

Fiscal Year 2027 Unified Water Infrastructure Plan



Water Developing Coordinating Council
March 1, 2026



Table of Contents

	Page
Introduction	3
Unified Water Infrastructure Plan	3
Relevant Reference Documents	4
Summary of Water Infrastructure Fund Money.....	5
Relevant Agency Typical Funds	5
Water Infrastructure Fund.....	6
FY 2025 Distribution of Funds.....	6
Updated UWIP Project List	7
UWIP Project Submission Background	7
UWIP Project Submission Summary	7
UWIP Prioritized Project Submission List.....	8
UWIP Recommended Funding Prioritization Requirements	39
Funding Need Analysis	40
Funding Distribution Analysis	41
Recommendation to Legislature	43
Legislative Recommendation for Funding Level	44
Legislative Recommendation for Agency Distribution	44

List of Appendices

	Page
Appendix A: Project Data	45
Appendix B: Agency Plans	201
Appendix C: Process for Prioritizing Water Project Funding	370
Appendix D: Small Community Approach.....	386
Appendix E: 1/16 th of a Percent Sales Tax Distribution	395

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1: Summary of Typical Relevant Agency Funding	6
Table 2: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68.....	9
Table 3: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≥ 68.....	21
Table 4: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near-Future (6-10 years), Scores ≥ 68	30
Table 5: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≥ 68	35
Table 6: Proposed WIF Funding Distribution	42
Table 7: Proposed Agency WIF Allocation	44

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1: Annual Funding by Provisional Score (FY 2027).....	41
Figure 2: Proportional Funding Allocated by Provisional Score.....	42

Introduction

In 2024, the Utah Legislature directed the creation of the Unified Water Infrastructure Plan (UWIP), a new approach to funding and prioritizing water infrastructure projects in the state of Utah (2024 [House Bill 280](#) and 2025 [House Bill 285](#)). The UWIP is intended to improve the process of funding water infrastructure in Utah by engaging in proactive infrastructure planning and increasing collaboration between funding agencies.

Implementation of the new funding process can be broken into three initial components:

- **Integration of Existing Funds Study** – HB 280 required the completion of two studies. The first study was completed in October of 2024 to analyze and recommend integration of existing funds available for water infrastructure projects. This study provides a more detailed outline of funding approach changes envisioned by HB 280.
- **Infrastructure Fee Study** – The second study authorized by HB 280 considered whether to impose a new fee to fund water infrastructure projects and was completed October 2025. The study analyzed current funding sources and mechanisms, where shortfalls exist, how a new fee might be assessed, and how the revenue might be equitably distributed statewide.
- **Unified Water Infrastructure Plan** – The studies above informed the creation of a new Unified Water Infrastructure Plan (UWIP). The UWIP includes a comprehensive prioritized list of water infrastructure projects that will be used to determine which projects will be eligible for future funding from the Water Infrastructure Fund (WIF) and/or state agency funds.

This document is the state’s first UWIP with planned implementation in Fiscal Year 2027.

Unified Water Infrastructure Plan

UWIP is the state’s new process for funding water infrastructure projects. It is aimed at identifying and prioritizing water infrastructure projects as well as improving long-term funding for water infrastructure projects across the state. Central to the UWIP is a comprehensive prioritized list of water infrastructure projects within the state of Utah needed within the next 20 years. This plan is created and administered by the Water Development Coordinating Council (WDCC). The relevant agencies included in the council (as defined in Utah Code 73-10g-601) provide financial assistance for a large portion of water infrastructure projects in the state. The relevant agencies involved in the UWIP include:

- Division of Drinking Water (DDW) through the Drinking Water Board
- Division of Water Quality (DWQ) through the Water Quality Board
- Division of Water Resources (WRe) through the Board of Water Resources

The goals of the new UWIP funding process include: 1) creating a comprehensive prioritized list of water infrastructure projects, 2) centralizing the application process for entities in need, 3) enhancing coordination amongst relevant agencies, 4) increasing transparency and efficiency with funding, and 5)

aligning state funding assistance with state water plan policies, goals and recommendations. With a centralized location of state water infrastructure needs, as listed in the UWIP and provided by water entities across the state, there is a greater understanding of funding needs to maintain a reliable supply of safe and clean water within the state.

As the relevant agencies have prioritized submitted projects within their respective agency plans, the WDCC has determined where funds should be allocated and when additional funds need to be requested from the state (see “Recommendation to Legislature” of this document for more details). All water infrastructure entities that currently need and/or expect to request funds from the relevant agencies and relevant accounts (as defined in 73-10g-601) will need to have their projects included in the UWIP to be considered for future funding. This document describes the results of UWIP for Fiscal Year 2027.

The state collaborated with the developers of the Utah Project Portal (portal) to expand its scope to allow submissions of qualifying water infrastructure projects throughout the state. Agency plans will be submitted annually to the WDCC with new and updated prioritized projects from the portal database. The WDCC will then update the UWIP with funding level and distribution amongst relevant agencies on the basis of the agency plans. It should be noted that this first UWIP iteration includes projects submitted through the portal through December 31, 2025, in addition to those submitted and prioritized within the agency plans (May 9, 2025) as the portal was developed following the initial agency plan submission.

Relevant Reference Documents

Within the new state process for funding water infrastructure there are several documents on which this UWIP document relies. These documents are summarized here:

- **Relevant Agency Plans** – HB 280 and 285 require that the relevant agencies (DDW, DWQ, WRe) adopt agency plans by June 30 annually. These plans include the agencies’ proposed project prioritization. The WDCC then creates the UWIP with recommendations to the Legislature regarding funding needed and proposed distribution amongst the relevant agencies on the basis of the agency plans. The 2025 agency plans are included in Appendix B of this document.
- **Process for Prioritizing Water Project Funding** – HB 285 specifies that the WDCC shall develop a written prioritization process for ranking and prioritizing water infrastructure projects and shall seek and consider public comment related to that process. In accordance with this statute, the state has compiled that document, presented it to the public, and addressed public comments received on that process. This document is included in Appendix C of this document.
- **Small Community Project Needs** – With limited resources available in small communities and the relatively short submission period for this UWIP, the state was concerned that small community needs were not fully captured in the UWIP submission process. To address this concern, a small community allowance was estimated that accounts for presently undocumented water infrastructure needs within Utah’s small communities. The process and

details regarding this allowance are included within the Small Community Project Needs technical memorandum in Appendix D of this document.

- **Water and Irrigation Rules** – HB 280 and 285 require the creation of rules specific to the UWIP. These include rules regarding emergency and small project exclusions definitions, the water infrastructure project application process, funding eligibility, and project ranking and prioritization considerations.

Summary of Water Infrastructure Fund Money

“Water infrastructure fund money” accounts for all funding available for infrastructure projects including agency funds and WIF funds. The purpose of this section is to summarize the availability of water infrastructure fund money and to provide historical context for funding in Fiscal Year 2025 (FY 2025).

Relevant Agency Typical Funds

As of FY 2025, the relevant agencies have identified the following as typical sources of revenue:

- **1/16th of a Percent Sales Tax** – The State of Utah currently dedicates a sales tax of 1/8th of a percent to water projects. Of this, half is dedicated to the Water Infrastructure Restricted Account (WIRA). The other 1/16th of a percent is subdivided among multiple agencies including those identified here. This is a recurring annual allocation of money to the agencies (Appendix E).
- **State Revolving Funds** – Funds received from sales tax and other sources have been used to fund water infrastructure projects as a part of State Revolving Fund (SRF) programs. In association with these loans, each of the relevant agencies receive loan repayments that then become available for future projects.
- **Federal Loan Repayment** – The DDW and DWQ also work with Federal loan programs and have reported a typical stream of revenue in the repayment of those loans.

Table 1 details the typical funding amounts for each of the relevant agencies from the revenue streams described. The total typical state funding for these agencies has been estimated at \$100 million annually. It should be noted that DDW and DWQ may also receive new funding appropriations from the federal government, but these appropriations are less consistent funding streams and vary widely throughout political cycles. As new federal appropriations allocated to Utah DDW and DWQ programs have been declining in recent years, no additional federal funds have been included in this summary.

Table 1: Summary of Typical Relevant Agency Funding

Source	1/16 th of a Percent Sales Tax*	State Loan Repayment	Federal Loan Repayment	Total
DDW	\$1.6 Mn	\$2.5 Mn	\$17.2 Mn	\$21.3 Mn
DWQ	\$1.6 Mn	\$2.5 Mn	\$17.2 Mn	\$21.3 Mn
WRe	\$42.4 Mn	\$18 Mn	—	\$60.4 Mn
Total	\$45.6 Mn	\$23 Mn	\$34.4 Mn	\$103 Mn

*Total less administrative costs

Water Infrastructure Fund

HB 280 created the Water Infrastructure Fund (WIF) and authorized two studies: the integration of existing funds study and the infrastructure fee study. The integration of funds study identified funds that were eligible for consolidation into the WIF. The infrastructure fee study considered avenues for developing new revenues (e.g. state fee, tax, or legislative appropriation) to fill in the gap between available funds and state funding needs. The infrastructure fee study recommends a fee to provide financial assistance in the form of grants.

As of the time this UWIP report was written, no state fee, tax, or legislative appropriation has been authorized for the WIF. The WIF fund currently has approximately \$3 million that was derived from the consolidation of existing funds.

FY 2025 Distribution of Funds

As described in their respective agency plans, the relevant agencies used their available funding as follows in FY 2025:

- **Drinking Water Board** –The Division of Drinking Water closed financial assistance packages for 39 authorized projects totaling \$134.9 million. Of that total, \$90.8 million was in the form of loans and \$44.1 million was in grants. All available funding was used, and no funds were left unobligated.
- **Water Quality Board** – The Division of Water Quality funded seven projects totaling \$31.3 million with \$24.5 million in loans, \$6.7 million in grants, and \$267,000 in advances. All available funding was used, and no funds were left unobligated.
- **Board of Water Resources** – The Division of Water Resources authorized \$195 million for Colorado River Reuse Projects, contracted \$45 million to fund 19 projects, and obligated \$36

million to fund another 19 projects. All WRe funds are revolving, and there was \$75 million of unobligated funds remaining in their Conservation & Development (C&D) Fund.

More details of agency project funding can be found in respective agency plans in Appendix B.

As this is the first iteration of the UWIP, no funds have been distributed to agencies from the WIF for water infrastructure needs within the state. In coming years, WIF funds (if and when they are generated) will be used for project needs and it is recommended that a summary of project location (by basin), project type, unique entities funded, and any obligated funds be specified here.

Updated UWIP Project List

UWIP Project Submission Background

Entities throughout the state of Utah were asked to submit information describing planned water infrastructure projects for the next 20 years with anticipated funding needs from the state. The initial submission process lasted a month ending May 9, 2025. The relevant agency plans were based on these initial project submissions. Each UWIP document is to be developed on the basis of the prioritized lists of projects within the agency plans as specified in the legislation (HB 280/285).

After the agency plans were submitted, the state collaborated with the developers of the Utah Project Portal (portal) to expand its scope to allow submissions of qualifying water infrastructure projects throughout the state. To make sure all applicable projects were captured, the portal was opened for the submission of additional projects to UWIP from November 20, 2025 until December 31, 2025. The UWIP project lists contained in the following sections of this document include consideration of both projects included in the original agency plans and projects that were submitted during this second round of project collection. This additional submittal period is unique to this first year of the process and is not expected in future years.

UWIP Project Submission Summary

Overall, 263 entities submitted 4,167 projects throughout the state totaling \$23.97 billion in total project costs and an identified state funding need of \$6.31 billion over the next 20 years. It should be noted that with a shortened submission window and the initial development of the UWIP processes, this first UWIP may not be completely comprehensive of all planned infrastructure needs. Conversely, submitting entities may be asking for more funding than is needed because detailed requirements for funding have not yet been defined. As a result, the UWIP project list could increase or decrease as the process is further defined in the future. However, it is the most comprehensive list to date.

Of these projects, only a portion are seeking funding and even fewer will qualify for funding and inclusion in the UWIP. As the legislature has not yet established an expected level of funding for the

WIF, this first edition of the UWIP includes a broader list of potential projects for funding consideration and then will discuss recommendations for refining the final project list.

UWIP Prioritized Project Submission List

This portion of the UWIP includes a comprehensive list of water infrastructure projects within the state for the next 20 years as specified within the legislation. Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5 list submitted projects that identified a need for state funding needs in 1-2 year, 3-5 year, 6-10 year, and 11-20 year time intervals, respectively. These tables only contain projects that identified a request for state funds and received a provisional score of 68 or above during the prioritization process. This limits the projects to those most likely to be recommended for funding as will be discussed further in subsequent sections. In these tables there is a total of \$2.94 billion in total project costs and \$826 million in requested state funding over the next 20 years. Appendix A contains similar tables detailing projects that received a provisional score less than 68 in the prioritization process. Note that this provisional score threshold may shift in future years as project information is refined and further evaluated. Some entities submitted projects but did not request state funding. Projects that did not request state funding are not included in the appendix but can be reviewed within the Utah Project Portal.

It is anticipated that this list will be updated annually with the submission of the updated UWIP. Entities will use the portal to submit new projects or update previously submitted information. It is these submitted projects that will directly influence the state funding level and distribution recommendation of the WDCC to the state legislature.

Table 2: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2885	DDW	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Cold Creek Springs #2 Development	Teasdale	90%	15	22	18	14	16	12	97	\$ 3,800,000	\$ 1,140,000	\$ 1,140,000
1079	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Cedar Canyon Springs Treatment Plant Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	18	14	16	10	95	\$ 4,200,000	\$ 2,016,000	\$ 2,016,000
1300	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Cast Iron Main Replacements at 4100 S, 5400 W and 3600 W	West Valley City	77%	15	22	18	14	16	10	95	\$ 5,750,000	\$ 1,725,000	\$ 1,725,000
2193	DDW	Circleville	Drinking Water	Redevelop Wades Canyon Springs	Circleville	73%	15	16	18	14	16	12	91	\$ 1,150,000	\$ 1,092,500	\$ 789,763
2899	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Re-Develop Sulfur Springs	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	14	16	12	91	\$ 850,000	\$ 212,500	\$ 212,500
848	DDW	Ukon Water Company	Drinking Water	Arsenic Treatment Project	Fielding	110%	10	22	18	14	16	10	90	\$ 1,700,000	\$ 1,275,000	\$ 1,116,050
2223	DDW	Gunnison	Drinking Water	Tarr Canyon Well	Gunnison	102%	10	22	18	14	16	10	90	\$ 16,800,000	\$ 11,760,000	\$ 3,360,000
3383	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Master Plan and Engineering Services	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	14	16	5	90	\$ 324,200	\$ 129,680	\$ 129,680
2302	DDW	Marysvale	Drinking Water	PRV Replacement	Marysvale	68%	18	22	18	3	16	12	89	\$ 354,000	\$ 53,100	\$ 53,100
2322	DDW	Scipio	Drinking Water	Redevelop Springs	Scipio	105%	10	22	14	14	16	12	88	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 2,880,000	\$ 1,836,800
17	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Van Buren M Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	22	18	6	16	10	87	\$ 1,104,804	\$ 552,402	\$ 552,402
2293	DDW	Koosharem Town	Drinking Water	Construct a New Storage Tank (500,000 gal)	Koosharem	82%	15	16	14	14	16	12	87	\$ 1,810,000	\$ 1,448,000	\$ 1,177,315
3659	DDW	New Castle Water Company	Drinking Water	New Well	Newcastle	72%	15	16	14	14	16	12	87	\$ 560,000	\$ 224,000	\$ 224,000
1966	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Water Tank Storage - Weber South WTP	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	14	16	5	86	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,800,000

Table 2 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1981	DDW	Moroni	Drinking Water	Disinfectant Monitoring System	Moroni	80%	15	22	14	9	16	10	86	\$ 20,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
1527	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	22nd and Adams dipstone	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	6	16	12	85	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1543	WRe	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Freeman Allred Reservoir	Spring City	90%	15	16	14	14	16	10	85	\$ 11,095,600	\$ 2,219,120	\$ 2,219,120
135	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	36th Street Waterline Upgrade and Pressure Enhancement	Ogden	85%	15	22	18	3	16	10	84	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
2108	DDW	Sterling	Drinking Water	Distribution system upgrades	Sterling	98%	10	22	18	6	16	12	84	\$ 2,390,000	\$ 1,195,000	\$ 1,195,000
2278	WRe	Junction Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Piping of West Canal P1	Junction	62%	18	16	18	6	16	10	84	\$ 937,200	\$ 702,900	\$ 654,591
3660	DDW	New Castle Water Company	Drinking Water	Master Plan	Newcastle	72%	15	16	14	14	13	12	84	\$ 4,292,000	\$ 2,146,000	\$ 2,146,000
2106	WRe	Sterling Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Transite Line Replacement	Sterling	98%	10	22	14	9	16	12	83	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 950,000	\$ 695,000
2178	DDW	Bicknell	Drinking Water	Brinkerhoff Spring Line HDD Under Swamp	Bicknell	83%	15	16	18	6	16	12	83	\$ 475,000	\$ 427,500	\$ 343,841
671	DWQ	Vineyard	Stormwater & Drainage	Shotcrete Storm Water Outfalls	Vineyard	95%	10	22	18	6	16	10	82	\$ 200,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
967	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	SW AMI Tower	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	18	6	16	10	82	\$ 31,877	\$ 15,939	\$ 15,939
2204	DDW	Duchesne	Drinking Water	River Road Water line replacement	Duchesne	92%	10	22	18	6	16	10	82	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
2258	DDW	Hanksville Town	Drinking Water	New 500,000 gallon tank	Hanksville		0	22	18	14	16	12	82	\$ 3,250,000	\$ 2,112,500	\$ 1,856,563
2281	DDW	Junction	Drinking Water	Spring Redevelopment	Junction	62%	18	16	6	14	16	12	82	\$ 1,255,000	\$ 1,129,500	\$ 854,624
148	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Various 2" Replacements	Ogden	85%	15	22	18	6	10	10	81	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000

Table 2 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
226	DDW	Redmond	Drinking Water	New Well	Redmond	95%	10	16	18	9	16	12	81	\$ 1,850,000	\$ 1,665,000	\$ 1,199,263
1998	DWQ	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Stormwater & Drainage	Willard Canal Lining	Willard	123%	6	22	18	14	16	5	81	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 24,000,000	\$ 12,000,000
2151	DDW	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Drinking Water	Triple completion monitoring well	Moab	82%	15	16	18	6	16	10	81	\$ 150,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 111,263
1422	DDW	Holiday Hills Association	Drinking Water	Holiday Hills Water System Replacement	Springville	100%	10	22	14	6	16	12	80	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 695,000
4235	DDW	Metropolitan Water District of Salt Lake & Sandy	Drinking Water	Raw Water Little Cottonwood Conduit Replacement and Intake Modifications	Sandy	113%	6	22	18	6	16	12	80	\$ 23,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
1274	WRe	Dump Board Canal Company	Secondary Water	Dump Road Canal Company Pipeline	Torrey	80%	15	16	14	6	16	12	79	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1387	DDW	Ivins Town	Drinking Water	Automated Metering Infrastructure (AMI)	Ivins	108%	10	16	18	9	16	10	79	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1555	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	Type 1 Effluent Reuse Pump Station Installation	Enoch	100%	10	22	18	3	16	10	79	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
1814	DDW	Wilson Arch Water and Sewer Special Service District	Drinking Water	Austin Ct & Jennah Ct Upgrades	La Sal	88%	15	16	14	6	16	12	79	\$ 80,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
2036	DDW	Murray	Drinking Water	1200 W Winchester Well	Murray	98%	10	22	18	3	16	10	79	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
3384	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Central SCADA system	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	16	5	79	\$ 190,000	\$ 76,000	\$ 76,000

Table 2 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3385	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Tim Lunday Metering	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	16	5	79	\$ 100,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
3386	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Deep Creek Flume	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	16	5	79	\$ 15,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000
3387	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Weston Flume	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	16	5	79	\$ 15,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000
3594	DDW	Myton	Drinking Water	Primary Culinary System	Myton	77%	15	16	14	6	16	12	79	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000
560	WRe	Vineyard	Secondary Water	Pressurized Irrigation Master Plan	Vineyard	95%	10	22	14	6	16	10	78	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
583	DWQ	Richfield City	Wastewater & Sewer	RECONSTRUCT SEWER LAGOONS (2 CELLS)	Richfield	87%	15	16	14	6	16	10	77	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 2,520,000
923	DDW	North Fork Special Service District	Drinking Water	water treatment facility	Orem	78%	15	22	0	14	16	10	77	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,700,000	\$ 1,755,000
2073	DWQ	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Wastewater & Sewer	Reuse Project at Central Weber WCF	Marriott-Slaterville	133%	2	22	18	14	16	5	77	\$ 215,700,000	\$ 53,925,000	\$ 43,140,000
2308	DDW	Mayfield	Drinking Water	Aquifer Storage and Recovery Study	Mayfield	103%	10	16	14	9	16	12	77	\$ 30,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000
3427	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	Freedom Blvd Pipe Replacement	Provo	68%	18	16	14	6	13	10	77	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
1815	DDW	Wilson Arch Water and Sewer Special Service District	Drinking Water	Joe Wilson Dr Upgrades	La Sal	88%	15	16	14	6	13	12	76	\$ 360,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000
2175	DDW	Antimony	Drinking Water	Redevelop Little Forst Springs	Antimony		0	16	18	14	16	12	76	\$ 800,000	\$ 720,000	\$ 564,800

Table 2 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3388	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hurren Spillway	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	13	5	76	\$ 80,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000
3389	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Riverdale Diversion & Measuring Device	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	13	5	76	\$ 110,000	\$ 44,000	\$ 44,000
3390	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Riverdale Spillway	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	13	5	76	\$ 410,000	\$ 164,000	\$ 164,000
3391	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Newton Lateral Pump Station	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	13	5	76	\$ 45,000	\$ 18,000	\$ 18,000
3392	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Cutler Diversion – Pump Station	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	13	5	76	\$ 25,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
375	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Install New Water Line on Lakeview Drive from 800 W to Gillman Lane	Lindon	115%	6	22	14	6	16	10	74	\$ 700,000	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000
784	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Channel #2 UV installation	Tooele	97%	10	22	18	3	16	5	74	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 1,755,000
1426	DDW	Neola Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Well Improvements Project	Neola	107%	10	22	0	14	16	12	74	\$ 900,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 630,450
2309	DDW	Mayfield	Drinking Water	Aquifer Storage and Recovery Design and Construction	Mayfield	103%	10	16	14	9	13	12	74	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
3183	DWQ	Lewiston	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Lagoon Upgrades	Lewiston	105%	10	22	0	14	16	12	74	\$ 6,145,000	\$ 1,843,500	\$ 1,843,500
3200	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Coyote Canal	Neola	107%	10	16	14	6	16	12	74	\$ 1,803,000	\$ 270,450	\$ 270,450
3313	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 4,830,000	\$ 2,415,000	\$ 2,339,411
3314	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 1,482,400	\$ 741,200	\$ 741,200

Table 2 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3315	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 2,154,750	\$ 1,077,375	\$ 1,077,375
3316	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 12,650,000	\$ 6,325,000	\$ 2,530,000
3364	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 2,966,860	\$ 1,483,430	\$ 1,483,430
3365	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 40,205	\$ 20,103	\$ 20,103
3366	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 2,082,500	\$ 1,041,250	\$ 1,041,250
3368	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 4,945,740	\$ 2,472,870	\$ 2,363,986
3369	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 10,598,400	\$ 5,299,200	\$ 4,859,833
3370	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 3,816,000	\$ 1,908,000	\$ 1,908,000
3371	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 2,322,000	\$ 1,161,000	\$ 1,161,000
4546	WRe	Bear River Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Macro Canal Automation (Canals/TCC)	Tremonton	105%	10	22	18	6	13	5	74	\$ 12,335,985	\$ 11,304,149	\$ 2,467,197
377	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Replace Existing Water Line at 135 West	Lindon	115%	6	22	14	6	13	12	73	\$ 550,000	\$ 275,000	\$ 275,000

Table 2 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
973	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	New MBR Plant	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	18	6	7	10	73	\$ 124,186,978	\$ 62,093,489	\$ 24,837,396
3393	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hansen & Ezola Pump Station	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	10	5	73	\$ 45,000	\$ 18,000	\$ 18,000
3394	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	North & South Litz Pump Station	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	10	5	73	\$ 25,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
3395	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Amalga Diversion Pump Station	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	10	5	73	\$ 46,000	\$ 18,400	\$ 18,400
3396	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Buttars Lateral	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	10	5	73	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 480,000	\$ 480,000
3397	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Riverdale IWS Traveling Screen	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	10	5	73	\$ 25,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
525	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Powder Mountain West Phase I	Eden	157%	0	22	18	6	16	10	72	\$ 620,120	\$ 310,060	\$ 310,060
588	DWQ	Vineyard	Wastewater & Sewer	Lift Station #2 Upgrades Project	Vineyard	95%	10	22	0	14	16	10	72	\$ 192,000	\$ 48,000	\$ 48,000
961	DDW	Levan	Drinking Water	Aquifer Recharge and Recovery	Levan	98%	10	16	14	6	16	10	72	\$ 156,700	\$ 47,010	\$ 47,010
1077	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Quichapa North Wells Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	14	16	5	72	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,375,000
1078	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Quichapa North Wells Chlorination Facility Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	14	16	5	72	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 361,250
1080	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Martins Flat Culinary Well and Chlorination Facility Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	14	16	5	72	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 3,575,000	\$ 2,461,250

Table 2 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1081	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	800 South Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	14	16	5	72	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,375,000
1082	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	800 South Storage Tank Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	14	16	5	72	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 2,461,250
1964	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Weber West Transmission Line	Riverdale	90%	15	16	14	6	16	5	72	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 6,250,000	\$ 5,000,000
376	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Install New Water Line on Gillman Lane from Lakeview Drive to State Street	Lindon	115%	6	22	14	6	13	10	71	\$ 940,000	\$ 470,000	\$ 470,000
1294	DWQ	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Pleasant Valley Lift Station Replacement	West Valley City	77%	15	16	0	14	16	10	71	\$ 4,881,000	\$ 1,220,250	\$ 1,220,250
1396	DDW	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	Ductile Iron Pipe Replacement	Heber City	115%	6	22	14	3	16	10	71	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
1963	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Davis North WTP - Expansion	Layton	112%	6	16	14	14	16	5	71	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 3,750,000	\$ 3,000,000
2190	DWQ	Bicknell	Stormwater & Drainage	Town-wide Storm Drain Infrastructure Phase 1	Bicknell	83%	15	22	0	6	16	12	71	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 960,000	\$ 960,000
3137	WRe	Little Farm Creek Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Farm Creek Canal Rehabilitation	Tabiona	80%	15	0	14	14	16	12	71	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
3317	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	13	10	71	\$ 4,594,200	\$ 2,297,100	\$ 2,284,783
3322	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	13	10	71	\$ 936,000	\$ 468,000	\$ 468,000

Table 2 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3372	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	13	10	71	\$ 2,944,500	\$ 1,472,250	\$ 1,472,250
3373	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	13	10	71	\$ 7,224,750	\$ 3,612,375	\$ 2,547,727
3374	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	13	10	71	\$ 5,467,000	\$ 2,733,500	\$ 2,456,405
3375	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	13	10	71	\$ 1,254,000	\$ 627,000	\$ 627,000
3376	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	13	10	71	\$ 2,436,000	\$ 1,218,000	\$ 1,218,000
974	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	New Solids Handling	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	18	6	4	10	70	\$ 4,925,903	\$ 2,462,952	\$ 2,359,879
975	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	New Plant Inf/Eff Lines	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	18	6	4	10	70	\$ 4,616,210	\$ 2,308,105	\$ 2,290,141
1611	DDW	Lake Rockport Estates Property Owners Association, Inc.	Drinking Water	Water Source Pipeline	Coalville	123%	6	22	0	14	16	12	70	\$ 7,500,000	\$ 1,875,000	\$ 1,875,000
1993	DDW	Green Hill Country Estates Water/sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Booster Pump Mobile Generator	Huntsville	143%	2	22	18	3	13	12	70	\$ 60,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
2218	DDW	Fremont Water Works Company, Inc	Drinking Water	Fremont Water System Electric Meter Installation	Fremont	58%	18	0	18	6	16	12	70	\$ 95,000	\$ 57,000	\$ 57,000

Table 2 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3398	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Benson Lateral Diversion	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	7	5	70	\$ 80,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000
3399	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Naegle Lateral Diversion	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	7	5	70	\$ 80,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000
3400	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Town Lateral Diversion	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	7	5	70	\$ 80,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000
3401	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Highline Lateral Diversion	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	7	5	70	\$ 80,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000
3402	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Pitcher Lateral Diversion	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	7	5	70	\$ 80,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000
653	DDW	Snowville Town	Drinking Water	SCADA interconnectivity, Isolation valves	Snowville		0	16	14	14	13	12	69	\$ 180,300	\$ 72,120	\$ 72,120
1084	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Equestrian Pointe Looped Waterline Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	6	16	10	69	\$ 1,840,000	\$ 460,000	\$ 460,000
1446	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	1150 N Detention to North Ogden Canal	Ogden	85%	15	22	0	6	16	10	69	\$ 3,450,000	\$ 1,725,000	\$ 1,725,000
1772	DWQ	La Verkin City	Stormwater & Drainage	100 East Trunk Line	La Verkin	75%	15	22	0	6	16	10	69	\$ 4,571,000	\$ 4,113,900	\$ 2,279,078
1974	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	North Weber County Area Wells	North Ogden	127%	6	16	14	14	7	12	69	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
4233	DDW	Fairview	Emergency Management	Fire Hydrants		95%	10	16	14	6	13	10	69	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 430,200
4252	DDW	Metropolitan Water District of Salt Lake & Sandy	Drinking Water	Finished Water Salt Lake Aqueduct Replacement / Resiliency Reach 1	Cottonwood Heights	132%	2	22	18	6	16	5	69	\$ 63,000,000	\$ 22,000,000	\$ 12,600,000
133	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	46th Street Tank Construction	Ogden	85%	15	6	18	3	16	10	68	\$ 3,800,000	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,900,000

Table 2 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
378	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Replace Existing Water Line at 900 E from Center Street to 200 South	Lindon	115%	6	22	14	6	10	10	68	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000
379	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Install New Water Line on Center Street from Geneva Road to 800 W	Lindon	115%	6	22	14	6	10	10	68	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
1453	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Lower Weber River Wilson Diversion, Dog Park, Kayak Park	Ogden	85%	15	22	0	3	16	12	68	\$ 700,000	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000
1545	WRe	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	North Fields Ditch	Spring City	90%	15	0	14	14	13	12	68	\$ 1,150,000	\$ 230,000	\$ 230,000
1773	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Soldier Hollow Booster Station	Charleston		0	22	14	6	16	10	68	\$ 250,000	\$ 187,500	\$ 184,063
2273	DDW	Joseph	Drinking Water	Install Test Wells	Joseph	88%	15	16	18	3	16	0	68	\$ 1,922,575	\$ 1,634,189	\$ 1,238,635
3106	WRe	Cache Highline Water Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Black Pipe	Smithfield	113%	6	22	14	3	13	10	68	\$ 3,302,000	\$ 990,600	\$ 990,600
3323	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	10	10	68	\$ 847,000	\$ 423,500	\$ 423,500
3324	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	10	10	68	\$ 154,000	\$ 77,000	\$ 77,000
3325	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	10	10	68	\$ 470,000	\$ 235,000	\$ 235,000
3326	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	10	10	68	\$ 420,000	\$ 210,000	\$ 210,000
3647	DDW	Clinton	Drinking Water	Water Meter - Antenna	Clinton	123%	6	16	14	6	16	10	68	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000

Table 2 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
													Total	\$ 854,276,757	\$ 341,963,466	\$ 227,454,039

Table 3: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
166	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Pineview Wells Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	22	18	14	16	10	95	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
1301	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Cast Iron Main Replacements at 4800 W, 4100 S, and 3800 S	West Valley City	77%	15	22	18	14	16	10	95	\$ 5,142,000	\$ 1,542,600	\$ 1,542,600
2886	DDW	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Redevelopment of Cold Creek Springs #1	Teasdale	90%	15	22	18	14	13	12	94	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 840,000	\$ 840,000
2891	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Town Well #1	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	14	16	12	91	\$ 3,900,000	\$ 1,950,000	\$ 1,950,000
2920	DDW	East Carbon - Sunnyside	Drinking Water	Water Storage/Reservoir	East Carbon	67%	18	22	18	3	16	10	87	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
2215	WRe	Fremont Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Cottonwood Canyon Box Culvert and Canal Protection Structure	Bicknell	83%	15	22	18	6	13	12	86	\$ 450,000	\$ 157,500	\$ 157,500
2303	DDW	Marysvale	Drinking Water	Source Development	Marysvale	68%	18	22	18	3	13	12	86	\$ 970,000	\$ 145,500	\$ 145,500
233	DDW	Diamond Valley Acres Water Company	Drinking Water	Distribution System Replacement	St. George	88%	15	16	14	14	16	10	85	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
2472	DWQ	Salt Lake City	Stormwater & Drainage	Northwest Drain Bypass To Jordan River	Salt Lake City	90%	15	16	14	14	16	10	85	\$ 1,350,000	\$ 337,500	\$ 337,500
134	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	23rd Street Transmission Main Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	22	18	3	16	10	84	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,400,000
1556	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	Type 1 Effluent Reuse Pipeline Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	18	3	16	10	84	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 7,500,000	\$ 3,000,000
1610	WRe	Strawberry High Line Canal Company	Secondary Water	SHLCC Residential Metering	Payson	93%	10	22	18	6	16	12	84	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000

