness of the Utah National Guard. There could be a negative effect on training and logistical readiness. It could also adversely affect facility maintenance. Depending on the program cut, some programs may need to be discontinued or curtailed. The National Guard would still maintain the capability to deploy in support of State and national emergencies.
25 %	A 25% cut would have a devastating effect on the training, operations, maintenance, and mission readiness of the Utah National Guard. We would have to consider closing National Guard facilities which would have a dramatic impact on the local community. The mission readiness of National Guard units would noticeably decrease. Critical training facilities and activities would have to be reduced. The ability to respond to state and local emergencies would be reduced. It may reduce the personnel strength of the National Guard. The reduced readiness would directly or indirectly affect each community and the State.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

5 %

No mandated federal requirements.

No mandated federal requirements. However, expenses such as facility operations costs (utilities, for example), some telecommunications, and security will continue. We expect that a federal funding cut would consider and prioritize where the cuts would be made to ensure the most critical needs are funded and cuts come from lower priority programs. An "across the board" 25% cut or a cut applied to one of these critical requirements would likely require the State to maintain some critical services.

This page is blank

09/20201 Division of Finance

Department of Natural Resources **Abandoned Mine Reclamation Program**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	15.252
Agency contact name and phone number	Eric Hyatt, (385) 332-6272

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

U d	ii i eai 2021 redelai riogiani inioi	manon.	
	Federal Receipts	\$2,618,768	
	Number of FTEs	11	
	Recipients/Clients Served	3,200,000	
	Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The Abandoned Mi	ne Lands Program (AMRP) protects public health and safety,
			onment by sealing open mines, stabilizes coal waste and
			disturbed by mining past mining activities and returns these lands
			Γherefore, all citizens of the State, Visitors to the State, Outdoor
		recreation users in	cluding OHV users and hikers, and downstream communities of
		local mining district	s are impacted by this grant

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

""" of preceded increase) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	\$130,938	\$536,847
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$130,938	\$536,847
FTF-	0.5	2.0

IILS	-0.5	-5.0	
Maintenance of Effort	No state match is r	equired on the main	source of federal funds for this
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	program. However	, sometimes there are	e projects with certain federal
effort requirements. Include references to federal	agencies which red	quire state match fun-	ds, but only minimal state funds are
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	available which res	strict our participation	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- No change in statute or rules. The AMRP would reduce engineering and/or construction work designed to reclaim hazards at abandoned mine sites. Mine openings would remain open longer and threaten the public's health and safety.
- 25 % No change in statute or rules. The AMRP would greatly reduce engineering and/or construction work designed to reclaim hazards at abandoned mine sites. Mine openings would remain open much longer and threaten the public's health and safety.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

	5 %	The liability of the State would continue by allowing identified abandoned mine related hazards to remain open and unreclaimed longer.
Ī	25 %	The State would reduce the amount of work outsourced to engineering and design consultants and construction
		contractors. Local businesses that supply engineering and/or construction workers (motel, restaurant, grocery, etc.) would see reduction in income derived from abandoned mine reclamation work.

	Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?		
Are	there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	If the AMRP is unable to perform these services, the State primacy program could return to the federal agency.		
25 %	TI AMBB' de la trace de la circa del circa de la circa de la circa de la circa del circa de la circa del circa de la circa del circa de la circa del circa de la circa dela circa de la circa de la circa del circa del circa dela circa d		
25 /0	The Thirte is the only state agency with a mission to rectain actuached mine related nazaras, minimum state		
	funding is available for these purposes. Until all sites are reclaimed, the State's liability on State owned property		
	will remain		

Department of Natural Resources

Abandoned Mine Environmental Protection Program (BLM)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	15.236
Agency contact name and phone number	Eric Hyatt, (385) 332-6272

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

vu	i i cai 2021 i caciai i logialli illioi	mation.		
ſ	Federal Receipts	\$1,176,988		
[Number of FTEs	10		
	Recipients/Clients Served	3,200,000		
	Describe Recipients/Clients Served		ine Lands Program (AMRP) protects public health and safety,	
			onment by sealing open mines, stabilizes coal waste and	
		revegetates areas	disturbed by mining past mining activities and returns these lands	
		to productive use. Therefore all citizens of the State, Visitors to the State, Outdoor		
		recreation users in	cluding OHV users and hikers, and downstream communities of	
		local mining district	ts are impacted by this grant.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in 3	state/other funds and	FTEs associated wi
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	\$58,849	\$294,247
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$58,849	\$294,247
FTFs	-0.5	-25

FIES	-0.5	-2.3	
Maintenance of Effort	No state match is r	equired on the main	source of federal funds for this
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	program. However	, sometimes there ar	e projects with certain federal
effort requirements. Include references to federal	agencies which red	quire state match fun	ds, but only minimal state funds are
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	available which res	trict our participation	i.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reduction
Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

5 %	No change in statute or rules. The AMRP would reduce construction work designed to reclaim hazards at
	abandoned mine sites. Mine openings would remain open longer and threaten the public's health and safety.

No change in statute or rules. The AMRP would <u>greatly reduce</u> construction work designed to reclaim hazards at abandoned mine sites. Mine openings would remain open much longer and threaten the public's health and safety.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 %	The liability of the State would increase by allowing identified abandoned mine related hazards to remain open and unreclaimed longer.	
25 %	The State would reduce the amount of work outsourced to construction contractors. Local businesses that supply	
	engineering and/or construction workers (motel, restaurant, grocery, etc.) would see reduction in income derived	
	from abandoned mine reclamation work.	

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?
Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

5 % If the AMRP is unable to perform these services, the State primacy program could return to the federal agency.

The AMRP is the only state agency with a mission to reclaim abandoned mine related hazards. Minimal State funding is available for these purposes. Until all sites are reclaimed, the State's liability on State owned property will remain.

FTEs

Department of Natural Resources **Boating Safety Coast Guard**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	97.012
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Ty Hunter 801 440-5106

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

vu	i i cai 2021 i caciai i logialli illioi		
	Federal Receipts	\$ 3,660,225	
	Number of FTEs	0	
	Recipients/Clients Served	25 State Parks and an	
		estimate of 62,000	
		registered boats	
	Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Boating projects, patrol l	poats, ramps, etc.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %	
Federal	\$183,011	\$915,056	
State:			
General Fund			
Education Fund			
Transportation Fund			
Transportation Investment Fund			
Restricted Fund/Account Name:			
Other Fund:			
Dedicated Credits			
Other:			
Other:			
TOTAL	\$183,011	\$915,056	

- 1	Maintenance of Effort	We do not receive a state match for this grant.
	Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	
1	aws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- The Program aims to educate and inform boat operators about boating laws and rules established to provide public safety and protect our natural resources on Utah's waterways. Our efforts are funded through boat registration fees, state taxes on gasoline used in motorboats, and federal boating safety grants. If the funding is reduced less money would be available to maintain boating.
- 25% A funding reduction would result in less money being available to us in maintaining and purchasing boats, building docks, etc.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 % The impact on recipients would have a negative impact because fewer resources would be available to educate and inform boat operators about boating laws. Fewer boating related improvement projects would be constructed. These laws were established to provide public safety and protect our natural resources on Utah's waterways.

25 %	The impact on recipients would have a negative impact because fewer resources would be available to educate
	and inform boat operators about boating laws. Fewer boating related improvement projects would be
	constructed. These laws were established to provide public safety and protect our natural resources on Utah's
	waterways.

-	Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 % There are no mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal fund		There are no mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut.	
- 1	25 %	There are no mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut.	

Department of Natural Resources Coal Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	15.250
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Eric Hyatt (385)-332-6272

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$1,851,437		
Number of FTEs	15		
Recipients/Clients Served	3,200,000		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Over 80% of the electricity generated in Utah is from coal. Therefore, all citizens of the		
	state, coal mining operators and communities, downstream water users are impacted		
	by this grant.		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

**Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %	
Federal	\$92,572	\$462,859	
State:			
General Fund			
Education Fund			
Transportation Fund			
Transportation Investment Fund			
Restricted Fund/Account Name:			
Other Fund:			
Dedicated Credits			
Other:			
Other:			
TOTAL	\$92,572	\$462,859	

FIES	-1	-4	
Maintenance of Effort	Funding is based of	n the percentage of	federal lands involved in coal mining
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	in Utah. Funding is	approximately 90%	federal funds and 10% General
effort requirements. Include references to federal	Funds (state match	1).	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	,	<i>'</i>	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % No change in statute or rules. The program would lose approximately 1 FTE. The permitting and inspecting process for coal mines would be performed by remaining staff, thus causing a slower response time to the coal
- 25 % No change in statute or rules. The program would lose approximately 4 FTEs. The permitting and inspecting process for coal mines would be performed by remaining staff, thus causing a much slower response time to the coal mines and not as many inspections will be completed.

	What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?				
l	what changes in program(s), services, experiorities, rees, etc. would be made it this reduction is implemented?				
	5 %	The impact to the mining community would be that the approvals of their permits would take longer and will slow down production and their ability to make money.			
	25 %	The impact to the mining community would be that the approvals of their permits would take much longer and the mandatory inspections would not get done and will slow down production and their ability to make money.			

- We would still have to provide the services delegated to us by the cooperative agreement with the Secretary of the Interior.
- We would still have to provide the services delegated to us by the cooperative agreement with the Secretary of the Interior. If we are unable to do these services, the federal agency may need to take back the primacy.

09/2021 Division of Finance

FTEs

Department of Natural Resources **Cooperative Agreements**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	10.025, 10.664, 10.691, 10.902, 10.914, 12.632, 15.244, 15.247, 15.504,
this program	15.517, 15.529, 15.535, 15.608, 15.615, 15.655, 15.954
Agency contact name and phone number	Karen Caldwell, (801) 538-4850

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 10,214,870.19
Number of FTEs	20
Recipients/Clients Served	Public
Describe Recipients/Clients Served Co-Operation	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021: (Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease)	in State/other funds ar	nd FTEs associate
Federal	\$510,744	\$2,553,718
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$510,744	\$2,553,718

Maintenance of Effort	N/A
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
laws regulations or grant provisions)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- These are funds that are used for projects impacting a wide variety of species. These include, but are not limited to: owls, foxes, big horn sheep, birds, rabbits, range trend projects, guzzlers, and watershed projects.
- These are funds that are used for projects impacting a wide variety of species. These include, but are not limited to: owls, foxes, big horn sheep, birds, rabbits, range trend projects, guzzlers, and watershed projects.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented? A reduction in funds would cause fewer projects to be completed. Because these projects affect everything from big game to fish, the quantity and quality for hunters and fishers would be diminished. A reduction in funds would cause fewer projects to be completed. Because these projects affect everything from big game to fish, the quantity and quality for hunters and fishers would be diminished.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?			
	5 %	No	
	25 %	No	

FTEs

Department of Natural Resources

Fish, Wildlife, and Plant Conservation Resource Management

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	15.231, 15.233
Agency contact name and phone number	Karen Caldwell, (801) 538-4850

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 12,956,406.45
Number of FTEs	9
Recipients/Clients Served	Public
Describe Desinients/Cliente Conved	Materohad

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

in State/other funds	and FTEs associate
5 %	25 %
\$647,820	\$3,239,102
\$647,820	\$3,239,102
	5 % \$647,820

Maintenance of Effort	N/A
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
lows regulations or great provisions	

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
5 %	These are funds that are used to assist in the advancement of watershed restoration, conservation, and the improvement and maintenance of fish and wildlife habitat			
25 %				

25 % These are funds that are used to assist in the advancement of watershed restoration, conservation, and the improvement and maintenance of fish and wildlife habitat

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented? A reduction in funds would cause fewer projects to be completed. Because these projects affect everything from big game to fish, the quantity and quality for hunters and fishers would be diminished. A reduction in funds would cause fewer projects to be completed. Because these projects affect everything from big game to fish, the quantity and quality for hunters and fishers would be diminished.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?			
5 %	No		
25 %	No		

09/2021 Division of Finance

Department of Natural Resources Forestry, Fire, and State Lands

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

10.664, 10.676, 10.680, 10.691, 10.932, 15.228
Stacy Carroll, 801-538-7307

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 6,916,859
Number of FTEs	31
Recipients/Clients Served	Approx. 2500

Describe Recipients/Clients Served Private landowners of forested lands, fire departments, counties, cities, etc.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in a	State/other funds and	FTEs associated wi
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	\$345,843	\$1,729,215
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$345,843	\$1,729,215
FTEs	-2.5	-13

Maintenance of Effort	Some grants do not require matching funds. Others require up to a 50%
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	match. Depending on the grant, the match may be required from the private
effort requirements. Include references to federal	landowner or recipient of the service. State funds used for fire suppression
laws, regulations, or grant provisions,)	efforts are used as match for some grants.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % Hazardous fuels reduction and mitigation work in wildland urban interface (WUI) areas would decrease. With less preventative work, an increase in catastrophic wildfires and State suppression costs could occur. No changes to statutes would need to be made.
- 25 % Hazardous fuels reduction and mitigation work in wildland urban interface (WUI) areas would decrease. With less preventative work, an increase in catastrophic wildfires and State suppression costs could occur. No changes to statutes would need to be made.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- Fewer funds used to mitigate WUI areas would mean fewer landowners and areas would have access to sources to reduce fuels thus increasing the fuel loads in WUI areas along with increased threat to life and property. Rural fire departments would receive less funding to purchase supplies needed to fight wildfires. The division would have less funds to perform hazardous fuels reduction and would have to cut staff that perform fuel mitigation and suppression work.
- Fewer funds used to mitigate WUI areas would mean fewer landowners and areas would have access to sources to reduce fuels thus increasing the fuel loads in WUI areas along with increased threat to life and property. Rural fire departments would receive less funding to purchase supplies needed to fight wildfires. The division would have less funds to perform hazardous fuels reduction and would have to cut staff that perform fuel mitigation and suppression work.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % No. The only other funding source to continue this work would be State funds.
- 25 % No. The only other funding source to continue this work would be State funds.

Department of Natural Resource

Land and Water Conservation Fund

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	15.916
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Pit Grewe 435 770 -6525

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

1 car 2021 1 cacian 110 grann innor	11100010111		
Federal Receipts	995,886		
Number of FTEs	0		
Recipients/Clients Served	Cities , Counties		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The funding is pas	s-through to Cities and Counties	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2020:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other funds and F	LEs associated w
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	\$49,794	\$248,971
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$49,794	\$248,971
ETE	0.0	0.0

Maintenance of Effort	We do not receive a state match for this grant
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions,)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % This Land and Water Conservation Fund program provides funding for cities and counties to improve and or build parks and other recreation facilities. If this funding is not received there would be a reduction of funding available to the Land and Water Conservation Fund.
- This Land and Water Conservation Fund program provides funding for cities and counties to improve and or build parks and other recreation facilities. If this funding is not received there would be a reduction of funding available to the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?
What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

There would be fewer city parks and recreation facilities in the cities and counties if the funding was reduced.

