

EDTIF Amendments

Rep. Steve Handy Ben Hart, Dan Royal, Abby Hunsaker

The Old Model

Ambiguity in the law allows for interpretation

Eligibility negatively focused on what does not qualify for an award

Program fixated on "jobs, jobs, jobs"

Urban and Rural Utah are treated the same

Competition with other states is required, driving companies to other states

Projects with no physical location are ineligible

Wage requirements are definitive in law

Requirements hinge only on jobs created-Corporate Stewardship absent

Local governments are alone in determining a project's potential economic impact

EDTIF Rewrite

Law is more prescriptive and targeted

Eligibility positively framed based on what the Utah *does* want

Program recognizes the need for more strategic, balanced growth

Policies are flexible to the diverse challenges in Rural Utah

Competition with other states is no longer required for homegrown companies

Requirements are modernized to include more remote-work opportunities

Wage requirements are determined by current economic conditions

Companies also required to give back to community with a Corporate Citizenry plan

Large projects require economic impact statements