Table 3 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1821	DDW	North Logan	Drinking Water	1 MG Beef Hollow Tank 3	North Logan	103%	10	16	18	14	16	10	84	\$ 2,830,000	\$ 1,132,000	\$ 1,132,000
2304	DDW	Marysvale	Drinking Water	0.5 Million Gallon Storage Tank	Marysvale	68%	18	22	18	3	10	12	83	\$ 2,138,000	\$ 534,500	\$ 534,500
2306	WRe	Mayfield Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	South Hollow Reservoir	Mayfield	103%	10	22	14	9	16	12	83	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 2,480,000
1433	DDW	Ouray Park Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Treatment Plant	Ouray		0	22	18	14	16	12	82	\$ 4,700,000	\$ 4,700,000	\$ 2,310,050
1468	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Quincy & 24th	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1469	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Harrison & 7th	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1470	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Polk & Douglas	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1471	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	20th / Ogden Outfall/ Swale Behind Walmart	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
1472	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Parker Dr. / City Limits	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
4535	WRe	Cub River Irrigation Company	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Southwest Piping Project	Lewiston	105%	10	22	18	6	16	10	82	\$ 9,328,852	\$ 2,332,213	\$ 2,210,128
1822	DDW	North Logan	Drinking Water	1600 E. 2300 N Well	North Logan	103%	10	16	18	14	13	10	81	\$ 4,980,000	\$ 1,992,000	\$ 1,992,000
2070	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	Price City Transmission Main Replacement	Price	85%	15	16	18	6	16	10	81	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 2,700,000	\$ 2,700,000
3353	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Concrete Line Existing Reservoir	Ogden	85%	15	22	18	3	13	10	81	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 850,000	\$ 695,000
2880	WRe	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Rebuild Middle Reservoir Dam	Teasdale	90%	15	16	18	9	10	12	80	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 1,120,000	\$ 1,120,000

Table 3 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
4543	WRe	Bear River Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	PL-566 NRCS Watershed Plan	Beaverdam	Unknown	0	22	18	14	16	10	80	\$ 73,643,439	\$ 9,720,934	\$ 9,720,934
670	DWQ	Vineyard	Stormwater & Drainage	Sunset Beach Storm Water Outfall Improvements	Vineyard	95%	10	22	18	6	13	10	79	\$ 500	\$ 125	\$ 125
889	DDW	Washington Terrace	Drinking Water	CW-34, 4900 South. Upgrade the culinary water main	Washington Terrace	93%	10	22	18	6	13	10	79	\$ 451,425	\$ 451,425	\$ 327,361
968	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	Main St Sewer 500 N - 900 N	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	18	6	13	10	79	\$ 1,065,000	\$ 532,500	\$ 532,500
969	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	Hamilton Sewer Line Project	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	18	6	13	10	79	\$ 84,018	\$ 42,009	\$ 42,009
952	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	760 S 770 E 820 S Storm Drain	Spanish Fork	110%	10	16	18	6	16	12	78	\$ 280,000	\$ 140,000	\$ 140,000
1442	DWQ	Parowan	Stormwater & Drainage	300 East Storm Drain	Parowan	83%	15	22	18	3	10	10	78	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 758,450
2071	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	Price	85%	15	16	18	3	16	10	78	\$ 42,000,000	\$ 7,560,000	\$ 7,560,000
3340	DDW	Wendover	Drinking Water	Air Base Waterline Replacement	Wendover	60%	18	22	18	3	7	10	78	\$ 555,012	\$ 527,261	\$ 399,317
577	DDW	Richfield City	Drinking Water	Replace Culinary Water Lines	Richfield	87%	15	16	14	6	16	10	77	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 22,500,000	\$ 6,000,000
799	DDW	Alta	Drinking Water	Alta Storage Tank	Alta	73%	15	22	0	14	16	10	77	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
924	DDW	North Fork Special Service District	Drinking Water	New pressure zones	Orem	78%	15	22	14	3	13	10	77	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000
1299	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Zone 1 Reservoir	West Valley City	77%	15	22	0	14	16	10	77	\$ 12,756,000	\$ 2,551,200	\$ 2,551,200

Table 3 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1969	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Weber South WTP - Expansion	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	14	13	5	77	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,400,000
2323	DDW	Scipio	Drinking Water	Loop 8" PVC along 200 East and Replace 6" on 200 East	Scipio	105%	10	22	14	6	13	12	77	\$ 142,680	\$ 128,412	\$ 105,890
890	DDW	Washington Terrace	Drinking Water	CW-33 4900 South. Upgrade culinary water main	Washington Terrace	93%	10	22	18	6	10	10	76	\$ 320,000	\$ 320,000	\$ 234,368
970	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	200 E 900 N - Williams Lane	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	18	6	10	10	76	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 625,000	\$ 625,000
971	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	Line Expressway Ln Sewer Trunkline	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	18	6	10	10	76	\$ 1,382,495	\$ 124,425	\$ 124,425
2180	DDW	Bicknell	Drinking Water	Mountain Spring Line Replacement	Bicknell	83%	15	16	14	6	13	12	76	\$ 2,125,000	\$ 1,912,500	\$ 1,345,391
2181	DDW	Bicknell	Drinking Water	Mountain Spring Redevelopment	Bicknell	83%	15	16	14	9	10	12	76	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 560,000	\$ 560,000
2294	DDW	Koosharem Town	Drinking Water	Main Street Distribution Line	Koosharem	82%	15	16	14	6	13	12	76	\$ 900,000	\$ 720,000	\$ 630,450
4534	WRe	Cub River Irrigation Company	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Main Canal Piping & North Bear River Pump Station Replacement	Lewiston	105%	10	22	18	6	10	10	76	\$ 12,149,404	\$ 3,037,351	\$ 2,429,881
147	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Orchard, Liberty, Madison Leak Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	18	6	10	10	75	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 1,100,000
371	WRe	Centerfield	Agriculture Off-Farm	Agriculture Irrigation Meters	Centerfield	90%	15	16	18	6	10	10	75	\$ 500,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
954	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	1100 E 1100 S Storm (1/2 LID)	Spanish Fork	110%	10	16	18	6	13	12	75	\$ 350,000	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000
2205	DDW	Duchesne	Drinking Water	West Starvation Road water line	Duchesne	92%	10	16	18	6	13	12	75	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 1,320,000	\$ 1,320,000

Table 3 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3617	DWQ	Richmond	Wastewater & Sewer	WWTP Improvements	Richmond	110%	10	22	14	3	16	10	75	\$ 9,476,200	\$ 4,738,100	\$ 2,168,240
362	DDW	Hi-Country Estates Phase I Water Company	Drinking Water	PRV Replacement (8144 W Canyon Road)	Herriman	125%	6	22	18	6	10	12	74	\$ 162,000	\$ 129,600	\$ 120,057
582	DWQ	Richfield City	Wastewater & Sewer	REPLACE AGING SEWER PIPE	Richfield	87%	15	16	14	6	13	10	74	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 2,400,000
778	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Oxidation Ditch Construction	Tooele	97%	10	22	18	3	16	5	74	\$ 29,625,000	\$ 23,700,000	\$ 5,925,000
780	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Secondary Clarifier improvements	Tooele	97%	10	22	18	3	16	5	74	\$ 13,375,000	\$ 10,700,000	\$ 2,675,000
781	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	RAS/WAS pump station construction	Tooele	97%	10	22	18	3	16	5	74	\$ 4,250,000	\$ 3,400,000	\$ 2,194,063
862	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	Replace asbestos concrete pipelines	Ephraim	82%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
863	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	Replace lead joint cast iron pipelines	Ephraim	82%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 800,000	\$ 320,000	\$ 320,000
2216	WRe	Fremont Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Loa Town Ditch Piping	Loa	102%	10	22	14	6	10	12	74	\$ 11,000,000	\$ 3,300,000	\$ 2,200,000
2219	DDW	Fremont Water Works Company, Inc	Drinking Water	Fremont Spring Source Development	Fremont	58%	18	22	0	9	13	12	74	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 1,820,000	\$ 1,668,800
2305	DDW	Marysvale	Drinking Water	Fire Flow Improvements	Marysvale	68%	18	16	18	3	7	12	74	\$ 4,973,000	\$ 1,491,900	\$ 1,491,900
3318	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 3,048,000	\$ 1,524,000	\$ 1,524,000
3319	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 1,143,000	\$ 571,500	\$ 571,500
3321	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	10	74	\$ 1,248,000	\$ 624,000	\$ 624,000

Table 3 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
351	DDW	Hi-Country Estates II Homeowners Association	Drinking Water	Upper Zone D Tank Replacement	Herriman	125%	6	22	14	3	16	12	73	\$ 1,950,000	\$ 1,560,000	\$ 1,253,363
361	DDW	Hi-Country Estates Phase I Water Company	Drinking Water	Fire Hydrant Replacement	Herriman	125%	6	22	14	6	13	12	73	\$ 115,000	\$ 92,000	\$ 85,523
891	DDW	Washington Terrace	Drinking Water	CW-58,59 5000 South. Upgrade culinary water main	Washington Terrace	93%	10	22	18	6	7	10	73	\$ 820,000	\$ 820,000	\$ 578,018
972	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	Upper Mapleton Trunkline	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	18	6	7	10	73	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 875,000	\$ 875,000
1624	DDW	Spring City	Drinking Water	Spring City Source Improvement Project - Spring Development	Spring City	90%	15	16	0	14	16	12	73	\$ 596,000	\$ 566,200	\$ 427,463
2182	DDW	Bicknell	Drinking Water	Culinary Valve Replacement	Bicknell	83%	15	16	14	6	10	12	73	\$ 450,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 326,363
2184	DDW	Bicknell	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Line Replacement and Looping	Bicknell	83%	15	22	14	6	4	12	73	\$ 3,070,162	\$ 2,149,113	\$ 1,784,197
2295	DDW	Koosharem Town	Drinking Water	Replace all Remaining 4" waterline with 8" water line	Koosharem	82%	15	16	14	6	10	12	73	\$ 1,130,000	\$ 904,000	\$ 777,271
2887	DDW	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Upper Pressure Zone 500,000 Gallon Tank and Transmission	Teasdale	90%	15	22	0	14	10	12	73	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,951,250
4533	WRe	Cub River Irrigation Company	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Choll's Spill & Pump Station & Pipeline	Lewiston	105%	10	22	18	6	7	10	73	\$ 4,347,000	\$ 1,086,750	\$ 1,086,750
955	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	River Point Land Drain	Spanish Fork	110%	10	16	18	6	10	12	72	\$ 15,000	\$ 7,500	\$ 7,500

Table 3 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2155	WRe	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Gateway Canal Slide Mitigation	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	5	72	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,520,000
2923	DDW	East Carbon - Sunnyside	Drinking Water	storage tank replacement	East Carbon	67%	18	16	18	3	7	10	72	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 2,250,000
3235	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Transite Line Replacement Phase 1	Roosevelt	102%	10	16	14	6	16	10	72	\$ 2,150,000	\$ 709,500	\$ 709,500
2220	DDW	Fremont Water Works Company, Inc	Drinking Water	Fremont Drinking Water Storage Tank Construction	Fremont	58%	18	22	0	9	10	12	71	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 2,080,000	\$ 1,836,800
2267	DWQ	Hanksville Town	Wastewater & Sewer	Lagoon Pre-Treatment Grinder Facility	Hanksville		0	22	18	3	16	12	71	\$ 650,000	\$ 260,000	\$ 260,000
2908	DWQ	Torrey	Stormwater & Drainage	Sand Creek Flood Mitigation	Torrey	80%	15	22	0	6	16	12	71	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 375,000
2922	DDW	East Carbon - Sunnyside	Drinking Water	Storage Tank Improvements	East Carbon	67%	18	16	14	3	10	10	71	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 184,063
432	DWQ	Mount Pleasant City	Stormwater & Drainage	Pleasant Creek Debris Basin	Mt Pleasant	Unknown	0	16	14	14	16	10	70	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,555,000
892	DDW	Washington Terrace	Drinking Water	CW-57 5000 South. Upgrade culinary water main	Washington Terrace	93%	10	22	18	6	4	10	70	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 220,050
2212	WRe	Emery	Secondary Water	Storage Reservoir	Emery	95%	10	0	18	14	16	12	70	\$ 723,000	\$ 578,400	\$ 513,500
2875	WRe	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Raise Left Hand Fork Dam	Teasdale	90%	15	0	18	9	16	12	70	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 1,050,000
2884	WRe	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Lions Head Pond Storage Improvements	Teasdale	90%	15	0	18	9	16	12	70	\$ 875,000	\$ 306,250	\$ 306,250

Table 3 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3382	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	2600 North 24" Concrete Transmission Line	North Ogden	127%	6	22	18	3	16	5	70	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,440,000	\$ 1,171,800
4532	WRe	Cub River Irrigation Company	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	1600 S Parallel Line	Lewiston	105%	10	22	18	6	4	10	70	\$ 1,308,150	\$ 327,038	\$ 327,038
161	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Zone 8 Fire Flow – Canyon Road	Ogden	85%	15	16	18	6	4	10	69	\$ 270,000	\$ 135,000	\$ 135,000
162	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Zone 3 Fire Flow – Navajo Drive	Ogden	85%	15	16	18	6	4	10	69	\$ 190,000	\$ 95,000	\$ 95,000
164	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Dunsinane Circle Fire Flow	Ogden	85%	15	16	18	6	4	10	69	\$ 280,000	\$ 140,000	\$ 140,000
654	DDW	Snowville Town	Drinking Water	Useable Backup Well Water Source	Snowville		0	16	14	14	13	12	69	\$ 2,520,200	\$ 1,008,080	\$ 1,008,080
893	DDW	Daniel Town	Drinking Water	Storm haven water tank	Daniel		0	22	18	3	16	10	69	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
956	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	Cemetery 400 S LID Overflow	Spanish Fork	110%	10	16	18	6	7	12	69	\$ 350,000	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000
2134	DWQ	Moroni	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Treatment Plant	Moroni	80%	15	0	14	14	16	10	69	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
2137	DDW	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Westfield tank repair	Toquerville	103%	10	16	14	3	16	10	69	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
2952	DWQ	American Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	TSSD Re-use Water Connection to PI	American Fork	103%	10	16	14	3	16	10	69	\$ 7,222,103	\$ 2,166,631	\$ 2,166,631
153	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	32nd Street East Central Leaks	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	6	7	10	68	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000
158	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	5th Street Leaks	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	6	7	10	68	\$ 450,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000
380	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Install New Water Line on Geneva Road from 600 N to Center Street	Lindon	115%	6	22	14	6	10	10	68	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000

Table 3 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1450	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	36th St and Mnt Ogden Intersection to Polk Ave Storm	Ogden	85%	15	22	0	3	16	12	68	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
1451	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Lake St to 24th interchange detention storm installation	Ogden	85%	15	22	0	3	16	12	68	\$ 900,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000
1452	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Ogden River & Monroe Bank Stabilization	Ogden	85%	15	22	0	3	16	12	68	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
2072	WRe	Price	Agriculture Off-Farm	Upper Price River Reservoir Project	Price	85%	15	6	18	3	16	10	68	\$ 125,000,000	\$ 31,250,000	\$ 25,000,000
2282	DDW	Junction	Drinking Water	Distribution Pipeline Improvements	Junction	62%	18	16	6	6	10	12	68	\$ 1,563,030	\$ 1,406,727	\$ 1,037,904
2877	WRe	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Donkey Reservoir Dam Repair	Teasdale	90%	15	22	0	6	13	12	68	\$ 395,000	\$ 158,000	\$ 158,000
3256	WRe	Roosevelt	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Phase IV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	18	6	16	12	68	\$ 3,335,050	\$ 1,100,567	\$ 1,100,567
3289	DDW	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Lower Pump House Chlorination	Duchesne	92%	10	16	14	3	13	12	68	\$ 85,000	\$ 55,250	\$ 55,250
													Total	\$ 609,567,720	\$ 233,785,060	\$ 155,736,764

Table 4: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1333	DDW	Helper City	Drinking Water	Helper City Well Development	Helper	87%	15	22	18	14	16	10	95	\$ 4,800,000	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 2,332,800
2900	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Re-Develop Indian Springs	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	14	16	12	91	\$ 950,000	\$ 237,500	\$ 237,500
2214	WRe	Fremont Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Highline Ditch Piping	Lyman	72%	15	22	18	6	16	12	89	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
1334	DDW	Helper City	Drinking Water	Transmission Line Replacement	Helper	87%	15	22	18	6	16	10	87	\$ 9,700,000	\$ 9,700,000	\$ 2,100,050
2892	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Main Street Distribution Improvements	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	6	16	12	83	\$ 581,250	\$ 145,313	\$ 145,313
884	WRe	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Agriculture Off-Farm	Steinaker Service Canal Enclosure Project	Vernal	100%	10	22	18	6	16	10	82	\$ 68,000,000	\$ 27,200,000	\$ 13,600,000
1455	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Burch Creek across Rail Yard	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
1456	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	21st - Wall to River Past RR Tracks	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
1458	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Adams and 800 N	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 800,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
1467	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Aztec/Iroquois - Shadow Valley to Navajo	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1473	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	31st & Parker Dr.	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1474	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	28th St. / Rail Road	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1840	DDW	Emigration Improvement District	Drinking Water	Upper Pinecrest Expansion	Salt Lake City	90%	15	22	14	9	10	12	82	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
2901	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Re-Develop Chaffin Springs	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	14	7	12	82	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1980	DDW	Moroni	Drinking Water	Distribution System	Moroni	80%	15	22	18	3	13	10	81	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 3,750,000	\$ 3,000,000

Table 4 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2893	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	200 North Distribution Improvements	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	6	13	12	80	\$ 1,895,769	\$ 473,942	\$ 473,942
2894	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	HWY 24 Distribution Improvements	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	6	13	12	80	\$ 245,913	\$ 61,478	\$ 61,478
3428	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	Canyon Rd 2825 N to Stadium Ave	Provo	68%	18	16	14	6	16	10	80	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
3473	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	Water Tank Replacing Main & Gallery	Provo	68%	18	22	0	14	16	10	80	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 24,000,000	\$ 12,000,000
1475	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Ogden Nature Center	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 30,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000
1477	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	1455 Wall Ve - Wall to 14th	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1478	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	27th - Harrison to Foothill	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1480	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	24th - South of Wall Viaduct	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 800,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
1481	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Washington - 6th to 9th	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 800,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
1482	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	16th & Jefferson	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1483	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Fillmore & Stowe	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1484	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	3rd & Harrison	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1485	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	28th & Van Buren	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1486	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	28th & Quincy	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1487	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Ogden Ave & 4th	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1488	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	24th & Quincy	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000

Table 4 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1489	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Pioneer Road / Marriott-Slaterville	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1490	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Washington Blvd. / Larsen Ln	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1491	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Washington Blvd / 875 North	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1557	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	Type 1 Effluent Reuse Storage Reservoir Installation	Enoch	100%	10	22	18	3	16	10	79	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
1558	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	Type 1 Effluent Reuse Intermediate Booster Pump Station Installation	Enoch	100%	10	22	18	3	16	10	79	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
1559	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	Phosphorous Treatment Installation	Enoch	100%	10	22	18	3	16	10	79	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
2895	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Main Street-300 East Distribution Improvements	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	6	10	12	77	\$ 167,668	\$ 41,917	\$ 41,917
2896	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	HWY 12 Distribution Improvements	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	6	10	12	77	\$ 111,779	\$ 27,945	\$ 27,945
2897	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Cooks DR Distribution Improvements	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	6	10	12	77	\$ 411,346	\$ 102,837	\$ 102,837
673	DWQ	Vineyard	Stormwater & Drainage	Stormwater Master Plan Projects	Vineyard	95%	10	22	18	6	10	10	76	\$ 7,046,000	\$ 1,761,500	\$ 1,761,500
1492	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Beus Pond	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
1813	DWQ	Wilson Arch Water and Sewer Special Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	East Sewer Upgrades	La Sal	88%	15	16	14	3	16	12	76	\$ 995,000	\$ 497,500	\$ 497,500

Table 4 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3611	DWQ	Myton	Wastewater & Sewer	I&I Reduction	Myton	77%	15	16	14	3	16	12	76	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,750,000
925	DDW	North Fork Special Service District	Drinking Water	Water line replacement	Orem	78%	15	22	14	3	10	10	74	\$ 7,500,000	\$ 3,675,000	\$ 2,531,250
1529	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	40th and Fowler	Ogden	85%	15	22	18	3	4	12	74	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1530	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	35th and Adams	Ogden	85%	15	22	18	3	4	12	74	\$ 66,001	\$ 33,001	\$ 33,001
1531	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	24th and Taylor	Ogden	85%	15	22	18	3	4	12	74	\$ 66,002	\$ 33,001	\$ 33,001
2898	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	100 West Distribution Improvements	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	6	7	12	74	\$ 274,976	\$ 68,744	\$ 68,744
960	DWQ	Washington Terrace	Stormwater & Drainage	Regional Detention Basin	Washington Terrace	93%	10	16	18	6	13	10	73	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 564,800
1523	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	31st and Porter	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1524	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Pierce and Doxey	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1525	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	30th and Hawthorne	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
2076	WRe	Roy Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	Concrete Reservoir Lining Replacement	Riverdale	90%	15	22	18	3	10	5	73	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 12,750,000	\$ 3,000,000
3213	WRe	Ogden River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Canal Enclosure	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	2	72	\$ 80,000,000	\$ 44,000,000	\$ 16,000,000
3234	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	2 Million Gallon Tank Repair	Roosevelt	102%	10	22	14	9	7	10	72	\$ 360,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000
3236	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Transite Line Replacement Phase 2	Roosevelt	102%	10	16	14	6	16	10	72	\$ 2,150,000	\$ 709,500	\$ 709,500
3255	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Replace Hayden Well Backflows	Roosevelt	102%	10	22	14	6	10	10	72	\$ 78,000	\$ 39,000	\$ 39,000

Table 4 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3618	DWQ	Richmond	Wastewater & Sewer	WWTP Fine Screening Upgrade	Richmond	110%	10	22	14	3	13	10	72	\$ 1,325,800	\$ 662,900	\$ 662,900
2028	DDW	Kingston	Drinking Water	Kingston Culinary Water Tank	Kingston		0	22	18	3	16	12	71	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 1,045,000	\$ 758,450
2075	WRe	Roy Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	AC Main Line Replacement	Roy	98%	10	22	18	3	13	5	71	\$ 13,000,000	\$ 11,050,000	\$ 2,600,000
2287	DDW	Kaysville	Drinking Water	Drinking water wells	Kaysville	148%	2	22	18	3	16	10	71	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,000,000
2910	DWQ	Torrey	Wastewater & Sewer	Torrey Town Wastewater Collection and Treatment	Torrey	80%	15	0	14	14	16	12	71	\$ 12,450,000	\$ 3,112,500	\$ 2,490,000
3551	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Boyer Dam Rehabilitation	Coalville	123%	6	16	18	3	16	12	71	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 3,840,000	\$ 2,400,000
559	WRe	Vineyard	Secondary Water	Water Reuse	Vineyard	95%	10	16	18	3	13	10	70	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 2,100,000
1526	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	28th and Adams	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	4	12	70	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1528	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Tyler and Boughton	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	4	12	70	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
2296	DDW	Koosharem Town	Drinking Water	Install Water Line Loops	Koosharem	82%	15	16	14	6	7	12	70	\$ 960,000	\$ 768,000	\$ 669,312
3596	DDW	Myton	Drinking Water	Infrastructure improvements	Myton	77%	15	16	14	3	10	12	70	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
615	DDW	Saratoga Springs	Drinking Water	DW-03 Zone 1 North Tank 8 & Pipeline	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	18	3	13	10	68	\$ 17,876,000	\$ 4,469,000	\$ 3,575,200
620	WRe	Saratoga Springs	Secondary Water	PI-02 New Jacobs Ranch Well	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	18	3	13	10	68	\$ 4,800,000	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 2,332,800
795	DWQ	Alta	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Line Replacement #3b	Alta	73%	15	22	0	6	13	12	68	\$ 302,000	\$ 151,000	\$ 151,000
3257	WRe	Roosevelt	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Phase V	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	18	6	16	12	68	\$ 4,138,450	\$ 1,365,689	\$ 1,365,689
													Total	\$ 447,469,954	\$ 203,111,265	\$ 108,981,427

Table 5: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1457	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	21st, 22nd, and Washington (Grant to 23rd)	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
1459	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Washington - 1100 to Larsen	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
1460	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Madison - River to 27th	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,555,000
1461	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	25th - Grant to Washington	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
1462	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Harrison - 40th to 46th	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1463	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	12th - Wall to Monroe	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
1464	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	12th - 850 W to Reeves	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
1465	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	24th - Grant to Washington	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1466	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Canyon Road @ 1600 E	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	16	12	82	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
2902	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Re-Develop Birch Springs	Torrey	80%	15	16	18	14	7	12	82	\$ 650,000	\$ 162,500	\$ 162,500
1841	DDW	Emigration Improvement District	Drinking Water	Lower Emigration - Sunnysdale lane Expansion	Salt Lake City	90%	15	22	18	9	4	12	80	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
1476	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Washington Blvd. / Lockwood Drive	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1479	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Skyline - Fern to Bobwhite	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	13	12	79	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
3215	WRe	Ogden River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Radial Gates Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	22	18	3	16	5	79	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
1493	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Gramercy & Cross	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1494	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Melody Ln	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000

Table 5 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1495	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Quincy & Rancho	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1496	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Park Blvd / Valley Dr	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1497	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Madison / 425 South	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1498	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Orchard Ave / 14th	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1499	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Washington - 2nd to Harrop	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
1500	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Washington - North St to Dan St	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
1501	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	12th - Reeves Ave to 850 W	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
1502	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Monroe - Jackson/Park to 19th	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
1503	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	22nd and Lincoln	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 50,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
1504	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Skyline - 5003 to Aztec	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
1505	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Monroe - North St to 1st	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
1506	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Harrison @ 4600 S	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
1507	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	32nd - Tyler to Taylor	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1508	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Shoshone - Fillmore to Cherokee Cir & Fillmore / Mohawk - Burton to Arrowhead	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	10	12	76	\$ 400,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
782	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Disc filter expansion	Tooele	97%	10	22	18	3	16	5	74	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,531,250

Table 5 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1509	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Fillmore - 20th to Rushton & 20th - Pierce to 1667	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1510	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	North St - 185 W to Wall	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
1511	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	7th - Lincoln to Washington	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1512	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	20th / Tyler	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1513	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	7th - Washington to Gramercy	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 400,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
1514	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	East of Fillmore - 4600 S north to pond near Country Hills Dr	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
1515	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Monroe @ Harrop	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1516	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	530 W - 300 N to 500 N (BDO along RR tracks)	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
1517	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Railroad Tracks - 24th / Pennsylvania to river near 24th / Exchange Rd	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 800,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
1518	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Gibson - 12th to 14th	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1519	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Ridgedale - Larkspur to Nightingale	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 66,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 33,000
1520	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	380 N - Century to basin west of Sam Gates	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
1521	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Ridgedale - 4980 S to Milehigh Cir	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
1522	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Adams - 6th to 7th	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	12	73	\$ 50,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

Table 5 Continued: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≥ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3237	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Transite Line Replacement Phase 3	Roosevelt	102%	10	16	14	6	16	10	72	\$ 2,150,000	\$ 709,500	\$ 709,500
3222	WRe	Ogden River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Concrete Reservoir Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	22	14	3	7	10	71	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
3214	WRe	Ogden River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Dam Spillway Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	16	5	69	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 10,000,000
3619	DWQ	Richmond	Wastewater & Sewer	WWTP UV Disinfection Upgrade	Richmond	110%	10	22	14	3	10	10	69	\$ 757,000	\$ 378,500	\$ 378,500
1454	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Lower Weber River UP Bridge and Riverbanks	Ogden	85%	15	22	0	3	16	12	68	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
													Total	\$ 1,028,820,000	\$ 47,280,500	\$ 32,866,750

UWIP Recommended Funding Prioritization Requirements

It is recommended that the state establish minimum performance requirements for UWIP projects to ensure state funds are used for quality infrastructure projects serving entities with a demonstrated need for funding assistance. Based on project information gathered, recommended funding requirements for this version of the UWIP include the following:

- **Adequate Usage Rates** – To be eligible for water infrastructure funding, entities need to be charging adequate usage rates for the type of project funding sought. In this UWIP, an adequate rate is defined as follows:
 - Water utility rates are greater than or equal to 1.5% of the median adjusted gross income (MAGI) for the population served.
 - Sewer utility rates are greater than or equal to 1.5% of MAGI.
 - Storm drain utility rates greater than or equal to 0.3% of MAGI.
 - Water conservancy districts will be evaluated based on taxes levied according to their taxing capacity.
- **Provisional Score Threshold** – Projects with high UWIP Provisional scores, as described in the Process for Prioritizing Water Project Funding (Appendix C), are more likely to serve critical needs, be more well developed, and be of higher quality than those with lower provisional scores. Based on the project submissions received, this UWIP contains only projects with a provisional score above 68 for consideration and prioritization for potential state water infrastructure funding.
- **Maximum Grant Funding Limitations** – To ensure a wider distribution of funding benefits across the state, grant funding limitations will be applied. Maximum grant funding for this UWIP is proposed as follows:
 - Projects costing \$10 million or greater will be eligible for only 20 percent of total project cost.
 - Projects costing less than \$10 million will be eligible for grant funding as described by the following equation:
 - $$\text{Max Grant Percentage} = 75\% - 55\% \times \left(\frac{\text{Project cost}}{\$10,000,000} \right)$$
- **Utah Administrative Rules** – Additional requirements have been described by HB 280 and 285 in addition to the newly created rules in R653. Some additional requirements include a capital asset management plan and specifications for funding eligible water infrastructure in addition to existing Board rules and requirements.

Funding Need Analysis

Based on the recommended funding requirements above, a funding need analysis was completed for projects ready for construction in 1 to 2 years. This provides a calculation of state funds required to meet needs identified in the UWIP for the near term. The analysis considers anticipated state funding need by water infrastructure entities, implementation of adequate usage rates, maximum grant funding percentage, project provisional score, small community allowance, and the type of funding available for use (grant or loan).

Figure 1 depicts the results of the funding need analysis and shows how the total funding need (y-axis) will vary depending on the minimum provisional score accepted (x-axis). Within the figure, three funding scenarios are included:

- **Total UWIP Requested Funding** – The top dashed line on the figure depicts funding needs if the state were to fund all of the self-identified funding need as described by the UWIP submissions. The total requested funding for all projects comes to \$584 million per year in this scenario. This and all subsequent scenarios include consideration of the small community allowance discussed previously.
- **Adequate Usage Rates**– Scenario two, as depicted with the middle dashed and dotted line, estimates the state funding need if only projects are accepted from entities that are currently charging adequate usage rates. This is not a detailed analysis of each individual entity's rates as the schedule for this initial UWIP did not allow adequate time for this task. Instead, this estimate was pulled from the financial model used for the infrastructure fee study. With the exclusion of projects that are expected to not meet the adequate usage rate requirement, the total annual funding need in this scenario is reduced to \$371 million per year.
- **Maximum Grant Funding Limitation** – The third scenario is depicted with the bottom solid line. This scenario considers both adequate usage rates and adds in the maximum funding limitations as described above. The total need within this scenario is further reduced to about \$226 million per year of annual need. This becomes the identified need for funding of water infrastructure projects. Implementing both the adequate usage rate and maximum grant funding limitations helps ensure that state funds are used to aid those who are already striving to fund as much on their own as possible and that funds are distributed to both large and small projects.

From those projects meeting the recommended adequate usage rate and maximum grant funding limitations, it is also recommended that the state only fund the most well-developed and high-quality projects. For this UWIP a minimum provisional score of 68 and higher is recommended to identify only the highest priority projects. With these recommended funding requirements, the initial request for \$584 million of annual funding is reduced to a more manageable amount of just under \$100 million in qualified needs. The state also currently has \$100 million available in reliable funding streams (see Table 1) meaning up to \$200 million in annual funding could be provided to meet the requests. From the infrastructure fee study, it has been noted that new funding may be distributed via grants. As grants may be more desirable than loans for receiving entities, Figure 1 depicts the additional \$100 million being used prior to existing agency loans.