There would be fewer city parks and recreation facilities in the cities and counties if the funding was reduced.

		ore mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5	%	none
25	%	none

FTEs

Department of Natural Resources Recreational Trails Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	20.219
Agency contact name and phone number	Chris Haller 801 349-0487

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

 1 1 001 2021 1 000101 1 10810111 111101	11100110111	
Federal Receipts	\$ 1,200,093	
Number of FTEs	0	
Recipients/Clients Served	Patrons that access	
	non-motorized trails	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The funding is pass-throug	h to Cities and Counties.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	\$60,004	\$300,023
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$60,004	\$300,023

Maintenance of Effort	We do not receive a state mate	tch for this
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of		
effort requirements. Include references to federal		
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)		

-0.0

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reduction	ons
Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.	

5 % This National Trails program provides funding for cities and counties to improve and or build non-motorized trails.

-0.0

- If this funding is not received there would be a reduction of funding available for non-motorized trails.
- 25 % This National Trails program provides funding for cities and counties to improve and or build non-motorized trails.

If this funding is not received there would be a reduction of funding available for non-motorized trails.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 % There would be fewer non-motorized trails built or maintained if the services were not received or the funding was reduced.

	was reduced.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	None
25 %	None

25 % There would be fewer non-motorized trails built or maintained if the services were not received or the funding

09/2021 Division of Finance

FTEs

Department of Natural Resources **Sportfish Restoration**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	15.605
Agency contact name and phone number	Karen Caldwell, (801) 538-4850

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 6,606,004.91	
Number of FTEs	62	
Recipients/Clients Served	Public	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Sportfish Management, Hatchery Management, Aquatic Education, Motorboat	
·	Access	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Incort amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated		
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	\$330,300	\$1,651,501
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name: 1170		
	110,100	550,500
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$440,400	\$2,202,001
TOTAL	\$440,400	ļ

Maintenance of Effort	State match is a required 25%. This match most typically comes from our
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	restricted funds or license sales.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

-15.50

-3.10

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- Individual projects under this program provide coordination, habitat and public access acquisition and development, inventories and research, management of cold and warm water sport fish, operation and maintenance of fish hatcheries, as well as operation and maintenance of fisheries habitat and related facilities throughout the state.
- 25 % Individual projects under this program provide coordination, habitat and public access acquisition and development, inventories and research, management of cold and warm water sport fish, operation and maintenance of fish hatcheries, as well as operation and maintenance of fisheries habitat and related facilities throughout the state.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5% A reduction in funds would cause a reduction in the output of fish grown at our hatcheries reducing both the quantity and quality of fish available to the fishing public.

161

	quantity and quality of fish available to the fishing public.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	No
25 %	No

25 % A reduction in funds would cause a reduction in the output of fish grown at our hatcheries reducing both the

FTEs

Department of Natural Resources Wildlife Restoration

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	15.611
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Karen Caldwell, (801) 538-4850

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 15,917,724.97	
Number of FTEs	69	
Recipients/Clients Served	Public	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	All Big Game Species, Habitat Management, Hunter Education, Administration of	
	Federal Funds	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	\$795,886	\$3,979,431
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name: 1170		
	265,295	1,326,476
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$1,061,181	\$5,305,907

Maintenance of Effort	State match is a required 25%. This match most typically comes from our
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	restricted funds or license sales.
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

-17.25

-3.45

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % Individual projects under this program provide coordination, habitat and public access acquisition and development, inventories and research, management of big game, small game, furbearers and waterfowl, as well as operation and maintenance of their respective habitats.
- 25% Individual projects under this program provide coordination, habitat and public access acquisition and development, inventories and research, management of big game, small game, furbearers and waterfowl, as well as operation and maintenance of their respective habitats.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 % A reduction in funds would cause a reduction in the quantity and quality of wildlife available to the hunting public.

	public.
	re mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	No
25 %	No

25 % A reduction in funds would cause a reduction in the quantity and quality of wildlife available to the hunting

Department of Public Safety **Disaster Grants**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	97.036, 97.039 97.046
Agency contact name and phone number	Jona Whitesides, (801) 834-1954; Tanner Patterson (801) 598-1610

Fiscal Year 2021 federal program information:

riscai i cai 2021 federai prograi	n miormanon.	
Federal Receipts	\$ 102,202,669	
Number of FTEs	2	
Recipients/Clients Served	30	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Any jurisdiction that suffers a disaster which receives a disaster declaration and is eligible for FEMA disaster funding.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other funds and	1 F I Es associated
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$5,110,133)	(\$25,550,667)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$5,110,133)	(\$25,550,667)
FTEs	0	0

Maintenance of Effort	The State General Fund is used by the Division for cash match. In-kind
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	match is provided by the Department of Public Safety and local jurisdictions
effort requirements. Include references to federal	participating as grant sub-recipients.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.	

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
5 %	These funds would be used to reimburse local governments for disaster expenditure reimbursements. No statute change would be required.			
25 %	These funds would be used to reimburse local governments for disaster expenditure reimbursements. No statute change would be required.			

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?		
5 %	No impact to Emergency Management. Funding is situational and employees are hired on a TL basis.	
25 %	No impact to Emergency Management. Funding is situational and employees are hired on a TL basis.	

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	None	
25 %	None	

09/2021 Division of Finance

Department of Public Safety

Highway Safety Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	20.600, 20.616
Agency contact name and phone number	Carrie Silcox 801-386-1888

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 4,549,812.00	
Number of FTEs	16	
Recipients/Clients Served	Statewide	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Subgrantees include state, county and local governmental units, and some nonprofit,	
	Universities, traffic-safety oriented organizations. The Highway Safety Program	
	benefits local communities and visitors statewide, as well as Tribal governments,	
	school districts, businesses, under-served populations, and diverse groups,	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	\$4,322,321	\$3,412,359
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$4,322,321	\$3,412,359

FTFs	-0.0	-2.0

Maintenance of Effort (Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	MOE: Public Law 112–141 FAST Act) requires the State to maintain its aggregate expenditures from all State and local sources for programs at or above the average level of such expenditures in fiscal years 2014 and 2015 to qualify for highway safety funding under certain Section 405 grants: Occupant Protection Grants, State Traffic Information System Improvement Grants, and Impaired Driving Countermeasures Grants. As a condition of receiving grant funds, States will be required to certify in their Section 405 grant applications that they meet the applicable MOE requirements. 23 CFR 1300.21, 23 CFR 1300.22, and 23 CFR 1300.23.
	STATE MATCH: Restricted Fund from DUI Impound Fees, Restricted Fund for Eliminating Alcohol Sales to Youth, and Utah Department of Transportation Partnership funds are used as cash match. Refer to 23 CFR Part 1300.20(f) for Section 405 match requirements and NHTSA Order 462-6C2 for information on the sliding scale for required match of Section 402 and Planning and Administration Costs (P&A).

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- A 5% reduction would equate to a loss of \$226,297 in federal funding. This would result in a decrease of: \$144,830 to subgrantees for selected activities and programs related to police traffic services, occupant protection, impaired driving, pedestrian and bicycle safety, and motorcycle safety; \$67,889 to selected activities and projects overseen by the UHSO including enforcement and equipment being awarded to local law enforcement agencies, outreach and educational efforts: \$13,578 in operational expenses including technology. supplies, office equipment, and travel. A 5% reduction in federal funding would not significantly impact the function of the division.
- 25 % A 25% reduction would equate to a loss of \$1,131,984 in federal funding. This would result in a decrease of: \$181,117 in personnel expenses and up to two FTE's; \$599,951 to subgrantees for non-essential activities and programs related to police traffic services, occupant protection, impaired driving, pedestrian and bicycle safety, and motorcycle safety; \$271,676 in enforcement and equipment grants awarded to local law enforcement agencies; \$79,240 in operational expenses including technology, supplies, office equipment, training, and travel. A 25% reduction would significantly impact the function and mission of the division.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5 % A 5% reduction would result in limited cutbacks in funding related to police traffic services, occupant protection, impaired driving, pedestrian and bicycle safety and motorcycle safety which is sub-awarded to state, local and non-profit agencies. These grants fund enforcement, equipment, education and outreach efforts designed to reduce motor vehicle crashes and related injuries and fatalities.
- 25 % A 25% reduction would result in severe cutbacks in funding related to police traffic services, occupant protection, impaired driving, pedestrian and bicycle safety, motorcycle safety, and traffic records which is subawarded to state, local and non-profit agencies. Approximately, 20 percent of the subgrant awards to state and local agencies will be eliminated. In addition, 80 percent of the remaining grants will have significant reductions in the amount of federal award received. Approximately 25 percent of the subgrantees would be unable to carry out the required work.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % All mandated activities could be supported with a 5 percent cut in federal funding.
- 25 % In order to qualify for federal highway safety funding through NHTSA, the state must submit an annual Highway Safety Plan. As part of this plan, there are several activities, programs and countermeasures that are required in order to receive funding. Mandated activities include the annual Seat Belt Observational Study, participation in six high-visibility enforcement campaigns, support of the state's Child Passenger Safety Program, maintain a Traffic Records Strategic Plan, and other traffic-related activities. Some of the mandated activities (i.e. enforcement campaigns) would be minimized without affecting federal requirements for participation. By cutting non-essential activities and grants, the mandated services could be supported with available federal funds even with a 25 percent cut in funding.

Department of Public Safety **Mitigation Grants**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	97.045, 97.047
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Jona Whitesides, (801) 834-1954; Tanner Patterson, (801) 598-1610

Fiscal Year 2021 federal program information:

r isear rear 2021 reaerar program	1 1111011111111111	
Federal Receipts	\$ 4,986,733	
Number of FTEs	6	
Recipients/Clients Served	30	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	districts are the pri to the communities	ibal governments; special service districts, universities; and school mary customers/sub-recipients served. Several programs reach out , and individual citizens (including special needs populations). This outreach for flood insurance and flood mapping for local

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$249,337)	(\$1,246,683)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$249,337)	(\$1,246,683)

-1 -4	1123
-------	------

Maintenance of Effort	The State General Fund is used by the Division for cash match. In-kind match
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	is provided by the Department of Public Safety and local jurisdictions participating as grant sub-recipients.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions,)	

5 %	In this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references. The division's budget profile consists of; 75%–80% pass thru to local and Tribal governments, special service districts, and school districts. A 5% reduction in federal funding would not significantly impact the function of the division.
25 %	The division's budget profile consists of; 75%–80% pass thru to local governments, etc. A 25% reduction in federal funding would significantly impact the function of the division.
	would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

Wha	at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	One Full Time Equivalents would need to be eliminated with a 5% reduction in federal funds. The division would likely be able to absorb this reduction through attrition and other cost savings.
25 %	Approximately 4 positions will need to be eliminated with a 25% reduction in federal funds. We would lose our ability to maintain State and Local Hazard Mitigation Plans, preventing us from applying for mitigation grants and disqualifying us from receiving disaster funds.

	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	We would still need to maintain our Hazard Mitigation Plans in order to be eligible to receive disaster funds. No
25 %	We would still need to maintain our Hazard Mitigation Plans in order to be eligible to receive disaster funds. No.

Department of Public Safety **Preparedness Grants**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	97.042, 97.067
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Tanner Patterson (801)598-1610

Fiscal Year 2021 federal program information:

Tiscal Teal 2021 federal program	ii iiiioiiiiatioii.	
Federal Receipts	\$ 8,149,719	
Number of FTEs	33	
Recipients/Clients Served	80	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	primary customers, communities, faith- needs populations businesses through Corps Councils, cit	ibal governments; special service districts, and universities; are the 'sub-recipients served. Several programs reach out to the based organizations, and individual citizens (including special i. The Be Ready Utah outreach program targets citizens and nout the state. The Citizen Corps Program reaches out to Citizen izen volunteers, Community Emergency Response Teams, e Service, Fire Corps, Medical Reserve Corps, and Neighborhood

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$407,486)	(\$2,037,430)
State:	0	0
General Fund	0	0
Education Fund	0	0
Transportation Fund	0	0
Transportation Investment Fund	0	0
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
	0	0
Other Fund:		
	0	0
Dedicated Credits	0	0
Other:	0	0
Other:	0	0
TOTAL	(\$407,486)	(\$2,037,430)

|--|

Maintenance of Effort	The State
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance	In-kind m
of effort requirements. Include references to federal	jurisdiction
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	,

The State General Fund is used by the Division for in-kind and cash matches. In-kind match is provided by the Department of Public Safety and local jurisdictions participating as grant sub-recipients. Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5% The division's budget profile consists of; 75%–80% pass thru to local and Tribal governments, and special service districts. A 5% reduction in federal funding would not significantly impact the function of the division.
- 25 The division's budget profile consists of; 75%-80% pass thru to local governments, etc. A 25% reduction in federal funding would significantly impact the function of the division.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- Two Full Time Equivalents would need to be eliminated with a 5% reduction in federal funds. The division would likely be able to absorb this reduction through attrition and other cost savings. Local agencies (particularly smaller jurisdictions) wouldn't be able to support a local emergency program manager (a grant requirement).
- 25% Approximately 9 positions will need to be eliminated with a 25% reduction in federal funds. Local emergency management positions would be significantly impacted and many local emergency program managers throughout the state would be eliminated without the support of federal funding. The State and local agencies would be forced to discontinue preparedness outreach programs and additional capabilities would be greatly impacted at this funding reduction level. There would be an increased need to rely on state funds.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 We would need to maintain our National Incident Management System compliance and Integrated Public Alert and Warning System. No.
- 25 We would need to maintain our National Incident Management System compliance and Integrated Public Alert and Warning System. No.