Figure 1: Annual Funding by Provisional Score (FY 2027)

Funding Distribution Analysis

In addition to a funding need analysis, a funding distribution analysis by agency was completed. The goal of the analysis was to assess the total funding requests and allocate an appropriate percentage of the total funds to each relevant agency.

Funding needs were categorized by timeline and agency to inform potential fund distribution (see Table 6).

Table 6: Proposed WIF Funding Distribution

Agency	Long-Term Infrastructure Needs	Mid-Term (5-10 years) UWIP Submissions	Short-Term (1-5 years) UWIP Submissions	Ready for Construction (1-2 years) UWIP Submissions
	Portion of Cost			
DDW	50%	40%	45%	40%
DWQ	25%	35%	35%	40%
WRe	25%	25%	20%	20%

Another consideration is how funding needs by agency will vary depending on how much funding is available. Figure 2 depicts the agency distribution analysis by minimum provisional score for those projects in the ready for construction (1-2 year) timeframe.




Figure 2: Proportional Funding Allocated by Provisional Score

From this table and figure, the following conclusions can be made:

- Funding needs by agency will vary over time. For example, there is a significant need for immediate funding of DWQ projects. Over 40 percent of projects ready for construction fall under this agency. However, in the long-term, DWQ needs are a significantly lower portion of total needs. A water infrastructure funding allocation strategy must be flexible enough to adjust to these changes over time.
- Funding needs by agency can also change drastically based on provisional score. As shown in Figure 2, a large shift in funding percentages occurs as the required minimum provisional score increases. This is because more projects fail to meet the minimum score threshold and the cumulative funding requested becomes more influenced by a few large, high-scoring projects assigned to DDW and DWQ. A water infrastructure funding allocation strategy must be flexible enough to adjust depending on how much funding is available in any given year.
- Fluctuating funding can create difficulties for agencies as they plan. While flexibility must be an essential part of the UWIP funding allocation strategy, stability of funding is also an important consideration. Each agency will need some degree of predictability in funding to administer an effective program.

Based on these conclusions, it is recommended that WIF funding be distributed in two tranches as follows:

- It is recommended that the first 50 percent of WIF funding be distributed at a consistent ratio between the agencies to provide a reliable revenue stream. It is recommended that this distribution be roughly proportional to long-term needs – 40 percent, 30 percent, and 30 percent to DDW, DWQ and WRe respectively. It is recommended that this distribution remains consistent from year to year.
- It is recommended that the remaining 50 percent of WIF funds be distributed based on short-term needs. For this UWIP, this will result in total funding of 45 percent, 35 percent, and 20 percent to DDW, DWQ, and WRe respectively. It is anticipated that the WDCC will re-evaluate this portion of the agency funding distribution annually as part of its submittal of the UWIP. This ensures that agencies are receiving funds according to needs and keeps the WIF flexible to change as needs change over time.

Recommendation to Legislature

In accordance with HB 280 and 285, the WDCC has developed this UWIP document with a comprehensive list of water infrastructure needs throughout the state of Utah. Entities across Utah submitted water infrastructure projects and their anticipated state funding assistance need. This submitted data was analyzed as described throughout this document for a funding level need and agency funding distribution allocation.

Legislative Recommendation for Funding Level

Submitted projects indicated a total annual state funding request of \$584 million for the ready for construction projects (1-2 years). However, it is recommended that the state apply minimum performance requirements to projects to ensure that only high-quality projects in legitimate need of state assistance are funded. With the application of requirements regarding adequate usage rates, a provisional score threshold, and maximum funding limitations, the recommended annual state funding assistance need is \$200 million.

As described in the *Summary of Water Infrastructure Fund Money* section of this document, the relevant agencies have identified \$100 million in annual reliable funds. With consideration of existing reliable funds already available to the relevant agencies, an additional \$100 million is required to meet the \$200 million recommended funding level.

It should be emphasized this represents a need for additional funding beyond other sources already available. In developing an overall strategy to fund future needs, it will be important to preserve all existing funding sources including rate and tax revenue. Any funding approach that replaces or reduces existing funding sources will result in a corresponding additional need from new sources.

Legislative Recommendation for Agency Distribution

The recommended additional \$100 million needs to be distributed amongst the relevant agencies. Based on the analysis prepared here, Table 7 lays out the recommended funding distribution for FY 2027, assuming \$100 million in additional funds are available in WIF. Should a different amount of funding be available in WIF, the listed percentages should be applied to the funds available for allocation to the relevant agencies.

Table 7: Proposed Agency WIF Allocation

Agency	First \$50 Million (50% of WIF Funds)		Second \$50 Million (second 50 percent of WIF Funds)		Total Funding From WIF	
DDW	40%	\$20 Mn/yr	50%	\$25 Mn/yr	45%	\$45 Mn/yr
DWQ	30%	\$15 Mn/yr	40%	\$20 Mn/yr	35%	\$35 Mn/yr
WRe	30%	\$15 Mn/yr	10%	\$5 Mn/yr	20%	\$20 Mn/yr
Total		\$50 Mn/yr		\$50 Mn/yr		\$100 Mn/yr

Appendix A: Project Data

Table A1: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2186	WRe	Bicknell	Secondary Water	Irrigation Line from Pond to Pump	Bicknell	83%	15	0	18	6	16	12	67	\$ 95,000	\$ 57,000	\$ 57,000
3403	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Ransom Hollow Spillway	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	4	5	67	\$ 205,000	\$ 82,000	\$ 82,000
3404	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Frew Spillway	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	4	5	67	\$ 205,000	\$ 82,000	\$ 82,000
3405	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Mels Spillway	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	4	5	67	\$ 205,000	\$ 82,000	\$ 82,000
3406	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hubs Spillway	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	4	5	67	\$ 205,000	\$ 82,000	\$ 82,000
3407	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Cantwell Spillway	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	4	5	67	\$ 205,000	\$ 82,000	\$ 82,000
3408	WRe	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Jorgensen Spillway	Cornish	90%	15	22	18	3	4	5	67	\$ 205,000	\$ 82,000	\$ 82,000
3463	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	South Fork Road Realignment	Provo	68%	18	22	0	6	16	5	67	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
3604	WRe	Myton	Secondary Water	metering	Myton	77%	15	16	14	3	7	12	67	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,280,000
4541	WRe	North Utah County Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	NUCWCD Dam Security and SCADA Improvements	Lehi	130%	6	22	18	3	16	2	67	\$ 1,295,000	\$ 1,295,000	\$ 879,014
102	DDW	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	South Willard Well #2 Equipping	Willard	123%	6	6	14	14	16	10	66	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
2032	WRe	Moroni Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Ag Meter Improvements	Moroni	80%	15	0	14	9	16	12	66	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2876	WRe	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Line Teasdale Head Ponds	Teasdale	90%	15	0	14	9	16	12	66	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
3103	DDW	Blanding	Drinking Water	Deep wells treatment	Blanding	90%	15	16	0	9	16	10	66	\$ 1,080,000	\$ 1,080,000	\$ 745,848
3185	DDW	Lewiston	Drinking Water	Center Street 2400 West Water Line	Lewiston	105%	10	22	0	6	16	12	66	\$ 610,165	\$ 549,149	\$ 437,147
3330	WRe	Uintah Independent Ditch Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Independent Ditch & Diversion Improvements	Neola	107%	10	0	14	14	16	12	66	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 440,000	\$ 440,000
3331	WRe	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	Lower Bench Canal Pipeline	Fort Duchesne	62%	18	0	14	6	16	12	66	\$ 4,800,000	\$ 1,920,000	\$ 1,920,000
3377	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	13	5	66	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3527	WRe	Uintah Basin Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	North Pipeline Pond	Myton	77%	15	0	14	9	16	12	66	\$ 967,000	\$ 386,800	\$ 386,800
4216	DDW	Annabella Town	Drinking Water	Annabella Town-Culinary water improvements	Annabella	103%	10	22	0	6	16	12	66	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,755,000
637	WRe	Redmond	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Phase 1	Redmond	95%	10	0	18	9	16	12	65	\$ 850,000	\$ 807,500	\$ 597,763
882	WRe	Hurricane Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hurricane Canal Co. pipe installation	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	9	16	12	65	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
990	DDW	Glen Canyon Special Service District Of Big Water	Drinking Water	Drill a New Culinary Well	Big Water	77%	15	16	0	6	16	12	65	\$ 1,166,150	\$ 1,166,150	\$ 799,818
1131	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Booster Pump or Waterline to Feed top of Kingsbury Drive	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	3	13	12	65	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 695,000

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1544	WRe	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Point Ditch	Spring City	90%	15	0	14	14	10	12	65	\$ 609,000	\$ 121,800	\$ 121,800
2074	WRe	Roy Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	3500 West Main Line Replacement	Roy	98%	10	16	18	3	16	2	65	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 5,950,000	\$ 2,555,000
2150	DDW	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Drinking Water	Holyoak Ln. to Bittle Ln. waterline	Moab	82%	15	16	0	6	16	12	65	\$ 1,366,530	\$ 273,306	\$ 273,306
2174	DDW	Glen Canyon Special Service District Of Big Water	Drinking Water	Building around North Well	Big Water	77%	15	16	0	6	16	12	65	\$ 110,000	\$ 88,000	\$ 81,835
2221	DDW	Fremont Water Works Company, Inc	Drinking Water	Fremont Waterline Looping and Upsizing Project	Fremont	58%	18	22	0	6	7	12	65	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,531,250
2274	DDW	Joseph	Drinking Water	Install New Well	Joseph	88%	15	16	18	3	13	0	65	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,275,000	\$ 1,001,250
3226	DDW	Providence	Drinking Water	Redd Tank Roof Restoration	Providence	125%	6	22	0	14	13	10	65	\$ 201,000	\$ 180,900	\$ 148,528
3327	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	7	10	65	\$ 532,000	\$ 266,000	\$ 266,000
3328	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	7	10	65	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3338	DDW	Wendover	Drinking Water	Moriah Ave Waterline Replacement	Wendover	60%	18	0	18	3	16	10	65	\$ 136,522	\$ 129,696	\$ 101,366
512	DDW	Nibley	Drinking Water	New Culinary Well	Nibley	132%	2	22	0	14	16	10	64	\$ 3,900,000	\$ 936,000	\$ 936,000
624	DWQ	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater & Drainage	Clay Pits Debris Basins	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	0	14	16	10	64	\$ 23,000,000	\$ 9,200,000	\$ 4,600,000
651	DDW	Snowville Town	Drinking Water	Well Siting Study	Snowville		0	16	14	6	16	12	64	\$ 19,500	\$ 15,600	\$ 14,604
652	DDW	Snowville Town	Drinking Water	Test Well	Snowville		0	16	14	6	16	12	64	\$ 60,000	\$ 48,000	\$ 44,802

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1083	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	CICWCD System Connection and Meter Vault Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	6	16	5	64	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 184,063
1791	DDW	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Drinking Water	Flow Control into TCSSD Lower Service Areas	Heber City	115%	6	0	18	14	16	10	64	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
2191	DDW	Caineville Special Service District	Drinking Water	Water Tank	Caineville		0	16	14	6	16	12	64	\$ 850,000	\$ 680,000	\$ 597,763
2194	DDW	Circleville	Drinking Water	Update Transmission Line from Wades Canyon	Circleville	73%	15	0	18	6	13	12	64	\$ 1,650,000	\$ 1,155,000	\$ 1,087,763
2232	WRe	Gunnison	Secondary Water	Irrigation Pond Expansion	Gunnison	102%	10	0	14	14	16	10	64	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 937,500	\$ 851,563
82	WRe	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Swenson Pipeline Slipline Project	Springville	100%	10	0	18	9	16	10	63	\$ 250,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
858	WRe	Timpanogos Canal Company	Secondary Water	Timpanogos Canal Meters	Provo	68%	18	0	14	6	13	12	63	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 695,000
2033	WRe	Moroni Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	City Diversion Improvements	Moroni	80%	15	0	14	9	13	12	63	\$ 700,000	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000
2179	DDW	Bicknell	Drinking Water	HDPE Transmission Line Extension to Town	Bicknell	83%	15	0	14	6	16	12	63	\$ 205,000	\$ 184,500	\$ 151,439
2283	DDW	Junction	Drinking Water	Sawmill Springs Development	Junction	62%	18	0	6	14	13	12	63	\$ 1,455,000	\$ 1,309,500	\$ 974,814
3228	DDW	Providence	Drinking Water	New Well Pumphouse	Providence	125%	6	22	0	9	16	10	63	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,080,000	\$ 820,800
15	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	12th St Trunk Line Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 6,806,685	\$ 3,403,343	\$ 2,556,811

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
16	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	16th to 13th Washington (east side)	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
18	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	3rd & Jefferson Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 431,800	\$ 215,900	\$ 215,900
19	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	5151 S Harrison Blvd Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 690,688	\$ 345,344	\$ 345,344
145	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	G Avenue	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 470,000	\$ 235,000	\$ 235,000
358	DDW	Hi-Country Estates Phase I Water Company	Drinking Water	Source Water Gross Alpha Blending Improvements	Herriman	125%	6	22	0	6	16	12	62	\$ 950,000	\$ 760,000	\$ 662,863
372	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Construct New Well #5	Lindon	115%	6	16	0	14	16	10	62	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,750,000
578	DWQ	Richfield City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install Drainage Channel Piping	Richfield	87%	15	16	0	3	16	12	62	\$ 1,700,000	\$ 1,275,000	\$ 1,116,050
1817	DDW	La Verkin City	Drinking Water	Stowells Improvements (LCRR)	La Verkin	75%	15	16	0	6	13	12	62	\$ 1,369,000	\$ 1,369,000	\$ 923,671
1891	DDW	Liberty Pipeline Company	Drinking Water	South zone booster and transmission line	Eden	157%	0	22	0	14	16	10	62	\$ 2,644,000	\$ 185,080	\$ 185,080
2004	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Dixie Springs Water System Replacement Phase II	Hurricane	92%	10	16	14	6	16	0	62	\$ 4,734,918	\$ 4,734,918	\$ 2,318,119
2133	DWQ	Fillmore	Stormwater & Drainage	Storm Water	Fillmore	87%	15	16	0	3	16	12	62	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 74,450
3238	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Water Line Replacement Phase 1	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	16	10	62	\$ 2,540,000	\$ 838,200	\$ 838,200
3337	DDW	Wendover	Drinking Water	Pilot Peak Waterline Replacement	Wendover	60%	18	0	18	6	10	10	62	\$ 3,127,000	\$ 2,970,650	\$ 1,807,453

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3339	DDW	Wendover	Drinking Water	Pilot Street Waterline Replacement	Wendover	60%	18	0	18	3	13	10	62	\$ 89,157	\$ 84,699	\$ 66,431
3622	WRe	Western Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	7th to 5th Ave	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	14	10	5	62	\$ 2,227,000	\$ 1,781,600	\$ 1,397,476
3655	DDW	Vernon Water Works Special Service District	Drinking Water	Meters and SCADA Replacement	Vernon	110%	10	0	18	6	16	12	62	\$ 88,000	\$ 83,600	\$ 65,574
411	DDW	Mapleton	Drinking Water	Replace US-89 Water Main	Mapleton	148%	2	16	14	3	16	10	61	\$ 3,420,000	\$ 855,000	\$ 855,000
478	DDW	Newton	Drinking Water	Loosle-Buttars Production Well	Newton	102%	10	0	14	14	13	10	61	\$ 662,000	\$ 264,800	\$ 264,800
943	DWQ	Orem	Wastewater & Sewer	Biosolids Handling Facility	Orem	78%	15	22	0	6	16	2	61	\$ 17,000,000	\$ 10,880,000	\$ 3,400,000
1086	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Enoch Well #1 Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	100%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
1972	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	South Davis Area Wells	Bountiful	115%	6	16	14	3	10	12	61	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000
2059	DWQ	Payson	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize and replace sewer line on east side of I-15 from 400 N to Utah Avenue	Payson	93%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 2,470,000	\$ 1,578,200
2879	WRe	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Irrigation System Master Plan	Teasdale	90%	15	0	18	6	10	12	61	\$ 40,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 12,000
959	DWQ	Washington Terrace	Stormwater & Drainage	5000 S. 300 W Re-design storm water detention basin	Washington Terrace	93%	10	0	18	6	16	10	60	\$ 563,896	\$ 563,896	\$ 405,433

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1726	WRe	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Greens Lake Dam 2, 3, and 4 Rehabilitation	Cedar City	78%	15	16	0	3	16	10	60	\$ 16,650,000	\$ 3,330,000	\$ 3,330,000
1970	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Major Pipeline Replacement	Layton	112%	6	22	14	3	10	5	60	\$ 200,000,000	\$ 54,000,000	\$ 40,000,000
2026	DDW	Ticaboo Utlity Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Valve replacement	Ticaboo		0	16	14	9	16	5	60	\$ 55,000	\$ 41,250	\$ 41,084
2029	WRe	Manti City Creek Water Users Mutual Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Pipeline Improvements	Manti	92%	10	0	18	6	16	10	60	\$ 800,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
2034	WRe	Moroni Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Canal and Ditch Piping	Moroni	80%	15	0	14	9	10	12	60	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 2,475,000	\$ 2,461,250
2099	WRe	Syracuse	Secondary Water	Secondary Meter Conversions	Syracuse	143%	2	16	18	6	16	2	60	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 2,120,000
2105	DWQ	Fillmore	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Lagoon Primary Cell	Fillmore	87%	15	16	0	3	16	10	60	\$ 4,250,000	\$ 2,975,000	\$ 2,194,063
3143	DDW	Fruitland Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Mill Hollow Spring Treatment	Fruitland	82%	15	16	0	3	16	10	60	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
3620	WRe	Western Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	6 Turnouts	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	16	5	60	\$ 101,000	\$ 80,800	\$ 75,189
4519	WRe	Annabella Irrigation Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Phase I and II Canal Piping Project			0	0	18	14	16	12	60	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
146	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	21st & Lincoln Intersection	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
579	DWQ	Richfield City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install New Storm Drain Piping	Richfield	87%	15	16	0	3	13	12	59	\$ 1,275,000	\$ 956,250	\$ 866,841

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3101	DDW	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	1500 East Waterline Extension	Ballard	117%	6	22	0	3	16	12	59	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,125,000	\$ 1,001,250
3172	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Master Plan	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	16	10	59	\$ 80,000	\$ 60,000	\$ 59,648
3179	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	PRV Vault Rehabilitation Project	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	16	10	59	\$ 375,000	\$ 281,250	\$ 273,516
3243	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	New Water Line Project Phase 1	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	13	10	59	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 1,815,000	\$ 1,815,000
95	DDW	Amalga	Drinking Water	Well Field SCADA System	Amalga	105%	10	0	14	6	16	12	58	\$ 60,460	\$ 24,184	\$ 24,184
97	DDW	Amalga	Drinking Water	Water Meter (AutoRead/Water Conserving)	Amalga	105%	10	0	14	6	16	12	58	\$ 72,500	\$ 29,000	\$ 29,000
1037	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Pump Station 3	Draper	133%	2	16	0	14	16	10	58	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
1132	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	SR-56 from Cross Hollow Road to Westview Drive Waterline Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	3	13	5	58	\$ 457,600	\$ 457,600	\$ 331,683
1377	WRe	La Verkin City	Secondary Water	In-Town Replacements	La Verkin	75%	15	0	14	3	16	10	58	\$ 10,025,000	\$ 10,025,000	\$ 2,005,000
1816	DDW	La Verkin City	Drinking Water	SR-17 12" Line Replacement	La Verkin	75%	15	0	14	3	16	10	58	\$ 1,598,000	\$ 1,438,200	\$ 1,058,052
4370	DWQ	Fountain Green	Wastewater & Sewer	Fountain Green-Sewer Improvements	Fountain Green	93%	10	16	0	6	16	10	58	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 564,800
94	DDW	Amalga	Drinking Water	Well Site Standby Generator	Amalga	105%	10	16	0	6	13	12	57	\$ 150,670	\$ 60,268	\$ 60,268
2031	WRe	Manti City Creek Water Users Mutual Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Farm Meter Installation	Manti	92%	10	0	18	6	13	10	57	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2052	DWQ	Payson	Stormwater & Drainage	Construct a regional retention basin and storm drain trunkline for a flooding issue on 800 West.	Payson	93%	10	0	18	3	16	10	57	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 12,600,000	\$ 2,800,000
2187	WRe	Bicknell	Secondary Water	Secondary Mainline and Valve Replacement	Bicknell	83%	15	0	14	3	13	12	57	\$ 3,070,162	\$ 1,074,557	\$ 1,074,557
2217	WRe	Fremont Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Master Plan	Fremont	58%	18	0	14	6	7	12	57	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912
3599	DDW	Myton	Drinking Water	Water Conservation Plan	Myton	77%	15	0	14	3	13	12	57	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,978
126	WRe	Vernal	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Updated Wastewater Master Plan	Vernal	100%	10	0	14	6	16	10	56	\$ 80,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
167	DWQ	Murray	Stormwater & Drainage	900 E Drain Line from 5700 S to Wood Oak Lane	Murray	98%	10	0	14	6	16	10	56	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,800,000
262	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	Kay-Project New1: Replace reservoir concrete liner	Kaysville	148%	2	16	14	3	16	5	56	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,550,000	\$ 1,755,000
386	WRe	Lindon	Secondary Water	PI Loop	Lindon	115%	6	0	18	6	16	10	56	\$ 800,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
479	DDW	Newton	Drinking Water	Water System SCADA Upgrade	Newton	102%	10	0	14	6	16	10	56	\$ 54,000	\$ 21,600	\$ 21,600
513	DDW	Nibley	Drinking Water	Transmission water line	Nibley	132%	2	22	0	6	16	10	56	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 288,000	\$ 288,000
779	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Secondary Clarifier #2 Improvements	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 851,563

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
783	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Channel #1 electrical improvements	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 680,000	\$ 544,000	\$ 484,568
788	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Retrofit solar dryer - bay 1	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 5,200,000	\$ 2,551,250
789	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Retrofit solar dryer - bay 2	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 5,200,000	\$ 2,551,250
2233	WRe	Gunnison	Secondary Water	Filtration and Sediment Control	Gunnison	102%	10	0	14	6	16	10	56	\$ 245,000	\$ 183,750	\$ 180,449
2263	DWQ	Hanksville Town	Stormwater & Drainage	Stormwater Master Plan	Hanksville		0	22	0	6	16	12	56	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912
96	DDW	Amalga	Drinking Water	Booster Station Pumps - Upsize	Amalga	105%	10	0	14	9	10	12	55	\$ 120,700	\$ 48,280	\$ 48,280
103	DDW	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Bothwell Water Infrastructure	Bothwell		0	6	14	14	16	5	55	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 9,750,000	\$ 3,000,000
112	WRe	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	Summerland New Secondary Water System	Bothwell		0	6	18	3	16	12	55	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
944	DWQ	Orem	Wastewater & Sewer	Oxidation Ditch Improvements	Orem	78%	15	22	0	3	13	2	55	\$ 8,661,000	\$ 5,543,040	\$ 2,370,039
1378	WRe	La Verkin City	Secondary Water	100 E Transmission Line Improvement	La Verkin	75%	15	0	14	3	13	10	55	\$ 2,487,000	\$ 2,487,000	\$ 1,525,066
1427	DDW	Neola Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Improvement project	Neola	107%	10	0	14	3	16	12	55	\$ 3,850,000	\$ 3,850,000	\$ 2,072,263
2107	WRe	Sterling Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Agricultural Line Meters	Sterling	98%	10	0	14	6	13	12	55	\$ 500,000	\$ 475,000	\$ 361,250
2307	WRe	Mayfield Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Agricultural Line Meters	Mayfield	103%	10	0	14	6	13	12	55	\$ 500,000	\$ 475,000	\$ 361,250

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2889	DDW	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Autoread Meter Upgrade	Teasdale	90%	15	0	18	6	4	12	55	\$ 95,000	\$ 57,000	\$ 57,000
421	DDW	Mendon	Drinking Water	Coldwater Well Site Standby Generator	Mendon	127%	6	16	0	6	16	10	54	\$ 165,000	\$ 66,000	\$ 66,000
426	WRe	Mount Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Pressure Irrigation System Upgrade	Mt Pleasant		0	0	14	14	16	10	54	\$ 21,000,000	\$ 5,250,000	\$ 4,200,000
431	WRe	Mount Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Well Equiping	Mt Pleasant		0	0	14	14	16	10	54	\$ 400,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 291,200
529	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Cobabe / Pizzel Treatment	Eden	157%	0	22	0	6	16	10	54	\$ 1,350,000	\$ 675,000	\$ 675,000
548	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Cross Country Sewer Line Replacement	Eden	157%	0	22	0	6	16	10	54	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 625,000	\$ 625,000
1552	DWQ	The Washington County Water Conservancy District	Wastewater & Sewer	Phase I Reuse	La Verkin	75%	15	0	18	3	16	2	54	\$ 55,624,915	\$ 47,281,178	\$ 11,124,983
2027	DDW	Ticaboo Utlity Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Main Replacement	Ticaboo		0	16	14	6	13	5	54	\$ 65,000	\$ 48,750	\$ 48,518
2035	WRe	Moroni Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Spring Diversion Improvements	Moroni	80%	15	0	14	6	7	12	54	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
2189	WRe	Bicknell	Secondary Water	Water Master Plan	Bicknell	83%	15	0	14	6	7	12	54	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3093	DDW	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Secondary Source Project	Ballard	117%	6	22	0	3	13	10	54	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,120,000
3635	DWQ	Clinton	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Lining	Clinton	123%	6	16	0	6	16	10	54	\$ 5,161,200	\$ 2,580,600	\$ 2,405,811
3648	DDW	Clinton	Drinking Water	Well #2 Tank	Clinton	123%	6	0	14	14	10	10	54	\$ 2,700,000	\$ 675,000	\$ 675,000
686	DDW	Summit Water Distribution Company	Drinking Water	Spring Creek Springs Treatment	Park City	135%	2	22	0	3	16	10	53	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 5,200,000	\$ 2,551,250
901	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	Line 30" Trunkline Line	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	6	13	10	53	\$ 6,867,477	\$ 3,433,739	\$ 2,556,685
1038	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	PRV Replacements	Draper	133%	2	22	0	3	16	10	53	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 4,800,000	\$ 2,400,000
1882	WRe	Lake Creek Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Lake Creek Facility Replacement Ph 1	Heber City	115%	6	0	18	3	16	10	53	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 7,650,000	\$ 2,295,000
2224	DDW	Gunnison	Drinking Water	Distribution System Improvements Phase 1	Gunnison	102%	10	0	14	6	13	10	53	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 1,560,000	\$ 1,560,000
3126	WRe	Enoch	Secondary Water	Garden Park Well and Infrastructure Project	Enoch	100%	10	0	14	3	16	10	53	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,280,000	\$ 1,059,200
3378	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Two PRV Stations	North Ogden	127%	6	0	18	3	16	10	53	\$ 500,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
4217	DDW	Sterling	Drinking Water	Sterling-Water System Improvements	Sterling	98%	10	6	6	3	16	12	53	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,280,000
1379	WRe	La Verkin City	Secondary Water	North Transmission Line Improvements	La Verkin	75%	15	0	14	3	10	10	52	\$ 2,364,000	\$ 2,364,000	\$ 1,465,633

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1818	DDW	La Verkin City	Drinking Water	SCADA/MASTER METER Improvements	La Verkin	75%	15	0	14	3	10	10	52	\$ 150,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 111,263
3199	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Yellowstone Feeder Canal Lining Phase II	Altonah		0	6	14	6	16	10	52	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 465,000	\$ 465,000
1992	DDW	Green Hill Country Estates Water/sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	AMR Water Meter Conversion	Huntsville	143%	2	0	18	3	16	12	51	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
2185	DDW	Bicknell	Drinking Water	Water Master Plan	Bicknell	83%	15	0	14	6	4	12	51	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912
3201	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	South Boneta Canal	Boneta		0	6	14	6	13	12	51	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
526	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Existing PRV Upgrades	Eden	157%	0	0	18	6	16	10	50	\$ 244,810	\$ 122,405	\$ 122,405
685	DDW	Summit Water Distribution Company	Drinking Water	Storage Well Replacement	Park City	135%	2	22	0	3	13	10	50	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,001,250
1085	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Quichapa North Wells Rehabilitation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	14	16	5	50	\$ 152,000	\$ 152,000	\$ 112,729
2003	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Hurricane Irrigation Efficiency Project Phase II	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	6	16	0	50	\$ 6,568,825	\$ 1,970,648	\$ 1,970,648
2005	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	South Fields Tank Interconnection 1500 South to 3000 South (2100 West)	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	6	16	0	50	\$ 2,069,954	\$ 2,069,954	\$ 1,316,806

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2006	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Dixie Springs to Sky Ranch Tank 18" Transmission Phase I	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	6	16	0	50	\$ 4,058,154	\$ 4,058,154	\$ 2,137,842
173	DDW	East Zion Special Service District	Drinking Water	New Well #3	Modesto		0	0	18	9	10	12	49	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 262,500	\$ 262,500
883	WRe	Grover Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Beaver Dam Reservoir Improvements	Grover		0	0	18	3	16	12	49	\$ 850,000	\$ 722,500	\$ 597,763
1541	DDW	Garden City	Drinking Water	Treatment Plant Transmission Line	Garden City	100%	10	0	18	3	13	5	49	\$ 350,000	\$ 280,000	\$ 255,763
1795	DDW	Woodland Hills	Drinking Water	Waterline Replacement Project Phase 2	Woodland Hills	152%	0	0	18	3	16	12	49	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 2,925,000	\$ 2,551,250
2157	WRe	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Turf buyback Programs	Layton	112%	6	6	14	14	4	5	49	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 6,000,000
3104	WRe	Cache Highline Water Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hyde Park North Canal Optimization	Hyde Park	130%	6	0	14	3	16	10	49	\$ 3,467,000	\$ 936,090	\$ 936,090
3574	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Water Conservation Plan	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	16	10	49	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,978
3649	DDW	Clinton	Drinking Water	Well #2 Equipping and Booster Station	Clinton	123%	6	0	14	6	13	10	49	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000
236	DDW	Diamond Valley Acres Water Company	Drinking Water	Update Master Plan	St. George	88%	15	0	0	6	16	10	47	\$ 50,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
425	WRe	Moroni	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Master Plan	Moroni	80%	15	0	0	6	16	10	47	\$ 105,000	\$ 26,250	\$ 26,250

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1967	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	4700 W Pipeline from Pump Station	West Haven	120%	6	6	14	3	13	5	47	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 2,250,000
2008	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Bench Lake Well #1	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	6	13	0	47	\$ 2,572,500	\$ 2,572,500	\$ 1,565,398
2009	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Frog Hollow Well	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	6	13	0	47	\$ 1,522,500	\$ 1,522,500	\$ 1,014,385
2010	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	600 North 12" D.I. Line	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	6	13	0	47	\$ 835,275	\$ 835,275	\$ 588,084
2320	DWQ	Salina	Stormwater & Drainage	Industrial Park Flood Mitigation P-1	Salina	87%	15	0	0	6	16	10	47	\$ 850,000	\$ 425,000	\$ 425,000
3458	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	Additional Well 1	Provo	68%	18	0	0	3	16	10	47	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 2,250,000
2007	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Purgatory 12" D.I. Connection	Hurricane	92%	10	0	14	6	16	0	46	\$ 145,819	\$ 145,819	\$ 108,195
3379	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Traveling Screen at 900 West	Pleasant View	138%	2	0	18	3	13	10	46	\$ 75,000	\$ 37,500	\$ 37,500
3595	DDW	Myton	Drinking Water	Asset Management Plan	Myton	77%	15	0	0	3	16	12	46	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,978
4518	WRe	Annabella Irrigation Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Phase III Canal Piping Project			0	0	18	3	13	12	46	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,520,000
1955	DDW	Syracuse	Drinking Water	Freeport Tank Transmission Line	Syracuse	143%	2	22	0	3	13	5	45	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,001,250
2100	WRe	Syracuse	Secondary Water	Bond Payment On Syracuse Reservoir	Syracuse	143%	2	0	14	14	10	5	45	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 2,400,000
2255	DWQ	Gunnison	Wastewater & Sewer	Lagoon Improvements Phase 1	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	9	16	10	45	\$ 1,256,000	\$ 314,000	\$ 314,000
917	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	71-5353 100 S Escalante to 650 W	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	6	4	10	44	\$ 734,737	\$ 367,369	\$ 367,369

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1114	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Monterey Drive Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1115	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	1025 West Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 304,000	\$ 152,000	\$ 152,000
1723	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase the Capacity of the Cross Hollow Detention Basin Inlet	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000
1724	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Create Conveyance on the East Side of I-15 at the Crossing of University Blvd	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 1,760,000	\$ 880,000	\$ 880,000
1725	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install a 36" HDPE Trunkline Along Cody Drive with Sidewalk and Curb and Gutter	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 1,915,000	\$ 957,500	\$ 957,500
2103	DWQ	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Wastewater & Sewer	Hwy 191 Sewer Mainline Replacment	Moab	82%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000
524	WRe	Pleasant Creek Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Transmountain Pipe Line	Mt Pleasant		0	0	14	6	13	10	43	\$ 50,000	\$ 37,500	\$ 37,363
2284	DDW	Junction	Drinking Water	Master Plan	Junction	62%	18	0	0	6	7	12	43	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912
3105	WRe	Cache Highline Water Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Bury Hollow Ravine	Hyde Park	130%	6	0	14	3	10	10	43	\$ 336,000	\$ 168,000	\$ 168,000
668	DDW	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	300 West Pipeline Replacement	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	6	16	5	42	\$ 1,139,047	\$ 911,238	\$ 782,927

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
674	DWQ	Vineyard	Stormwater & Drainage	GIS Mapping for Stormwater Maintenance Tracking	Vineyard	95%	10	0	0	6	16	10	42	\$ 50,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
1036	DDW	Heber City	Drinking Water	W-074 100 West Water Line Replacement	Heber City	115%	6	0	14	6	16	0	42	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,062,500	\$ 851,563
1394	DWQ	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	Manhole Restoration	Hideout	238%	0	22	0	6	4	10	42	\$ 600,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000
1534	WRe	Heber City	Secondary Water	100 West Pressurized Irrigation Improvements	Heber City	115%	6	0	14	6	16	0	42	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1953	DDW	Syracuse	Drinking Water	1 MG Storage Tank	Syracuse	143%	2	16	0	3	16	5	42	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,375,000
3151	DWQ	Hideout Town	Wastewater & Sewer	New Vantage Lane Lift Station Improvements	Hideout	238%	0	0	0	14	16	12	42	\$ 290,000	\$ 72,500	\$ 72,500
3332	WRe	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	Arcadia Farms Pipeline	Upalco		0	0	14	3	13	12	42	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 2,295,000
4025	DDW	Pleasant Grove	Drinking Water	800 North – NCB to 1300 West		98%	10	0	0	6	16	10	42		\$ 900,000	\$ -
1429	DWQ	North Village Special Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	UVU Regional Lift Station	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	14	16	5	41	\$ 4,290,065	\$ 3,003,046	\$ 2,205,293
2042	DDW	Payson	Drinking Water	Upsize existing 4" and 6" lineto 8" lines for fire flow issues.	Payson	93%	10	0	0	3	16	12	41	\$ 300,000	\$ 240,000	\$ 220,050
2953	DWQ	American Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	400 West Reroute	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	16	12	41	\$ 671,098	\$ 167,775	\$ 167,775

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3144	DDW	Fruitland Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Water Rights Acquisition	Fruitland	82%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 800,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
530	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Powder Mountain West Phase II	Eden	157%	0	0	18	6	16	0	40	\$ 1,643,966	\$ 821,983	\$ 821,983
1359	DWQ	Highland	Wastewater & Sewer	Dry Creek Improvements	Highland	155%	0	0	0	14	16	10	40	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1888	WRe	Grantsville Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Matthews Lane pipeline	Grantsville	120%	6	0	14	3	7	10	40	\$ 196,000	\$ 78,400	\$ 78,400
669	DDW	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	Main Street Pipeline Replacement	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	6	13	5	39	\$ 1,603,525	\$ 1,282,820	\$ 1,061,223
1539	DDW	Garden City	Drinking Water	1.0 MG Storage Tank	Garden City	100%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 875,000	\$ 875,000
2956	DWQ	American Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	Lakeshore, 100 W to 300 W	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 4,900,452	\$ 1,225,113	\$ 1,225,113
3002	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	202 200 S Conveyance & trunk line	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 2,539,000	\$ 634,750	\$ 634,750
3204	DWQ	North Logan	Wastewater & Sewer	2500 N – 300-400 E	North Logan	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 900,000	\$ 540,000	\$ 540,000
3207	DWQ	North Logan	Wastewater & Sewer	2500 N Pipe Burst	North Logan	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
373	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Connect Well #5 to Water Distribution System	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	16	10	38	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
394	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Orem Water Reclamation Phase 1	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	16	10	38	\$ 6,890,692	\$ 3,445,346	\$ 2,556,529

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
991	DDW	Bear Lake Water Company	Drinking Water	Culinary Master Plan Update	Garden City	100%	10	0	0	3	13	12	38	\$ 80,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 59,648
1169	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Center Street from east end of Hidden Hills Dr. to 4500 W. Distribution Line Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 4,329,000	\$ 3,463,200	\$ 2,216,037
2043	DDW	Payson	Drinking Water	Upsize existing 4" lines to 8" lines for fire flow issues.	Payson	93%	10	0	0	3	13	12	38	\$ 400,000	\$ 320,000	\$ 291,200
4219	DDW	Mayfield	Drinking Water	Mayfield Town-Mayfield Town Park Well Aquifer Recharge	Mayfield	103%	10	0	0	3	13	12	38	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 111,263
550	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Grinder Station Upgrade	Eden	157%	0	0	0	14	13	10	37	\$ 750,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 375,000
2890	DDW	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Water Master Plan	Teasdale	90%	15	0	0	6	4	12	37	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912
1152	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	South Mountain Drive - Transmission Line Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	5	36	\$ 8,970,000	\$ 7,176,000	\$ 2,302,151
1153	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	South Mountain Drive - Distribution Line Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	5	36	\$ 5,382,000	\$ 4,305,600	\$ 2,443,374
3205	DWQ	North Logan	Wastewater & Sewer	2500 N - 1250 E	North Logan	103%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 400,000	\$ 240,000	\$ 240,000
3206	DWQ	North Logan	Wastewater & Sewer	2500 N - 1250-1600 E	North Logan	103%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
318	DDW	Herriman Town	Drinking Water	North Zone 3 2MG Storage Tank	Herriman	125%	6	0	0	14	10	5	35	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 5,600,000	\$ 2,555,000
1366	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Concrete Tank Roof Rehab/Bury	Highland	155%	0	0	0	9	16	10	35	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
3081	DWQ	Ballard	Stormwater & Drainage	Channel Cleaning 2000N to 1000N	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	16	10	35	\$ 50,700	\$ 25,350	\$ 25,350
3082	DWQ	Ballard	Stormwater & Drainage	Channel Cleaning Hwy 40 North to 1000 N	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	16	10	35	\$ 61,300	\$ 30,650	\$ 30,650
3573	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Asset Management Plan	Coalville	123%	6	0	0	3	16	10	35	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,978
3645	DWQ	Clinton	Stormwater & Drainage	1500 West Replacement	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	16	10	35	\$ 676,000	\$ 338,000	\$ 338,000
3653	DDW	Clinton	Drinking Water	Ductile Iron Replacement	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	16	10	35	\$ 7,520,000	\$ 3,760,000	\$ 2,529,728
1933	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Promontory South Point Distribution Line Size	Snyderville		0	0	0	6	16	12	34	\$ 255,000	\$ 51,000	\$ 51,000
3148	DDW	Hideout Town	Drinking Water	Loop System: Lariat Ct to Longview Dr	Hideout	238%	0	0	0	6	16	12	34	\$ 109,980	\$ 27,495	\$ 27,495
3607	WRe	Myton	Secondary Water	Asset Management Plan	Myton	77%	15	0	0	3	4	12	34	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,978
3637	DWQ	Clinton	Wastewater & Sewer	1800 North: 2000 W to 2400 W Lateral Reconfiguration	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	13	12	34	\$ 198,000	\$ 99,000	\$ 99,000
315	DDW	Herriman Town	Drinking Water	North Zones 3 & 4 Transmission Pipelines (CW4.01, CW3.01, CW3.02)	Herriman	125%	6	0	0	6	16	5	33	\$ 7,200,000	\$ 5,760,000	\$ 2,548,800

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1956	DDW	Syracuse	Drinking Water	Bond Paymant on 3MG Tank	Syracuse	143%	2	16	0	3	7	5	33	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 2,120,000
178	DDW	East Zion Special Service District	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Master Plan	Modesto		0	0	0	6	16	10	32	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912
549	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Lefty's Canyon Gravity Sewer Line	Eden	157%	0	0	0	6	16	10	32	\$ 17,500,000	\$ 8,750,000	\$ 3,500,000
1780	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Well Generator	Charleston		0	0	0	6	16	10	32	\$ 72,000	\$ 36,000	\$ 36,000
3083	DWQ	Ballard	Stormwater & Drainage	Channel Cleaning Hwy 40 South Past City Building	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	13	10	32	\$ 27,200	\$ 13,600	\$ 13,600
4245	DDW	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	JVWTP Expansion Phase 3			0	0	0	14	16	2	32	\$ 113,000,000	\$ 113,000,000	\$ 22,600,000
4247	DDW	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	State Street Pipeline Replacement			0	0	0	9	13	10	32	\$ 17,300,000	\$ 17,300,000	\$ 3,460,000
4249	DDW	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	JVWTP Expansion Phase 2			0	0	0	14	16	2	32	\$ 64,200,000	\$ 64,200,000	\$ 12,840,000
813	DDW	Oquirrh Point Improvement District	Drinking Water	Oquirrh Point Tank No. 1	Erda	135%	2	0	0	3	16	10	31	\$ 5,250,000	\$ 5,250,000	\$ 2,421,563
2259	DDW	Hanksville Town	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Master Plan	Hanksville		0	0	0	6	13	12	31	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912
3149	DDW	Hideout Town	Drinking Water	Loop System: N Shoreline Ct to Shoreline Dr	Hideout	238%	0	0	0	6	13	12	31	\$ 65,988	\$ 16,497	\$ 16,497

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3150	DWQ	Hideout Town	Wastewater & Sewer	Soaring Hawk to JSSD Sewer Connection	Hideout	238%	0	0	0	6	13	12	31	\$ 274,000	\$ 68,500	\$ 68,500
256	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project New1: Replace pipes on 2000 West	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	16	5	30	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,020,000	\$ 820,800
945	DWQ	Orem	Wastewater & Sewer	Grit removal upgrade	Orem	78%	15	0	0	3	10	2	30	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 1,408,000	\$ 1,383,800
4250	DDW	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Landscape Improvements			0	0	18	6	4	2	30	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 2,551,250
552	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	I&I Reduction	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	16	10	29	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
689	WRe	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	Drill secondary well (SR-1)	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	16	10	29	\$ 883,417	\$ 706,734	\$ 619,639
2959	DWQ	American Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	200 South TOD Improvements	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	16	0	29	\$ 1,897,016	\$ 948,508	\$ 948,508
3152	DWQ	Holladay City	Stormwater & Drainage	Storm Drain Cleaning	Holladay	138%	2	0	0	6	16	5	29	\$ 500,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 361,250
4244	DDW	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	1000 East Pipeline Replacement			0	0	0	14	13	2	29	\$ 12,300,000	\$ 12,300,000	\$ 2,460,000
4246	DDW	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Rosecrest Rd Pipeline			0	0	0	14	13	2	29	\$ 16,000,000	\$ 16,000,000	\$ 3,200,000
1063	DWQ	Draper	Stormwater & Drainage	Lasso Ct to Hole 12	Draper	133%	2	0	0	3	13	10	28	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1593	DWQ	Heber City	Wastewater & Sewer	S-050 100 West Sewer Replacements	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	6	16	0	28	\$ 1,186,000	\$ 1,008,100	\$ 812,137
1718	DWQ	Heber City	Stormwater & Drainage	100 West Storm Water Improvements	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	6	16	0	28	\$ 900,000	\$ 765,000	\$ 630,450
2116	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	3000 South 2400 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	16	5	26	\$ 155,000	\$ 124,000	\$ 114,929
2119	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	2700 South 3000 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	16	5	26	\$ 3,026,000	\$ 2,420,800	\$ 1,765,883
2120	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	2500 West 435 South	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	16	5	26	\$ 2,094,000	\$ 1,675,200	\$ 1,329,334
2123	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	2700 South 3230 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	16	5	26	\$ 4,948,000	\$ 3,958,400	\$ 2,364,451
2270	DWQ	Hanksville Town	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Master Plan	Hanksville		0	0	0	6	7	12	25	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912
3202	DDW	Mona	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Capital Facilities Improvements	Mona	133%	2	0	0	6	16	0	24	\$ 3,584,000	\$ 2,688,000	\$ 1,981,522
2095	WRe	Syracuse	Secondary Water	2700 South Secondary (2400 West to 3000 West)	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	13	5	23	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 960,000	\$ 820,800
2121	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	1900 West 3300 South	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	13	5	23	\$ 2,733,000	\$ 2,186,400	\$ 1,638,939
4220	DDW	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Casto Springs Water Treatment Plant			0	0	0	3	13	2	18	\$ 11,000,000	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 2,200,000
2096	WRe	Syracuse	Secondary Water	3000 West Secondary (1700 South to 2700 South)	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	7	5	17	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,280,000
528	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Source #1 Development	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	13	0	16	\$ 2,556,450	\$ 1,278,225	\$ 1,278,225

Table A1 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Ready for Construction (1-2 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
4215	DDW	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	2 New Production Wells			0	0	0	6	4	2	12	\$ 13,500,000	\$ 11,000,000	\$ 2,700,000
4248	DDW	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Transmission Vault Upgrades			0	0	0	6	4	2	12	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 2,400,000
													Total	\$ 1,147,813,165	\$ 733,161,916	\$ 338,874,248

Table A2: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
305	WRe	Greendale Water Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Greendale Piping Project	Dutch John	88%	15	0	18	6	16	12	67	\$ 6,200,000	\$ 2,480,000	\$ 2,480,000
359	DDW	Hi-Country Estates Phase I Water Company	Drinking Water	Hi Country Rd Zone 2 pipeline upsize (fire flow)	Herriman	125%	6	16	14	3	16	12	67	\$ 1,450,000	\$ 1,160,000	\$ 971,863
2206	DDW	Emery	Drinking Water	Transmission Line/Diversion Upgrades	Emery	95%	10	0	18	14	13	12	67	\$ 4,250,000	\$ 3,400,000	\$ 2,194,063
2310	WRe	Muddy Creek Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Diversion Upgrades	Emery	95%	10	0	18	14	13	12	67	\$ 150,000	\$ 142,500	\$ 111,263
2878	WRe	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Winter Ditch Piping	Teasdale	90%	15	0	18	9	13	12	67	\$ 325,000	\$ 146,250	\$ 146,250
2905	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Sleeping Rainbow Transmission Line Replacement	Torrey	80%	15	0	18	6	16	12	67	\$ 360,000	\$ 270,000	\$ 262,872
115	DDW	Cedarview-Montwell Special Service District	Drinking Water	3000 West Pipeline going North	Neola	107%	10	0	14	14	16	12	66	\$ 5,289,000	\$ 5,289,000	\$ 2,428,206
136	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	24th Street Interchange/Extension	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	9	16	8	66	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 1,300,000
800	DDW	Alta	Drinking Water	Lower Alta Distribution Line	Alta	73%	15	22	0	6	13	10	66	\$ 182,000	\$ 91,000	\$ 91,000
850	DDW	Elk Ridge	Drinking Water	Water Main Replacement	Elk Ridge	152%	0	16	18	6	16	10	66	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,000,000
957	DWQ	Spanish Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	Sunset Park Storm Connection	Spanish Fork	110%	10	16	18	6	4	12	66	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
1447	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Sullivan Pond Outfall to Weber River Outfall Mainline Repairs	Ogden	85%	15	22	0	3	16	10	66	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 2,750,000	\$ 2,461,250

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1449	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	2550 storm pipe upsize	Ogden	85%	15	22	0	3	16	10	66	\$ 800,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
1892	DDW	Liberty Pipeline Company	Drinking Water	1 MG Cutler Water Tank and transmission line	Eden	157%	0	22	18	3	13	10	66	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 140,000	\$ 140,000
3102	WRe	Big 6 Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Big 6 Canal & Diversion Rehabilitation	Neola	107%	10	0	14	14	16	12	66	\$ 390,000	\$ 195,000	\$ 195,000
138	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	D Avenue Extension	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	16	10	65	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
381	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Pipe Replacment on 400 N from Main Street to Locust	Lindon	115%	6	22	14	6	7	10	65	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 1,550,000	\$ 1,550,000
382	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	1200 E Loop Pl and Culinary	Lindon	115%	6	22	14	6	7	10	65	\$ 1,700,000	\$ 850,000	\$ 850,000
414	WRe	Mapleton	Secondary Water	Zone 1 Dogwood Connection	Mapleton	148%	2	16	18	3	16	10	65	\$ 3,640,000	\$ 728,000	\$ 728,000
2176	DDW	Antimony	Drinking Water	Replace all 4" and 6" Water Lines with 8" and 12" Water Lines	Antimony		0	16	18	6	13	12	65	\$ 2,305,065	\$ 2,074,559	\$ 1,436,566
2279	WRe	Junction Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Piping of West Canal P2	Junction	62%	18	0	18	6	13	10	65	\$ 4,884,000	\$ 3,174,600	\$ 2,351,060
2318	WRe	Salina	Secondary Water	Irrigation System Expansion P-1	Salina	87%	15	0	18	6	16	10	65	\$ 1,950,000	\$ 1,560,000	\$ 1,253,363
3167	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	Meter and SCADA Improvements	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	18	3	16	12	65	\$ 318,000	\$ 238,500	\$ 232,938
22	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	25th & Adams Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	16	12	64	\$ 442,498	\$ 221,249	\$ 221,249
24	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Gibson & 21st St & Reeves Ave Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	16	12	64	\$ 161,592	\$ 80,796	\$ 80,796
360	DDW	Hi-Country Estates Phase I Water Company	Drinking Water	Hi Country Rd. Zone 3 pipeline upsize (fire flow)	Herriman	125%	6	16	14	3	13	12	64	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 2,080,000	\$ 1,578,200

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
641	WRe	Washington City	Secondary Water	Solente Tank and transmission lines	Washington	97%	10	0	14	14	16	10	64	\$ 8,040,570	\$ 2,010,143	\$ 2,010,143
792	DWQ	Alta	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Line Replacement #1 (GMD Sag)	Alta	73%	15	22	0	6	16	5	64	\$ 386,000	\$ 193,000	\$ 193,000
793	DWQ	Alta	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Line Replacement #2 (Peruvian Acres)	Alta	73%	15	22	0	6	16	5	64	\$ 204,000	\$ 102,000	\$ 102,000
942	DWQ	Orem	Stormwater & Drainage	Canal Closure Mitigation	Orem	78%	15	22	0	3	16	8	64	\$ 12,837,000	\$ 6,418,500	\$ 2,567,400
946	DDW	Orem	Drinking Water	1600 North Well	Orem	78%	15	22	0	3	16	8	64	\$ 5,672,000	\$ 2,836,000	\$ 2,484,563
980	DWQ	Washington Terrace	Wastewater & Sewer	SS-10. 4900 South. Replace the sanitary sewer main	Washington Terrace	93%	10	22	0	6	16	10	64	\$ 345,735	\$ 345,735	\$ 252,727
982	DWQ	Murray	Wastewater & Sewer	State street Auto Blvd to Main Street	Murray	98%	10	22	0	6	16	10	64	\$ 3,800,000	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,900,000
1042	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Upper Traverse Ridge	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	13	10	64	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,750,000
1965	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Davis Aqueduct Parallel Phase 2	Layton	112%	6	16	18	3	16	5	64	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 12,500,000	\$ 5,000,000
1978	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	12th St Pipeline	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	3	4	12	64	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
2271	DDW	Hanna Water And Sewer District	Drinking Water	Stockmore Well Improvements	Hanna		0	22	0	14	16	12	64	\$ 200,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 120,000
2275	DDW	Joseph	Drinking Water	Install Ultrasonic Meters	Joseph	88%	15	22	14	3	10	0	64	\$ 70,200	\$ 66,690	\$ 52,379
3491	DWQ	Provo	Wastewater & Sewer	Phase 1 Liquid Stream Upgrades	Provo	68%	18	22	0	3	16	5	64	\$ 49,000,000	\$ 19,600,000	\$ 9,800,000
106	DDW	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Additional Storage Capacity	Tremonton	105%	10	16	14	3	10	10	63	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,750,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
180	DDW	Corinne City	Drinking Water	Well Development Project	Corinne	100%	10	22	0	3	16	12	63	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 2,790,000	\$ 1,796,450
384	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Construct a New 2MG Water Tank at 835 E	Lindon	115%	6	16	18	9	4	10	63	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
481	DDW	Newton	Drinking Water	Tank Overflow Improvement	Newton	102%	10	16	14	6	7	10	63	\$ 319,000	\$ 127,600	\$ 127,600
678	DWQ	Centerfield	Stormwater & Drainage	Storm Water Drainage	Centerfield	90%	15	16	0	9	13	10	63	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
1029	WRe	Carbon Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Carbon Canal Pipeline Project	Price	85%	15	0	14	6	16	12	63	\$ 81,815,345	\$ 16,363,069	\$ 16,363,069
1343	DDW	Helper City	Drinking Water	Royal Chlorinator Rehabilitation	Helper	87%	15	22	0	3	13	10	63	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
1440	DWQ	Parowan	Stormwater & Drainage	200 South Storm Drain Trunkline	Parowan	83%	15	22	0	3	13	10	63	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
1546	WRe	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Stratigic Metering	Spring City	90%	15	16	0	6	16	10	63	\$ 440,000	\$ 220,000	\$ 220,000
3080	DWQ	Ash Creek Special Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	Ash Creek Water Reclamation Facility	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	14	16	5	63	\$ 140,000,000	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 28,000,000
3225	DDW	I Pinon Forest Special Service District	Drinking Water	Tank and Water Loadout	Duchesne	92%	10	22	0	3	16	12	63	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 430,200
20	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	25th Street from Washington to Wall	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
21	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	27th & Jefferson Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 419,007	\$ 209,504	\$ 209,504
23	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	1050 N Maintenance (Phase 1)	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 1,019,498	\$ 509,749	\$ 509,749
139	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	1200 N – Liberty to Orchard	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 780,000	\$ 390,000	\$ 390,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
140	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Lincoln – 26th to 25th	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 620,000	\$ 310,000	\$ 310,000
141	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Jefferson – 33rd to 36th	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 940,000	\$ 470,000	\$ 470,000
142	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Lockwood & Canfield Drives	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 800,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
143	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Grant – 25th to 26th	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 970,000	\$ 485,000	\$ 485,000
144	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	37th Street Van Buren to Jackson	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 910,000	\$ 455,000	\$ 455,000
383	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Install New Water Line on Main Street from Center Street to 200 South	Lindon	115%	6	22	14	6	4	10	62	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 1,300,000
413	WRe	Mapleton	Secondary Water	Foothills Distribution Line	Mapleton	148%	2	16	18	3	13	10	62	\$ 9,140,000	\$ 3,656,000	\$ 2,260,322
571	DDW	Richfield City	Drinking Water	Golf Course Irrigation Replacement	Richfield	87%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
1435	DDW	Parowan	Drinking Water	6-Mile Spring Water Treatment Plant	Parowan	83%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 4,900,000	\$ 4,900,000	\$ 2,354,450
1437	DDW	Parowan	Drinking Water	Distribution system fireflow improvements	Parowan	83%	15	22	0	3	10	12	62	\$ 1,095,582	\$ 1,095,582	\$ 755,670
1444	DWQ	Parowan	Wastewater & Sewer	Wastewater Lagoon Improvements	Parowan	83%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
2156	WRe	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Ogden Valley Canal	Huntsville	143%	2	16	18	14	7	5	62	\$ 16,000,000	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 3,200,000
2222	DWQ	Big Water	Stormwater & Drainage	Stormwater Diversion	Big Water	77%	15	16	0	3	16	12	62	\$ 771,225	\$ 771,225	\$ 545,705
2261	DDW	Hanksville Town	Drinking Water	New Well	Hanksville		0	16	18	9	7	12	62	\$ 1,101,320	\$ 550,660	\$ 550,660

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3239	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Water Line Replacement Phase 2	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	16	10	62	\$ 2,540,000	\$ 838,200	\$ 838,200
3312	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Stub Lines Automation	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
3329	WRe	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Relocate Weber Basin Tie in at WSU	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	16	10	62	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
165	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Pressure Zone 6B Expansion	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	14	4	10	61	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
325	WRe	Herriman Town	Secondary Water	4000 W Pump Station Zone 1 Equipping	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	14	13	10	61	\$ 250,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 184,063
642	WRe	Washington City	Secondary Water	Kirkland pond expansion	Washington	97%	10	0	14	14	13	10	61	\$ 10,989,326	\$ 2,747,332	\$ 2,197,865
702	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	700 South Booster to Tank 3 replacement	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 4,078,500	\$ 1,427,475	\$ 1,427,475
710	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	East A Well	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 4,203,000	\$ 1,471,050	\$ 1,471,050
711	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Arsenic Water Treatment Plant	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 2,467,500	\$ 863,625	\$ 863,625
712	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Reverse Osmosis Water Treatment Plant	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,000,000
714	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	East C Well	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 4,282,500	\$ 1,498,875	\$ 1,498,875
947	DDW	Orem	Drinking Water	Hillcrest Park Well	Orem	78%	15	22	0	3	13	8	61	\$ 3,739,000	\$ 1,869,500	\$ 1,869,500
1091	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Cedar Canyon Water Tank Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	3	16	5	61	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 2,261,250
1094	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	North Wells Storage Tank Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	3	16	5	61	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 960,000	\$ 820,800

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1095	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	North Wells Booster Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	3	16	5	61	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,375,000
1101	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Square Mountain and Cross Hollow Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	3	16	5	61	\$ 1,878,000	\$ 1,502,400	\$ 1,214,521
1536	WRe	Price Wellington Control Board	Agriculture Off-Farm	Canal Metering Upgrades	Price	85%	15	0	18	3	13	12	61	\$ 10,447,750	\$ 5,223,875	\$ 2,089,550
1792	DDW	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Drinking Water	Red Ledges Pump Station Expansion	Heber City	115%	6	0	18	14	13	10	61	\$ 850,000	\$ 637,500	\$ 597,763
1968	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Weber Aqueduct Parallel	South Ogden	103%	10	16	14	3	13	5	61	\$ 10,750,000	\$ 2,687,500	\$ 2,150,000
1971	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Farmington Well Conversion to Culinary	Farmington	148%	2	16	14	14	10	5	61	\$ 23,500,000	\$ 5,875,000	\$ 4,700,000
2188	WRe	Bicknell	Secondary Water	Secondary Irrigation Meter Project	Bicknell	83%	15	0	18	6	10	12	61	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 480,000	\$ 480,000
2192	DDW	Caineville Special Service District	Drinking Water	Distribution System Recommendations	Caineville		0	16	14	6	13	12	61	\$ 1,430,000	\$ 1,358,500	\$ 960,031
2226	DDW	Gunnison	Drinking Water	East Tank Replacement	Gunnison	102%	10	0	14	14	13	10	61	\$ 2,240,000	\$ 1,344,000	\$ 1,344,000
2272	DDW	Hanna Water And Sewer District	Drinking Water	Annie Mae Spring Collection System Upgrade and Rehabilitation	Hanna		0	22	0	14	13	12	61	\$ 750,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2882	WRe	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Replace Pressure Reducing Valves	Teasdale	90%	15	0	18	9	7	12	61	\$ 160,000	\$ 72,000	\$ 72,000
3266	DWQ	Roosevelt	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Line Upgrade	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	16	12	61	\$ 308,000	\$ 101,640	\$ 101,640
3272	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	400 South Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	16	12	61	\$ 82,000	\$ 41,000	\$ 41,000
3273	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	600 East Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	16	12	61	\$ 1,096,000	\$ 548,000	\$ 548,000
3274	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	800 East Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	16	12	61	\$ 685,000	\$ 342,500	\$ 342,500
3291	DDW	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Booster Pump Line Extension	Duchesne	92%	10	6	14	3	16	12	61	\$ 125,000	\$ 93,750	\$ 92,891
3292	DDW	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Integrity Cove Booster Pump	Duchesne	92%	10	6	14	3	16	12	61	\$ 125,000	\$ 93,750	\$ 92,891
3293	DDW	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Escalante Drive PRV	Duchesne	92%	10	6	14	3	16	12	61	\$ 250,000	\$ 187,500	\$ 184,063
181	DDW	Corinne City	Drinking Water	4800W Fire Flow Loop	Corinne	100%	10	22	0	3	13	12	60	\$ 503,000	\$ 452,700	\$ 363,335
482	DDW	Newton	Drinking Water	Replace Spring Supply Line	Newton	102%	10	16	14	6	4	10	60	\$ 4,786,000	\$ 1,914,400	\$ 1,914,400
861	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	New Pressure Reducing Valves	Ephraim	82%	15	16	0	3	16	10	60	\$ 150,000	\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000
1283	DWQ	Eagle Mountain	Wastewater & Sewer	4MGD WWTP Expansion	Eagle Mountain	133%	2	6	14	14	16	8	60	\$ 120,000,000	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 24,000,000
1424	DDW	Loa	Drinking Water	Loa Distribution System Improvements	Loa	102%	10	16	0	6	16	12	60	\$ 300,000	\$ 285,000	\$ 220,050