Department of Transportation

Highway Planning and Construction Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	20.200, 20.205, 20.505, 20.933
Agency contact name and phone number	Ivan Hartle, (435) 633-3553

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 400,364,102.03
Number of FTEs	0
Recipients/Clients Served	State and Local Governments, and Metropolitan Planning Organizations
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	20.205 - To assist State transportation agency in the planning and development of an integrated, interconnected transportation system important to interstate commerce and travel by constructing and rehabilitating the National Highway System (NHS), including the Interstate System; and for transportation improvements to most other federally eligible public roads; to provide aid for the repair of Federal-aid highways following disasters; to foster safe highway design; to replace or rehabilitate deficient or obsolete bridges; and to provide for other special purposes.
	20.200 - The objective is to carry out the highway research and development program as authorized by the FAST Act and conduct research needed to maintain and improve our vital transportation infrastructure. The FAST Act addresses the many challenges facing our transportation system today by providing funding for improving highway safety, improving infrastructure integrity, strengthening transportation planning and environmental decision making, reducing congestion, improving highway operations, enhancing freight movement productivity, and exploratory advanced research
	20.505 - To assist in development of metropolitan and state transportation improvement programs, long-range transportation plans, and other technical studies in a program for a unified and officially coordinated Statewide Transportation system and Metropolitan Transportation system(s) within the state. As a result of this coordinated effort, the former CFDA# 20.515 has been discontinued. This CFDA incorporates both the Statewide Transportation system and the Metropolitan Transportation system into one CFDA.
	20.933 - Transportation grants are for capital investments in surface transportation infrastructure to a State, local, or Tribal governments, including U.S. territories, tribal governments, transit agencies, port authorities, metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs), other political subdivisions of State or local governments, and multi-State or multijurisdictional groups applying through a single lead applicant on a competitive basis for surface transportation projects (including, but not limited to: (1) Highway or bridge projects eligible under title 23, United States Code; (2) public transportation projects eligible under that 24, United States Code; (3) passenger and freight rail transportation projects; and (4) port infrastructure investments) that will have a significant local or regional impact. Grants are also awarded for the planning, preparation or design of eligible projects.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$20,018,205)	(\$100,091,026)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$20,018,205)	(\$100,091,026

FTEs

Maintenance of Effort	The Federal government does not pay for the entire cost of construction or
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	improvement (with a few exceptions) of Federal-aid highways. To account for the
effort requirements. Include references to federal	necessary dollars to complete the project, Federal funds must be matched with
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	funds from other sources. The required matching funds come from State or local
	government funde

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5% The State is given an Apportionment amount of funding in the Federal Transportation Program. Of the 2021 Apportioned amount given, UDOT programmed it at 100%. A reduction of 5% in the Federal program (or a 5% rescission), would lead to delays in new project starts. The primary use of these funds is for rehabilitation and preservation of the system. This would affect one of UDOT's primary drivers, "Preserve Infrastructure". No change in statute or rules would be required.
- 25 % A reduction of 25% in the Federal program (a 25% rescission), would impact the program and actual projects. The reduction in programmed funding at this level would lead to delays and halts in ongoing projects and new project starts. The primary use of these funds is for rehabilitation and preservation of the system. This would directly impact one of UDOT's primary drivers, "Preserve Infrastructure". No change in statute or rules would be required.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- This would lead to delays in new project starts, impacting the consulting and contracting community that assist in design and construction of these projects. The primary use of these funds is for rehabilitation and preservation of the transportation system. This would impact one of UDOT's primary drivers, "Preserve Infrastructure".
- This would lead to delays and halts in ongoing projects and new project starts, impacting the consulting and contracting community that assist in design and construction of these projects. The primary use of these funds is for rehabilitation and preservation of the transportation system. This would directly impact one of UDOT's primary drivers, "Preserve Infrastructure".

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?
Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

5 % No mandated federal services to maintain.

25 % No mandated federal services to maintain.

Department of Transportation

Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	20.218 and 20.237
Agency contact name and phone number	Chad Sheppick, Director, Motor Carrier Division, (801) 965-4105

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

11 Year 2021 Federal Program Information:		
Federal Receipts	\$ 4,666,699.80	
Number of FTEs	92	
Recipients/Clients Served	8,582,946 commercial	
	vehicles operated through	
	the Ports of Entry	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served 20.218 - The Motor Carrie program that provides fine crashes and hazardous m goal of the MCSAP is to consistent, uniform, and appropriate safety progr deficiencies, and unsafe n become contributing facto years to spend it down, if 1 20.237 - The MCSAP Hig designed to provide Fede		fety Assistance Program (MCSAP) is a Federal formula grant It assistance to States to reduce the number and severity of al incidents involving commercial motor vehicles (CMV). The ce CMV-involved accidents, fatalities, and injuries through stive CMV safety programs. Investing grant monies in will increase the likelihood that safety defects, driver carrier practices will be detected and corrected before they crashes. We reapply annually for this grant and have two led. Introduced the company of the comp
	included in the CVSP that will h grants annually and the open p	nave a positive impact on CMV safety. We can apply for new period per awarded grant is over four years. HP includes two Technology Deployment (ITD), and CMV safety related

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$233,335)	(\$1,166,675)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$233,335)	(\$1,166,675)

FTEs	0	0

Maintenance of Effort	Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program Grant - Maintenance of
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	Effort (from the FMCSA website):
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	In order to be eligible to receive MCSAP grant funding, the State MCSAP lead agency must maintain a certain level of expenditure, in addition to the required 15% matching share of a MCSAP grant award as provided in 49 CFR 350.301. This requirement is known as Maintenance of Effort (MOE). The purpose of the MOE is to ensure that MCSAP lead agencies are committed to maintaining their own State funded CMV safety programs, notwithstanding Federal funding.

174

at least equal to the average expenditure of the MCSAP lead agency for MCSAP eligible activities in Fiscal Years (FY) 2004 and 2005. Expenditures of other State agencies, local agencies, or sub-grantees (whether supported by MCSAP grant funds or not), other Federal funds, and MCSAP lead agency matching funds are not included in the MOE calculation.

MCSAP lead agencies must self-certify (per 49 CFR §§ 350.211(h) and 350.213(n)) that the calculated MOE will be met each fiscal year and reflect their MOE in their CVSP. The State must annually submit its MOE substantiation document to FMCSA to support the actual expenditures during the fiscal year. A MCSAP lead agency must also maintain documentation of the actual MOE expenditures on MCSAP-eligible activities for verification by FMCSA.

A MCSAP lead agency may request an adjustment to the required level of effort. FMCSA (upon request from the MCSAP lead agency) may waive or make reasonable adjustments to the MOE requirements for a total of one fiscal year per request, if FMCSA determines that the waiver or modification is reasonable based on extraordinary circumstances described and documented by the MCSAP lead agency. This reasonable adjustment or waiver is valid for only one fiscal year, and a State must reapply each fiscal year.

3.6 MCSAP Maintenance of Effort Requirement (from the FMCSA MCSAP Comprehensive Policy):

The MCSAP lead agency must maintain a certain level of expenditure, in addition to the required matching share of a MCSAP grant. This financial requirement is known as the Maintenance of Effort (MOE). The purpose of the MOE is to ensure that MCSAP lead agencies are committed to maintaining their own State funded CMV safety programs, notwithstanding Federal funding. A MCSAP lead agency must maintain within each federal fiscal year an MOE that is at least equal to the average of what the MCSAP lead agency spent on MCSAP eligible activities in Fiscal Years (FY) 2004 and 2005. Expenditures of other State agencies, local agencies, or sub grantees (whether supported by MCSAP grant funds or not), other Federal funds, and MCSAP lead agency matching funds are not to be included in the MOE calculation. In determining a MCSAP lead agency average MOE, FMCSA: 1. May allow the MCSAP lead agency to exclude State expenditures for federally sponsored demonstration and pilot CMV safety programs and strike forces; 2. May allow the MCSAP lead agency to exclude expenditures for activities related to border enforcement and new entrant safety audits; and 3. Must require the MCSAP lead agency to exclude MCSAP lead agency matching funds. Additionally: • A change in the MCSAP lead agency does not negate the MOE requirement because the State funding for these efforts also transitioned to the new State lead agency. The concept of "successor in interest" applies. Thus, no State may have a zero MOE simply because the MCSAP lead agency is different in a current year than it was in FYs 2004 and 2005, the successor agency must meet the MOE requirements established by the FY 2004 and 2005 baseline. Page 16 of 150 • Because non-CMV and CMV traffic enforcement activities without an inspection were not authorized until the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users was enacted in late FY 2005, MCSAP lead agencies are not to include these expenditures in calculating the MOE baseline. MCSAP lead agencies may, however, include documented non-CMV traffic enforcement and other new efforts and initiatives they have implemented since FYs 2004 and 2005 to meet the annual MOE obligation. • If the MCSAP lead agency is a passthrough and had no MCSAP eligible expenditures above the amount received in Federal funding and the State match in 2004-2005, then the MCSAP lead agency MOE would be zero. The MCSAP lead agency must retain the documentation used to calculate the MOE average for audit purposes for a three-year period after the submittal of the final financial reports (2 CFR 200.333). MCSAP lead agencies must self-certify (per 49 CFR §§ 350.211(h) and 350.213(n)) that the calculated MOE will be met each fiscal year and reflect their MOE in their CVSP. The State must annually submit its MOE substantiation document to FMCSA to support the actual expenditures during the fiscal year. A MCSAP lead agency must also maintain supporting documentation of the actual MOE expenditures on MCSAP-eligible activities for verification by FMCSA. A MCSAP lead agency may request an adjustment to their required MOE. FMCSA may, upon request from the MCSAP lead agency, waive or make reasonable adjustments to the MOE requirements for a total of one fiscal year per request, if FMCSA determines that the waiver or modification is reasonable based on circumstances described and documented by the MCSAP lead agency. This reasonable adjustment or waiver is valid for only one fiscal year, and a State must reapply each fiscal

The FMCSA will provide reimbursement for not more than 85% of all eligible costs (with few exceptions), and recipients will be required to provide a 15% match.

5 %	We receive approximately \$3.0 million dollars in our Motor Carrier Assistance Program (MCSAP) from FMCSA. A portion, \$825,000 (Federal share is \$701,000) is shared with the Utah Highway Patrol for their involvement in commercial vehicle safety initiatives. We budget \$1.9 million for salaries and benefits. The remainder of the funds are used for program enhancements i.e. computers, training, equipment and supplies, education and outreach, and ITS system operation and maintenance. These enhancement activities can be reduced based on received funds. The HP ITD projects would not be affected at this level. This would not require any change in statute or rule.
25 %	A 25% reduction would be addressed in the same manner as indicated above with a more significant cut in the MCSAP program. A 25% reduction in the HP program would require a reduction in new technologies being developed for our Ports of Entry. The current technology projects are: permitting for oversize and overweight vehicles and loads, automated routing program for oversize vehicles and loads, tire anomaly system, a virtual port of entry and e-screening equipment such as license plate readers, dot number readers and other vehicle sorting systems for the Ports of Entry. This would not require any change in statute or rule.
	vould be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	No impact.
25 %	At this level, this could have an impact on the services we provide to the commercial carriers.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	No.
25 %	No.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

This page intentionally left blank.

Department of Transportation **Public Transit Program**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	20.509, 20.513, 20.516, 20.521, 20.526, and 20.528
	Ivan Hartle, (435) 633-3553

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

il Year 2021 Federal Program Infor	
Federal Receipts	\$13,801,494
Number of FTEs	3.5
Recipients/Clients Served	20 Total Recipients
	16 Recipients,36 vehicles serving 95,005 Human Service Client trips over 29,996
	miles and,
	4 Recipients, with 35 vehicles operating 243,326 Fixed Route miles
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	20.509 - Support public transportation for the general public in non-urbanized areas: Cache County, Park City, Ute Indian Tribe, Navajo Nation Indian Tribe, Uintah Basin and Cedar City areas. Also, assists in the development and support of Intercity Bus transportation and Rural Transit Assistance Program (RTAP).
	20.513 - Assist the transportation needs of seniors (65+) and individuals with disabilities when the transportation service provided is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate.
	20.516 - JARC addresses the unique transportation challenges faced by welfare recipients and low-income persons seeking to obtain and maintain employment.
	20.521 - Provides additional tools to overcome existing barriers facing Americans with disabilities seeking integration into the work force and full participation in society.
	20.526 - Provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and bus related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities. Also provides capital funding for low or no emissions bus projects.
	20.528 – To improve public transportation safety by assisting States with the financing of safety oversight of fixed guideway public transportation systems in the jurisdiction of the state not regulated by the Federal Railroad Administration.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$690,075)	(\$3,450,374)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$690,075)	(\$3,450,374)

laws, regulations, or grant provisions.

FTEs	0	0	
Maintenance of Effort			
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of			
effort requirements. Include references to federal	the local sales tax	revenue or by local a	nd private non-profit agencies.

cal sales tax revenue or by local and private non-profit agencies.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % All program aspects would be okay for the next couple of years, but if funding remained at the lower rate, the programs would be reduced across the board 5%. No change in statute or rules would apply.
- All program aspects would be okay for the next couple of years, but if funding remained at the lower rate, the programs would be reduced across the board 25%. No change in statute or rules would apply.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 % Recipient Programs would need to be cut to match available funds for services locally.
Possible reduction in services provided.

25 % Recipient Programs would need to be cut to match available funds for services locally.
Likely reduction in services provided.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % Yes, the mandates follow the funds; however, services would need to be adjusted to meet the amount of reduced funding. No, there are no other resources available to meet these needs.
- 25 % Yes, the mandates follow the funds; however, services would need to be adjusted to meet the amount of reduced funding. No, there are no other resources available to meet these needs.

Utah Higher Education Assistance Authority **Student Loan Guarantee Program**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	84.032
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	David Schwanke, (801) 321-7286

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 4,837,428	
Number of FTEs	19	
Recipients/Clients Served	30,900	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served		des guarantee services related to a student loan portfolio of 9.2 million pertaining to 30,900 borrowers (as of September 30,

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected <u>increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated</u> with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrea	ase) in State/other	funds and FTEs a
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$241,871)	(\$1,209,357)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$241,871)	(\$1,209,357

FTEs	-1.0	-5.0

Maintenance of Effort	N/A
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
5 %	A 5% reduction in Federal receipts would cause a corresponding decrease in the number of FTE's from 19 to 18.			
	1 1 0			
25 %	A 25% reduction in Federal receipts would cause a corresponding decrease in the number of FTE's from 19 to			
	14			
	14.			

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 %	The level of service being provided to student loan borrowers would be diminished but not severely impacted.

180

25 %	The level of service being provided to student loan borrowers would be diminished and moderately impacted.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	Yes, the mandated services would continue to be met with less employees.
25 %	Yes, the mandated services would continue to be met with less employees.

FTEs

Utah Board of Higher Education

Student Loan Purchase Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	84.032
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	David Schwanke, (801) 321-7286

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	(\$24,474,473)	
Number of FTEs	112	
Recipients/Clients Served	77,200	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The Program mana	ages the servicing activity for a student loan portfolio of
The state of the s	approximately \$1.1	hillion pertaining to 77 200 horrowers (as of September 30, 2021)

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	N/A	N/A
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	\$0	\$0

		_	_																																																																												
Maintenance of Effort	N/A					Τ	Τ	Τ	Τ	Τ	_	Τ	Τ		Τ			Τ	Τ	Τ		Τ	Π	Τ	Τ																																																						
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of																																																																															
effort requirements. Include references to federal																																																																															
love regulations or great provisions																																																																															

	be the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.
	ıld this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.
5 %	The Federal interest receipts and special allowance payments related to the Program totaling (\$24,474,473) are
	interest payments related to a portfolio of individual student loans. The interest rates are set by statute and would
	require a change of law to reduce the receipts. As such, these receipts are not subject to administrative budget
	review and are not applicable for this reporting purpose.
25 %	Same as above.

25 %	N/A
	re mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	N/A
25 %	N/A

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

182 183

5 % N/A

Utah State Board of Education

Adult Education

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this	84.002
program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Stephanie Patton (801) 538-7989

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 3,707,723
Number of FTEs	3.5
Recipients/Clients Served	9,942 (decrease because of COVID
	from previous year)
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Adult education empowers individuals to become self-sufficient, with skills necessary for future employment and personal successes. We assist adults to become literate and obtain the knowledge and skills necessary for employment and self-sufficiency while completing a secondary education. Utah Adult Education is comprised of Adult High School Completion (AHSC/ASE), Adult Secondary Education (ASE), Adult Basic Education (ABE) and English Language Acquisition (ELA), and GED® preparation.
	ABE services are for adults who lack high school level skills and need to improve basic reading, writing, and mathematics skills. ABE services include classroom instruction, one-to-one tutoring, computer-assisted instruction and distance learning. ABE services are delivered by a diverse provider network composed of community-based organizations (CBOs) and Local Education Agencies (LEAs).
	ASE services include instruction in academic credit classes that meet Utah high school core requirements and allow adult students to earn a Utah Adult Secondary Diploma. Students must meet state and local requirements to earn a diploma. Any credits earned in high school, or at other adult education programs, may be applied towards earning high school diploma credit and prepare students to take the GED.
	ELA programs help English Leaners achieve competence in reading, writing, speaking, and comprehension of the English language. In addition to language instruction, ELA programs assist learners in transitioning to programs that lead to the attainment of a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent, post-secondary education and training, or employment.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$185,386)	(\$926,931)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$185,386)	(\$926,931)

FTEs	-0.2	-0.9

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Maintenance of Effort	As written in the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), in order to
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	determine whether a State has maintained effort, Section 241(b) of AEFLA requires the
effort requirements. Include references to federal laws,	Department to determine that the "fiscal effort per student or the aggregate
regulations, or grant provisions.)	expenditures of such eligible agency for activities under this title, in the second
	preceding fiscal year, were not less than 90 percent of the fiscal effort per student or
	the aggregate expenditures of such eligible agency for adult education and literacy
	activities in the third preceding fiscal year."

	Minor impact for all recipients. Minimal reduction of services across all levels – loss of teacher time, classroom materials, etc.
25 %	Recipients would most likely drop staffing positions while some programs may choose to not apply for a smaller amount of funding. A reduction of this size would reduce overall services to the students in these programs.
	A reduction in federal funds would disproportionately impact our community-based organizations
	(CBO) who rely on federal funding to provide specialized services to populations most in need. CBOs don't qualify for state funding, which creates increased vulnerability when federal funds are reduced.
	vould be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? t changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	Minor impact for all recipients. Minimal reduction of services across all levels – loss of teacher time, classroom materials, etc.
25 %	Recipients would most likely drop staffing positions while some programs may choose to not apply for a smaller amount of funding. A reduction of this size would reduce overall services to the students in these programs.
	re mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? here other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	No.
	No.

Utah State Board of Education

Assessment and Accountability ESEA

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	84.369 (Title IB State Assessment Formula)
Agency contact name and phone number	Darin Nielsen, (801) 538-7811

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

vu	i i cai 2021 i caciai i logiani inioi	mation.		
	Federal Receipts	\$ 4,318,993		
	Number of FTEs	14		
	Recipients/Clients Served	Students, Teachers		
		and Administrators in		
		Public Schools		
	Describe Recipients/Clients Served	ed Administer all required student federal and state assessments a		
		required federal and state accountability reports, and provide professional		
		development and training for Assessment and data use to improve instruction		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in s	state/other runds and	r i es associated wit
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$215,950)	(\$1,079,748)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$215,950)	(\$1,079,748)
FTEs	-0.7	-3.5

Maintenance of Effort	None
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	
effort requirements. Include references to federal	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % Slow down assessment and testing development, and reduction of testing services possible. Reductions in FTE could create barriers to fulfilling the responsibilities for administering state assessments but unlikely as the USBE has some federal funds remaining from FY19 budgets.
- Significantly slow down assessment and testing development, reduce testing services, and possible elimination of federally mandated tests and/or failure to produce accountability reports including in the Data Gateway and School Report Card. The current funds provided by this source pay for the administration of the federally required English Learners assessment (WIDA), the federally required alternate academic achievement standards assessment (DLM), and professional consulting services that ensure the state assessment and associated accountability systems are meeting or exceeding industry standards and practices. In the event of a catastrophic reduction in funds, 53E-5-211 may need to be adjusted in for the upcoming year as a result of USBE not being able to obtain the required data to publish an overall rating for every school.

187

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- Reduced ability in ensuring that the State's schools and local education agencies are able to administer state assessments to their students. Loss of this achievement and growth data results in reduced transparency and accountability. Possible impact on services to highly impacted students, and ability to target services and interventions for all students. These impacts would likely be mitigated for a year due to some funds from this source being retained from the FY19 budget cycle.
- 25 % The inability of the State's schools and local education agencies to administer state assessments to their students. Loss of this achievement and growth data results in reduced transparency and accountability. Possible impact on services to highly impacted students, and ability to target services and interventions for all students. Violation of legislative requirements related to assessment and school accountability, in addition to civil rights violations if certain assessments are not administered (WIDA & DLM).

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 M The WIDA assessment for English learners would be impacted, the DLM for significantly cognitively impaired population of students, and The Center for Assessment technical Advisory group are fully funded from federal sources. If the reduction is for a single year, the impacts would likely be mitigated due to some funds from this source being retained from the FY19 budget cycle.
- A definite reduction or elimination of the WIDA assessments, the DLM, and elimination of services from the Center for the Assessment technical Advisory group and reduction of services for RISE & Utah Aspire Plus assessment system because USBE staff responsibilities in developing and delivery these new assessments.

Utah State Board of Education

Career and Technology Education

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	84.048
Agency contact name and phone number	Thalea Longhurst, (801) 538-7889

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 12,469,714	
Number of FTEs	6.5	
Recipients/Clients Served	165,000 High School Students in LEAs	
	70,000 High school and adult students	
	in UTech and USHE	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served		ools (school children), and Adult education ical Colleges and CTE programs at USHE

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$623,486)	(\$3,117,429)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$623,486)	(\$3,117,429
FTEs	-0.4	-2.0

Maintenance of Effort	Perkins has state N	IOE requirements as	s well as state administration matching
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	requirements. Leve	els of state funding w	ould need to be maintained regardless
effort requirements. Include references to federal	of whether federal	funds are reduced.	
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)			

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % Reductions will impact both local and state-led projects as well as programs, equipment purchases, resource development, and professional development that support existing programs. Staffing will also be affected.
- Reductions at this level would significantly impact state and local FTE to work on projects related directly to meeting program requirements including technical assistance, data reporting, and program monitoring. In addition, support for programs, equipment, resources, professional development would be reduced or discontinued.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?
What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 % Recipients will have less funds to provide direct services to students. Services provided and numbers of students served would be reduced.

25 % Recipients will have significantly less funds to provide direct services to students. Services provided and numbers of students served would be reduced.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5% Federal services would still need to be maintained at the level that could be sustained with the available federal funds. This includes technical assistance, data reporting, and program monitoring.
- 25 % Federal services would still need to be maintained at the level that could be sustained with the available federal funds. This includes technical assistance, data reporting, and program monitoring.

09/2021 Division of Finance

Utah State Board of Education

Child Nutrition Programs

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	10.178 Emergency Food Assistance Program
this program	10.534 CACFP Meal Training
	10.553 School Breakfast Program
	10.555 National School Lunch Program
	10.556 Special Milk Program for Children
	10.558 Child and Adult Care Food Program
	10.559 Summer Food Service Program for Children
	10.560 State Administrative Expenses for Child Nutrition
	10.568 Emergency Food Assistance Program (Administrative Costs)
	10.569 Emergency Food Assistance Program (Food Commodities)
	10.579 School Meals Equipment Grant
	10.582 Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
Agency contact name and phone number	Kathleen Britton, Director
	(801) 538-7513

Fisca

Federal Receipts		
Number of FTEs	25.4	
Recipients/Clients Served	There are 6 Family Day Care sponsors overseeing 1,294 homes serving about 11,185 children a day year-round.	
	There are 143 Child and Adult Care Food Program sponsors operating 304 sites. This program serves approximately 19,308 children a day year-round.	
	There are 134 National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and/or National School Breakfast Program (NSBP) sponsors serving on average 312,484 lunches and 80,611 breakfasts a day during the school year.	
	Nine Special Milk Program sponsors provide fluid milk to children that do not have access to the National School Lunch or Breakfast Program. An average of 880 half-pints of milk were served a day.	
	Child and At-Risk Afterschool Meal Program had 15 approved sponsors operating 136 sites. This program provides snacks/supper to about 5,814 children a day year-round.	
	There are 16 Summer Food Service Program (SFSP) and 35 Seamless Summer Option (SSO) sponsors operating 82 and 223 sites respectively. In June 2019, on an average day, 4,443 children where served a meal under the SFSP program while the SSO program provided meals to 27,198 children when school is out of session.	
	The state agency oversees The Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP) administrative funding pass through and USDA commodity food orders to the Utah Food Bank. In addition, USDA increased administrative funds and commodity food assistance in FY19 due to trade mitigation. The food bank distributes the food to approximately 100 food pantries and soup kitchens serving eligible households.	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Children served by Local Education Agencies, private non-profit so Child Care Institutions; children and adults served by the Child and Programs, individuals and families are provided food through The Assistance Program.	d Adult Care

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$13,083,465)	(\$65,417,323)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$13,083,465)	(\$65,417,323

FTEs	-1	-5

Maintenance of Effort

(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)

10.560 State Administrative Expenses

This program has a Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement. Expenditures of funds from State sources in any fiscal year for the administration of the National School Lunch Program, School Breakfast Program, Special Milk Program, Child and Adult Care Food Program shall not be less than that expended or obligated in fiscal year 1977. Failure of a State to maintain this

- level of funding will result in the total withdrawal of SAE funds.

 The State Funding Requirement (SFR) (formerly Maintenance of Effort (MOE)) must be met annually [7 CFR 235.11(a)]. To meet the SFR of \$178,569 annually, the Child Nutrition Programs use state appropriated funds as determined by the state legislature. State liquor tax revenues are used to pay freight and drayage expenses associated with USDA Foods in Schools commodity storage and transportation costs to meet the SFR.
 - MATCH-USDA National School Lunch Program State Revenue Matching Requirement for FY 2019 is \$1,540,014, is met with state liquor tax. This dedicated credit is set by 32B-2-304 (4) Liquor Price-School Lunch Program.

10.568 Emergency Food Assistance Program (Administrative Costs) State Administrative Funds cannot be used for The Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP) activities or administrative costs.

Matching Requirement. State agencies must provide a cash or in-kind contribution equal to the amount of TEFAP administrative funds retained by the State Distributing Agencies (SDAs) for State-level costs or made available by the SDA to Eligible Recipient Agencies (ERAs) that are not Emergency Food Organizations (EFO). [Part 251.9(a)]. Currently, the USBE Child Nutrition Programs does not retain any federal TEFAP administrative funds for state level expenses; 100% pass-through of administrative funds to

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

5 % 10.553, 10.555,10.556, 10.558, 10.559, 10.560, 10.568, 10.569, 10.579, 10.582

10.555 - Block Grant- National School Lunch Program (Entitlement Grant) — To assist States, through cash grants and food donations, in providing a nutritious nonprofit lunch service for school children and to encourage the domestic consumption of nutritious agricultural commodities. No change in statute or rules. Entitlement Grants - Provide funds to specific grantees based on formula, prescribed by legislation or regulation. The formula is based on factors such as population, enrollment, per capita income or specific need. No change in statute or rules.

10.560 - Child Nutrition Programs State Administrative Expenditures: To provide each State agency with funds for its administrative expenses in supervising and giving technical assistance to local schools, school districts and institutions in their conduct of Child Nutrition Programs. State agencies that administer the distribution of USDA Foods to schools and child or adult care institutions are also provided with State Administrative Expense funds. No change in statute or rules.

10.568- The Emergency Food Assistance Program: To help supplement the diets of low-income persons by making funds available to States for processing, storage and distribution costs incurred by State agencies and local organizations, such as soup kitchens, food banks, and food pantries, including faith-based organizations, in providing food assistance to needy persons. No change in statute or rules.

10.582-Fresh Fruits and Vegetable Program: To assist States, through cash grants, in providing fresh fruits and vegetables to elementary schools with high percentages of children that receive free or reduced price meals through the National School Lunch Program.

25 % See program descriptions above and change in statute or rules.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5% 10.555 - Block Grant- National School Lunch Program (Entitlement Grant) - Reducing this funding source by 5% would result in reduced reimbursements to schools and childcare centers.

10.560 - Child Nutrition Programs State Administrative Expenditures: This would impact training of state and local level of employees, audits, program compliance, travel, supplies, etc.

10.568-The Emergency Food Assistance Program: This would reduce the amount that is flowed through to Utah Food Bank.

10.582-Fresh Fruits and Vegetable Program: This would reduce the amount of grant funding flowed through to the elementary schools.

25 % 10.555 - Block Grant- National School Lunch Program (Entitlement Grant) - Reducing this funding source by 25% would result in reduced reimbursements to schools and childcare centers. Which would likely reduce the quality of meals served, staffing levels at the sub-awardee level, participation in initiatives and auxiliary programs such as farm to school, universal breakfast, Afterschool Snack Program (ASP). It may also give them incentive to sell non-reimbursable items to compensate for the loss in revenue. Child Care Centers may choose not to participate in the program because the compensation may not be worth the administrative burden of running the program. For After School Meal Program sponsors they may halt the current expansion of sites and even eliminate some of the smaller programs from their list which may also have with our current Summer Sponsors.

10.560 - Child Nutrition Programs State Administrative Expenditures: This would decrease the number of employees from 24.8 to 21. This would impact training of state and local level of employees, audits, program compliance, travel, supplies, etc. The subrecipient monitoring that is federally mandated would be impacted in timeliness and how often; which in turn would result in more findings.

10.568-Emergency Food Assistance Program: This would reduce the amount of funding that is flowed through to Utah Food Bank.

10.582-Fresh Fruits and Vegetable Program: This would reduce the amount of funding flowed through to the elementary schools.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

5 % The state would need to maintain the State Funding Requirement (formerly MOE) and matching level for CFDA 10.560 and 10.555.

25 % The state would need to maintain the State Funding Requirement (formerly MOE) and matching level for CFDA 10.560 and 10.555.