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1537	WRe	Price Wellington Control Board	Agriculture Off-Farm	Canal Lining Project	Price	85%	15	0	14	3	16	12	60	\$ 2,182,125	\$ 872,850	\$ 872,850
1566	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	Airport Road Upgrades	Cedar City	78%	15	16	0	3	16	10	60	\$ 897,100	\$ 179,420	\$ 179,420
1567	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	4500W Upgrades 1 of 2	Cedar City	78%	15	16	0	3	16	10	60	\$ 5,626,300	\$ 2,250,520	\$ 2,250,520
1568	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	4500W Upgrades 2 of 2	Cedar City	78%	15	16	0	3	16	10	60	\$ 5,673,000	\$ 2,269,200	\$ 2,269,200
1884	WRe	Grantsville Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	East side holding pond	Grantsville	120%	6	0	14	14	16	10	60	\$ 1,558,912	\$ 623,565	\$ 623,565
1885	WRe	Grantsville Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	East side well	Grantsville	120%	6	0	14	14	16	10	60	\$ 650,000	\$ 260,000	\$ 260,000
2160	WRe	Howell Blue Creek Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Blue Creek Irrigation canal piping	Howell	82%	15	0	14	3	16	12	60	\$ 15,507,000	\$ 930,420	\$ 930,420
3423	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	6,000 ft of 12-in and 3,600 ft of 8-in from Center St. and 3110 W. west to boat harbor and campground. 8-in pipe is within campground and boat harbor.	Provo	68%	18	16	0	3	13	10	60	\$ 2,464,000	\$ 1,232,000	\$ 1,232,000
3602	WRe	Myton	Secondary Water	System tie in's	Myton	77%	15	0	14	3	16	12	60	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
43	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	28th & Wall Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 438,551	\$ 219,276	\$ 219,276
44	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	El Monte Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 467,658	\$ 233,829	\$ 233,829
149	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	25th – Lincoln to Grant	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 930,000	\$ 465,000	\$ 465,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
150	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Mile High, Mohawk, Shoshone	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 990,000	\$ 495,000	\$ 495,000
151	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Ridgedale Projects	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
352	DDW	Hi-Country Estates II Homeowners Association	Drinking Water	Upper Zone D Service Area Source Redundancy	Herriman	125%	6	22	0	3	16	12	59	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,280,000
412	WRe	Mapleton	Secondary Water	Zone 2 & 3 Pumps	Mapleton	148%	2	16	18	3	10	10	59	\$ 320,000	\$ 128,000	\$ 128,000
424	WRe	Moroni	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Distribution System	Moroni	80%	15	0	18	3	13	10	59	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
690	WRe	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	10-AC Lake Dam Repair and Lining	Eden	157%	0	16	14	3	16	10	59	\$ 7,710,691	\$ 6,168,553	\$ 2,513,007
1390	DWQ	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	Keetley Lift Station Expansion and Influent Pipeline Upsize	Hideout	238%	0	22	0	14	13	10	59	\$ 3,978,000	\$ 1,989,000	\$ 1,989,000
1436	DDW	Parowan	Drinking Water	Main Canyon Spring Waterline Replacement	Parowan	83%	15	0	18	3	13	10	59	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 758,450
2195	DDW	Circleville	Drinking Water	Update Distribution System (Fire Flow)	Circleville	73%	15	16	0	6	10	12	59	\$ 2,027,000	\$ 1,824,300	\$ 1,294,270
3088	DWQ	Ballard Water Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Ballard City Sewer Phase 1	Ballard	117%	6	22	0	3	16	12	59	\$ 1,635,000	\$ 817,500	\$ 817,500
3190	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Lake Fork-Yellowstone Feeder	Mountain Home	108%	10	6	14	3	16	10	59	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
3244	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	New Water Line Project Phase 2	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	13	10	59	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 1,815,000	\$ 1,815,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3271	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	200 South Detention Ponds	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	16	10	59	\$ 231,000	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500
3623	WRe	Western Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	5th to 1st Ave	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	14	7	5	59	\$ 9,434,000	\$ 7,547,200	\$ 2,180,480
108	DDW	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Bear River Bird Refuge Outflows	Brigham City	93%	10	16	14	3	7	8	58	\$ 500,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
113	WRe	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	Richins New Secondary Water system	Thatcher	130%	6	6	18	3	13	12	58	\$ 975,000	\$ 487,500	\$ 487,500
179	DWQ	Zion Mountain Local Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	Phase 2 Water Reclamation	Modesto		0	0	18	14	16	10	58	\$ 15,500,000	\$ 11,625,000	\$ 3,100,000
418	DWQ	Mapleton	Stormwater & Drainage	Infiltration Improvement Projects	Mapleton	148%	2	16	14	3	13	10	58	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
587	DWQ	Vineyard	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Drying Beds Expansion Project	Vineyard	95%	10	22	0	3	13	10	58	\$ 360,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000
672	DWQ	Vineyard	Stormwater & Drainage	Storm Water Inlet Hood Upgrades	Vineyard	95%	10	22	0	6	10	10	58	\$ 125,000	\$ 31,250	\$ 31,250
845	WRe	Minersville Reservoir And Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	west fields lateral	Minersville	95%	10	0	14	6	16	12	58	\$ 650,000	\$ 390,000	\$ 390,000
1608	WRe	Strawberry High Line Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Piping of Lateral 34	Genola	117%	6	0	18	6	16	12	58	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
2148	DDW	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Drinking Water	Green River Pipeline	Thompson Springs		0	16	14	3	13	12	58	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,800,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2888	DDW	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Distribution System Extension and Looping	Teasdale	90%	15	0	18	6	7	12	58	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,260,000	\$ 1,171,800
3107	DDW	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Victory Pipeline 2MG Tank	Bridgeland		0	0	18	14	16	10	58	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
3168	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	East Myton Improvements Phase I	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	13	12	58	\$ 680,000	\$ 510,000	\$ 484,568
3169	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	East Myton Improvements Phase II	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	13	12	58	\$ 680,000	\$ 510,000	\$ 484,568
3170	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	East Myton Improvements Phase III	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	13	12	58	\$ 550,000	\$ 412,500	\$ 395,863
3267	DWQ	Roosevelt	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Improvement Phase I	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	13	12	58	\$ 842,000	\$ 277,860	\$ 277,860
3468	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	Rock Canyon Tank	Provo	68%	18	0	0	14	16	10	58	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
4516	WRe	Paradise Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Paradise Town Pressurized Irrigation System	Paradise	123%	6	0	18	6	16	12	58	\$ 9,440,000	\$ 2,360,000	\$ 2,178,752
83	WRe	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Swenson Pipeline Slipline Project Phase 2	Springville	100%	10	0	18	3	16	10	57	\$ 200,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 80,000
313	WRe	Hyrum Blacksmith Fork Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	HBFIC 4400 South Canal Piping	Nibley	132%	2	6	18	3	16	12	57	\$ 500,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 361,250
368	DWQ	Hyde Park City	Wastewater & Sewer	Shared Outfall Line Replacement	Hyde Park	130%	6	22	0	3	16	10	57	\$ 19,526,000	\$ 17,573,400	\$ 3,905,200
1014	DDW	Bountiful	Drinking Water	Millcreek Reservoir Replacement #1	Bountiful	115%	6	16	0	9	16	10	57	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 620,000	\$ 620,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1015	DDW	Bountiful	Drinking Water	Millcreek Reservoir Replacement #2	Bountiful	115%	6	16	0	9	16	10	57	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 640,000	\$ 640,000
1104	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Cedar Canyon Tank Connection Pipeline Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	18	3	16	5	57	\$ 94,500	\$ 75,600	\$ 70,384
1105	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Fiddlers Canyon Tank Pressure Sustaining Valve Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	18	3	16	5	57	\$ 100,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 74,450
1106	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Squaw Cave Tank Pressure Sustaining Valve Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	18	3	16	5	57	\$ 100,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 74,450
1107	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Cedar Canyon Tank Pressure Sustaining Valve Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	18	3	16	5	57	\$ 100,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 74,450
1108	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Right Hand Canyon and Milt's Pump Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	18	3	16	5	57	\$ 40,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 29,912
1388	WRe	Glenwood Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Mainline pipe replacement	Glenwood	118%	6	0	14	9	16	12	57	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 695,000
1441	DWQ	Parowan	Stormwater & Drainage	1375 W to 1000 W Storm Drain Project	Parowan	83%	15	22	0	3	7	10	57	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 531,563
2881	WRe	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Mountain Ditch Piping at Sam's Millset	Teasdale	90%	15	0	14	9	7	12	57	\$ 350,000	\$ 140,000	\$ 140,000
3033	DDW	American Fork	Drinking Water	Dixie Well	American Fork	103%	10	0	18	3	16	10	57	\$ 7,118,135	\$ 1,779,534	\$ 1,779,534
3229	DWQ	Providence	Stormwater & Drainage	Spring Creek Parkway & 300 E	Providence	125%	6	22	0	3	16	10	57	\$ 277,378	\$ 249,640	\$ 203,802
3232	DWQ	Providence	Wastewater & Sewer	Logan Treatment Plant	Providence	125%	6	22	0	3	16	10	57	\$ 4,377,000	\$ 3,939,300	\$ 2,229,053

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3621	WRe	Western Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	8 Turnouts	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	5	57	\$ 135,000	\$ 108,000	\$ 100,248
4525	WRe	Wellsville Mendon Conservation District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Canal Spills Project	Mendon	127%	6	22	0	6	13	10	57	\$ 10,655,000	\$ 2,663,750	\$ 2,131,000
4531	WRe	Cub River Irrigation Company	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	South Bear River Pump Station	Lewiston	105%	10	0	18	6	13	10	57	\$ 3,219,750	\$ 804,938	\$ 804,938
45	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Monroe Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 1,635,565	\$ 817,783	\$ 817,783
46	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	2nd St & Harrison Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 587,740	\$ 293,870	\$ 293,870
47	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	36th & Quincy Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 120,966	\$ 60,483	\$ 60,483
48	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	McKay Dee Hospital Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 418,860	\$ 209,430	\$ 209,430
49	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Canfield Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 2,031,574	\$ 1,015,787	\$ 1,015,787
51	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	1025 East Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 257,660	\$ 128,830	\$ 128,830
52	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Union Ave Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 613,382	\$ 306,691	\$ 306,691
53	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	1225 North Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 138,362	\$ 69,181	\$ 69,181
54	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	26th & Ogden Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 138,362	\$ 69,181	\$ 69,181
105	DDW	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Regional Bothwell Culinary Water Treatment Plant Study	Bothwell		0	16	14	3	13	10	56	\$ 500,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
152	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	7th Street – Monroe to Harrison	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 550,000	\$ 550,000
154	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Eccles – 25th to 27th, 28th–29th	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 550,000	\$ 550,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
155	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Goddard, Chatelain, Van Buren	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 950,000	\$ 950,000
156	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Meadow Drive	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
157	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Avalon Hills	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	7	10	56	\$ 550,000	\$ 275,000	\$ 275,000
416	WRe	Mapleton	Secondary Water	South Zone 2 Distribution	Mapleton	148%	2	16	18	3	7	10	56	\$ 1,610,000	\$ 644,000	\$ 644,000
638	WRe	Redmond	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Phase 2	Redmond	95%	10	0	18	3	13	12	56	\$ 4,215,000	\$ 1,896,750	\$ 1,896,750
707	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Mountaineer Drive, Tank 4 to Skyline Drive	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 435,000	\$ 369,750	\$ 315,843
708	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	7th Street, Skyline Drive to Vine Street	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 1,053,000	\$ 895,050	\$ 728,766
713	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	East A Well to Zone 10	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 6,885,000	\$ 5,852,250	\$ 2,556,573
715	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	East C Well to Zone 9	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 2,550,000	\$ 2,167,500	\$ 1,554,863
787	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	New dewatering building and solids handling tank improvements	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 17,375,000	\$ 13,900,000	\$ 3,475,000
902	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	Oaks Trans (10" Ductile)	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	6	16	10	56	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
935	DDW	Oakley	Drinking Water	Zone Optimization	Oakley	125%	6	6	18	3	13	10	56	\$ 350,000	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000
1087	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	North Well Field Production Well #1 (BLM South Well) and Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	100%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 7,200,000	\$ 2,295,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1088	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	North Well Field Transmission Waterline Installation	Enoch	100%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 22,400,000	\$ 17,920,000	\$ 4,480,000
1089	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	North Well Field Production Well #2 and Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	100%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 9,500,000	\$ 7,600,000	\$ 2,161,250
1093	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	North Well Field Production Well #3, Pipeline, and Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	100%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 9,500,000	\$ 7,600,000	\$ 2,161,250
1612	DDW	Lake Rockport Estates Property Owners Association, Inc.	Drinking Water	Year Round Water Supply Annexation	Coalville	123%	6	22	0	3	13	12	56	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,800,000
2060	DWQ	Payson	Wastewater & Sewer	East Outfall line Upsized to the wastewater treatment plant.	Payson	93%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,425,000	\$ 1,001,250
3223	WRe	Ogden River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Automated low flow check structure in South Highline Canal	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	10	10	56	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
3275	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	550 W/Highway 40 Detention Pond	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	13	10	56	\$ 530,000	\$ 265,000	\$ 265,000
3276	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	Rodeo Detention Facility	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	13	10	56	\$ 219,000	\$ 109,500	\$ 109,500
3277	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	Lagoon Street/1500 East Detention Pond	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	13	10	56	\$ 392,000	\$ 196,000	\$ 196,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3341	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Storage Reservoir for Irrigation	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	3	10	10	56	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
4243	DDW	Murray	Drinking Water	Highland Well & Booster Station		98%	10	16	18	3	4	5	56	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
4527	WRe	Wellsville-Mendon Conservation District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Wellsville-Mendon Lower Canal Flood Improvements	Wellsville	132%	2	22	0	6	16	10	56	\$ 35,413,000	\$ 8,853,250	\$ 7,082,600
227	DDW	Redmond	Drinking Water	Water Distribution System Improvement Project	Redmond	95%	10	0	14	6	13	12	55	\$ 1,550,000	\$ 1,240,000	\$ 1,030,363
1538	WRe	Price Wellington Control Board	Agriculture Off-Farm	Price Wellington Canal Piping	Price	85%	15	0	14	6	10	10	55	\$ 43,887,176	\$ 8,777,435	\$ 8,777,435
1626	DDW	Spring City	Drinking Water	Spring City pen stock replacement	Spring City	90%	15	6	0	14	10	10	55	\$ 2,300,000	\$ 2,185,000	\$ 1,434,050
1951	DDW	Canyonlands Regional Airport	Drinking Water	New Airport Well	Moab	82%	15	16	0	3	16	5	55	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 695,000
2094	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	4th South Drain Pipeline	Springville	100%	10	16	0	3	16	10	55	\$ 8,045,000	\$ 1,609,000	\$ 1,609,000
2210	DDW	Emery	Drinking Water	Morris Bend Reservoir	Emery	95%	10	0	14	3	16	12	55	\$ 32,000,000	\$ 15,680,000	\$ 6,400,000
3278	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	200 East to Cottonwood Creek Improvements	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	10	12	55	\$ 951,000	\$ 475,500	\$ 475,500
3279	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	200 East and 800 South Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	10	12	55	\$ 39,000	\$ 19,500	\$ 19,500

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3281	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	Lagoon Street Storm Drain Improvements Phase I	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	10	12	55	\$ 273,000	\$ 136,500	\$ 136,500
4517	WRe	Paradise Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Paradise Irrigation Company Diversion Structure Replacement	Paradise	123%	6	0	18	3	16	12	55	\$ 2,060,000	\$ 1,030,000	\$ 1,030,000
84	WRe	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Sage Creek Dam	Springville	100%	10	0	18	3	13	10	54	\$ 80,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
369	DWQ	Hyde Park City	Wastewater & Sewer	4400 North Line	Hyde Park	130%	6	22	0	3	13	10	54	\$ 2,570,000	\$ 2,313,000	\$ 1,564,231
390	DWQ	Lindon	Stormwater & Drainage	Gillman Lane 24" Storm Drain	Lindon	115%	6	16	0	6	16	10	54	\$ 400,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
392	DWQ	Lindon	Stormwater & Drainage	Lakeview Road 15" Storm Drain	Lindon	115%	6	16	0	6	16	10	54	\$ 560,000	\$ 280,000	\$ 280,000
419	DDW	Mendon	Drinking Water	Maple Bench Springs Development and System Connection	Mendon	127%	6	0	14	14	10	10	54	\$ 176,000	\$ 70,400	\$ 70,400
448	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	3100 S, 7900 W to 7600 W	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	13	5	54	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 960,000	\$ 820,800
522	WRe	Pleasant Creek Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Pleasant Creek Long Term Storage Reservoir	Mt Pleasant		0	0	14	14	16	10	54	\$ 6,400,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,600,000
1309	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Breeze Reservoir Landscaping	West Valley City	77%	15	0	14	3	10	12	54	\$ 1,314,000	\$ 394,200	\$ 394,200
1398	DDW	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	HWY 40 Pump Station (aka Ventana Booster Station)	Heber City	115%	6	22	0	3	13	10	54	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,750,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2030	WRe	Manti City Creek Water Users Mutual Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Pond Maintenance and Improvements	Manti	92%	10	0	18	6	10	10	54	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
2040	DDW	Murray	Drinking Water	900 E - Vine St to Wookoak Ln	Murray	98%	10	0	18	3	13	10	54	\$ 1,585,687	\$ 554,990	\$ 554,990
2183	DDW	Bicknell	Drinking Water	Culinary Meter System Upgrade	Bicknell	83%	15	0	14	6	7	12	54	\$ 85,000	\$ 80,750	\$ 63,353
2883	WRe	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Bullberry Stream to Head Pond Piping	Teasdale	90%	15	0	14	9	4	12	54	\$ 2,750,000	\$ 962,500	\$ 962,500
3230	DWQ	Providence	Stormwater & Drainage	300 N 300 E	Providence	125%	6	22	0	3	13	10	54	\$ 138,962	\$ 125,066	\$ 103,159
4521	WRe	Wellsville Mendon Conservation District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	100 South (Bird Creek) Flood Control Pipeline	Mendon	127%	6	22	0	6	10	10	54	\$ 965,000	\$ 241,250	\$ 241,250
4524	WRe	Wellsville Mendon Conservation District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Bird Canyon Channel Improvements Project	Mendon	127%	6	22	0	6	10	10	54	\$ 743,000	\$ 185,750	\$ 185,750
55	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	25th & Washington Manhole	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 36,330	\$ 18,165	\$ 18,165
56	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	2202 Harrison Manhole	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 18,165	\$ 9,083	\$ 9,083
57	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	4th & Jefferson Manhole	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 18,165	\$ 9,083	\$ 9,083
58	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	32nd & Kiesel Manhole	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 18,165	\$ 9,083	\$ 9,083
59	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Grandview Acres Phase 2 & 930 E 40th St Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 2,755,820	\$ 1,377,910	\$ 1,377,910
60	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	North Jackson Ave Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 408,265	\$ 204,133	\$ 204,133

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
61	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Orchard Ave Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 315,518	\$ 157,759	\$ 157,759
62	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Custer Ave Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 35,852	\$ 17,926	\$ 17,926
63	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	24th & Van Buren Manhole	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 18,165	\$ 9,083	\$ 9,083
64	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Washington 5th to 7th Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 1,176,760	\$ 588,380	\$ 588,380
127	WRe	Vernal	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Water Rights Acquisition	Vernal	100%	10	22	0	3	13	5	53	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 695,000
159	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Zone 7S Lincoln Avenue	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 820,000	\$ 410,000	\$ 410,000
238	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 29-Liner to Box Culvert	Sunset	87%	15	0	14	6	16	2	53	\$ 604,000	\$ 513,400	\$ 432,935
239	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 27-Liner to Box Culvert	Sunset	87%	15	0	14	6	16	2	53	\$ 596,000	\$ 506,600	\$ 427,463
240	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 28-Liner to Box Culvert	Sunset	87%	15	0	14	6	16	2	53	\$ 1,128,000	\$ 958,800	\$ 776,019
323	WRe	Herriman Town	Secondary Water	Cove Zone 4 Storage and Transmission line (SW4.04)	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	3	16	10	53	\$ 6,950,000	\$ 5,560,000	\$ 2,555,863
324	WRe	Herriman Town	Secondary Water	Northwest Zone 2 Storage and Transmission line (SW2.03)	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	3	16	10	53	\$ 10,900,000	\$ 8,720,000	\$ 2,180,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
367	DDW	Hyde Park City	Drinking Water	Birch Spring Re-Development	Hyde Park	130%	6	0	18	3	16	10	53	\$ 500,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 361,250
385	WRe	Lindon	Secondary Water	Irrigation System Projects	Lindon	115%	6	0	18	6	13	10	53	\$ 1,143,000	\$ 571,500	\$ 571,500
434	DDW	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	8000 W, 2600 S to 2100 S Waterline Replacement	Magna	82%	15	0	14	3	16	5	53	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,001,250
435	DDW	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	2820 S, 8000 W to 7200 W Waterline Replacement	Magna	82%	15	0	14	3	16	5	53	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,531,250
527	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Sky Lodge Fire Flow Upgrades	Eden	157%	0	22	0	6	13	12	53	\$ 446,361	\$ 223,181	\$ 223,181
623	DWQ	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater & Drainage	PN12 - Stormwater Conveyance and Outfall	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	0	3	16	10	53	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,700,000	\$ 1,280,000
1428	WRe	North Village Special Service District	Secondary Water	Pipeline to Jordanelle Ridge	Heber City	115%	6	0	18	3	16	10	53	\$ 464,400	\$ 232,200	\$ 232,200
1438	DDW	Parowan	Drinking Water	Rebuild potable water system SCADA system	Parowan	83%	15	0	18	3	7	10	53	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
2238	WRe	Gunnison	Secondary Water	San Pitch System Connection	Gunnison	102%	10	0	14	6	13	10	53	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 937,500	\$ 851,563
2239	WRe	Gunnison	Secondary Water	Irrigation Meter Replacement	Gunnison	102%	10	0	14	6	13	10	53	\$ 750,000	\$ 562,500	\$ 531,563
2924	DDW	East Carbon - Sunnyside	Drinking Water	Distribution System Improvements	East Carbon	67%	18	0	18	3	4	10	53	\$ 250,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 184,063
3127	WRe	Enoch	Secondary Water	Secondary Well #1	Enoch	100%	10	0	14	3	16	10	53	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,225,000	\$ 1,144,063
3133	WRe	Enoch	Secondary Water	Secondary Booster 1	Enoch	100%	10	0	14	3	16	10	53	\$ 750,000	\$ 525,000	\$ 525,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3171	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	2000 West/6250 Loop Line	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	10	10	53	\$ 1,110,000	\$ 832,500	\$ 764,735
3176	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	Water Quality Improvement Project	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	10	10	53	\$ 125,000	\$ 93,750	\$ 92,891
3280	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	King Arthur Drive Detention Pond	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	10	10	53	\$ 25,000	\$ 12,500	\$ 12,500
3550	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Boyer Dam Rehabilitation	Coalville	123%	6	16	0	3	16	12	53	\$ 1,146,400	\$ 401,240	\$ 401,240
4526	WRe	Wellsville Mendon Conservation District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Wellsville City Flood Routing Project	Wellsville	132%	2	22	0	6	13	10	53	\$ 3,342,000	\$ 835,500	\$ 835,500
338	DWQ	Herriman Town	Stormwater & Drainage	Storm Drain Retrofit Projects	Herriman	125%	6	6	14	3	13	10	52	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
1395	DDW	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	Keetley LT2 Compliance Project	Heber City	115%	6	22	0	3	16	5	52	\$ 4,100,000	\$ 3,075,000	\$ 2,150,450
2163	DDW	Moab City	Drinking Water	Spanish Valley Water Tank	Moab	82%	15	0	0	14	13	10	52	\$ 3,428,000	\$ 1,714,000	\$ 1,714,000
2324	DDW	Scipio	Drinking Water	Replace 6" PVC along 200 East and 100 East	Scipio	105%	10	0	14	6	10	12	52	\$ 416,175	\$ 374,558	\$ 302,605
3141	WRe	Farnsworth Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Farnsworth Diversion Structure Rehabilitation	Talmage		0	6	18	3	13	12	52	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 520,000	\$ 520,000
3282	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	1200 South Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	7	12	52	\$ 438,000	\$ 219,000	\$ 219,000
3283	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	N Poco Drive Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	7	12	52	\$ 463,000	\$ 231,500	\$ 231,500

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
4530	WRe	Wellsville-Mendon Conservation District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Wellsville-Mendon Lower Canal Piping Project	Wellsville	132%	2	0	18	6	16	10	52	\$ 51,123,000	\$ 12,780,750	\$ 10,224,600
85	WRe	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Ditch #1 Dam	Springville	100%	10	0	18	3	10	10	51	\$ 60,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
104	DDW	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Bear River Regional Well	Honeyville	108%	10	6	14	3	13	5	51	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 8,550,000	\$ 3,000,000
128	DWQ	Vernal	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Rehabilitation	Vernal	100%	10	0	14	6	16	5	51	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
129	DDW	Vernal	Drinking Water	Cast Iron/Ductile/Undersized and Outdated Line Replacement	Vernal	100%	10	0	14	6	16	5	51	\$ 35,000,000	\$ 33,250,000	\$ 7,000,000
160	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	Franklin Leak	Ogden	85%	15	16	0	6	4	10	51	\$ 380,000	\$ 190,000	\$ 190,000
370	DWQ	Hyde Park City	Wastewater & Sewer	CMPO Road Line	Hyde Park	130%	6	22	0	3	10	10	51	\$ 808,900	\$ 728,010	\$ 570,687
389	DWQ	Lindon	Stormwater & Drainage	Expand the Pheasant Brooke Detention Basin to Add Capacity	Lindon	115%	6	16	0	6	13	10	51	\$ 400,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
565	WRe	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	SLA, JA & PRA Redundancy Interconnect Study	Pleasant Grove	98%	10	6	14	3	13	5	51	\$ 350,000	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000
1896	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	100 North Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	16	12	51	\$ 500,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 361,250
1897	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	200 North Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	16	12	51	\$ 350,000	\$ 262,500	\$ 255,763
1898	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	300 North Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	16	12	51	\$ 400,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 291,200

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3087	DWQ	Ballard	Stormwater & Drainage	Detention/Debris Basins	Ballard	117%	6	22	0	3	10	10	51	\$ 1,009,000	\$ 504,500	\$ 504,500
3138	WRe	Farnsworth Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Farnsworth Canal Lining Phase I	Talmage		0	6	14	3	16	12	51	\$ 1,115,000	\$ 446,000	\$ 446,000
3182	WRe	Lake Fork Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Flow Meters	Altamont	123%	6	0	14	3	16	12	51	\$ 450,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000
3227	DDW	Providence	Drinking Water	Fire Hydrant Valve Installation Project	Providence	125%	6	22	0	3	10	10	51	\$ 25,000	\$ 22,500	\$ 18,716
3231	DWQ	Providence	Stormwater & Drainage	500 S 200 W & 500 S Garden Dr.	Providence	125%	6	22	0	3	10	10	51	\$ 652,977	\$ 587,679	\$ 466,282
3584	DWQ	Coalville	Wastewater & Sewer	WWTP Expansion	Coalville	123%	6	16	0	3	16	10	51	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 2,400,000
4522	WRe	Wellsville Mendon Conservation District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	300 North Flood Control Pipeline	Mendon	127%	6	22	0	6	7	10	51	\$ 751,000	\$ 187,750	\$ 187,750
4523	WRe	Wellsville Mendon Conservation District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	400 North Flood Control Pipeline	Mendon	127%	6	22	0	6	7	10	51	\$ 295,000	\$ 73,750	\$ 73,750
230	DDW	Richmond	Drinking Water	New 8" PRV	Richmond	110%	10	0	14	3	13	10	50	\$ 350,000	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000
242	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 23a-Liner to Box Culvert	Riverdale	90%	15	0	14	6	13	2	50	\$ 1,636,000	\$ 1,390,600	\$ 1,079,793
316	DDW	Herriman Town	Drinking Water	Southeast Zone 2 Porter Rockwell Pipeline (CE2.05)	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	3	13	10	50	\$ 550,000	\$ 440,000	\$ 395,863
326	WRe	Herriman Town	Secondary Water	6000 W Zone 2 Transmission Line (SW2.04)	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	3	13	10	50	\$ 5,100,000	\$ 4,080,000	\$ 2,394,450
480	DDW	Newton	Drinking Water	Jump-Over Connection	Newton	102%	10	0	14	6	10	10	50	\$ 62,000	\$ 24,800	\$ 24,800

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
635	DWQ	Saratoga Springs	Wastewater & Sewer	S2.7 - Redwood Road Sewer Trunkline Part 2	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	0	3	13	10	50	\$ 1,230,000	\$ 738,000	\$ 738,000
664	DDW	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	1300 East 1.5 MG Tank	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	14	16	5	50	\$ 3,528,000	\$ 2,822,400	\$ 1,961,427
853	DDW	Elk Ridge	Drinking Water	Water Main Extension	Elk Ridge	152%	0	22	0	6	10	12	50	\$ 200,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
948	WRe	Spanish Fork	Secondary Water	300 W 1600 N - 2050 N 12" PI	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	13	10	50	\$ 265,000	\$ 132,500	\$ 132,500
1090	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Braffit's Creek Well #1 and Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	100%	10	16	0	3	16	5	50	\$ 5,400,000	\$ 4,320,000	\$ 2,446,200
1096	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Braffit's Creek Well #2 and Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	100%	10	16	0	3	16	5	50	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,375,000
2264	DWQ	Hanksville Town	Stormwater & Drainage	Town-Wide Storm Drain System Phase 1	Hanksville		0	22	0	3	13	12	50	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 1,280,000	\$ 1,280,000
2936	DWQ	Elk Ridge	Wastewater & Sewer	Wastewater Treatment Plant	Elk Ridge	152%	0	0	18	6	16	10	50	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
3131	WRe	Enoch	Secondary Water	Secondary Tank 1 (1 MG)	Enoch	100%	10	0	14	3	13	10	50	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,280,000
3132	WRe	Enoch	Secondary Water	Secondary Tank 2 (1 MG)	Enoch	100%	10	0	14	3	13	10	50	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,280,000
3184	DDW	Lewiston	Drinking Water	Transmission Line Replacement	Lewiston	105%	10	0	14	3	13	10	50	\$ 1,201,719	\$ 1,081,547	\$ 821,862
3284	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	Brighton Estates Detention Pond	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	7	10	50	\$ 391,000	\$ 195,500	\$ 195,500
3411	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	1730 N Freeway Crossing	Provo	68%	18	0	0	6	16	10	50	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,480,000
3508	DWQ	Provo	Wastewater & Sewer	620 N to NW Lift Station	Provo	68%	18	0	0	6	16	10	50	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 2,295,000
3509	DWQ	Provo	Wastewater & Sewer	Harbor Park to Skipper Bay	Provo	68%	18	0	0	6	16	10	50	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3626	DDW	West Corinne Water Company	Drinking Water	Little Mountain Tank	Corinne	100%	10	0	0	14	16	10	50	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
288	DDW	Grantsville	Drinking Water	16-inch Water Line Replacement (Phase 1)	Grantsville	120%	6	0	14	3	16	10	49	\$ 2,906,744	\$ 1,453,372	\$ 1,453,372
327	WRe	Herriman Town	Secondary Water	Future Secondary Well #1	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	3	10	12	49	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 1,920,000	\$ 1,483,200
328	WRe	Herriman Town	Secondary Water	Future Secondary Well #2	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	3	10	12	49	\$ 4,300,000	\$ 3,440,000	\$ 2,208,050
387	DWQ	Lindon	Stormwater & Drainage	Lindon Ditch Improvements - Phase 2	Lindon	115%	6	22	0	3	10	8	49	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1324	DDW	Green River	Drinking Water	945 E from Main to end of street & loop on 150 N from 945 E and South to 100 N	Green River	87%	15	0	0	6	16	12	49	\$ 148,120	\$ 74,060	\$ 74,060
1325	DDW	Green River	Drinking Water	Green River Blvd from Farrer to Green River Ave	Green River	87%	15	0	0	6	16	12	49	\$ 175,900	\$ 87,950	\$ 87,950
1819	DDW	La Verkin City	Drinking Water	West Water Improvements	La Verkin	75%	15	0	14	3	7	10	49	\$ 3,236,000	\$ 2,912,400	\$ 1,851,057
1886	WRe	Grantsville Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Boxelder catch basin	Grantsville	120%	6	0	14	6	13	10	49	\$ 450,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000
2325	DDW	Scipio	Drinking Water	Replace 2" and 1.5" PVC to North of Town to meet Peak Instantaneous and Peak Day Demands.	Scipio	105%	10	0	14	6	7	12	49	\$ 617,922	\$ 556,130	\$ 442,441
2912	DDW	Zion Mountain Local Service District	Drinking Water	Distribution Improvements Phase 1	Modesto		0	0	18	9	10	12	49	\$ 1,575,000	\$ 393,750	\$ 393,750