Utah State Board of Education

COVID 19 EMERGENCY FUNDS - ESEA

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	84.425C (Governors Emergency Education Relief) 84.425D (Elem & Secondary School Emergency Relief) 84.425R (Emergency Assistance for Non-Public Schools)
Agency contact name and phone number	Sarah Young (801) 538-7528/ Leah Voorhies (801) 538-7898

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information

ii i cai 2021 i caciai i logiaili illioi	mation		
Federal Receipts	\$ 69,235,118		
Number of FTEs	2.0[YS1]		
Recipients/Clients Served	41 districts		
	126 charter schools		
	1 Utah Schools of Deaf and Blind		
	Approximately 675,000 students		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The funding supports local education agencies (LEAs) with expenses that are		
	needed to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic. 90% of the funding is distributed		
	using the FY19 Title I, Part A federal allocation model, and the remaining 10% has		
	been used to support LEAs that would not receive funding through the federal		
	distribution as well as addressing state administration and statewide projects in		
	response to COVID-19.		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$3,461,756)	(\$17,308,780)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$3,461,756)	(\$17,308,780)

FTEs	-0.1	-
	1	0.5

Maintenance of Effort (Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	CARES Act (Section 18008) A State that receives ESSER or GEER funds under the CARES Act must:	
	Maintain State support for elementary and secondary education in each of <i>fiscal years (FYs) 2020 and 2021</i> at least at the level of such support that is the average of State support for elementary and secondary education for FYs 2017, 2018, 2019;	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- Programs and services to help local educational agencies (LEAs) address needs due to COVID-19 including efforts improve teaching and learning for disrupted learning, especially for children failing, or most at-risk of failing, to meet challenging State academic achievement standards would have to be reduced. LEAs have encumbered these funds in existing plans and contracts. This would not require a change in statute or rules.
- Programs and services to help local educational agencies (LEAs) address needs due to COVID-19 including efforts improve teaching and learning for disrupted learning, especially for children failing, or most at-risk of failing, to meet challenging State academic achievement standards would have to be reduced. LEAs have encumbered these funds in existing plans and contracts. This would not require a change in statute or rules.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5 % Programs and services to help local educational agencies (LEAs) address needs due to COVID-19 including efforts improve teaching and learning for disrupted learning, especially for children failing, or most at-risk of failing, to meet challenging State academic achievement standards would have to be reduced. LEAs have encumbered these funds in existing plans and contracts, and those would need to be modified for the award period. LEAs' allocations would be reduced and may necessitate serving fewer schools. There would be less support available for LEAs from the SEA.
- Programs and services to help local educational agencies (LEAs) address needs due to COVID-19 including efforts improve teaching and learning for disrupted learning, especially for children failing, or most at-risk of failing, to meet challenging State academic achievement standards would have to be reduced. LEAs have encumbered these funds in existing plans and contracts, and those would need to be modified for the award period. LEAs' allocations would be reduced to an extent that fewer schools would be served. The SEA would have to reduce the level of technical assistance and support provided to LEAs and schools.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these peeds?

- At this level of reduction, we would have to reduce flow through amounts to LEAs. We would receive further guidance from the US Department of Education on program requirements and state funding efforts.
- 25 % At this level of reduction, we would have to reduce flow through amounts to LEAs. We would receive further guidance form the US Department of Education on program requirements and state funding efforts.

09/2021 Division of Finance

Utah State Board of Education Special Education IDEA

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	84.027 (Special Education - Grants to States) 84.173 (Special Education – Preschool Grants)
Agency contact name and phone number	Leah Voorhies (801) 538-7898

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 124,107,006	
Number of FTEs	28.5	
Recipients/Clients Served	86,773	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Students with disal	bilities attending Utah school districts and charter schools (Local
·	Education Agencies or LEAs).	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase) (decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$6,205,350)	(\$31,026,752
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$6,205,350)	(\$31,026,752

FTEs	-1.4	-7.1

Maintenance of Effort (Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal

laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) has a Maintenance of Effort requirement at both the State and LEA levels

For Maintenance of State Fiscal Support, the State must not reduce the amount of State financial support for special education and related services for students with disabilities below the amount of that support for the preceding fiscal year (34 CFR §300.163). Allocations included in this calculation include Special Education funds distributed in the Minimum School Program, funding for USDB. and some funding from other State Agencies such as the Department of Health and the Utah State Office of Rehabilitation. The Maintenance of State Fiscal Support total for SFY18, the last year that was calculated, totaled \$390.634.773.

In addition to this State requirement, IDEA funds provided to the LEA must not be used to reduce the level of expenditures for the education of students with disabilities made by the LEA from state and/or local funds below the level of those expenditures for the preceding fiscal year (34 CFR §300.203). In limited circumstances, the LEA may apply for an exception to the Maintenance of Effort requirement (34 CFR §300.204 & 205). Maintenance of Effort for LEAs for SFY18, the most recent year that was calculated, totaled \$377,282,193.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

A 5% reduction in federal funds would result in a reduction of statewide activities that support the implementation of IDEA. Examples of statewide activities that would be impacted include: technical assistance to LEAs, funding awards to Utah's colleges and universities provided to increase the number of qualified special education teachers and related service providers, professional learning activities (and associated implementation coaching) for teachers and administrators, special education support publications, projects/initiatives designed to meet the unique needs of students with specific types of disabilities, projects/initiatives designed to improve academic outcomes for students with disabilities, reimbursements to LEAs for some expenses occurred as a result of providing services to students which cost more than three times the state average and support to LEAs with critical unexpected needs. A 5% reduction would result in \$663,895 less funding for administration and statewide activities.

In addition to these changes in statewide activities, the amount of funding provided to each Utah LEA for services to students with disabilities would be reduced by 5% or \$5.4 million.

25 % A 25% reduction in federal funds would result in elimination or reduction of statewide activities that support the implementation of IDEA. Examples of statewide activities that would be eliminated or reduced include: technical assistance to LEAs, funding awards to Utah's colleges and universities provided to increase the number of qualified special education teachers and related service providers, professional learning activities (and associated implementation coaching) for teachers and administrators, special education support publications, projects/initiatives designed to meet the unique needs of students with specific types of disabilities, projects/initiatives designed to improve academic outcomes for students with disabilities, reimbursements to LEAs for some expenses occurred as a result of providing services to students which cost more than three times the state average and support to LEAs with critical unexpected needs. A 25% reduction would result in \$3.3 million less funding for administration and statewide activities.

In addition to these changes in statewide activities, the amount of funding provided to each Utah LEA for services to students with disabilities would be reduced by 25% or \$27.06 million.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 89% of IDEA funds are distributed to LEAs by formula. In addition, a portion of the funds reserved for statewide activities is distributed to LEAs, Utah colleges and universities, and other state agencies. This reduction would require LEAs to reorganize programs of service delivery for students. LEAs may not charge fees for special education services. LEAs may not restrict or adjust services required by the Individualized Education Program (IEP) based on budget reductions. LEAs may need to use funds intended for the operation of general educational programs to maintain the level of services that are required for students to receive a free appropriate public education (FAPE), as required by IDEA.
- 25 % 89% of IDEA funds are distributed to LEAs by formula. In addition, a portion of the funds reserved for statewide activities is distributed to LEAs. Utah colleges and universities, and other state agencies, This reduction would require LEAs to reorganize programs of service delivery for students. LEAs may not charge fees for special education services. LEAs may not restrict or adjust services required by the IEP based on budget reductions. It is expected that LEAs will begin using general education funding to support special education programs with a cut at this level. Therefore, these cuts will likely impact all Utah students and not only those with disabilities. A cut of this magnitude would result in the elimination of all funds distributed to Utah colleges and universities and other state agencies, and also the elimination of funds distributed to LEAs in addition to the formula. LEAs may need to use funds intended for the operation of general educational programs to maintain the level of services that are required for students to receive a FAPE, as required by IDEA.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- Special education and related services as described on the IEP for each student with a disability must be provided even though federal funding is cut. State special education funds currently account for 75% of special education funding. Due to Maintenance of Effort requirements, LEAs may not reduce the state and/or local contribution to special education services even when federal funds are reduced.
- Special education and related services as described on the IEP for each student with a disability must be provided even though federal funding is cut. State special education funds currently account for 75% of special education funding. Due to Maintenance of Effort requirements, LEAs may not reduce the state and/or local contribution to special education services even when federal funds are reduced.

This page is blank

Utah State Board of Education

STATEWIDE LONGITUDINAL DATA SYSTEM

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	84.372
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Leah Voorhies (801) 538-7978

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,450,572		
Number of FTEs	0.0		
Recipients/Clients Served	3 LEAs received		
	Funds. 163		
	LEAs		
	participated		
	receiving tech		
	services and		
	professional		
	learning		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	3 LEAs are serving	g Educators and Parents.	State is serving Educators.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

isert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and F1Es associated w		
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$72,529)	(\$362,643)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$72,529)	(\$362,643)
FTEs	-0.0	-0.0

Maintenance of Effort		
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of		
effort requirements. Include references to federal		
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)		

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions
Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- The Statewide Longitudinal Data System extension to 09/30/2022 was done to allow for completion of UDRC to system migration to the cloud to be completed. No new work or change to budget has been applied. Allocations have already been budgeted and no new money is needed.
- 25 % The Statewide Longitudinal Data System extension to 09/30/2022 was done to allow for completion of UDRC to system migration to the cloud to be completed. No new work or change to budget has been applied. Allocations have already been budgeted and no new money is needed.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

to system migration to the cloud to be completed. No new work or change to budget has been applied.

Allocations have already been budgeted and no new money is needed.

The Statewide Longitudinal Data System extension to 09/30/2022 was done to allow for completion of UDRC to system migration to the cloud to be completed. No new work or change to budget has been applied.

The Statewide Longitudinal Data System extension to 09/30/2022 was done to allow for completion of UDRC

to system migration to the cloud to be completed. No new work or change to budget has been applied.

Allocations have already been budgeted and no new money is needed.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % The Statewide Longitudinal Data System extension to 09/30/2022 was done to allow for completion of UDRC to system migration to the cloud to be completed. No new work or change to budget has been applied. Allocations have already been budgeted and no new money is needed.
- 25 % The Statewide Longitudinal Data System extension to 09/30/2022 was done to allow for completion of UDRC to system migration to the cloud to be completed. No new work or change to budget has been applied.

 Allocations have already been budgeted and no new money is needed.

FTFs

Utah State Board of Education

Student Support Services - ESEA

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	84.010 (Title I-A)
this program	84.011A (Title I-C)
	84.013 (Title I-D Neglected and Delinquent)
	84.144F (Migrant Education – Coordination Program)
	84.196 (McKinney Vento Homeless Children
	84.287C (Title IV-B 21st Century Community Learning)
	84.358B (Title V-B Rural and Low-Income School Program)
	84.365A (Title III-A English Language Acquisition)
	84.377 (School Improvement Grants)
Agency contact name and phone number	Leah Voorhies (801) 538-7898

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ai Year 2021 Federai Program into	ear 2021 Federal Program Information:				
Federal Receipts	\$ 93,243,233				
Number of FTEs	9.1				
Recipients/Clients Served	41 districts				
	126 charter schools				
	348 Title I schools				
	Approximately 644,000 students				
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Schools qualify for Title I funds if the school has a poverty rate of 35% or more or a	1			
	poverty rate that is higher than the LEA's average % of poverty. Supplemental				
	funding is provided to support students who are at-risk of failing to meet rigorous				
	State academic standards. In addition, funds are provided to support students who				
	are English learners, immigrants, refugees, have families who are migrant				
	agriculture workers, participating in after school programs, and academic enrichm				
	programs. LEAs receive funds to support professional learning for educators and				
	leaders. The SEA receives federal funds to support state academic assessments.				

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in a	state/other runds and	I I Es associated wi
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$4,662,162)	(\$23,310,808)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$4,662,162)	(\$23,310,808)

1123	1.0	0.0	
			·
Maintenance of Effort			Maintenance of Effort requires that state and local fund
(Describe any State matching and/or			expenditures cannot be less has 90% of the expenditures
maintenance of effort requirements.			from the previous year.
Include references to federal laws,			, , ,
regulations, or grant provisions.)			

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % Programs and services to help local educational agencies (LEAs) improve teaching and learning in high-poverty schools, especially for children failing, or most at-risk of failing, to meet challenging State academic achievement standards would have to be reduced. This would not require a change in statute or rules.
- Programs and services to help local educational agencies (LEAs) improve teaching and learning in high-poverty schools, especially for children failing, or most at-risk of failing, to meet challenging State academic achievement standards would have to be reduced. This would not require a change in statute or rules.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- Programs and services to help local educational agencies (LEAs) improve teaching and learning in high-poverty schools especially for children failing, or most at-risk of failing, to meet challenging State academic achievement standards would have to be reduced. LEAs' allocations would be reduced and may necessitate serving fewer schools. There would be less support available for LEAs from the SEA.
- 25 % Programs and services to help local educational agencies (LEA's) improve teaching and learning in high-poverty schools especially for children failing, or most at-risk of failing, to meet challenging State academic achievement standards would have to be reduced. LEAs' allocations would be reduced to an extent that fewer schools would be served. The SEA would have to reduce the level of technical assistance and support provided to LEAs and schools.
- 5 % At this level of reduction, we would have to reduce flow through amounts to LEAs. We would receive further guidance from the US Department of Education on program requirements and state funding efforts.
- 25 % At this level of reduction, we would have to reduce flow through amounts to LEAs. We would receive further guidance form the US Department of Education on program requirements and state funding efforts.