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3142	WRe	Farnsworth Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Twin Potts Diversion Structure and Farnsworth Flume	Talmage		0	6	18	3	10	12	49	\$ 200,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 80,000
3656	DDW	Vernon Water Works Special Service District	Drinking Water	Second Well Source	Vernon	110%	10	0	0	14	13	12	49	\$ 287,000	\$ 287,000	\$ 210,720
1	WRe	Kays Creek Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Hobbs Reservoir Dam Reconstruction	Layton	112%	6	0	18	6	16	2	48	\$ 10,944,450	\$ 8,755,560	\$ 2,188,890
12	WRe	Layton	Secondary Water	Hill Field Road Secondary Waterline - 2200 West to Cold Creek Way (S5)	Layton	112%	6	0	18	6	16	2	48	\$ 995,000	\$ 796,000	\$ 691,799
314	DDW	Herriman Town	Drinking Water	Rosecrest Zone 2 Storage Expansion	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	3	16	5	48	\$ 9,800,000	\$ 7,840,000	\$ 2,067,800
427	WRe	Mount Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Pleasant Creek Storage Reservoir	Mt Pleasant		0	0	14	14	10	10	48	\$ 6,400,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,600,000
551	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Lift Stations 1-6 Structures	Eden	157%	0	22	0	3	13	10	48	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 900,000
634	DWQ	Saratoga Springs	Wastewater & Sewer	S2.6 - Redwood Road Sewer Trunkline Part 1	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	0	3	16	5	48	\$ 2,380,000	\$ 1,428,000	\$ 1,428,000
655	DDW	Snowville Town	Drinking Water	8" loop water system on 800 N	Snowville		0	6	14	6	10	12	48	\$ 900,960	\$ 360,384	\$ 360,384
852	DDW	Elk Ridge	Drinking Water	2 Replacement PRV's on Hillside Drive	Elk Ridge	152%	0	22	0	6	10	10	48	\$ 300,000	\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000
1823	DDW	North Logan	Drinking Water	Bonneville Shoreline Waterline NEW	North Logan	103%	10	0	6	6	16	10	48	\$ 730,000	\$ 292,000	\$ 292,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1825	DDW	North Logan	Drinking Water	Powerline Trail Water line	North Logan	103%	10	0	6	6	16	10	48	\$ 720,000	\$ 288,000	\$ 288,000
2937	DWQ	Elk Ridge	Stormwater & Drainage	Stormwater plan for South Side of City	Elk Ridge	152%	0	16	0	6	16	10	48	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 440,000	\$ 440,000
3363	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Enclose property around irrigation reservoirs	North Ogden	127%	6	16	0	3	13	10	48	\$ 500,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
3528	WRe	Uintah Basin Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Gray Mountain Canal Lining	Bridgeland		0	0	14	9	13	12	48	\$ 4,369,000	\$ 655,350	\$ 655,350
137	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	25th St and D Avenue	Ogden	85%	15	0	0	6	16	10	47	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000
209	DWQ	Carbon Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Regulating Gate Replacement Project	Scofield		0	0	18	3	16	10	47	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 312,500	\$ 312,500
857	WRe	Timpanogos Canal Company	Secondary Water	Provo River Timp Canal Diversion	Provo	68%	18	0	0	3	16	10	47	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
905	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	100 W 200S & 300W Area	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	10	10	47	\$ 642,000	\$ 321,000	\$ 321,000
906	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	300 W 1600 N - 2050 N 16" DW	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	10	10	47	\$ 615,000	\$ 307,500	\$ 307,500
907	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	2050 N Main St - 300 W 12" DW	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	10	10	47	\$ 401,000	\$ 200,500	\$ 200,500
949	WRe	Spanish Fork	Secondary Water	2050 N Main St - 300 W 12" PI	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	10	10	47	\$ 375,000	\$ 187,500	\$ 187,500
1039	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	WaterPro Traverse Interconnect	Draper	133%	2	16	0	3	16	10	47	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
2200	DDW	Croydon Pipeline Company	Drinking Water	Storage Tank	Croydon		0	16	0	3	16	12	47	\$ 157,500	\$ 157,500	\$ 116,761
2240	WRe	Gunnison	Secondary Water	Peacock Transmission Line	Gunnison	102%	10	0	14	6	7	10	47	\$ 2,750,000	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 1,100,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2265	DWQ	Hanksville Town	Stormwater & Drainage	Bull Creek Wash Armoring & Debris Removal	Hanksville		0	22	0	3	10	12	47	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000
2266	DWQ	Hanksville Town	Stormwater & Drainage	Bull Creek Water Crossing Bridge Replacement	Hanksville		0	22	0	6	7	12	47	\$ 950,000	\$ 237,500	\$ 237,500
2926	DWQ	East Carbon - Sunnyside	Wastewater & Sewer	Wastewater Screen System and Headworks	East Carbon	67%	18	0	0	3	16	10	47	\$ 420,000	\$ 420,000	\$ 305,298
3380	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Reservoir Tie-In	North Ogden	127%	6	0	18	3	10	10	47	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
3413	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	Treatment Plant to Grandview	Provo	68%	18	0	0	6	13	10	47	\$ 6,952,000	\$ 3,476,000	\$ 2,555,833
1326	DDW	Green River	Drinking Water	Tidwell from Elberta to Green River Ave	Green River	87%	15	0	0	6	13	12	46	\$ 55,550	\$ 27,775	\$ 27,775
1327	DDW	Green River	Drinking Water	1000 N from Long St. to 775 N	Green River	87%	15	0	0	6	13	12	46	\$ 249,950	\$ 124,975	\$ 124,975
1346	WRe	Highland	Secondary Water	Upper Pond Concrete Rehab	Highland	155%	0	0	14	6	16	10	46	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
1365	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Water Line Replacement Projects	Highland	155%	0	0	14	6	16	10	46	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
1542	DDW	Garden City	Drinking Water	Existing Payne Spring Line Replacement	Garden City	100%	10	0	18	3	10	5	46	\$ 375,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 273,516
1777	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	2900 West WL Replacement	Charleston		0	0	14	6	16	10	46	\$ 340,000	\$ 170,000	\$ 170,000
2207	DDW	Emery	Drinking Water	Treatment Upgrades	Emery	95%	10	0	0	14	10	12	46	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 851,563
2208	DDW	Emery	Drinking Water	Distribution System Upgrades Phase 1	Emery	95%	10	0	14	6	4	12	46	\$ 875,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 614,141
2211	DDW	Emery	Drinking Water	Master Plan	Emery	95%	10	0	14	6	4	12	46	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2260	DDW	Hanksville Town	Drinking Water	Distribution Improvements Phase 1	Hanksville		0	0	18	6	10	12	46	\$ 525,000	\$ 420,000	\$ 378,591
2286	DDW	Kaysville	Drinking Water	Green Rd water tank	Kaysville	148%	2	0	18	3	13	10	46	\$ 5,200,000	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 2,412,800
2909	DWQ	Torrey	Stormwater & Drainage	Floodplain Mapping	Torrey	80%	15	0	0	6	13	12	46	\$ 250,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
4520	WRe	Paradise Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Source Measurement Structure Modernization	Avon		0	0	18	3	13	12	46	\$ 406,000	\$ 203,000	\$ 203,000
87	WRe	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	District 4 Pipeline	Springville	100%	10	0	18	3	4	10	45	\$ 420,000	\$ 168,000	\$ 168,000
388	DWQ	Lindon	Stormwater & Drainage	Install Pretreatment Structures Throughout the City	Lindon	115%	6	16	0	6	7	10	45	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
423	WRe	Mountain Green Secondary Water Company	Secondary Water	MGSWC Pipe Replacement Project	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	14	3	16	12	45	\$ 1,209,000	\$ 483,600	\$ 483,600
521	WRe	Northwest Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Northwest Irrigation Ditch to Pipe Conversion	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	14	3	16	12	45	\$ 1,263,600	\$ 505,440	\$ 505,440
627	DWQ	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater & Drainage	DBS3 Debris Basin	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	0	3	13	5	45	\$ 2,296,300	\$ 1,951,855	\$ 1,432,210
656	DDW	Snowville Town	Drinking Water	3" water main to Town Cemetery	Snowville		0	6	14	6	7	12	45	\$ 113,400	\$ 45,360	\$ 45,360
657	DDW	Snowville Town	Drinking Water	8" water main on Main St. east of 100 E	Snowville		0	6	14	6	7	12	45	\$ 489,020	\$ 440,118	\$ 353,612
851	DDW	Elk Ridge	Drinking Water	PRV at the lower portion of top pressure zone	Elk Ridge	152%	0	16	0	6	13	10	45	\$ 150,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
1275	DDW	Eagle Mountain	Drinking Water	Mainline Waterline Parallel to SR73	Eagle Mountain	133%	2	16	0	3	16	8	45	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1276	DDW	Eagle Mountain	Drinking Water	White Hills Culinary Water Well Drilling	Eagle Mountain	133%	2	16	0	3	16	8	45	\$ 3,800,000	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,900,000
1391	DWQ	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	Tuhaye Lift Station	Hideout	238%	0	22	0	3	10	10	45	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1423	DDW	Oquirrh Mountain Water Company	Drinking Water	Zone F Tank	Stansbury Park	145%	2	0	14	3	16	10	45	\$ 5,719,236	\$ 4,289,427	\$ 2,490,396
1835	DDW	North Logan	Drinking Water	Main Street/Airport Road replacement	North Logan	103%	10	0	6	6	13	10	45	\$ 1,070,000	\$ 535,000	\$ 535,000
2058	DWQ	Payson	Stormwater & Drainage	Ground Water Source Protection	Payson	93%	10	0	18	3	4	10	45	\$ 700,000	\$ 560,000	\$ 498,050
3630	WRe	Morgan Secondary Water Association	Secondary Water	Pump House Rebuild	Morgan	145%	2	0	14	3	16	10	45	\$ 275,000	\$ 137,500	\$ 137,500
3636	DWQ	Clinton	Wastewater & Sewer	Annex Area Sewer Expansion	Clinton	123%	6	16	0	3	10	10	45	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,400,000
221	DWQ	Redmond	Stormwater & Drainage	Drainage	Redmond	95%	10	0	0	6	16	12	44	\$ 950,000	\$ 855,000	\$ 662,863
241	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 49- Replace 54" CMP with 66" RCP	Layton	112%	6	0	14	6	16	2	44	\$ 644,000	\$ 547,400	\$ 460,190
393	DWQ	Lindon	Stormwater & Drainage	900 E Road Project	Lindon	115%	6	16	0	6	4	12	44	\$ 15,000	\$ 7,500	\$ 7,500
476	DWQ	Neola Water & Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Improvements Immediate	Neola	107%	10	0	0	6	16	12	44	\$ 91,000	\$ 91,000	\$ 67,795

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1113	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Sunset Drive, 100 E., 150 E., 200 E., & 225 E. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 2,840,500	\$ 1,420,250	\$ 1,420,250
1116	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	300 East Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 686,000	\$ 343,000	\$ 343,000
1628	DWQ	Spring City	Wastewater & Sewer	Spring City Lagoon Headworks	Spring City	90%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 950,000	\$ 902,500	\$ 662,863
1727	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Improve Conveyance on 400 W from 1925 N to 2400 N	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 5,185,000	\$ 2,592,500	\$ 2,410,118
1728	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance Capacity on 1925 N	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 2,410,000	\$ 1,205,000	\$ 1,205,000
1729	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance Capacity on Sunbow St	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 830,000	\$ 415,000	\$ 415,000
1730	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance Capacity on Northfield Rd	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 1,030,000	\$ 515,000	\$ 515,000
1731	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase the conveyance on Sunrise Ave	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 960,000	\$ 480,000	\$ 480,000
1732	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Add Curb & Gutter on 275 N	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 95,000	\$ 47,500	\$ 47,500
2152	DDW	Fillmore	Drinking Water	Culinary Line Replcement	Fillmore	87%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
2921	DDW	East Carbon - Sunnyside	Drinking Water	Treatment Plant Phase 2	East Carbon	67%	18	0	0	3	13	10	44	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 2,520,000
2927	DWQ	East Carbon - Sunnyside	Wastewater & Sewer	Wastewater Building	East Carbon	67%	18	0	0	3	13	10	44	\$ 71,000	\$ 71,000	\$ 52,973
3359	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Expand Lower H Reservoir	Pleasant View	138%	2	0	14	3	13	12	44	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
4547	WRe	Cub River Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	CRIC Meter Replacement	Lewiston	105%	10	0	14	6	4	10	44	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 1,668,800
1328	DDW	Green River	Drinking Water	Green River Blvd from Sharon to Kings Ln	Green River	87%	15	0	0	6	10	12	43	\$ 175,230	\$ 87,615	\$ 87,615
1397	DDW	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	Deer Canyon Preserve Expansion	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	14	13	10	43	\$ 1,239,000	\$ 619,500	\$ 619,500
1778	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Webster Lane WL Replacement	Charleston		0	0	14	6	13	10	43	\$ 218,000	\$ 109,000	\$ 109,000
2301	DDW	Lyman	Drinking Water	Water Master Plan	Lyman	72%	15	0	0	6	10	12	43	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912
3588	DWQ	Coalville	Wastewater & Sewer	flow monitoring	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	10	10	43	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
3613	DWQ	Myton	Wastewater & Sewer	Asset Management Plan	Myton	77%	15	0	0	3	13	12	43	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,978
391	DWQ	Lindon	Stormwater & Drainage	Master Plan Projects	Lindon	115%	6	16	0	6	4	10	42	\$ 4,573,898	\$ 2,286,949	\$ 2,279,794
408	DWQ	Manila	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Improvements	Manila	97%	10	0	0	6	16	10	42	\$ 1,285,000	\$ 1,285,000	\$ 872,933
590	DWQ	Vineyard	Wastewater & Sewer	Railroad Sewer Line Replacement	Vineyard	95%	10	0	0	6	16	10	42	\$ 1,345,000	\$ 336,250	\$ 336,250
1277	DDW	Eagle Mountain	Drinking Water	Lone Tree Wellhouse (Well 9)	Eagle Mountain	133%	2	16	0	3	13	8	42	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
2231	DDW	Gunnison	Drinking Water	Master Plan	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	6	16	10	42	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912
2312	WRe	North Utah County Aquifer Council	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Highland Gravel Pit MAR	Highland	155%	0	0	18	3	16	5	42	\$ 8,310,000	\$ 2,077,500	\$ 2,077,500

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2	DDW	Layton	Drinking Water	Chlorine Facilities Upgrade and Well Enclosures - Multiple Sites	Layton	112%	6	0	14	3	16	2	41	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,080,000	\$ 1,080,000
992	DDW	Bear Lake Water Company	Drinking Water	Construct New 250K gallon Tank	Garden City	100%	10	0	0	3	16	12	41	\$ 685,000	\$ 685,000	\$ 487,943
1305	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Sorensen Pump Station Replacement	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 1,407,000	\$ 351,750	\$ 351,750
1306	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Well No. 1 Replacement	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 5,757,000	\$ 1,439,250	\$ 1,439,250
1389	DWQ	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	WRF Phase 2	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	14	16	5	41	\$ 35,300,000	\$ 28,240,000	\$ 7,060,000
1445	DWQ	Parowan	Wastewater & Sewer	1400 W Sewer Line Replacement Project	Parowan	83%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,280,000
1733	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance Along 800 W from 400 S to Coal Creek	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 3,081,000	\$ 1,540,500	\$ 1,540,500
1734	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance Along the West Side of I-15 South of University Blvd.	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 1,025,000	\$ 512,500	\$ 512,500
1735	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance from N Airport Rd. to N Westview Dr.	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 1,015,000	\$ 507,500	\$ 507,500
1736	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Improve Conveyance Along 1275 W.	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 365,000	\$ 182,500	\$ 182,500

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1737	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance along 1100 W from 800 S to 425 S to 1275 W	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 1,560,000	\$ 780,000	\$ 780,000
1738	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install a 36" Storm Drain Pipe Along Cottontail Drive	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 870,000	\$ 435,000	\$ 435,000
1820	DDW	Willard City	Drinking Water	750 N Culinary Water Tank Project	Willard	123%	6	0	0	9	16	10	41	\$ 3,745,100	\$ 2,996,080	\$ 2,037,407
2104	DWQ	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Wastewater & Sewer	Arbor Drive/Huntcreek Bridge sewer	Moab	82%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 450,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 326,363
2213	WRe	Emery	Secondary Water	Distribution System Upgrades Phase 1	Emery	95%	10	0	0	6	13	12	41	\$ 1,125,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 774,141
2928	DWQ	East Carbon - Sunnyside	Wastewater & Sewer	Line Inspection	East Carbon	67%	18	0	0	3	10	10	41	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 361,250
2954	DWQ	American Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	300 East Upsizing and Diversion	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	16	12	41	\$ 352,302	\$ 88,076	\$ 88,076
2987	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	113 South Center Street Conveyance line	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	16	12	41	\$ 441,000	\$ 110,250	\$ 110,250
4251	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	4800 West from 4100 South to 3500 South Zone 3 Pipe Replacement	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 2,235,500	\$ 447,100	\$ 447,100
206	DDW	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Warm Springs Well Redrill and connection	Eden	157%	0	0	14	3	13	10	40	\$ 3,143,464	\$ 2,829,118	\$ 1,814,123

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
691	WRe	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	Lining Irrigation Ponds	Eden	157%	0	0	14	3	13	10	40	\$ 1,766,835	\$ 883,418	\$ 883,418
1329	DDW	Green River	Drinking Water	By WTP from PRV east then north to 500 S	Green River	87%	15	0	0	6	7	12	40	\$ 83,320	\$ 41,660	\$ 41,660
1330	DDW	Green River	Drinking Water	Between Broadway & Cherry from Main to 200 S	Green River	87%	15	0	0	6	7	12	40	\$ 83,320	\$ 41,660	\$ 41,660
1372	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Well #1 Wellhouse Rehab	Highland	155%	0	0	0	14	16	10	40	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
1958	DDW	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	New Storage Tank	Bridgeland		0	0	0	14	16	10	40	\$ 4,750,000	\$ 1,662,500	\$ 1,662,500
3203	WRe	Nibley Blacksmith Fork Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	System Optimization	Nibley	132%	2	0	14	3	16	5	40	\$ 550,000	\$ 291,500	\$ 291,500
3588	DWQ	Coalville	Wastewater & Sewer	flow monitoring	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	7	10	40	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
4528	WRe	Wellsville Mendon Conservation District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Wellsville City Secondary System	Wellsville	132%	2	0	18	6	4	10	40	\$ 18,727,000	\$ 4,057,000	\$ 3,745,400
4529	WRe	Wellsville Mendon Conservation District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Wellsville East Field Canal Piping Project	Wellsville	132%	2	0	18	6	4	10	40	\$ 5,864,000	\$ 1,270,250	\$ 1,270,250
229	DDW	Richmond	Drinking Water	New 500 GPM Well	Richmond	110%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 4,300,000	\$ 2,150,000	\$ 2,150,000
661	DDW	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	3300 South Distribution Line	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	6	13	5	39	\$ 554,400	\$ 443,520	\$ 398,895

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1099	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Square Mountain Transmission Pipe Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	5	39	\$ 8,353,800	\$ 6,683,040	\$ 2,427,121
1100	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Square Mountain Transmission Pipe continue under I-15 - Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	5	39	\$ 3,400,000	\$ 2,720,000	\$ 1,914,200
1110	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Middleton Trails Transmission Pipe Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	5	39	\$ 26,325,000	\$ 21,060,000	\$ 5,265,000
1111	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	West Square Mountain Zone Expansion Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	5	39	\$ 13,653,900	\$ 10,923,120	\$ 2,730,780
1112	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	West Square Mountain Zone Transmission Pipe Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	5	39	\$ 3,746,600	\$ 2,997,280	\$ 2,037,914
1278	DDW	Eagle Mountain	Drinking Water	Airport Road Water Pipeline	Eagle Mountain	133%	2	16	0	3	10	8	39	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 950,000	\$ 950,000
1834	DDW	North Logan	Drinking Water	Main Street Waterline Replacement	North Logan	103%	10	0	6	6	7	10	39	\$ 3,340,000	\$ 1,670,000	\$ 1,670,000
2047	WRe	Payson	Secondary Water	Upsize PI pipe from 6" and 8" pipes to 10" and 12" pipes	Payson	93%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,120,000	\$ 942,200
2140	DDW	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Loop and extend Anderson junction water main	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
2235	WRe	Gunnison	Secondary Water	Booster Pumps	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	9	10	10	39	\$ 655,000	\$ 491,250	\$ 467,654
2236	WRe	Gunnison	Secondary Water	Upper zone storage res.	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	9	10	10	39	\$ 1,896,000	\$ 1,422,000	\$ 1,224,285
2243	DWQ	Gunnison	Stormwater & Drainage	West Side Stormwater Phase 1	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 850,000	\$ 425,000	\$ 425,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2253	DWQ	Gunnison	Wastewater & Sewer	Collection Upgrades Phase 1	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 695,000	\$ 173,750	\$ 173,750
2955	DWQ	American Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	Lakeshore, 570 W to 700 W	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 3,255,902	\$ 813,976	\$ 813,976
2986	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	112 Center Street conveyance line	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 547,000	\$ 136,750	\$ 136,750
2996	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	122 900 E State Street Crossing pipe	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 2,872,000	\$ 718,000	\$ 718,000
3000	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	126 N County Rd conveyance line	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 1,993,000	\$ 498,250	\$ 498,250
3014	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	213 570 W conveyance pipe	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 2,176,000	\$ 544,000	\$ 544,000
3108	DDW	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Acquisition of Water Rights	Duchesne	92%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
3117	DDW	Enoch	Drinking Water	Culinary Well #1	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,280,000
50	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	20th St Consolidation	Ogden	85%	15	0	0	6	7	10	38	\$ 866,779	\$ 433,390	\$ 433,390
274	DWQ	Enoch	Stormwater & Drainage	Excavation of Enoch North Retention basin	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	13	12	38	\$ 80,000	\$ 48,000	\$ 48,000
397	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Lakeview Road Pipe Upsizing	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	16	10	38	\$ 1,450,000	\$ 725,000	\$ 725,000
398	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Construct 1200 South Parallel Force Main from 800 W to 1100 W	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	16	10	38	\$ 1,358,500	\$ 679,250	\$ 679,250
2311	WRe	Muddy Creek Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Regulating Pond	Emery	95%	10	0	0	6	10	12	38	\$ 750,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 531,563
3145	DDW	Fruitland Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Booster Pump for Mill Hollow Tank	Fruitland	82%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3657	DDW	Vernon Water Works Special Service District	Drinking Water	AC line repalcement	Vernon	110%	10	0	0	6	10	12	38	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 184,063
400	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	900 E - 65 S Sewer Replacement (Road Project)	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	13	12	37	\$ 55,000	\$ 27,500	\$ 27,500
531	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Powder Mountain West Tank	Eden	157%	0	0	0	14	13	10	37	\$ 3,361,383	\$ 1,680,692	\$ 1,680,692
998	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Channel Upgrade - 1100 East and Upstream	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	16	12	37	\$ 300,000	\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000
999	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	1300 East - Granada to Stone Creek Upgrade	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	16	12	37	\$ 650,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000
1001	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	300 South - 1300 East to Barton Creek	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	16	12	37	\$ 850,000	\$ 170,000	\$ 170,000
1331	DDW	Green River	Drinking Water	Green River Ave from River Crossing to PRV	Green River	87%	15	0	0	6	4	12	37	\$ 483,710	\$ 241,855	\$ 241,855
1332	DDW	Green River	Drinking Water	West Storage Tank to Green River Ave	Green River	87%	15	0	0	6	4	12	37	\$ 530,985	\$ 265,493	\$ 265,493
1358	DWQ	Highland	Wastewater & Sewer	Hollows Overwatch & Bypass	Highland	155%	0	0	0	9	16	12	37	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
3114	WRe	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Class B Canal Pipeline	Altamont	123%	6	0	0	3	16	12	37	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 2,200,000
4536	WRe	Annabella Town	Stormwater & Drainage	Stormwater Improvements			0	6	0	3	16	12	37		\$ 1,500,000	\$ -

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
268	DWQ	Enoch	Wastewater & Sewer	Wastewater Flow Meters Installation	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 800,000	\$ 560,000	\$ 560,000
269	DWQ	Enoch	Wastewater & Sewer	Grimshaw Lane & 5200 N Replacement	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 620,000	\$ 496,000	\$ 443,858
437	DDW	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	Culinary Distribution Loop Projects	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	13	5	36	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 695,000
586	DWQ	Vineyard	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Force Main Lift Station 3 Upgrade	Vineyard	95%	10	0	0	6	10	10	36	\$ 1,147,000	\$ 286,750	\$ 286,750
662	DDW	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	Davis Booster Distribution line	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	6	10	5	36	\$ 1,008,000	\$ 806,400	\$ 700,116
1122	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	North Well Field Test Wells Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	5	36	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,001,250
1279	DDW	Eagle Mountain	Drinking Water	White Hills Culinary Water Well Wellhouse	Eagle Mountain	133%	2	16	0	3	7	8	36	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
2138	DDW	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Generator at Parkway pump house	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
2250	DWQ	Gunnison	Wastewater & Sewer	Headworks Expansion	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 890,000	\$ 222,500	\$ 222,500
3015	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	214 570 W Outfall line	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 596,000	\$ 149,000	\$ 149,000
3035	DDW	American Fork	Drinking Water	Northeast Well	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 7,118,135	\$ 1,779,534	\$ 1,779,534
3342	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Storage Reservoir New Upper H	Pleasant View	138%	2	0	14	3	7	10	36	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000
3343	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Pump Station from lower H to New upper H reservoir	Pleasant View	138%	2	0	14	3	7	10	36	\$ 250,000	\$ 37,500	\$ 37,500

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3344	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Transmission Main to New Upper H Reservoir	Pleasant View	138%	2	0	14	3	7	10	36	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
3628	DDW	West Corinne Water Company	Drinking Water	18" Transmission Line	Corinne	100%	10	0	0	6	10	10	36	\$ 10,700,000	\$ 4,280,000	\$ 2,140,000
163	DDW	Ogden City	Drinking Water	8th Street Fire Flow	Ogden	85%	15	0	0	6	4	10	35	\$ 320,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 160,000
317	DDW	Herriman Town	Drinking Water	Southeast Zone 2 Tank Transmission Line (CE2.03)	Herriman	125%	6	0	0	6	13	10	35	\$ 3,700,000	\$ 2,960,000	\$ 2,022,050
399	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Gillman Lane Pipe Upsizing from 540 W to Lakeview Road	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	13	10	35	\$ 625,000	\$ 312,500	\$ 312,500
422	DDW	Mendon	Drinking Water	Cemetery Water Supply Pipelines Relocation	Mendon	127%	6	0	0	6	13	10	35	\$ 326,000	\$ 130,400	\$ 130,400
1789	WRe	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Secondary Water	Lake Creek Supply Filtering Project	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	3	16	10	35	\$ 500,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 361,250
2044	DDW	Payson	Drinking Water	Upsize a 6" culinary line to 8" to solve a fire flow and pressure issue.	Payson	93%	10	0	0	3	10	12	35	\$ 800,000	\$ 640,000	\$ 564,800
3147	DDW	Fruitland Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Lower Mill Hollow Spring Redevelopment	Fruitland	82%	15	0	0	3	7	10	35	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
3639	DWQ	Clinton	Stormwater & Drainage	2300 N: Cranefield to Howard Slough	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	16	10	35	\$ 840,000	\$ 420,000	\$ 420,000
130	DDW	Vernal	Drinking Water	Valve Replacement	Vernal	100%	10	0	0	6	13	5	34	\$ 250,000	\$ 187,500	\$ 184,063

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1000	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	Outlets to Mill Creek Rebuild - Davis to Bountiful Blvd.	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	13	12	34	\$ 550,000	\$ 110,000	\$ 110,000
1002	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	400 North - 400 East to 600 East	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	13	12	34	\$ 525,000	\$ 105,000	\$ 105,000
1004	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	400 North - 650 East to 1100 East	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	13	12	34	\$ 725,000	\$ 145,000	\$ 145,000
1347	WRe	Highland	Secondary Water	Granite Well Rehab	Highland	155%	0	0	0	14	10	10	34	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
3615	DWQ	Myton	Wastewater & Sewer	Camera existing lines	Myton	77%	15	0	0	3	4	12	34	\$ 50,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
225	DDW	Vineyard	Drinking Water	Mill Road Waterline Extension	Vineyard	95%	10	0	0	6	7	10	33	\$ 2,191,000	\$ 547,750	\$ 547,750
231	DDW	Richmond	Drinking Water	New 1 Million Gallon Tank with PRV's	Richmond	110%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,750,000
474	DWQ	Magna Water District	Wastewater & Sewer	Collection System Shop Building	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	10	5	33	\$ 750,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 531,563
663	DDW	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	Central Valley Road Distribution line	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	6	7	5	33	\$ 6,115,200	\$ 4,892,160	\$ 2,529,638
1191	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Iron Springs Road from SR-56 to CICWCD Tank Distribution Line Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	5	33	\$ 6,864,000	\$ 5,491,200	\$ 2,556,703
1192	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Develop Additional Springs in the Spilsbury Area Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	5	33	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,280,000
1280	DDW	Eagle Mountain	Drinking Water	Water Pipeline from Tank 5 to EM Boulevard	Eagle Mountain	133%	2	16	0	3	4	8	33	\$ 12,500,000	\$ 6,250,000	\$ 2,500,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1281	DDW	Eagle Mountain	Drinking Water	Water Pipeline - Pony Express to Mid Valley Road	Eagle Mountain	133%	2	16	0	3	4	8	33	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
2225	DDW	Gunnison	Drinking Water	Well #5	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 2,650,000	\$ 1,590,000	\$ 1,590,000
3208	DWQ	North Logan	Wastewater & Sewer	2200 N - 400-600 E	North Logan	103%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 840,000	\$ 840,000
319	DDW	Herriman Town	Drinking Water	North Zone 3 & 4 Pump Station	Herriman	125%	6	0	0	14	7	5	32	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,755,000
395	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Orem Water Reclamation Phase 2	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	10	10	32	\$ 3,824,021	\$ 1,912,011	\$ 1,912,011
401	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize Piping on 2600 W from 200 N to 400 N	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	10	10	32	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
402	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize Piping on Center St from Geneva Rd to Western Coil	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	10	10	32	\$ 1,935,000	\$ 967,500	\$ 967,500
849	DDW	Elk Ridge	Drinking Water	Upper Well Replacement	Elk Ridge	152%	0	0	0	6	16	10	32	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
1016	DDW	Bountiful	Drinking Water	Millcreek Boosters Replacement	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	13	10	32	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
1282	DWQ	Eagle Mountain	Wastewater & Sewer	East Expressway Sewer Line Phase 1	Eagle Mountain	133%	2	6	0	3	13	8	32	\$ 11,500,000	\$ 5,750,000	\$ 2,300,000
1439	DDW	Parowan	Drinking Water	2 MG Storage Tank	Parowan	83%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 5,400,000	\$ 2,520,000
1959	DDW	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	New Transmission Line	Bridgeland		0	0	0	6	16	10	32	\$ 991,400	\$ 346,990	\$ 346,990
3146	DDW	Fruitland Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Upsizing Line From Little Red Tank	Fruitland	82%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 750,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 375,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3640	DWQ	Clinton	Stormwater & Drainage	Howard Slough Culvert Upgrades	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	13	10	32	\$ 400,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
3662	DWQ	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewage Line upgrade (IFFP 2022 #1,3,4)	Eden	157%	0	0	0	6	16	10	32	\$ 5,300,504	\$ 795,076	\$ 795,076
203	DDW	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Replace and Expand Crooked Spring Tank	Eden	157%	0	0	14	3	4	10	31	\$ 2,473,568	\$ 2,226,211	\$ 1,518,656
1003	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	Ashley Detention Basin Rebuild	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	10	12	31	\$ 350,000	\$ 70,000	\$ 70,000
1348	WRe	Highland	Secondary Water	Provo Res Well Rehab	Highland	155%	0	0	0	14	7	10	31	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
1364	DWQ	Highland	Wastewater & Sewer	Greens Bldgs Improvements	Highland	155%	0	0	0	6	13	12	31	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
1373	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Well #5 Wellhouse Rehab	Highland	155%	0	0	0	14	7	10	31	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
1375	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Meter Upgrades	Highland	155%	0	0	14	3	4	10	31	\$ 750,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 375,000
3113	WRe	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Class C Canal Pipeline	Upalco		0	0	0	3	16	12	31	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000
3303	DWQ	Fairfield	Wastewater & Sewer	1600 W Sewer Main (C-3)	Fairfield		0	0	0	3	16	12	31	\$ 1,852,100	\$ 1,111,260	\$ 1,111,260
275	DWQ	Enoch	Stormwater & Drainage	Ravine drainage piping	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	7	10	30	\$ 818,000	\$ 490,800	\$ 490,800
1540	DDW	Garden City	Drinking Water	Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	Garden City	100%	10	0	0	3	7	10	30	\$ 450,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000
1995	DDW	Green Hill Country Estates Water/sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Booster Pump for Upper Maple Zone	Huntsville	143%	2	0	6	3	7	12	30	\$ 30,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 12,000
3209	DWQ	North Logan	Wastewater & Sewer	2200 N - Trunk Line	North Logan	103%	10	0	0	3	7	10	30	\$ 3,400,000	\$ 2,040,000	\$ 1,914,200

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3210	DWQ	North Logan	Wastewater & Sewer	1800 N 400 E	North Logan	103%	10	0	0	3	7	10	30	\$ 300,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000
320	DDW	Herriman Town	Drinking Water	North Zone 2 Transmission Line (CW2.03)	Herriman	125%	6	0	0	6	7	10	29	\$ 2,300,000	\$ 1,840,000	\$ 1,434,050
420	DDW	Mendon	Drinking Water	Maple Bench Springs Pipeline to Water Tank	Mendon	127%	6	0	0	6	7	10	29	\$ 687,000	\$ 274,800	\$ 274,800
534	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Powder Mountain West Transmission Lines	Eden	157%	0	0	0	6	13	10	29	\$ 3,695,680	\$ 1,847,840	\$ 1,847,840
1284	DWQ	Eagle Mountain	Wastewater & Sewer	East Expressway Sewer Line Phase 2	Eagle Mountain	133%	2	6	0	3	10	8	29	\$ 8,500,000	\$ 4,250,000	\$ 2,401,250
1285	DDW	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	Clarke Well Upgrade	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	16	10	29	\$ 152,500	\$ 129,625	\$ 113,096
1286	DDW	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	Clarke PRV Rebuild & 8" PVC Water Line	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	16	10	29	\$ 556,450	\$ 472,983	\$ 400,307
1351	WRe	Highland	Secondary Water	Beacon Hills PS Upgrade	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	16	10	29	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1399	DDW	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	Deer Mountain Pump Station	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	3	10	10	29	\$ 864,000	\$ 432,000	\$ 432,000
1793	DDW	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Drinking Water	TCSSD WTP Equipment Improvements	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	3	10	10	29	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
1932	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Signal Hill Water Treatment Plant Optimization	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	16	10	29	\$ 42,269,993	\$ 2,113,500	\$ 2,113,500

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3094	DDW	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	3500 E 6" Waterline Replacement	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	10	10	29	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 695,000
3095	DDW	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	1750 N 6" Waterline Upgrade	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	10	10	29	\$ 500,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 361,250
3116	WRe	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Elk Farm Ditch	Roosevelt	102%	10	0	0	3	4	12	29	\$ 250,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
3302	DWQ	Fairfield	Wastewater & Sewer	Main Connection to Eagle Mountain (C-2)	Fairfield		0	0	0	3	16	10	29	\$ 3,412,800	\$ 2,559,600	\$ 1,919,004
3641	DWQ	Clinton	Stormwater & Drainage	Land Drain Discharge Upgrades Cranefield	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	10	10	29	\$ 352,000	\$ 176,000	\$ 176,000
1367	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Viewpointe Redundancy	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	13	12	28	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
1368	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Beacon Hills Redundancy	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	13	12	28	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
1939	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Bear Hollow Booster Station Upgrades	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	13	12	28	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,680,000	\$ 1,332,450
2177	DDW	Antimony	Drinking Water	Master Plan	Antimony		0	0	0	6	10	12	28	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 29,912
2268	DWQ	Hanksville Town	Wastewater & Sewer	Northern Wastewater Expansion with Lift Station	Hanksville		0	0	0	3	13	12	28	\$ 6,100,000	\$ 1,830,000	\$ 1,830,000
8	DWQ	Layton	Wastewater & Sewer	Sugar St. - North of Kroger (WWMP 8.2)	Layton	112%	6	0	0	3	16	2	27	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
13	DWQ	Layton	Stormwater & Drainage	3150 N & 1350 E (DBE2)	Layton	112%	6	0	0	3	16	2	27	\$ 1,343,200	\$ 805,920	\$ 805,920