Utah State Board of Education

Project AWARE

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.243
this program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Leah Voorhies (801) 538-7898

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,276,055	
Number of FTEs	0.7	
Recipients/Clients Served	3 LEAs received	
	Funds. 163	
	LEAs	
	participated	
	receiving tech	
	services and	
	professional	
	learning.	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	3 LEAs are serving	Educators and Parents. State is serving Educators.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated			
Funding Information	5 %	25 %	
Federal	(\$63,803)	(\$319,014)	
State:			
General Fund			
Education Fund			
Transportation Fund			
Transportation Investment Fund			
Restricted Fund/Account Name:			
Other Fund:			
Dedicated Credits			
Other:			
Other:			
TOTAL	(\$63,803)	(\$319,014)	
FTEs	-0.0	-0.2	

Maintenance of Effort
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of
effort requirements. Include references to federal
laws regulations or grant provisions)

5 %	A 5% federal fund reduction would limit the number of training opportunities AWARE would be able to support
	- both professional development and hosted community/school-based trainings. SEL curriculum would also be
	impacted at each of the LEAs. SEL curriculums and trainings include QPR, Botvin, Mindful Schools, Good
	Behavior Game, Postvention, PREPaRE, YMHFA, among others. Mental health screenings nights would be
	impacted, as well. Rules and statutes: N/A

25 % At a 25% reduction, a minimum loss of 1 FTE, a maximum of 3 FTE or 1 FTE per LEA served by the grant would be affected as well as the above professional development and direct services. Data collection contracts with the University of Utah Research department would also need to be adjusted for a lesser amount. Rules and statutes: N/A

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- In grant year 2019-2020, approximately 22,335 students received evidence based social emotional learning, while an additional 1,307 students (and their families) have been connected to needed mental health resources and services over the course of 14 mental health screening events. Approximately 2,250 mental health or related workforce have received workforce development in mental wellness, intervention, or crisis response; and 12,754 non-mental health related staff and community members received training in prevention or mental health promotion. A 5% reduction would decrease the number of students and families served by impacting the frequency of screening nights. It would also decrease the frequency of workforce development and community trainings each of the LEA's host. At the State level, it would reduce professional development held and attended by USBE & DSAMH.
- As part of the above-mentioned reductions, AWARE personnel (such as family resource facilitators and school counselors) would be reduced, which would impact the number of students and families receiving mental health resources and services. Data collection contracts with the University of Utah would have to be re-negotiated or canceled entirely. Lose the ability to implement evidence-based programs.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % Child find obligations identify students at risk, could hinder the LEA's ability to fulfill the child find obligations more easily. 34 §§ CFR 300.109, 300.111
- 25 % Child find obligations identify students at risk, could hinder the LEA's ability to fulfill the child find obligations more easily. 34 §§ CFR 300.109, 300.111

Utah State Board of Education

Teaching and Learning - ESEA

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	84.367 (Title II-A Support Effective Instruction)
this program	84.424A (Title IV-A Student Support and Academic Enrichment)
Agency contact name and phone number	Jennifer Throndsen, (801) 538-7739

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

	31 1 041 2 02 1 1 040 141 1 1 0 B14111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	Federal Receipts	\$ 16,293,252	
Ì	Number of FTEs	1.83	
	Recipients/Clients Served	Teachers in	
		41 Districts and	
		110 Charter Schools	
ſ	Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Public Schools (Schoo	l Children) Teachers, Principals

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$814,663)	(\$4,073,313)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$814,663)	(\$4,073,313)

FTFe	-0.0	-0.4

Maintenance of Effort	Both State and local expenditures for free public education within the State
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	must be considered in determining whether a State has maintained effort under
effort requirements. Include references to federal	Title II, Part A. LEAs are required to maintain fiscal effort in order to receive
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	their full allocation of Title II, Part A funds for any fiscal year. An LEA has
	maintained effort when either the combined fiscal effort per student, or the
	aggregate expenditures of the LEA and the State with respect to the provision
	of free public education for the preceding fiscal year, was not less than 90
	percent of the combined fiscal effort or aggregate expenditures for the second
	preceding fiscal year (34 CFR 81.41). If the LEA fails to meet the requirements
	for maintenance of effort, the SEA reduces the LEA's allocation of Title II, Part
	A funds in any fiscal year in the exact proportion by which an LEA fails to meet
	the 90 percent test mentioned in the preceding answer, using the measure most
	favorable to the LEA Section [9521(b)(2)].

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- Formula funds to LEAs would be reduced by 5%. Programs supported would need to be reduced or eliminated including support for mentoring programs, class size reductions, personalized learning, well round education initiatives, healthy and safe schools, high quality teacher preparation, and other local professional development initiatives for teachers, leaders, and teacher leaders.
- Formula funds to LEAs would be reduced by 25%. Programs supported would need to be reduced or eliminated including support for mentoring programs, class size reductions, personalized learning, well round education initiatives, healthy and safe schools, high quality teacher preparation, and other local professional development initiatives for teachers, leaders, and teacher leaders.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- Teachers would be required to personally fund some coursework to become qualified or to gain required endorsements sometimes imposed due to shortages in critical areas. In some LEAs class sizes in grades K-3 would increase and teachers would lose jobs.
- 25 % Teachers would be required to personally fund additional coursework to become qualified or to gain required endorsements. Many LEAs would need to increase K-3 class size and lay off teachers. USBE would be severely hampered in efforts to support teacher quality and leadership support, including potential impact to programs in teacher effectiveness and leader development. USBE would reduce staff.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5 % Receipt of Title IIA monies requires monitoring and support. Loss of FTE's would require existing personnel to provide these services along with their state-funded duties.
- 25 % Same as above with greater impact due to additional FTE loss. We would no longer be able to fund outside monitoring that we currently use. There are no other funds to support this. USBE would be unable to adequately monitor Title IIA programs.

Department of Veterans Affairs

Veteran Cemetery Upgrades and Improvements

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	64.203
Agency contact name and phone number	Jeff Hanson (801) 897-8762

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 2,910,702
Number of FTEs	none
Recipients/Clients Served	none
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	One time funds to a

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %	
Federal	\$0	\$0	
State:			
General Fund			
Education Fund			
Transportation Fund			
Transportation Investment Fund			
Restricted Fund/Account Name:			
Other Fund:			
Dedicated Credits			
Other:			
Other:			
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	
FTEs	0	0	

Maintenance of Effort	No state matching dollars are required.
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	•

Wot	lld this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.
5 %	One-time Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.
25 %	One-time Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.
	would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
5 %	One-time Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.
25 %	One-time Federal Funds. No anticipation of reduction to funds. Funds will be spent within the limited period of availability.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	No.
25 %	No.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Department of Veterans Affairs **Veterans Nursing Homes**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

	nce Listing numbers that comprise gram	64.015
Agency	contact name and phone number	Raitos Archuleta 385-341-0142

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 34,908,298	
Number of FTEs	5	
Recipients/Clients Served/Patient Beds	407	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Flderly nursing hon	ne natients

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$1,745,415)	(\$8,727,075)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$1,745,415)	(\$8,727,075

FTEs	5	5	5
Maintenance of Effort	No matching state	iunds	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of			
effort requirements. Include references to federal			
to a second telephone and the second telephone and	l .		

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

- 5 % The federal VA pays approximately 50% of the nursing home costs, and the resident/family has to pay the remainder. A 5% decrease would result in utilizing Fund 2380 (DVA) Utah Veterans' Nursing Home Fund for a short period of time; otherwise, there would be an increased financial burden on the families of the veterans in the nursing homes and/or a reduction in services provided to the residents.
- 25% A 25% reduction would place a very heavy burden on the families of the veterans and require substantial decreases in services and could result in the closure of the facility denying benefits to all veterans.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

- 5 % The residents would not have the full range of nursing home services that they now enjoy, or the financial burden to veterans and their families would be proportionally greater.
- 25 % The financial burden to the residents/families would be so great as to impact their ability to stay in the nursing home. Loss of enough residents could cause the facility to close, thus denying nursing home benefits to all. Such a reduction in services would essentially end the program services. Many residents of limited income would be forced to leave the nursing home and seek alternative placement in facilities with Medicaid beds (the State Veterans Homes have only 52 Medicaid beds.) This would take them out of the desired environment of a Veterans facility and force them to scatter into many other nursing homes where there are no special programs or efforts to benefit veterans. This would also greatly increase the burden of care to the state Medicaid funds. There could be many dozen additional nursing home patients on the Medicaid rolls if this were to occur.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

- 5% Yes. The State does not presently contribute to the care of the residents of the State Veterans Homes. A 5% decrease would result in utilizing Fund 2380 (DVA) Utah Veterans' Nursing Home Fund for a short period of time; otherwise, to be absorbed by the families of the nursing home residents, but some might be forced to rely on State Medicaid funds.
- Yes. The State does not presently contribute to the care of the residents of the State Veterans Homes. For a 25% decrease we would initially use Fund 2380 (DVA) Utah Veterans' Nursing Home Fund, but it would be exhausted in a very short period of time and the entire burden would fall upon the families.

Department of Workforce Services

Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	17.002
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)

Federal Receipts	\$ 1,137,846	
Number of FTEs	10.2	
Recipients/Clients Served	N/A	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	projects related to industry constituer communities, jobse groups use and be Employment and N	s funding is to gather labor market information and for special this data. DWS clients include partner state agencies, private tst, policy makers, academia, the nation, state and local economic eekers, employers, and the general public. All of these client enefit from labor market information. Further, the Census of Wages (QCEW) mainframe system is being maintained by Utah for up of Labor Statistics.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected <u>increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)</u>

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other runds and	d F1Es associated wi
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$56,892)	(\$284,462)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$56,892)	(\$284,462)

FTEs	-0.5	-2.6
Maintenance of Effort (Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements include references to federal	There are no main	tenance of effort or r

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
5 %	In light of recent federal measures to save federal Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) dollars, additional reductions in BLS funding would have a noticeable impact. If the department was to experience a 5% reduction, meeting BLS program deliverables as they currently exist would be a challenge.			
25 %	A 25% BLS budget reduction would significantly compromise the department's ability to meet BLS program deliverables. Even with a commensurate reduction in deliverable requirements and workload, it is very likely that the loss of experienced FTEs would lead to a considerable deterioration of the quality of BLS estimates and the widely-used economic indicators that are derived from those estimates.			

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?				
What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?				
5 %	Responses to data request from employers, partner agencies, and other clients could be delayed as remaining resources would be more heavily focused on immediate deliverables. Data integrity could also become an issue with reduced effort toward data collection. All states would be negatively impacted by delayed use of the National QCEW system.			
25 %	Adjustments to our federal BLS deliverable requirements would be made under this level of budget reduction. Specific program changes are at this time unknown; however, data completeness, data quality, and data timelines are all probably areas of concern to our client groups under a 25% budget reduction. All states would be non-functional without the use of the National QCEW system.			

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	None anticipated	
25 %	It would depend on the mandated federal services required under the reduced funding.	

09/2021 Division of Finance

Department of Workforce Services

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Cluster

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

The state of the s	
Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	93.575, 93.596
this program	
triis program	
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 153,250,972	
Number of FTEs	60.5	
Recipients/Clients Served	6,338 Average	
	Monthly	
	Child Care	
	Assistance	
	Caseload	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Children of low-income, working parents	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

rease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated increase/		
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$7,662,549)	(\$38,312,743)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$7,662,549)	(\$38,312,743)

FTFs	-3.0	-15 1

Maintenance of Effort

(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)

The state provides an annual maintenance of effort (MOE) of \$4,474,924 in accordance with 45 CFR parts 98 and 99. States may count state funds expended to meet the CCDF MOE requirement as MOE expenditures for the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program, as long as such expenditures meet the requirements of 42 USC §609(a)(7). If CCDF can't meet its MOE requirement. TANF would need to cover the full MOE requirement (\$24,887,706).

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

Quality activities would be reduced to accommodate the federal funds receipts reduction. Quality funds support quality improvement activities that improve outcomes for children both in early childhood and school age programs. Reduction in quality activities may impact the number of afterschool programs, infant/toddler programs, and professional development activities for child care providers and reduce child care resource and referral activities.

The CCDF Cluster is funded by Congress in three distinct grants. The Mandatory grant is unlikely to be subject to cuts. If the cut affected the Matching grant or Discretionary grant, however, the impact would be significant. The first action would be scaling back quality activities as much as allowable under Federal regulations which currently require 12% of spending on quality activities. If the department is not able to meet the cut through these measures, the next step would be to either reduce the population served or reduce the amount of the subsidy. If the population served was reduced, there would be a corresponding reduction of approximately 15.1 FTEs.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 %	Depending upon the services reduced, there could be fewer after-school programs, reductions in the number of child care providers pursuing appropriate training, reduction in technical assistance provided to programs to improve program quality, and fewer child care providers receiving support from the DWS Office of Child Care to engage in quality improvement activities.
25 %	Cutting back quality activities would have a long lasting impact including reductions in the skill level and training of child care providers and reductions in available child care. Reducing the population served and reducing the amount of the subsidy would have similar effects. The child care subsidy allows low-income, working parents to work while their children are cared for in safe, quality facilities. Without the subsidy, parents could lose jobs or place children in unsafe child care environments.
	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	Federal CCDF regulations require the department to spend certain amounts on quality activities to support providers caring for infants and toddlers, as well as child care quality. There aren't other resources available to meet these needs.

Department of Workforce Services

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	14.228
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ii Teai 2021 Tederai Tiografii filioi	nation.	
Federal Receipts	\$ 9,599,830	
Number of FTEs	4.6	
Recipients/Clients Served	10,666 residential	
	households and	
	28,798 citizens	
	benefited from	
	community projects	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program provides grants to cities and towns of fewer than 50,000 in population and counties of fewer than 200,000 people. The purpose of the Small Cities program is "to assist in developing viable communities by providing decent housing, a suitable living environment, and expanding economic opportunities, principally for persons of low and moderate incomes." The program operates in rural areas of the state and provides much needed infrastructure improvements to very small towns	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$479,992)	(\$2,399,958)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$479,992)	(\$2,399,958)
FTEs	-0.2	-1.1

Maintenance of Effort (Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	Administrative funding is 3% of the total grant amount plus \$100,000. Only the 3% portion must be matched 1:1. No match is required on pass-thru funds,
effort requirements. Include references to federal	although most projects include other funding in their project total.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	annough most projects morage care, randing in area, project total.

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
5 %	A 5% reduction would result in approximately \$14,400 less in administration funding and \$465,592 less in program funding for local projects. Approximately 0.2 FTE would be redeployed to another program. No change in statute would be required			
25 %	A 25% reduction would result in approximately \$71,999 less in administration funding and \$2,327,959 less in program funding for local projects. It is likely that the 25% fewer program dollars would not create the demand necessary for the current 4.6 FTEs and the program would be reduced by 1.1 FTEs. No change in statute would be required.			

216

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented? Because CDBG funds are used in concert with other available local funds and are spread across counties of the state, the 5 % 5% decrease would not likely have significant impact on any one project or region. The CDBG program funding has been cut by 40% since 2001 for two reasons:

1. The population used to calculate the State CDBG program funding has been reduced as larger counties like Davis and Utah have left the program and receive funding directly from HUD. 2. Congress has cut funding by 5% each of the past few years. 25 % An additional \$2.4 million cut to the program, while significant, would not shut down the program. However, it would necessitate a change in the current method of distribution which allocates funding to each of the seven Associations of Government. A cut of this sized would greatly impact the number of projects completed in each region.

	Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?			
5 %	5 % There are no mandated services.			
25 %	There are no mandated services.			