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
271	DWQ	Enoch	Stormwater & Drainage	Old Hwy 91/BLM Pond	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 160,000	\$ 96,000	\$ 96,000
273	DWQ	Enoch	Stormwater & Drainage	Piping Midvalley Rd. to Enoch Basin	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 720,000	\$ 720,000
1996	DDW	Green Hill Country Estates Water/sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	8" line connecting Upper Maple Zone to Upper Kelley Zone	Huntsville	143%	2	0	6	3	4	12	27	\$ 1,320,000	\$ 528,000	\$ 528,000
1997	DDW	Green Hill Country Estates Water/sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	160,000 Reservoir for Upper Kelly Zone	Huntsville	143%	2	0	6	3	4	12	27	\$ 575,000	\$ 230,000	\$ 230,000
2247	DWQ	Gunnison	Stormwater & Drainage	200 East Flood Mitigation Structure	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 625,000	\$ 625,000
3211	DWQ	North Logan	Wastewater & Sewer	Metering Station	North Logan	103%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 300,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000
554	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Powder Mountain Road Sewer Line Replacement	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
1350	WRe	Highland	Secondary Water	Highland Glen Transmission Line	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 155,000	\$ 77,500	\$ 77,500
1354	WRe	Highland	Secondary Water	Canterbury PS Improvements	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
1363	DWQ	Highland	Wastewater & Sewer	Lining w/SR 92	Highland	155%	0	0	0	6	10	10	26	\$ 429,000	\$ 214,500	\$ 214,500
1370	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Well #4 Generator	Highland	155%	0	0	0	6	10	10	26	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1794	DDW	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Drinking Water	Big Pole Creek Source Improvements.	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	3	7	10	26	\$ 956,000	\$ 478,000	\$ 478,000
2102	WRe	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	Replace Transmission Line	Upalco		0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 27,500,000	\$ 10,000,000
3084	DWQ	Ballard	Stormwater & Drainage	Up-sizing Culverts	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	7	10	26	\$ 347,640	\$ 260,730	\$ 254,083
3098	DDW	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Master Plan Replacement	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	7	10	26	\$ 50,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
3654	DDW	Clinton	Drinking Water	Annex Area Water Line	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	7	10	26	\$ 1,728,000	\$ 864,000	\$ 864,000
854	DDW	Elk Ridge	Drinking Water	Re-route Water Main to Loafer Canyon Rd	Elk Ridge	152%	0	0	0	6	7	12	25	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
1013	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	High School Runoff - Orchard Dr 629 S to Mill Creek	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	4	12	25	\$ 550,000	\$ 110,000	\$ 110,000
2269	DWQ	Hanksville Town	Wastewater & Sewer	Southern Wastewater Expansion with Lift Station	Hanksville		0	0	0	3	10	12	25	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
3578	WRe	Coalville	Secondary Water	Asset Management Plan	Coalville	123%	6	0	0	3	4	12	25	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,978
9	DWQ	Layton	Wastewater & Sewer	Fairfield Rd - 3400 N to 3000 N (WWMP 7.1)	Layton	112%	6	0	0	3	13	2	24	\$ 2,230,000	\$ 1,338,000	\$ 1,338,000
14	DWQ	Layton	Stormwater & Drainage	Fairfield Road and Love Lane (DBE3)	Layton	112%	6	0	0	3	13	2	24	\$ 668,900	\$ 401,340	\$ 401,340

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
257	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project New2: Replace pipes on 1800 North	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	10	5	24	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 2,720,000	\$ 1,836,800
255	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project 4: 24" Pipe on 300 North	West Point	140%	2	0	0	6	10	5	23	\$ 500,000	\$ 425,000	\$ 361,250
533	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Source #2 Development	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 2,556,450	\$ 1,278,225	\$ 1,278,225
555	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Rebuild/Replace Aging Manholes	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
556	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Powder Mountain West Sewer Line Replacement	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
557	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	SCADA Upgrades/Updates	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
895	DDW	Daniel Town	Drinking Water	water line looping	Daniel		0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1356	WRe	Highland	Secondary Water	Mainline Meter Installation	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
1371	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Longhorn Loop 12"	Highland	155%	0	0	0	6	7	10	23	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2117	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	700 South 2750 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	13	5	23	\$ 1,176,000	\$ 940,800	\$ 805,936
536	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Source #3 Development	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 2,556,450	\$ 1,278,225	\$ 1,278,225
2115	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	435 South 3000 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	10	5	20	\$ 1,875,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,212,891
2124	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	1700 South 4000 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	10	5	20	\$ 1,721,566	\$ 1,377,253	\$ 1,128,166
511	DWQ	Nibley	Stormwater & Drainage	Regional Stormwater Pond - Frisbee Golfcourse	Nibley	132%	2	0	0	3	4	10	19	\$ 325,000	\$ 81,250	\$ 81,250
321	DDW	Herriman Town	Drinking Water	North Zone 6 2MG Storage Tank	Herriman	125%	6	0	0	3	4	5	18	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 5,600,000	\$ 2,555,000
322	DDW	Herriman Town	Drinking Water	North Zone 6 Pump Station & Transmission Line	Herriman	125%	6	0	0	3	4	5	18	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 2,120,000
207	DDW	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Drill additional culinary well at TBD location east end of District, or further away	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 2,957,239	\$ 2,661,515	\$ 1,736,940
692	WRe	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	Construct additional secondary well	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 883,417	\$ 706,734	\$ 619,639
2113	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	650 South 3500 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	7	5	17	\$ 672,000	\$ 537,600	\$ 479,163
2114	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	600 South 3175 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	7	5	17	\$ 1,128,000	\$ 902,400	\$ 776,019

Table A2 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Planning for Construction (3-5 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2097	WRe	Syracuse	Secondary Water	Antelope Drive Secondary (3000 West to 4000 West)	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	4	5	14	\$ 2,300,000	\$ 1,840,000	\$ 1,434,050
2098	WRe	Syracuse	Secondary Water	2700 South Secondary (3000 West to Doral Drive)	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	4	5	14	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,001,250
													Total	\$ 2,182,302,039	\$ 1,090,851,703	\$ 655,570,290

Table A3: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
677	DWQ	Vineyard	Stormwater & Drainage	Extend Sleepy Ridge Storm Water Extension	Vineyard	95%	10	22	18	3	4	10	67	\$ 240,000	\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000
2198	WRe	Circleville	Secondary Water	Secondary System Expansion	Circleville	73%	15	0	18	6	16	12	67	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,125,000	\$ 1,125,000
3360	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Replace old concrete lined reservoirs	North Ogden	127%	6	22	18	3	13	5	67	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
116	DDW	Cedarview-Montwell Special Service District	Drinking Water	North Neola Pipeline going North	Neola	107%	10	0	14	14	16	12	66	\$ 6,033,000	\$ 6,033,000	\$ 2,522,910
1448	DWQ	Ogden City	Stormwater & Drainage	Jefferson - Patterson to 29th St & 29th - Madison to Jefferson	Ogden	85%	15	22	0	3	16	10	66	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1962	DDW	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	New Storage Tank	Duchesne	92%	10	22	0	14	10	10	66	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,520,000
2492	DWQ	Salt Lake City	Stormwater & Drainage	Emigration Creek Detention System Improvements	Salt Lake City	90%	15	16	14	3	13	5	66	\$ 2,300,000	\$ 575,000	\$ 575,000
621	WRe	Saratoga Springs	Secondary Water	PI-06 New Well Drilling and Equipping	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	18	3	10	10	65	\$ 5,739,000	\$ 2,869,500	\$ 2,492,763
796	DWQ	Alta	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Line Replacement #3c	Alta	73%	15	22	0	6	10	12	65	\$ 388,000	\$ 194,000	\$ 194,000
3295	DDW	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Upgrade Undersized Lines Phase I	Duchesne	92%	10	16	14	3	10	12	65	\$ 3,900,000	\$ 2,925,000	\$ 2,088,450
353	DDW	Hi-Country Estates II Homeowners Association	Drinking Water	Shaggy Mt Rd. Pipeline upsize (fire Flow)	Herriman	125%	6	16	14	3	13	12	64	\$ 800,000	\$ 640,000	\$ 564,800

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
643	WRe	Washington City	Secondary Water	Transmission lines to south fields	Washington	97%	10	0	14	14	16	10	64	\$ 6,715,405	\$ 1,678,851	\$ 1,678,851
846	WRe	Minersville Reservoir And Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Minersville Irrigation Regulating pond	Minersville	95%	10	0	18	14	10	12	64	\$ 4,754,000	\$ 2,139,300	\$ 2,139,300
1045	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	PS 1 & 2 to PS 3	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	13	10	64	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 2,800,000
1046	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Deer Ridge Dr to Tank 4	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	13	10	64	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
1961	DDW	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	New Transmission Line	Duchesne	92%	10	22	0	6	16	10	64	\$ 34,848,000	\$ 17,424,000	\$ 6,969,600
3494	DWQ	Provo	Wastewater & Sewer	Phase 2 Liquid Stream Upgrades	Provo	68%	18	22	0	3	16	5	64	\$ 72,275,000	\$ 28,910,000	\$ 14,455,000
3495	DWQ	Provo	Wastewater & Sewer	Phase 2 Solids Stream Upgrades	Provo	68%	18	22	0	3	16	5	64	\$ 194,407,500	\$ 77,763,000	\$ 38,881,500
3560	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Boyer Reservoir Improvements	Coalville	123%	6	16	14	3	13	12	64	\$ 500,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 160,000
3596	DDW	Myton	Drinking Water	Infrastructure improvements	Myton	77%	15	16	14	3	4	12	64	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
199	WRe	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Silverleaf dam replacement	Mountain Green	238%	0	22	18	3	10	10	63	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,375,000
801	DDW	Alta	Drinking Water	AC Pipeline Replacement - SR 210	Alta	73%	15	22	0	6	10	10	63	\$ 391,000	\$ 195,500	\$ 195,500
1307	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Seismic Retrofits at Pump Stations/Wells	West Valley City	77%	15	22	0	3	13	10	63	\$ 3,382,000	\$ 845,500	\$ 845,500

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2077	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 1 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	16	12	63	\$ 59,693	\$ 17,908	\$ 17,908
25	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	21st Street Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 2,162,880	\$ 1,081,440	\$ 1,081,440
26	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	29th & Adams Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 1,620,089	\$ 810,045	\$ 810,045
27	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Fowler Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 1,911,273	\$ 955,637	\$ 955,637
30	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	22nd St Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 846,025	\$ 423,013	\$ 423,013
31	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	15th St Capacity/Infiltration	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 3,090,079	\$ 1,545,040	\$ 1,545,040
32	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	2nd & Washington Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 1,351,374	\$ 675,687	\$ 675,687
33	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	E 1050 North Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 3,820,489	\$ 1,910,245	\$ 1,910,245
34	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Washington & Larson Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 490,633	\$ 245,317	\$ 245,317
794	DWQ	Alta	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Line Replacement #3a	Alta	73%	15	22	0	6	7	12	62	\$ 33,000	\$ 16,500	\$ 16,500
1973	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Weber West WTP	Marriott-Slaterville	133%	2	16	18	14	7	5	62	\$ 225,000,000	\$ 58,500,000	\$ 45,000,000
2262	DDW	Hanksville Town	Drinking Water	Distribution Improvements Phase 2	Hanksville		0	22	18	6	4	12	62	\$ 2,280,000	\$ 1,117,200	\$ 1,117,200
2280	WRe	Junction Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Piping of West Canal P3	Junction	62%	18	0	18	6	10	10	62	\$ 2,191,200	\$ 1,205,160	\$ 1,205,160
2319	WRe	Salina	Secondary Water	Irrigation System Expansion P-2	Salina	87%	15	0	18	6	13	10	62	\$ 2,280,000	\$ 1,824,000	\$ 1,424,088
222	DDW	Vineyard	Drinking Water	Robins Well Retrofitted into Culinary Well	Vineyard	95%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
417	DWQ	Mapleton	Stormwater & Drainage	Maple Canyon Outfall	Mapleton	148%	2	16	14	3	16	10	61	\$ 13,420,000	\$ 2,684,000	\$ 2,684,000
594	DDW	Santaquin City	Drinking Water	Zone 10 expansion (2.5 MG Tank, Pump Station, pipeline)	Santaquin	117%	6	0	18	14	13	10	61	\$ 4,395,000	\$ 2,197,500	\$ 2,197,500
644	WRe	Washington City	Secondary Water	Quail Transmission and pump station	Washington	97%	10	0	14	14	13	10	61	\$ 5,819,633	\$ 1,454,908	\$ 1,454,908
731	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	West A Well	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 4,282,500	\$ 1,498,875	\$ 1,498,875
732	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	West A Well to Tooele 1200 West	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 11,149,500	\$ 3,902,325	\$ 2,229,900
733	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Equalization Tank for West A Wells	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 2,043,000	\$ 715,050	\$ 715,050
734	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Booster pump out of West A tank	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 600,000	\$ 210,000	\$ 210,000
735	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Honerine Mine Well	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 4,282,500	\$ 1,498,875	\$ 1,498,875
739	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Equalization Tank for Honerine Well	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 2,043,000	\$ 715,050	\$ 715,050
740	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Booster pump out of Honerine tank	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 600,000	\$ 210,000	\$ 210,000
752	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	West B Well(s)	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 4,282,500	\$ 1,498,875	\$ 1,498,875
754	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Expand Equalization Tank for West B Wells	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	10	61	\$ 4,086,000	\$ 1,430,100	\$ 1,430,100
937	DDW	Oakley	Drinking Water	8" Water Main Upgrade	Oakley	125%	6	16	14	3	10	12	61	\$ 768,000	\$ 384,000	\$ 384,000
616	DDW	Saratoga Springs	Drinking Water	DW-04 Zone 1 Pony Express Pipeline	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	18	3	10	5	60	\$ 571,000	\$ 428,250	\$ 410,318

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2159	WRe	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Aqueduct Replacement Project	Ogden	85%	15	16	14	6	4	5	60	\$ 500,000,000	\$ 250,000,000	\$ 100,000,000
35	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	970 Adams Manhole Replacement	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 18,165	\$ 9,083	\$ 9,083
36	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	9th St & Grant Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 395,411	\$ 197,706	\$ 197,706
37	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	12th St & Washington Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 347,170	\$ 173,585	\$ 173,585
38	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	500 N/North St Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 652,764	\$ 326,382	\$ 326,382
39	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Washington & North Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 445,098	\$ 222,549	\$ 222,549
40	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	North St Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 2,206,567	\$ 1,103,284	\$ 1,103,284
41	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Quincy & 24th Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 1,156,993	\$ 578,497	\$ 578,497
42	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Fowler Ave Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	6	10	10	59	\$ 755,076	\$ 377,538	\$ 377,538
562	WRe	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	PRA Provo River Overshot Rehabilitation	Provo	68%	18	6	14	3	16	2	59	\$ 750,000	\$ 525,000	\$ 525,000
1609	WRe	Strawberry High Line Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Piping of Lateral 30	Payson	93%	10	0	18	6	13	12	59	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
1935	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Summit Park Water Line Replacements	Snyderville		0	16	14	3	16	10	59	\$ 20,187,706	\$ 10,093,853	\$ 4,037,541

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1979	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Ogden Valley WTP Supply Line	Huntsville	143%	2	22	18	3	4	10	59	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 1,125,000	\$ 1,125,000
2135	DWQ	Moroni	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Collection System	Moroni	80%	15	0	18	3	13	10	59	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
2276	DDW	Joseph	Drinking Water	Distribution Improvemnts	Joseph	88%	15	16	18	3	7	0	59	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,800,000
3092	DDW	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Montes Creek Pump Station	Ballard	117%	6	22	0	3	16	12	59	\$ 400,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
3240	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Water Line Replacement Phase 3	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	13	10	59	\$ 2,540,000	\$ 838,200	\$ 838,200
3250	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	North Crescent PRV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	13	10	59	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
3587	DWQ	Coalville	Wastewater & Sewer	I&I Reduction	Coalville	123%	6	16	14	3	10	10	59	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,520,000
109	DDW	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Small Water Systems Replacement	Willard	123%	6	16	14	3	7	12	58	\$ 900,000	\$ 675,000	\$ 630,450
355	DDW	Hi-Country Estates II Homeowners Association	Drinking Water	Lower Service Area Tank and Pipelines	Herriman	125%	6	16	14	3	7	12	58	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 2,080,000	\$ 1,578,200
363	DDW	Hi-Country Estates Phase I Water Company	Drinking Water	Canyon Cir Zone 3 pipeline upsize (fire flow)	Herriman	125%	6	16	14	3	7	12	58	\$ 650,000	\$ 520,000	\$ 464,263
364	DDW	Hi-Country Estates Phase I Water Company	Drinking Water	7570 W Zone 1 Pipeline upsize (fire flow)	Herriman	125%	6	16	14	3	7	12	58	\$ 800,000	\$ 640,000	\$ 564,800
938	DDW	Oakley	Drinking Water	8" Water Main Upgrade	Oakley	125%	6	16	14	3	7	12	58	\$ 96,000	\$ 48,000	\$ 48,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1302	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	4800 W 4415 S. to 4100 S. Zone 4 Pipe	West Valley City	77%	15	0	14	3	16	10	58	\$ 6,537,000	\$ 1,634,250	\$ 1,634,250
1303	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	4800 W 4100 S. to 3500 S. Zone 4 Pipe	West Valley City	77%	15	0	14	3	16	10	58	\$ 10,295,000	\$ 2,573,750	\$ 2,059,000
1434	DDW	Ouray Park Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Improvements Project - Pipeline Replacements	Ouray		0	16	14	3	13	12	58	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,951,250
2056	DWQ	Payson	Stormwater & Drainage	Remove and replace culverts in the Dry Creek and Spring Creek Channels.	Payson	93%	10	22	0	3	13	10	58	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 2,120,000
2201	DDW	Croydon Pipeline Company	Drinking Water	6900 E Replacement	Croydon		0	16	14	3	13	12	58	\$ 524,130	\$ 524,130	\$ 377,988
3264	WRe	Roosevelt	Secondary Water	Upgrade 500 West 300 South PRV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	10	12	58	\$ 120,000	\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000
3294	DDW	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Lower Tank and Pump House	Duchesne	92%	10	6	14	3	13	12	58	\$ 847,000	\$ 635,250	\$ 595,793
3474	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	Second Chamber for Slate Canyon Tank	Provo	68%	18	0	0	14	16	10	58	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 4,800,000	\$ 2,400,000
107	DDW	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Bear River Regional Conservation Plans	Tremonton	105%	10	6	18	3	10	10	57	\$ 210,000	\$ 52,500	\$ 52,500
111	DDW	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	UKON Arsenic Treatment Plant	Collinston	103%	10	16	14	3	4	10	57	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
114	WRe	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	Garland New Secondary Water System	Garland	108%	10	6	18	3	10	10	57	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 2,800,000
617	DDW	Saratoga Springs	Drinking Water	DW-05 Zone 2 North Pipeline from Pony Express to Talus Ridge	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	18	3	7	5	57	\$ 1,428,000	\$ 1,071,000	\$ 958,845
864	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	Upsize 6" pipe on 300 North	Ephraim	82%	15	16	0	3	13	10	57	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
1976	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Riverdale 6 MG Tank	Riverdale	90%	15	16	14	3	4	5	57	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 3,750,000	\$ 3,000,000
2037	DDW	Murray	Drinking Water	Winchester St - State St to 900 E	Murray	98%	10	0	18	3	16	10	57	\$ 5,947,260	\$ 2,973,630	\$ 2,515,100
2053	DWQ	Payson	Stormwater & Drainage	Install sumps near the cemetery.	Payson	93%	10	0	18	3	16	10	57	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,280,000	\$ 1,059,200
2084	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 1 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	10	12	57	\$ 213,516	\$ 64,055	\$ 64,055
2171	DWQ	St George City	Wastewater & Sewer	SGRWRF Reuse Plant Expansion	St. George	88%	15	0	18	3	16	5	57	\$ 14,350,383	\$ 4,305,115	\$ 2,870,077
725	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Droubay Road, 280 North to 670 Nort	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 1,221,000	\$ 1,037,850	\$ 833,754
726	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Parallel to Droubay Road, Valley View Drive to Fox Run Drive	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 417,000	\$ 354,450	\$ 303,186
727	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Coleman Street, 400 North to 650 North	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 846,000	\$ 719,100	\$ 595,136
729	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	600 West/400 West, 650 North to 1500 North	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 3,018,000	\$ 2,565,300	\$ 1,762,542

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
730	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	400 West, 1500 North to 2000 North	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 936,000	\$ 795,600	\$ 653,815
736	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Honerine Well to Highway 36	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 924,000	\$ 785,400	\$ 646,042
737	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Highway 36, Honerine Well to West B	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 10,791,000	\$ 9,172,350	\$ 2,158,200
738	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Highway 36, West B to 400 South	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 9,340,500	\$ 7,939,425	\$ 2,206,903
741	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Coleman Street, Highway 36 to Timpie Road	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 1,207,500	\$ 1,026,375	\$ 825,432
742	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Timpie Road, Coleman Street to 900 West	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 1,185,000	\$ 1,007,250	\$ 811,518
743	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Coleman Street, Timpie Road to 700 South	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 937,500	\$ 796,875	\$ 654,785
745	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Coleman Street, 700 South to Vine Street	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 2,305,500	\$ 1,959,675	\$ 1,436,782
747	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Coleman Street, Vine Street to Utah Avenue	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 504,000	\$ 428,400	\$ 364,029
753	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	West B Well to Highway 36	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 21,901,500	\$ 18,616,275	\$ 4,380,300
755	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	900 West, 900 South to 700 South	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 604,500	\$ 513,825	\$ 433,277
756	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	900 West, 700 South to 480 South	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 670,500	\$ 569,925	\$ 478,149
757	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	900-1000 West, 480 South to Utah Avenue	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 4,060,500	\$ 3,451,425	\$ 2,138,554
758	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	1000 West, Utah Avenue to 750 North	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 2,572,500	\$ 2,186,625	\$ 1,565,398

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
760	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Utah Avenue, 1000 West to Coleman Avenue	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 1,248,000	\$ 1,060,800	\$ 850,337
777	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Septage Receiving Improvements	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 781,250	\$ 625,000	\$ 552,368
785	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Channel #1 UV replacement	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 2,875,000	\$ 2,300,000	\$ 1,701,641
790	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Collection building and truck loading improvements	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 6,375,000	\$ 5,100,000	\$ 2,546,016
894	DDW	Daniel Town	Drinking Water	Storm haven pump station	Daniel		0	16	14	3	13	10	56	\$ 250,000	\$ 62,500	\$ 62,500
1338	DDW	Helper City	Drinking Water	Castle Gate Booster Station Rehabilitation	Helper	87%	15	0	18	3	10	10	56	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
2078	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 4 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 72,320	\$ 65,088	\$ 53,952
2079	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 5 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 34,438	\$ 30,994	\$ 25,763
3251	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Page Bench PRV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	10	10	56	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
3252	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	150 North PRV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	10	10	56	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
3253	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Gates Drive PRV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	10	10	56	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
3624	WRe	Western Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Washington to HVC	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	14	4	5	56	\$ 22,472,000	\$ 17,977,600	\$ 4,494,400
228	DDW	Redmond	Drinking Water	New Tank	Redmond	95%	10	0	14	9	10	12	55	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,350,000	\$ 1,171,800
440	DDW	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	Culinary Distribution Pipe Upsize	Magna	82%	15	16	0	3	16	5	55	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 2,480,000	\$ 1,796,450
676	DWQ	Vineyard	Stormwater & Drainage	Grove Park Detention Basin Upgrade Project	Vineyard	95%	10	22	0	6	7	10	55	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
866	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	Replace old 4" line on South Main	Ephraim	82%	15	0	14	3	13	10	55	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
918	DDW	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Jensen Unit Pumping Plant	Jensen	100%	10	16	0	3	16	10	55	\$ 24,000,000	\$ 9,600,000	\$ 4,800,000
939	DDW	Oakley	Drinking Water	8" Water Main Upgrade	Oakley	125%	6	16	14	3	4	12	55	\$ 240,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 120,000
981	DWQ	Washington Terrace	Wastewater & Sewer	SS-18 500 west	Washington Terrace	93%	10	16	0	6	13	10	55	\$ 510,000	\$ 510,000	\$ 368,195
2085	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 2 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	10	10	55	\$ 21,942	\$ 6,583	\$ 6,583
2136	DDW	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Springs tank replacement	Toquerville	103%	10	16	0	3	16	10	55	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
2202	DDW	Croydon Pipeline Company	Drinking Water	6800 E Replacement	Croydon		0	16	14	3	10	12	55	\$ 263,400	\$ 263,400	\$ 193,734
3260	WRe	Roosevelt	Secondary Water	200 North 525 West PRV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	7	12	55	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
3261	WRe	Roosevelt	Secondary Water	200 South 525 West PRV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	7	12	55	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
3268	DWQ	Roosevelt	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Improvement Phase 2	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	10	12	55	\$ 1,260,000	\$ 415,800	\$ 415,800
3549	DDW	Price River Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water mainline replacement	Price	85%	15	0	14	3	13	10	55	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,480,000
618	DDW	Saratoga Springs	Drinking Water	DW-08 Lake Mountain Zone 2 Tank 20 & Pipeline	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	18	3	4	5	54	\$ 5,448,000	\$ 4,086,000	\$ 2,453,561
1312	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Well No. 4 Rebuild/TDS & Arsenic Removal	West Valley City	77%	15	16	0	3	10	10	54	\$ 2,986,000	\$ 746,500	\$ 746,500

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1565	WRe	Cedar City	Secondary Water	Booster Pump to Cedar Middle School Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	14	3	10	12	54	\$ 100,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 74,450
1790	DWQ	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	1200 S Connection to Heber City	Heber City	115%	6	16	0	6	16	10	54	\$ 626,000	\$ 313,000	\$ 313,000
2209	DDW	Emery	Drinking Water	New 500,000 gallon tank	Emery	95%	10	0	14	14	4	12	54	\$ 1,820,000	\$ 1,456,000	\$ 1,182,818
2299	DDW	Lyman	Drinking Water	Distribution System Improvements Phase 1	Lyman	72%	15	0	14	6	7	12	54	\$ 1,545,000	\$ 1,390,500	\$ 1,027,464
3600	DDW	Myton	Drinking Water	flow monitoring	Myton	77%	15	0	14	3	10	12	54	\$ 80,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 59,648
3612	DWQ	Myton	Wastewater & Sewer	flow monitoring	Myton	77%	15	0	14	3	10	12	54	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
188	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Old Hwy Rd water line upsize	Mountain Green	238%	0	22	0	3	16	12	53	\$ 577,500	\$ 462,000	\$ 414,782
243	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 37-Liner to Box Culvert	Clearfield	83%	15	0	14	6	16	2	53	\$ 1,308,000	\$ 1,111,800	\$ 886,902
415	WRe	Mapleton	Secondary Water	North Zone 2 Distribution	Mapleton	148%	2	16	18	3	4	10	53	\$ 2,070,000	\$ 414,000	\$ 414,000
2080	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 7 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	13	5	53	\$ 24,059	\$ 21,653	\$ 18,012
2081	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 8 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	13	5	53	\$ 33,879	\$ 30,491	\$ 25,346
2082	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 9 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	0	3	13	5	53	\$ 692,876	\$ 623,588	\$ 493,253

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2951	DWQ	American Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	Low to High Booster	American Fork	103%	10	0	14	3	16	10	53	\$ 3,510,859	\$ 702,172	\$ 702,172
3135	WRe	Enoch	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Transmission Lines	Enoch	100%	10	0	14	3	16	10	53	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,755,000
3177	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	New Source Project	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	10	10	53	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
3245	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	New Water Line Project Phase 3	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	7	10	53	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 1,815,000	\$ 1,815,000
3249	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Summerall Lane PRV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	7	10	53	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
442	DDW	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	7700 W to Broadway, 3100 S to 3500 S	Magna	82%	15	16	0	3	13	5	52	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,144,063
675	DWQ	Vineyard	Stormwater & Drainage	Public Works Expansion Project	Vineyard	95%	10	22	0	6	4	10	52	\$ 325,000	\$ 162,500	\$ 162,500
919	DDW	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Tyzack Aqueduct Reach II Improvements	Vernal	100%	10	16	0	3	13	10	52	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
962	DDW	Levan	Drinking Water	Storage Tank	Levan	98%	10	16	0	6	10	10	52	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
3173	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	South Pleasant Valley Road Line	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	7	12	52	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 1,755,000
3174	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	Ioka Lane Replacement Phase I	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	7	12	52	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,280,000
3175	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	Ioka Lane Replacement Phase II	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	7	12	52	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,280,000
3262	WRe	Roosevelt	Secondary Water	600 East 250 North PRV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	4	12	52	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
3263	WRe	Roosevelt	Secondary Water	200 North Summerall Ln Prv	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	4	12	52	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
3547	DDW	Price River Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water plant expansion to 10 mgd	Helper	87%	15	0	14	3	10	10	52	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 3,750,000	\$ 3,000,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
446	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	Shallow Groundwater Development	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	10	5	51	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 695,000
449	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	3500 S, Montclair to 7200 W	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	10	5	51	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,001,250
450	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	8000 W Booster Piping	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	10	5	51	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 851,563
545	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	MBR Treatment Plant	Eden	157%	0	22	0	3	16	10	51	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 8,000,000
1553	DWQ	The Washington County Water Conservancy District	Wastewater & Sewer	Phase II Reuse	St. George	88%	15	0	18	3	13	2	51	\$ 281,246,952	\$ 151,873,354	\$ 56,249,390
1774	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Lower Springs Rehab	Charleston		0	0	14	14	13	10	51	\$ 125,000	\$ 93,750	\$ 92,891
2038	DDW	Murray	Drinking Water	900 E - Winchester St to Holly Dr	Murray	98%	10	0	18	3	10	10	51	\$ 2,034,219	\$ 813,688	\$ 813,688
2054	DWQ	Payson	Stormwater & Drainage	Install sumps in 800 S and 1270 W area.	Payson	93%	10	0	18	3	10	10	51	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 960,000	\$ 820,800
3334	WRe	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	US Dry Gulch Canal Pipeline	Myton	77%	15	0	14	3	7	12	51	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 5,600,000	\$ 2,800,000
4542	WRe	Bear River Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Macro Automation/Measurement (Individual Gates)	Tremonton	105%	10	0	18	6	7	10	51	\$ 15,876,000	\$ 7,144,200	\$ 3,175,200
514	DDW	Nibley	Drinking Water	3MG Drinking Water Storage Tank	Nibley	132%	2	22	0	3	13	10	50	\$ 7,200,000	\$ 2,880,000	\$ 2,548,800

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1376	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Galvanized Services	Highland	155%	0	16	14	6	4	10	50	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000
1564	WRe	Cedar City	Secondary Water	200 North Pump Station Renovations	Cedar City	78%	15	0	14	3	13	5	50	\$ 500,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 361,250
1883	WRe	Lake Creek Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Lake Creek Facility Replacement Ph 2 - 5	Heber City	115%	6	0	18	3	13	10	50	\$ 12,800,000	\$ 10,880,000	\$ 2,560,000
2086	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 3 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	10	5	50	\$ 40,622	\$ 36,560	\$ 30,376
3128	WRe	Enoch	Secondary Water	Secondary Well #2	Enoch	100%	10	0	14	3	13	10	50	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,225,000	\$ 1,144,063
3129	WRe	Enoch	Secondary Water	Secondary Well #3	Enoch	100%	10	0	14	3	13	10	50	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,225,000	\$ 1,144,063
3218	WRe	Ogden River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	72" and 60" bypass gate replacement	Ogden	85%	15	0	14	3	13	5	50	\$ 250,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
3362	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Future pump stations and reservoirs in Southern Box Elder County	Willard	123%	6	0	18	3	13	10	50	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
3381	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Replace reservoir outlet structures	North Ogden	127%	6	0	18	3	13	10	50	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 900,000
3510	DWQ	Provo	Wastewater & Sewer	1680 N - I15 to Geneva	Provo	68%	18	0	0	6	16	10	50	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,520,000
3569	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Elementary Replacement	Coalville	123%	6	16	0	3	13	12	50	\$ 400,000	\$ 280,000	\$ 280,000
289	DDW	Grantsville	Drinking Water	16-inch Water Line Replacement (Phase 2)	Grantsville	120%	6	0	14	3	16	10	49	\$ 3,564,302	\$ 1,782,151	\$ 1,782,151
650	DDW	South Jordan	Drinking Water	Welby School	South Jordan	135%	2	16	0	3	16	12	49	\$ 71,000	\$ 63,900	\$ 52,973