FTEs

Department Workforce Services **Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.569
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 4,228,820		
Number of FTEs	1.2		
Recipients/Clients Served	111,720		
	individuals		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The DWS Housing and Community Development Division, of which CSBG is one		
	funding source, provides guidance, oversight, and funding to help communities		
	assist people to become more self-sufficient socially, physically, culturally, and		
	economically by reducing poverty and improving the quality of life for low-income		
	Utahns.		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021: (Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$211,441)	(\$1,057,205)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$211,441)	(\$1,057,205

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	There are no maintenance of effort or match requirements for this program.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	A 5% reduction would result in approximately \$10,572 less in admin funding and \$200,869 less in program funding for local projects. Approximately 0.1 FTE would be redeployed to another program. No change in statute would be required.	
25 %	A 25% reduction would result in approximately \$52,860 less in admin funding and \$1,004,345 less in program funding for local projects. It is likely that 25% fewer program dollars would not create the demand necessary for the current 1.2 FTEs and the program would be reduced by at least 0.3 FTE. No change in statute would be required.	

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 %	Because CSBG funds are used to leverage local funds and are spread across all counties of the state, the 5% decrease would not likely have a significant impact on any one project or region.
25 %	Because CSBG funds are used to leverage local funds and are spread across all counties of the state, a 25% decrease would seriously affect local delivery of poverty mitigation programs.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 % There are no mandated services.		There are no mandated services.
	25 %	There are no mandated services.

FTEs

Department Workforce Services

Disability Determination Services (DDS)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	96.001
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 13,195,826	
Number of FTEs	78.9	
Recipients/Clients Served	18,769	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Citizens of Utah who have a physical or mental disability and are receiving or	
	applying for assistance from social security.	
	Funds are used to determine eligibility for Social Security funds.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other fund	ds and F1Es associ
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$659,791)	(\$3,298,957)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$659,791)	(\$3,298,957)

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	There are no maintenance of effort or match requirements for this program.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

-19.7

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	The activities and expenditures that would be most impacted would be staff and the associated payroll. If staff were reduced, services to the clients would be impacted and ability of Utah Disability Determination Services to ensure that proper federal guidelines are met for clients to receive funds and for the funds to be accounted for correctly would be at risk.	
25 %	Staff would not be able to process Social Security Administration (SSA) claims for clients. The backlog of claims would be greater and the ability for people to use Social Security and Disability Determination Services would be less.	

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

5 %

The backlog of SSA claims would be greater and the ability for people to use Social Security and Disability Determination Services would be less.

The backlog of SSA claims would be greater and the ability for people to use Social Security and Disability Determination Services would be less.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

If the federal DDS funds were cut, Utah Disability Determination Services (Utah DDS) would only be able to process the number of SSA disability claims for which they received funding. SSA works with Utah DDS to set annual goals for the number of SSA disability claims to produce. Every fiscal year, SSA agrees to provide Utah DDS with the funds to reach that goal. If the funds were to be reduced by Congress, then the goal would be reduced by a corresponding amount. In the past, Congress has limited funds to SSA Disability with the instructions that certain funds would only be used for certain types of claims (such as initial claims or continuing disability claims). Utah DDS concentrates its available resources on the directive claims. If SSA funding were to be cut, the State would not need to make up the difference as the only claims Utah DDS reviews are SSA claims. SSA directs the number of staff that Utah DDS can hire and places freezes on hiring when SSA funding is restricted such as when a continuing resolution is in place that does not cover any additional hiring. When the Federal Government has been shut down in the past, SSA contracted to cover all DDS budgets when the funding was restored.

If the federal DDS funds were cut, Utah DDS would only be able to process the number of SSA disability claims for which they received funding. SSA works with Utah DDS to set annual goals for the number of SSA disability claims to produce. Every fiscal year, SSA agrees to provide Utah DDS with the funds to reach that goal. If the funds were to be reduced by Congress, then the goal would be reduced by a corresponding amount. In the past, Congress has limited funds to SSA Disability with the instructions that certain funds would only be used for certain types of claims (such as initial claims or continuing disability claims). Utah DDS concentrates its available resources on the directive claims. If SSA funding were to be cut, the State would not need to make up the difference as the only claims Utah DDS reviews are SSA claims. SSA directs the number of staff that Utah DDS can hire and places freezes on hiring when SSA funding is restricted such as when a continuing resolution is in place that does not cover any additional hiring. When the Federal Government has been shut down in the past, SSA contracted to cover all DDS budgets when the funding was restored.

FTEs

Department of Workforce Services

Emergency Rental Assistance

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	21.023
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

at 1 cat 2021 1 cactar 1 togram information.		
Federal Receipts	\$ 36,658,542	
Number of FTEs	6.3	
Recipients/Clients Served	1,745	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	program helps rent circumstances rela combined househo Rental Assistance	ental Assistance program began in Utah on March 15, 2021. The ters who are unable to pay their rent and utilities due to ted to the COVID-19 pandemic. Eligible households have old income at or below 80% of area median income. Emergency funds are used to pay current rent, past-due rent, up to three tive rent, eligible fees, security deposits, and utilities for eligible

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other funds and	f FTEs associated v
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$1,832,927)	(\$9,164,636)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$1,832,927)	(\$9,164,636

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	There are no maintenance of effort or match requirements for this program.
effort requirements. Include references to federal	There are no maintenance of chort of materi requirements for this program.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

-1.6

-0.3

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	A 5% reduction would result in less program funding for rental assistance and utilities. No change in statute would be required. Approximately 0.3 FTE would be redeployed to another program.	
25 %	A 25% reduction would result in less program funding for rental assistance and utilities. No change in statute would be required. Approximately 1.6 FTEs would be redeployed to another program.	

Wha	Vhat changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?		
5 %	Certain low-income individuals and families who have been affected by the COVID-19 pandemic and qualify to receive benefits would not be able to access rental assistance if a 5% reduction in funding occurred. This situation would put these individuals and families at risk of losing their home, creating a tremendous burden for them and on the state's homelessness network.		
A large number of low-income individuals and families who have been affected by the COVID-19 pandemic and qualification receive benefits would not be able to access rental assistance if a 25% reduction in funding occurred. This situation would not be able to access rental assistance if a 25% reduction in funding occurred. This situation would not be able to access rental assistance and a tremendous burden for them and on the state homelessness network.			
Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?			
Are	Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 % There are no mandated services.			
25 %	There are no mandated services.		

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

FTEs

Department Workforce Services

Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

CFDA numbers that comprise this program	14.231
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 6,934,608	
Number of FTEs	11.4	
Recipients/Clients Served	6,270 individuals	
	and 5,636	
	families	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	competitive proces services and projectisk of becoming he leadership, and tection who administer em	Homeless Services distributes ESG funding through a s to organizations and agencies administering direct client ts for individuals who are experiencing homelessness or those at orneless. The Office also provides guidance, oversight, thical assistance to the subrecipient organizations and agencies ergency shelter, rapid rehousing, street outreach, diversion, and as services, focusing on the prevention of and solutions to

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other funds and FTEs associated v	
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$346,730)	(\$1,733,652)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$346,730)	(\$1,733,652)

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	The matching requirement for ESG funds is 100% after the first \$100,000
effort requirements. Include references to federal	expended (24 CFR §576.201).
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

-2.8

-0.6

	Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
5 %	A 5% reduction would result in approximately \$26,005 less in admin funding and \$320,725 less in program funding for direct client services and local projects. Approximately 0.6 FTE would be redeployed to another program. No change in statute would be required.			
25 %	A 25% reduction would result in approximately \$130,024 less in admin funding and \$1,603,628 less in program funding for direct client services and local projects. Approximately 2.8 FTE would be redeployed to another program. No change in statute would be required.			

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?		
		Because ESG funds are used to leverage local funds and are spread across counties of the state, a 5% reduction would not likely have a significant impact on any one project or region.
	25 %	Because ESG funds are used to leverage local funds and are spread across counties of the state, a 25% reduction would affect local delivery of direct client services and homelessness response programs.

4		ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 % There are no mandated services.		There are no mandated services.
:	25 %	There are no mandated services.

Department of Workforce Services

Employment Service (ES) Cluster

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise	Employment Service/Wagner-Peyser Funded Activities: 17.207
this program	Disabled Veterans' Outreach Program (DVOP): 17.801
	Local Veterans' Employment Representative (LVER) Program: 17.804
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

	1114010111	
Federal Receipts	Wagner-Peyser: \$ 7,921,813	
	DVOP: \$ 915,932	
	LVER: \$ 155,497	
	ES Cluster Total: \$8,993,242	
Number of FTEs	Wagner-Peyser: 62.6	
	DVOP/LVER: 12.1	
Recipients/Clients Served	Employers Served: 23,095	
·	Job Seekers Served: 706,791	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Job seekers; in the case of Vete	rans, job seekers who have served in the U.S.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$449,662)	(\$2,248,310)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$449,662)	(\$2,248,310

FTEs	-3.7	-18.7	
Maintenance of Effort			
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	There are no maint cluster.	enance of effort or n	natch requirements for programs in this

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.	
5 %	Wagner-Peyser and the Veterans' Programs are almost completely FTE driven; thus, a 5% reduction in funding would result in a reduction of staffing. No change in statute would be necessary.
25 %	A 25% reduction in funding would result in a corresponding reduction of staffing. No change in statute would be necessary.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?	
5 %	A reduction of these FTEs would not likely have a serious impact on services rendered to recipients.
25 %	A reduction of these FTEs would limit the availability of services to clients coming into employment centers and employers seeking for assistance in recruiting.

	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	No mandatory services will be affected.
25 %	Mandatory services will still be provided, but the speed, efficiency, and quality of providing those services would be affected.

FTEs

Department of Workforce Services

Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

CFDA numbers that comprise this program	93.568
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

ii Teal 2021 Federal Flogram miormation.		
Federal Receipts	Home Energy Assistance: \$ 22,155,770	
	Weatherization Assistance: \$ 6,375,924	
	Total: \$ 28,531,694	
Number of FTEs	8.1	
Recipients/Clients Served	Households Served with Home Energy Assistance: 25,989	
	Households Served with Weatherization Assistance: 1,509	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)	provides energy
	assistance and year-round energy crisis intervention for eligible	e low-income
	households throughout Utah. LIHEAP also provides funds to t	he State Weatherization
	Assistance Program to weatherize homes and provide emerge	ency repair or
	replacement of defunct furnaces and air conditioning units. Th	ese programs assist
	individuals and families in the lowest income brackets.	-

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021: (Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$1,426,584)	(\$7,132,924)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$1,426,584)	(\$7,132,924

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	There are no maintenance of effort or match requirements for this program.
laws regulations or grant provisions	

-2.0

-0.4

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	A 5% reduction would result in less program funding for home heating assistance payments and for weatherization improvements. No change in statute would be required. Approximately 0.4 FTE would be redeployed to another program.	
25 %	A 25% reduction would result in less program funding for home heating assistance payments and for weatherization improvements. No change in statute would be required. Approximately 2.0 FTE would be redeployed to another program.	

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implement		would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
	5 %	The Department of Workforce Services (DWS) contracts with local agencies who hire seasonal employees to process LIHEAP applications across the state and complete weatherization projects in low-income homes. A 5% reduction would result in fewer LIHEAP and weatherization employees being hired. Certain individuals and families slated to receive benefits would no longer receive the utility payment benefits. Individuals and families slated to have their homes weatherized would remain on the waiting list and pay higher utility bills for a longer period of time, creating a tremendous burden on these low-income clients.
	25 %	DWS contracts with local agencies who hire seasonal employees to process LIHEAP applications across the state and complete weatherization projects in low-income homes. A 25% reduction would result in fewer LIHEAP and weatherization employees being hired or hours would be significantly curtailed. Certain individuals and families stated to receive benefits would no longer receive the utility payment benefits. Individuals and families stated to have their homes weatherized would remain on the waiting list and pay higher utility bills for a longer period of time, creating a tremendous burden on these low-income clients.

	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	There are no mandated services.
25 %	There are no mandated services.

Department of Workforce Services

Refugee and Entrant Assistance

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.566
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

FTEs

	Federal Receipts	\$ 5,749,855	
	Number of FTEs	10.3	
	Recipients/Clients Served	209	
ı	Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Recently recettled	Pefuge

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$287,493)	(\$1,437,464)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$287,493)	(\$1,437,464

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	There are no maintenance of effort or match requirements for this program.
effort requirements. Include references to federal	There are no maintenance or enort or mater requirements for this program.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

-2.6

-0.5

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

Refugee cash and medical assistance are mandated activities by federal statute and regulation. Services that must be maintained are refugee cash assistance, refugee medical assistance, refugee medical screening, and unaccompanied refugee minor assistance. These benefits cannot be eliminated without statute and regulation changes to the Immigration and Nationality Act. Refugee assistance funds are also used to help refugees become economically self-sufficient as quickly as possible, primarily through the provision of employment services. Administrative costs could be reduced by reducing the number of FTEs working on these activities.

Refugee cash and medical assistance are mandated activities by federal statute and regulation. Services that must be maintained are refugee cash assistance, refugee medical assistance, refugee medical screening, and unaccompanied refugee minor assistance. These benefits cannot be eliminated without statute and regulation changes to the Immigration and Nationality Act. Refugee assistance funds are also used to help refugees become economically self-sufficient as quickly as possible, primarily through the provision of employment services. A 25% reduction would impact the department's ability to provide employment services to refugees. Administrative costs could be reduced by reducing the number of FTEs working on these activities.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?		
	5 %	A reduction of 5% would eliminate approximately 0.5 FTEs assigned to work on these activities.
	25 %	A reduction of 25% would essentially eliminate 2.6 FTEs assigned to work on these activities and would critically impact services to refugees as well as other community partners serving these customers.

	Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?			
5 %	Refugee cash and medical assistance are mandated activities by federal statute and regulation. Services that must be maintained are refugee cash assistance, refugee medical assistance, refugee medical screening, and unaccompanied refugee minor assistance. These benefits cannot be eliminated without statute and regulation changes to the Immigration and Nationality Act.			
25 %	Refugee cash and medical assistance are mandated activities by federal statute and regulation. Services that must be maintained are refugee cash assistance, refugee medical assistance, refugee medical screening, and unaccompanied refugee minor assistance. These benefits cannot be eliminated without statute and regulation changes to the Immigration and Nationality Act.			

Department of Workforce Services

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Cluster

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	10.551, 10.561, 10.649
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

vc	ii 1 cai 2021 f cuciai 1 logiaili lilloi	manon.	
	Federal Receipts	\$ 443,669,877	
	Number of FTEs	360	
	Recipients/Clients Served	Average monthly	
		caseload: 74,431	
	Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Low income househousehousehousehousehousehousehouse	olds—employed and unemployed, with and without childre

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated			
Funding Information	5 %	25 %	
Federal	(\$22,183,494)	(\$110,917,469)	
State:			
General Fund			
Education Fund			
Transportation Fund			
Transportation Investment Fund			
Restricted Fund/Account Name:			
Other Fund:			
Dedicated Credits			
Other:			
Other:			
TOTAL	(\$22,183,494)	(\$110,917,469)	

FIES	-10	-90	
Maintenance of Effort (Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal laws requisitions or great provisions.)		ition Assistance Prog	of the costs of administering the gram, with exceptions for certain

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.		
5 %	This program provides financial assistance to purchase food. Any cuts that affect benefit levels increase hunger and food insecurity in the community. This is an entitlement program and the department must serve whomever is eligible for the program. Eligibility rules are set by federal regulations.	
25 %	This program provides financial assistance to purchase food. Any cuts that affect benefit levels increase hunger and food insecurity in the community. This is an entitlement program and the department must serve whomever is eligible for the program. Eligibility rules are set by federal regulations.	

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?			
	5 %	If the cut is to non-benefit (administrative) funding only, the department would need to reduce staffing and this would decrease service levels for customers, including timeliness and accuracy. If benefits were cut, the impact could be significant with an increase in hunger and food insecurity.	
	25 %	A reduction this large would have an impact on services. The department is mandated to serve all who are eligible so service levels, including timeliness and program accuracy, would suffer significantly. Cuts of this magnitude to benefits would have far reaching impacts in the general economy. A \$110.9 million lost to the food industry would have a significant impact with a ripple of lost jobs, hunger, and homelessness.	

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	All eligible persons must be served.	
25 %	All eligible persons must be served.	

FTEs

Department of Workforce Services

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	93.558
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 37,057,805	
Number of FTEs	199.5	
Recipients/Clients Served	11,833 Individuals	
	5,055 Households	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Unemployed and under-employed families with dependent children	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$1,852,890)	(\$9,264,451)
State:	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	,
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$1,852,890)	(\$9,264,451)

-49.9

be counted towards meeting the MOE requirement for TANF.

-10.0

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

If cuts are left to the discretion of the department, the activities most likely to be eliminated are those that are not employment-related (e.g., afterschool care to vulnerable children and two-parent family formation and maintenance activities). No change in statute would be necessary.

If cuts are left to the discretion of the department, the activities listed in the 5% reduction scenario above would be eliminated first, followed by other non-employment activities (e.g., homelessness prevention, home visits, and other discretionary contractual spending). Also, employment-related but non-mandatory programs would also likely be cut (e.g., mental health counseling and training to non-Family Employment Program customers). In order to reach the 25% target, the department would also have to reduce the amount of TANF that is transferred to CCDF to pay for child care subsidies and other related activities, seriously impacting that program. With the reduction of the above-mentioned services, an accompanying reduction of staff by approximately 25% would also be likely. Another option is to cut or reduce the amount of TANF transferred to the Social Services Block Grant (SSBG). Currently, 10% of the base TANF grant is transferred annually to the Utah Department of Human Services (DHS) for SSBG.

	would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?			
Wha	What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?			
5 %	The elimination of the afterschool programs would affect at-risk youth throughout the state who utilize the programs to develop critical life skills and are encouraged through those programs to avoid out-of-wedlock pregnancies.			
25 %	In addition to the impact described in the 5% reduction scenario, many other individuals and families throughout the state would be affected if the 25% reduction scenario were enacted. The working poor would be the hardest hit, no longer having access to such programs as housing assistance, training, mental health counseling, family shelter, and subsidized child care.			

	ere mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? there other resources available to meet these needs?
5 %	No mandated services will be affected.
25 %	If the activities discussed above are sufficient to meet the 25% cut, then no mandated services will be affected. However, if the cuts fall short of the target, the department will likely reduce the amount of TANF cash assistance funds given to each client. No other funding resources are available to meet these needs.

FTEs

Department of Workforce Services

Trade Adjustment Assistance

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	17.225, 17.245
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 829,707		
Number of FTEs	3		
Recipients/Clients Served	22		
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Dislocated workers from Trade Adjustment Assistance Act impacted companies.		
	Services include job training, Unemployment Insurance, and wage subsidies.		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$41,485)	(\$207,427)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$41,485)	(\$207,427)

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	There are no maintenance of effort or match requirements for this program.
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

This is a mandatory and entitlement-based federal program driven by approval on a company by company basis. Any funding cuts would require corresponding program changes, resulting in fewer approvals and, therefore, fewer customers served. A 5% reduction would not require a statutory change.

This is a mandatory and entitlement-based federal program driven by approval on a company by company basis. Any funding cuts would require corresponding program changes, resulting in fewer approvals and, therefore, fewer customers served. A 25% reduction would not require a statutory change.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?					
5 %	Any funding cuts would require corresponding program changes, resulting in fewer approvals and, therefore, fewer customers served.				
25 %	Any funding cuts would require corresponding program changes, resulting in fewer approvals and, therefore, fewer customers served.				

	Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?						
5 %	Services would continue. Funding is available to expend for 3 years. Funds are expended based on eligible clients.						
25 %	Services would continue. Fewer clients would be served or additional funds would be requested based on program needs. Trade unemployment insurance benefits and wage subsidies are based on need and would not be reduced if there are eligible clients. Training services would be reduced unless additional federal funds are provided.						

09/2021 Division of Finance

FTFs

Department of Workforce Services **Unemployment Insurance (UI)**

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions

I	Based on Fiscal Year 2021
CFDA numbers that comprise this program	17.225

Figoral 3	Voor 20	21 Ead	eral Progr	rom Infor	motion

effort requirements. Include references to federal laws regulations or grant provisions

1 tai 2021 1 tatiai 110 Brain information.				
Federal Receipts	\$ 1,164,420,232			
Number of FTEs	352.9			
Recipients/Clients Served	Total Determinations: 846,511			
	Volume Counts (Claims): 264,615			
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Unemployment Insurance claimants, employers			

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

Agency contact name and phone number Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

rease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(insert amount of expected increase/(decrease)				
Funding Information	5 %	25 %		
Federal	(\$58,211,012)	(\$291,105,058)		
State:				
General Fund				
Education Fund				
Transportation Fund				
Transportation Investment Fund				
Restricted Fund/Account Name:				
Other Fund:				
Dedicated Credits				
Other:				
Other:				
TOTAL	(\$58,211,012)	(\$291,105,058)		

1123	17.0	00.2	
Maintenance of Effort			
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of	There are no maint	enance of effort or m	natch requirements for this program.

_						
Descr	ibe the progra	m. activity. o	or expenditure type	that would be impacted	by the proposed federal fun	ds receipts reductions.

Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references. Many UI program activities and customer service levels would be negatively impacted. Resource adjustments would be 5 % required to maintain acceptable, yet diminished, performance and service levels. Significantly longer call wait times for both claimants and employers. UI benefit payments will take significantly longer to get issued. Integrity and compliance program efforts would be largely downsized leading to reduced detection of UI benefit 25 %

overpayments, as well as fewer employer audits and lower collection efforts—all of which result in a negative impact to the Unemployment Compensation Fund.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented? Longer call wait times for UI claimants filing initial claims or calling with questions, as well as increase in days to eligibility 5 % Significantly longer call wait times for both claimants and employers. UI benefit payments will take significantly longer to get issued. Integrity and compliance program efforts would be largely downsized leading to reduced detection of UI benefit overpayments, as well as fewer employer audits and lower collection efforts—all of which result in a negative impact to the Unemployment Compensation Fund.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs? The UI division is required to maintain efforts related to core UI activities including UI claims processing, appeals, quality control, tax assessments and collections, as well as UI integrity and compliance activities. The Special Administrative Expense Account (SAEA) could potentially be used to partially offset the UI administrative grant fund reduction; however, this would negatively impact the programs currently funded from the SAEA that reinvest in the 25 % workforce and support employer initiatives. These programs facilitate job creation, job placement, and technical skills training with the stated purpose of strengthening the state's workforce and, in doing so, helping to ensure the stability of the Unemployment Compensation Fund.

Department Workforce Services

Vocational Rehabilitation (VR)

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

	Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	84.126A
ſ	Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 23,938,150	
Number of FTEs	238.1	
Recipients/Clients Served	19,344	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	Individuals with dis	abilities, including students with disabilities, who require
	Vocational Rehabil	itation services in order to obtain or maintain meaningful
	employment.	

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in	State/other funds and	IFIEs associated w
Funding Information	5 %	25 %
Federal	(\$1,196,908)	(\$5,984,538)
State:		
General Fund		
Education Fund		
Transportation Fund		
Transportation Investment Fund		
Restricted Fund/Account Name:		
Other Fund:		
Dedicated Credits		
Other:		
Other:		
TOTAL	(\$1,196,908)	(\$5,984,538)

Maintenance of Effort
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of
effort requirements. Include references to federal
laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)

At a minimum, a match of 21.3% is required for the Vocational Rehabilitation grant. As such, the required state match would decrease as a result of decreasing federal funds. However, the Vocational Rehabilitation grant also has a maintenance of effort requirement which would not allow for a decrease in state funds, even in the event of decreasing federal funds. As such, a reduction in state funding is not shown in the table above.

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.

5 %

The Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) program provides personalized services to eligible individuals with disabilities, ages 14 and up, to meet their specific needs in achieving a meaningful and integrated employment outcome. This reduction would impact the department's ability to provide VR services, including counseling and guidance, disability restoration interventions, assistive technology, training, and job placement. This reduction would not require a change in statute or rules.

The Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) program provides personalized services to eligible individuals with disabilities, ages 14 and up, to meet their specific needs in achieving a meaningful and integrated employment outcome. This reduction would substantially limit the department's ability to provide VR services, including counseling and guidance, disability restoration interventions, assistive technology, training, and job placement. This reduction would not require a change in statute or rules.

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services?

What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?

The Vocational Rehabilitation program is currently operating under an Order of Selection, which is a federally-sanctioned wait list by which individuals with the Most Significant Disabilities are served first. A 5% reduction in funding would require the department to reduce the number of individuals who are released from the wait list for services.

The Vocational Rehabilitation program is currently operating under an Order of Selection, which is a federally-sanctioned wait list by which individuals with the Most Significant Disabilities are served first. A 25% reduction in funding would require the department to close all categories of the wait list for an extended period of time. This would substantially limit the provision of VR services to eligible individuals.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut?

Are there other resources available to meet these needs?

As defined in federal regulations (34 CFR Part 361), the State is required to provide vocational rehabilitation services on a statewide level for eligible individuals with disabilities. There are no other comparable resources available to meet these needs.

As defined in federal regulations (34 CFR Part 361), the State is required to provide vocational rehabilitation services on a statewide level for eligible individuals with disabilities. There are no other comparable resources available to meet these needs

FTEs

Department of Workforce Services

Weatherization Assistance for Low-Income Persons

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	81.042
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

Federal Receipts	\$ 2,697,506	
Number of FTEs	2.1	
Recipients/Clients Served	333 households	
Describe Recipients/Clients Served	The U.S. Department of Energy funds the Weatherization Assistance for Low-	
	Income Persons program to weatherize homes and to provide emergency repair or replacement of defunct furnaces and air conditioning units. This program assists individuals and families in the lowest income brackets.	
1		

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:
(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

5 %	25 %
(\$134,875)	(\$674,377)
(\$134,875)	(\$674,377)
	(\$134,875)

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal	There are no maintenance of effort or match requirements for this program.
laws regulations or grant provisions)	

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
5 %	A 5% reduction would result in less program funding for weatherization improvements. No change in statute would be required. Approximately 0.1 FTE would be redeployed to another program.		
25 %	A 25% reduction would result in less program funding for weatherization improvements. No change in statute would be required. Approximately 0.5 FTE would be redeployed to another program.		

What would be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? What changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?			
5 %	DWS contracts with local agencies who hire workers to complete weatherization measures in low-income homes. Approximately 1 fewer weatherization worker may be hired. Individuals and families slated to have their homes weatherized would remain on the waiting list and pay higher utility bills for a longer period of time, creating a tremendous burden on these low-income clients.		
25 %	DWS contracts with local agencies who hire workers to complete weatherization measures in low-income homes. Approximately 2 fewer weatherization workers may be hired. Individuals and families slated to have their homes weatherized would remain on the waiting list and pay higher utility bills for a longer period of time, creating a tremendous burden on these low-income clients.		

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?			
5 %	There are no mandated services.		
25 %	There are no mandated services.		

FTEs

Department of Workforce Services

Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Cluster

Plan of Potential 5 % and 25 % Federal Receipts Reductions Based on Fiscal Year 2021

Assistance Listing numbers that comprise this program	17.258, 17.259, 17.278
Agency contact name and phone number	Nathan Harrison, (801) 526-9402

Fiscal Year 2021 Federal Program Information:

C	i i cai 2021 i caciai i logialli illioi	mation.	
	Federal Receipts	\$ 7,746,537	
	Number of FTEs	47.5	
	Recipients/Clients Served	2,953	
	Describe Recipients/Clients Served	WIOA clients are e	conomically disadvantaged Adults and Youth, or Dislocated
		Workers who have	lost employment in the past 2 years and are unlikely to return to
		their previous occupation or industry. Services include career and training services.	
		The majority of WI	OA customers age 18 and older are also eligible to be served with
		Wagner-Peyser fur	nding.

Potential 5 % and 25 % federal receipts reductions based on fiscal year 2021:

(Insert amount of expected increase/(decrease) in State/other funds and FTEs associated with the potential federal reductions.)

in State/other fund	s and F1Es associa
5 %	25 %
(\$387,327)	(\$1,936,634)
(\$387,327)	(\$1,936,634)
	5 % (\$387,327)

Maintenance of Effort	
(Describe any State matching and/or maintenance of effort requirements. Include references to federal laws, regulations, or grant provisions.)	There are no maintenance of effort or match requirements for this program.

-11.9

-2.4

Describe the program, activity, or expenditure type that would be impacted by the proposed federal funds receipts reductions. Would this reduction require a change in statute or rules? If so list references.			
5 %	The impact of a 5% reduction would be minimal and there are not statutory or rule changes. However, there would be an impact to the amount of funding available to out-of-school youth.		
25 %	Less training funds would be available to serve clients, with fewer DWS employees providing services. There would be a potential need to shut down the program and not allow new enrollments into the program.		

		vould be the impact on recipients (including state and local agencies) receiving these services? at changes in program(s), services, expenditures, fees, etc. would be made if this reduction is implemented?
	5 %	Minimal impact on clients; however, it could impact out-of-school youth and reduce the funding available to help them connect to the workforce and obtain marketable skills.
	25 %	The impact would be a significant reduction in the number of at-risk clients including out-of-school youth that would be enrolled in the program. The program would likely need to be temporarily shut down and potentially customer funding limits tightened even further.

Are there mandated federal services that the State would have to maintain even though federal funding is cut? Are there other resources available to meet these needs?		
5 %	All requirements would be met.	
25 %	All requirements would be met, but for a smaller number of dislocated workers and economically disadvantaged adults and youth.	