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1950	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Increase Pipe Size along White Pine Canyon Road	Snyderville		0	16	14	3	4	12	49	\$ 2,280,000	\$ 1,140,000	\$ 1,140,000
1975	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	ASR - Recovery Well	South Weber	155%	0	16	18	3	7	5	49	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 875,000	\$ 875,000
2050	WRe	Payson	Secondary Water	Connect a new PI line from the Central Utah ULC turnout on Main Street by the Dry Creek Channel to the Lower PI pond.	Payson	93%	10	0	18	3	13	5	49	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 1,040,000	\$ 882,050
2313	WRe	North Utah County Aquifer Council	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	American Fork Debris Basin MAR	American Fork	103%	10	0	18	3	13	5	49	\$ 3,440,000	\$ 860,000	\$ 860,000
3180	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	Pole Line Improvements	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	4	12	49	\$ 500,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 361,250
3285	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	Lagoon Street Storm Drain Improvements Phase II	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	4	12	49	\$ 791,000	\$ 395,500	\$ 395,500
3333	WRe	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	Duchesne Feeder Canal Pipeline	Duchesne	92%	10	0	14	3	10	12	49	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 5,600,000	\$ 2,800,000
3355	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Harrisville Pump Station North	Harrisville	115%	6	0	18	3	10	12	49	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
3356	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Harrisville Pump Station South	Harrisville	115%	6	0	18	3	10	12	49	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
86	WRe	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Island Dam	Springville	100%	10	0	18	3	7	10	48	\$ 40,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000
428	WRe	Mount Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Twin Creeks Storage Reservoir	Mt Pleasant		0	0	14	14	10	10	48	\$ 9,300,000	\$ 2,325,000	\$ 2,218,050
452	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	3100 S, 7600 W to 7200 W	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	7	5	48	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 695,000
532	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Sundown Fire Flow Upsize	Eden	157%	0	22	0	6	10	10	48	\$ 414,532	\$ 207,266	\$ 207,266
1017	DDW	Bountiful	Drinking Water	Barton Creek Reservoir Repl.		115%	6	16	0	3	13	10	48	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000
1775	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Upper Springs Rehab	Charleston		0	0	14	14	10	10	48	\$ 125,000	\$ 93,750	\$ 92,891
1899	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	400 North Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	13	12	48	\$ 250,000	\$ 187,500	\$ 184,063
1900	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	500 North Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	13	12	48	\$ 250,000	\$ 187,500	\$ 184,063
1901	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	100 East Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	13	12	48	\$ 990,000	\$ 742,500	\$ 688,595
2039	DDW	Murray	Drinking Water	Winchester St - 900 E to River	Murray	98%	10	0	18	3	7	10	48	\$ 1,252,411	\$ 375,723	\$ 375,723
3335	WRe	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	Gray Mountain Heading	Myton	77%	15	0	14	3	4	12	48	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
3616	DWQ	Myton	Wastewater & Sewer	Clean lines	Myton	77%	15	0	14	3	4	12	48	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
224	DDW	Vineyard	Drinking Water	1600 N Booster Pump Station Expansion	Vineyard	95%	10	0	0	14	13	10	47	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 275,000	\$ 275,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
354	DDW	Hi-Country Estates II Homeowners Association	Drinking Water	Lower Zone pump Station and Transmission line	Herriman	125%	6	16	0	3	10	12	47	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 2,880,000	\$ 1,987,200
541	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Winter Storage Facilites	Eden	157%	0	0	18	3	16	10	47	\$ 2,300,000	\$ 1,725,000	\$ 1,434,050
542	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Golf Course Transmission Line	Eden	157%	0	0	18	3	16	10	47	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,575,000	\$ 1,332,450
543	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Secondary Pump House	Eden	157%	0	0	18	3	16	10	47	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 820,800
563	WRe	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	PRA Pipe Rehabilitation Beneath the Jordan River	Bluffdale	130%	6	6	14	3	16	2	47	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,260,000	\$ 1,171,800
908	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	400 E Center St to 800 N	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	10	10	47	\$ 962,000	\$ 481,000	\$ 481,000
963	DDW	Levan	Drinking Water	Develop Springs	Levan	98%	10	0	14	6	7	10	47	\$ 400,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
1040	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Redundant Supply to Suncrest	Draper	133%	2	16	0	3	16	10	47	\$ 24,000,000	\$ 4,800,000	\$ 4,800,000
1788	WRe	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Secondary Water	Pipe Replacement for PIP pipe	Heber City	115%	6	0	18	3	10	10	47	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1913	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	400 S from 100 E to 400 E	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	16	10	47	\$ 289,800	\$ 144,900	\$ 144,900

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1914	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	Woodhill Road to Park Drive	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	16	10	47	\$ 304,980	\$ 152,490	\$ 152,490
1915	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	Pioneer Park frim Park Dr along 600 N to 100 E	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	16	10	47	\$ 184,920	\$ 92,460	\$ 92,460
1916	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	400 S Across Railroad at 400 E	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	16	10	47	\$ 162,840	\$ 81,420	\$ 81,420
2083	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 10 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	0	3	10	2	47	\$ 110,419	\$ 99,377	\$ 82,144
2087	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 4 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	7	5	47	\$ 182,294	\$ 164,065	\$ 134,893
2088	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 5 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	7	5	47	\$ 126,273	\$ 113,646	\$ 93,828
2089	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 6 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	7	5	47	\$ 126,333	\$ 113,700	\$ 93,872
2230	DDW	Gunnison	Drinking Water	Bartholemew Well Rehab	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	14	13	10	47	\$ 256,000	\$ 153,600	\$ 153,600
2241	WRe	Gunnison	Secondary Water	Peacock Spring Redevelopment	Gunnison	102%	10	0	14	9	4	10	47	\$ 950,000	\$ 712,500	\$ 662,863
3134	WRe	Enoch	Secondary Water	Secondary Booster 2	Enoch	100%	10	0	14	3	10	10	47	\$ 750,000	\$ 525,000	\$ 525,000
3136	WRe	Enoch	Secondary Water	Secondary Reservoir Construction	Enoch	100%	10	0	14	3	10	10	47	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
3219	WRe	Ogden River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	72" and 60" Inlet gates structures at the dam	Ogden	85%	15	0	14	3	10	5	47	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
3286	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	500 West Detention Ponds	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	4	10	47	\$ 210,000	\$ 105,000	\$ 105,000
3287	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	Highway 121/Golf Course Culvert	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	4	10	47	\$ 393,000	\$ 196,500	\$ 196,500

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3351	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Pump Station from Lower J to New Upper J Reservoir	North Ogden	127%	6	0	18	3	10	10	47	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
3471	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	Slate Canyon Tank Replacement	Provo	68%	18	0	0	3	16	10	47	\$ 10,006,000	\$ 5,003,000	\$ 2,001,200
3511	DWQ	Provo	Wastewater & Sewer	36 Inch Parallel Line	Provo	68%	18	0	0	3	16	10	47	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
290	DDW	Grantsville	Drinking Water	16-inch Water Line Replacement (Phase 3)	Grantsville	120%	6	0	14	3	13	10	46	\$ 6,884,581	\$ 3,442,291	\$ 2,556,576
645	DDW	South Jordan	Drinking Water	Heritage Park	South Jordan	135%	2	16	0	3	13	12	46	\$ 84,000	\$ 75,600	\$ 62,612
1623	DWQ	Strawberry Lakeview Special Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Pump Station Upgrade	Fruitland	82%	15	0	0	3	16	12	46	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
2326	DDW	Scipio	Drinking Water	Update Remaining System to 8" Minimum	Scipio	105%	10	0	14	6	4	12	46	\$ 3,070,162	\$ 2,763,146	\$ 1,784,197
3188	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Yellowstone Feeder Canal Lining Phase III	Altonah		0	6	14	3	13	10	46	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
3566	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Distribution deficiencies	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	13	10	46	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
3586	DWQ	Coalville	Wastewater & Sewer	Capacity upgrades	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	13	10	46	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
3608	DWQ	Myton	Stormwater & Drainage	Asset Management Plan	Myton	77%	15	0	0	3	16	12	46	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,978
88	WRe	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Baptismal Dam	Springville	100%	10	0	18	3	4	10	45	\$ 80,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
430	WRe	Mount Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Well and Pump Station	Mt Pleasant		0	0	14	14	7	10	45	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 820,800

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
567	WRe	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Deer Creek Spillway Flow Measurement	Wallsburg	107%	10	6	14	3	7	5	45	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
1902	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	200 East Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	10	12	45	\$ 600,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 430,200
1903	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	Spencer Way Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	10	12	45	\$ 306,000	\$ 229,500	\$ 224,350
1904	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	Paulette Way Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	10	12	45	\$ 459,000	\$ 344,250	\$ 332,663
1905	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	200 South Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	10	12	45	\$ 288,000	\$ 216,000	\$ 211,438
3345	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Perry North Reservoir	Perry	137%	2	0	14	3	16	10	45	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
3346	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Transmission Main from North Res	Perry	137%	2	0	14	3	16	10	45	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,600,000
3348	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Perry South Reservoir	Perry	137%	2	0	14	3	16	10	45	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
3349	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Transmission Main from South Res.	Perry	137%	2	0	14	3	16	10	45	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
3556	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Asset Management Plan	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	10	12	45	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,000	\$ 14,000
3557	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Water Conservation Plan	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	10	12	45	\$ 10,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3558	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Master Plan	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	10	12	45	\$ 40,000	\$ 28,000	\$ 28,000
3568	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Cast Iron Removal	Coalville	123%	6	16	0	3	10	10	45	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,120,000	\$ 1,059,200
3579	WRe	Coalville	Secondary Water	Infrastructure improvements	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	10	12	45	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,480,000
28	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	7th Street Maintenance/Capacity	Ogden	85%	15	0	0	6	13	10	44	\$ 840,643	\$ 420,322	\$ 420,322
29	DWQ	Ogden City	Wastewater & Sewer	Pennsylvania Ave Maintenance	Ogden	85%	15	0	0	6	13	10	44	\$ 632,519	\$ 316,260	\$ 316,260
232	DDW	Richmond	Drinking Water	Create Lower Pressure Zone	Richmond	110%	10	0	14	3	7	10	44	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
409	DDW	Mapleton	Drinking Water	New Mapleton City Culinary Well	Mapleton	148%	2	16	0	3	13	10	44	\$ 4,600,000	\$ 1,150,000	\$ 1,150,000
564	WRe	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	New Building at Duchesne Tunnel Outlet	Kamas	120%	6	6	14	3	13	2	44	\$ 500,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 361,250
566	WRe	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Kamas City Bridge to Box Culvert Conversion	Kamas	120%	6	6	14	3	10	5	44	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
909	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	300 East Center to 300 S	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	7	10	44	\$ 377,000	\$ 188,500	\$ 188,500
910	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	Line 3450 E Hwy 6 30" Trunkline	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	7	10	44	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 550,000	\$ 550,000
911	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	400 E Center St to 300 S	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	7	10	44	\$ 268,000	\$ 134,000	\$ 134,000
912	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	500 East Center to 300 S	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	7	10	44	\$ 427,000	\$ 213,500	\$ 213,500
950	WRe	Spanish Fork	Secondary Water	200 E 16" PI Trunkline	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	7	10	44	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
1344	DWQ	Helper City	Wastewater & Sewer	Railroad Sewer Crossing	Helper	87%	15	0	0	3	16	10	44	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1917	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	800 N from 200 E to 300 E and 700 N from 400 E to 500 E	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	13	10	44	\$ 126,960	\$ 63,480	\$ 63,480
1918	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	800 N from Cedar Hills Dr to Homestead Blvd	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	13	10	44	\$ 331,200	\$ 165,600	\$ 165,600
1919	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	5th Ave from 300 N to dead end and 600 E from 300 N to 200 N	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	13	10	44	\$ 136,620	\$ 68,310	\$ 68,310
1920	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	Westwood Blvd from Hospital Dr to existing 8" Pipe	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	13	10	44	\$ 115,920	\$ 57,960	\$ 57,960
1937	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Provide an additional raw water storage	Snyderville		0	0	18	3	13	10	44	\$ 1,109,000	\$ 554,500	\$ 554,500
2011	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Purgatory to Pecan Valley 12" Loop River Crossing	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	3	13	0	44	\$ 1,853,119	\$ 1,853,119	\$ 1,200,966
2090	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 7 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	4	5	44	\$ 96,483	\$ 86,835	\$ 71,850
2091	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 8 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	100%	10	22	0	3	4	5	44	\$ 235,232	\$ 211,709	\$ 173,381
2092	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 9 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	0	3	4	5	44	\$ 2,059,109	\$ 1,853,198	\$ 1,311,136
2254	DWQ	Gunnison	Wastewater & Sewer	Resue Project Phase 1	Gunnison	102%	10	0	14	3	7	10	44	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2321	DWQ	Salina	Stormwater & Drainage	Industrial Park Flood Mitigation P-2	Salina	87%	15	0	0	6	13	10	44	\$ 1,650,000	\$ 825,000	\$ 825,000
3220	WRe	Ogden River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Establish property boundary along canals	Ogden	85%	15	22	0	3	4	0	44	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 3,250,000	\$ 2,551,250
3638	DWQ	Clinton	Wastewater & Sewer	Meadows Park Area Sewer Replacement	Clinton	123%	6	16	0	3	7	12	44	\$ 3,931,200	\$ 1,965,600	\$ 1,965,600
429	WRe	Mount Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Penstock Supply Line	Mt Pleasant		0	0	14	6	13	10	43	\$ 600,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
646	DDW	South Jordan	Drinking Water	Lincoln Cove	South Jordan	135%	2	16	0	3	10	12	43	\$ 75,000	\$ 67,500	\$ 55,941
1887	WRe	Grantsville Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	West Street pipeline	Grantsville	120%	6	0	14	3	10	10	43	\$ 1,716,000	\$ 686,400	\$ 686,400
3111	WRe	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Silky Ditch Pipeline	Whiterocks	62%	18	0	0	3	10	12	43	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
3336	WRe	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	Duchesne Feeder Canal Heading	Duchesne	92%	10	0	14	3	4	12	43	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
3350	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Upper J Reservoir	North Ogden	127%	6	0	14	3	10	10	43	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,750,000
3567	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Rehabilitate Existing Lewis Well	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	10	10	43	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
3601	WRe	Myton	Secondary Water	Pump station	Myton	77%	15	0	0	3	13	12	43	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
3663	DWQ	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Willowbrooke Lane Sewage Plant expansion	Eden	157%	0	0	14	6	13	10	43	\$ 32,176,100	\$ 28,958,490	\$ 6,435,220

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3664	DWQ	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Willowbrooke Lane Sewage Plant upgrades	Eden	157%	0	0	14	3	16	10	43	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
131	DDW	Vernal	Drinking Water	Vernal City Secondary Water System	Vernal	100%	10	0	14	3	10	5	42	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 18,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
428	WRe	Mount Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Twin Creeks Storage Reservoir	Mt Pleasant		0	0	14	14	4	10	42	\$ 9,300,000	\$ 2,325,000	\$ 2,218,050
535	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Overlook to Mary's 8" Loop	Eden	157%	0	22	0	3	7	10	42	\$ 693,076	\$ 346,538	\$ 346,538
628	DWQ	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater & Drainage	DBS5 Debris Basin	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	0	3	10	5	42	\$ 1,875,500	\$ 1,406,625	\$ 1,213,162
847	WRe	Minersville Reservoir And Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Minersville Irrigation pipeline replacement	Minersville	95%	10	0	6	3	13	10	42	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
1906	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	Sunrise Drive Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	7	12	42	\$ 385,500	\$ 289,125	\$ 280,951
1907	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	350 East Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	7	12	42	\$ 370,500	\$ 277,875	\$ 270,325
1908	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	750 South Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	7	12	42	\$ 250,500	\$ 187,875	\$ 184,424
1911	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	200 East Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	7	12	42	\$ 492,000	\$ 369,000	\$ 355,686
3139	WRe	Farnsworth Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Farnsworth Canal Lining Phase II	Talmage		0	6	14	3	7	12	42	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3221	WRe	Ogden River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Automation and Scada for all Canal Turnouts	Perry	137%	2	0	18	3	7	12	42	\$ 670,000	\$ 167,500	\$ 167,500
3552	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Ditch & Canal Improvements	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	7	12	42	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 320,000	\$ 320,000
3580	WRe	Coalville	Secondary Water	Pumphouse rehabilitation	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	7	12	42	\$ 400,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
331	WRe	Herriman Town	Secondary Water	6400 W Zone 3 Pipeline (SW3.04)	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	3	4	10	41	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 695,000
332	WRe	Herriman Town	Secondary Water	Blayde Dr. Zone 4 Pipeline (SW4.03)	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	3	4	10	41	\$ 3,700,000	\$ 2,960,000	\$ 2,022,050
477	DWQ	Neola Water & Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Improvements Intermediate	Neola	107%	10	0	0	6	13	12	41	\$ 133,000	\$ 133,000	\$ 98,777
537	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Replacement / Upsize of Pump-up Line	Eden	157%	0	0	18	3	10	10	41	\$ 1,544,280	\$ 772,140	\$ 772,140
591	DWQ	Vineyard	Wastewater & Sewer	Lift Station #2 Pump Replacement	Vineyard	95%	10	0	0	14	7	10	41	\$ 192,000	\$ 48,000	\$ 48,000
665	DDW	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	Harmony Park Well	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	14	7	5	41	\$ 4,855,200	\$ 3,884,160	\$ 2,344,887
913	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	700 East Center to 400 N	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	4	10	41	\$ 377,000	\$ 188,500	\$ 188,500
914	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	300 South 700 E to Main (no flow fill)	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	4	10	41	\$ 486,000	\$ 243,000	\$ 243,000
915	DDW	Spanish Fork	Drinking Water	Hwy 6 2500 East to 400 North (9200'- 18")	Spanish Fork	110%	10	0	14	3	4	10	41	\$ 1,112,000	\$ 556,000	\$ 556,000
940	DDW	Oakley	Drinking Water	New Cottonwood Tank-Upper Zone Water Storage	Oakley	125%	6	0	18	3	4	10	41	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,400,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1127	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Ashdown Storage Tank Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 3,250,000	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 1,856,563
1128	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Ashdown Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 3,900,000	\$ 3,120,000	\$ 2,088,450
1134	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Connect Beacon Dr. & Cedar Hills Dr. to Cove Dr. Pipe Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 820,300	\$ 410,150	\$ 410,150
1135	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	860 West from 1325 S. to Mountain View Dr. Pipe Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 214,500	\$ 107,250	\$ 107,250
1136	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Altamira Drive and 75 East Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 1,167,400	\$ 583,700	\$ 583,700
1137	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	400 East and Center Street Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 2,150,200	\$ 1,075,100	\$ 1,075,100
1138	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Royal Hunte Drive and Sage Drive Pipe Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 1,566,500	\$ 783,250	\$ 783,250
1139	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Ridge Rd., Rose Hill Rd., 165 South, and Sage Dr. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 1,505,400	\$ 752,700	\$ 752,700
1140	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	1400 W., 1225 W., 1150 W., 1050 W., & 900 W. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 3,255,200	\$ 1,627,600	\$ 1,627,600
1141	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	900 W., 700 W., & 600 W. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 3,439,800	\$ 1,719,900	\$ 1,719,900

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1142	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	300 W., 200 W., & 100 W. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 4,238,000	\$ 2,119,000	\$ 2,119,000
1143	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Main St., 100 E., & 200 E. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 2,702,700	\$ 1,351,350	\$ 1,351,350
1144	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	900 W., 800 W., Kayenta Circle, 700 W., 600 W., & 500 W. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 4,914,000	\$ 2,457,000	\$ 2,357,393
1145	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	450 W., Circleway Drive, Cedarwood Terrace, 300 W., 200 W., 150 W., & 100 W. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 5,405,400	\$ 2,702,700	\$ 2,447,041
1146	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	580 N., 150 S., 460 S., 500 S., Sage Circle, 400 W., 440 S., & Highland Circle Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 2,641,600	\$ 1,320,800	\$ 1,320,800
1295	DWQ	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	3500 South Additional Capacity	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 7,489,000	\$ 1,872,250	\$ 1,872,250
1296	DWQ	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Decker Main Lift Station Replacement	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 16,369,000	\$ 4,092,250	\$ 3,273,800
1336	DDW	Helper City	Drinking Water	Spring Canyon Springs Rehabilitation	Helper	87%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
1572	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	15" new installation west of Quichapa	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 3,936,900	\$ 984,225	\$ 984,225
1574	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	4500 W Line Upgrades 1 of 2	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 4,615,700	\$ 2,307,850	\$ 2,290,017

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1575	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	4500 W Line Upgrades 2 of 2	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 5,700,400	\$ 2,850,200	\$ 2,488,099
1577	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	27" Outfall Line Upgrades	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 9,292,500	\$ 4,646,250	\$ 2,220,094
1739	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase the Capacity of the Mill Hollow Detention Pond	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 962,500	\$ 481,250	\$ 481,250
1740	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install a 5AF Detention Basin	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 1,125,000	\$ 562,500	\$ 562,500
1741	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase the Size of the Cody Drive Greenbelt Detention Basin	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 620,000	\$ 310,000	\$ 310,000
1742	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase the Capacity along Cross Hollow Road	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 3,850,000	\$ 1,925,000	\$ 1,925,000
1921	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	Loop Airport Rd to 150 S	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	10	10	41	\$ 37,260	\$ 18,630	\$ 18,630
1922	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	500 E from 100 N to Main St, Main St from 500 E to 600 E, and 600 E from Main St to 100 S	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	10	10	41	\$ 287,040	\$ 143,520	\$ 143,520
1923	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	200 S from 600 E to 700 E, 600 E from 200 S to 300 S, 500 E from 100 S to 400 S	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	10	10	41	\$ 364,320	\$ 182,160	\$ 182,160
1924	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	200 W from 400 S to 200 S, from 200 W to 600 W, along 500 W from 200 S to 300 S, 400 W from 200 S to 300 S, 300 W from 200 S to Railroad Ave	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	10	10	41	\$ 695,520	\$ 347,760	\$ 347,760

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1940	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Silver Creek BPS Turbine 2	Snyderville		0	0	18	3	10	10	41	\$ 70,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000
2012	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Pecan Valley 3090 WL to 3090 Tank SR7 Crossing	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	3	10	0	41	\$ 2,914,094	\$ 2,914,094	\$ 1,718,514
2013	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Dixie Springs Tank to Sky Ranch Transmission Line Phase II	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	3	10	0	41	\$ 4,120,784	\$ 4,120,784	\$ 2,156,641
2093	DWQ	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater & Drainage	Dry Creek Reach 10 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Spanish Fork	110%	10	22	0	3	4	2	41	\$ 331,568	\$ 298,411	\$ 242,629
2153	DDW	Fillmore	Drinking Water	New Well	Fillmore	87%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,280,000
2154	DDW	Fillmore	Drinking Water	Booster	Fillmore	87%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 1,001,250
3109	DDW	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	West Duchesne County Culinary Water	Fruitland	82%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
4381	WRe	Bear River Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hammond East Piping SFP Project	Deweyville	117%	6	6	6	3	10	10	41	\$ 2,457,084	\$ 1,056,546	\$ 1,056,546
647	DDW	South Jordan	Drinking Water	9588 S 3770 W	South Jordan	135%	2	16	0	3	7	12	40	\$ 106,000	\$ 95,400	\$ 78,882
1776	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Upper Springs WL	Charleston		0	0	14	6	10	10	40	\$ 395,000	\$ 296,250	\$ 287,669

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2045	DDW	Payson	Drinking Water	Build a new culinary water tank and transmission line out of the tank for future growth.	Payson	93%	10	0	18	3	4	5	40	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 8,100,000	\$ 2,295,000
3570	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Main Line Renovation	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	7	10	40	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 630,000	\$ 630,000
3603	WRe	Myton	Secondary Water	reservoir rehabilitation	Myton	77%	15	0	0	3	10	12	40	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000
110	DDW	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Willard Region Interconnected System	Perry	137%	2	6	14	3	4	10	39	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,125,000	\$ 1,531,250
258	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project 5: 1300N Hopfenbeck Reservoir/Pump Station	West Point	140%	2	0	14	14	4	5	39	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,250,000	\$ 2,375,000
329	WRe	Herriman Town	Secondary Water	Rosecrest Rd Zone 3 Pipeline (SW3.07)	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	3	7	5	39	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 2,261,250
330	WRe	Herriman Town	Secondary Water	Mirabella Zone 3 Pipeline (SW3.06)	Herriman	125%	6	0	18	3	7	5	39	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 2,480,000	\$ 1,796,450
570	WRe	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Mountain Stabilization Retaining Wall at Deer Creek Dam	Wallsburg	107%	10	6	14	3	4	2	39	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,001,250
629	DWQ	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater & Drainage	DBS2 Debris Basin	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	0	3	7	5	39	\$ 2,133,000	\$ 1,599,750	\$ 1,349,517
630	DWQ	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater & Drainage	DBS6 Debris Basin	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	0	3	7	5	39	\$ 1,278,800	\$ 959,100	\$ 869,157
965	DDW	Levan	Drinking Water	Redo 400 E well	Levan	98%	10	0	0	6	13	10	39	\$ 500,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
1031	DDW	Heber City	Drinking Water	W-071 Central Heber Replacements Ph 2 - Water	Heber City	115%	6	0	14	6	13	0	39	\$ 15,635,000	\$ 13,289,750	\$ 3,127,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1097	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	West Zone Boundary Adjustment Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	5	39	\$ 2,150,200	\$ 1,720,160	\$ 1,358,365
1098	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	West Zone Distribution Pipe Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	5	39	\$ 19,375,200	\$ 15,500,160	\$ 3,875,040
1103	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Martins Flat Filtration Plant Pump Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	5	39	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 695,000
1109	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Martins Flat Pipeline Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	16	5	39	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 2,560,000	\$ 1,836,800
1532	WRe	Heber City	Secondary Water	I-030 Central Heber Irrigation Improvements Ph 2 - PI	Heber City	115%	6	0	14	6	13	0	39	\$ 5,296,000	\$ 4,501,600	\$ 2,429,381
1909	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	300 East Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	4	12	39	\$ 103,500	\$ 77,625	\$ 77,036
1910	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	700 South Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	4	12	39	\$ 75,000	\$ 56,250	\$ 55,941
1912	DDW	Farmington	Drinking Water	State Street Waterline Replacement	Farmington	148%	2	0	18	3	4	12	39	\$ 544,500	\$ 408,375	\$ 392,069
1952	DWQ	Canyonlands Regional Airport	Wastewater & Sewer	Upgrade airport wastewater facilities	Moab	82%	15	0	0	3	16	5	39	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,280,000
2146	DDW	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Shadow creek	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
2147	DDW	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Pressure reducing valves	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 350,000	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000
2245	DWQ	Gunnison	Stormwater & Drainage	East Side Stormwater Phase 1	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 850,000	\$ 425,000	\$ 425,000
3118	DDW	Enoch	Drinking Water	Culinary Well #2	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,280,000
3123	DDW	Enoch	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Transmission Lines	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,755,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3124	DDW	Enoch	Drinking Water	Culinary Booster Station	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 875,000	\$ 851,563
4367	DWQ	South Salt Lake City	Wastewater & Sewer	300 E Sewer Improvements	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	3	16	5	39	\$ 2,860,349	\$ 1,860,349	\$ 1,695,274
3	DDW	Layton	Drinking Water	New Well - Drill and Equip	Layton	112%	6	0	14	3	13	2	38	\$ 3,950,000	\$ 2,370,000	\$ 2,104,363
580	DWQ	Richfield City	Stormwater & Drainage	Dredge the Dairy Canyon Stormwater Detention Basin	Richfield	87%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,280,000
1308	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Seismic Retrofits at Reservoirs	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 3,258,000	\$ 814,500	\$ 814,500
1719	DWQ	Heber City	Stormwater & Drainage	Central Heber Flood Control Project	Heber City	115%	6	16	0	3	13	0	38	\$ 18,000,000	\$ 8,100,000	\$ 3,600,000
1743	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install Detention basin on the North Side of Glen Canyon Dr.	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 625,000	\$ 625,000
1744	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install an 8AF Detention Basin	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 1,030,000	\$ 515,000	\$ 515,000
1745	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install a 30" Storm Drain Pipe Along Cobblecreek Drive	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 1,015,000	\$ 507,500	\$ 507,500
1746	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Conveyance Ditch Along the Hill that Flows into the Glen Canyon Development	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 340,000	\$ 170,000	\$ 170,000
1747	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Reduce Street Flows Along Wedgewood Lane and Wagon Trail Drive	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 950,000	\$ 475,000	\$ 475,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1748	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Improve Quichapa Drainage from 200 N to 6300 W	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 7,350,000	\$ 3,675,000	\$ 2,541,263
1925	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	Price Kenilworth Rd from existing to 800 N	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	7	10	38	\$ 193,200	\$ 96,600	\$ 96,600
1926	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	800 N from Homestead to 1880 E	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	7	10	38	\$ 231,840	\$ 115,920	\$ 115,920
1927	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	1900 E from 800 N to PRV	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	7	10	38	\$ 483,000	\$ 241,500	\$ 241,500
1928	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	1900 E from PRV to Airport Rd	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	7	10	38	\$ 502,320	\$ 251,160	\$ 251,160
2015	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Tank in 3400 Zone	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	3	7	0	38	\$ 3,182,450	\$ 3,182,450	\$ 1,829,798
2016	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	300 South Pump Station Area	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	3	7	0	38	\$ 1,248,188	\$ 1,248,188	\$ 850,452
2018	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Toquerville Springs Transmission Line	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	3	7	0	38	\$ 2,150,000	\$ 2,150,000	\$ 1,358,263
2913	DDW	Zion Mountain Local Service District	Drinking Water	Distribution Improvements Phase 2	Modesto		0	0	18	3	7	10	38	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
3110	WRe	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Class D Canal Pipeline	Roosevelt	102%	10	0	0	3	13	12	38	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000
197	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	AMR meter replacement	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	14	6	7	10	37	\$ 385,000	\$ 77,000	\$ 77,000
648	DDW	South Jordan	Drinking Water	Trans-Jordan Landfill	South Jordan	135%	2	16	0	3	4	12	37	\$ 170,000	\$ 153,000	\$ 125,911
688	WRe	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	Expand Wolf Creek Reuse Storage Pond	Eden	157%	0	0	14	3	10	10	37	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,555,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1779	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	District Well House Replacement	Charleston		0	0	0	14	13	10	37	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
1889	WRe	Grantsville Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Shelly Lane pipeline	Grantsville	120%	6	0	14	3	4	10	37	\$ 594,750	\$ 237,900	\$ 237,900
1890	WRe	Grantsville Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Williams Lane pipeline	Grantsville	120%	6	0	14	3	4	10	37	\$ 1,716,000	\$ 686,400	\$ 686,400
2014	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Water Quality/Quantiity Test Well	Hurricane	92%	10	0	14	3	10	0	37	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,280,000
2196	DDW	Circleville	Drinking Water	New Chlorination Building	Circleville	73%	15	0	0	6	4	12	37	\$ 250,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 184,063
2197	DDW	Circleville	Drinking Water	Distribution System Improvements Phase 2	Circleville	73%	15	0	0	3	7	12	37	\$ 3,136,000	\$ 2,822,400	\$ 1,811,103
2285	DDW	Kaysville	Drinking Water	Old town main line replacement	Kaysville	148%	2	6	0	3	16	10	37	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 2,800,000
3576	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Water Treatment Plant Expansion	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	4	10	37	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
3652	DDW	Clinton	Drinking Water	HAFB Tank to 2300 North Water Line	Clinton	123%	6	0	14	3	4	10	37	\$ 1,267,000	\$ 633,500	\$ 633,500
1124	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	South Mountain Storage Tank Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	5	36	\$ 5,200,000	\$ 4,160,000	\$ 2,412,800
1125	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	South Mountain Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	5	36	\$ 5,200,000	\$ 4,160,000	\$ 2,412,800
1126	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	2300 North Storage Tank Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	5	36	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 5,200,000	\$ 2,551,250
1954	DDW	Syracuse	Drinking Water	3 MG Storage Tank	Syracuse	143%	2	16	0	3	10	5	36	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 9,600,000	\$ 2,400,000
2244	DWQ	Gunnison	Stormwater & Drainage	West Side Stormwater Phase 2	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 850,000	\$ 425,000	\$ 425,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2957	DWQ	American Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	1300 W, 100 S to Lakeshore	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 5,532,084	\$ 1,383,021	\$ 1,383,021
2958	DWQ	American Fork	Wastewater & Sewer	1400 W, 100 S to Lakeshore	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 3,965,472	\$ 991,368	\$ 991,368
3034	DDW	American Fork	Drinking Water	6th East Well	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 7,118,135	\$ 1,779,534	\$ 1,779,534
3119	DDW	Enoch	Drinking Water	Culinary Well #3	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,280,000
3122	DDW	Enoch	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Tank	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 6,400,000	\$ 2,480,000
3631	WRe	Morgan Secondary Water Association	Secondary Water	Inlet Structure Replacement	Morgan	145%	2	0	14	3	7	10	36	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
4368	DWQ	South Salt Lake City	Wastewater & Sewer	Shelley Ave Sewer Improvements	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	3	13	5	36	\$ 336,418	\$ 186,418	\$ 186,418
4	DDW	Layton	Drinking Water	Sandridge Tank & Booster Pump Station (MG Tank) (C8)	Layton	112%	6	0	14	3	10	2	35	\$ 4,200,000	\$ 2,520,000	\$ 2,179,800
581	DWQ	Richfield City	Stormwater & Drainage	Dredge the Cottonwood Stormwater Detention Basin	Richfield	87%	15	0	0	3	7	10	35	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 1,755,000
649	DDW	South Jordan	Drinking Water	Bacchus Highway	South Jordan	135%	2	16	0	3	4	10	35	\$ 71,000	\$ 63,900	\$ 52,973
1929	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	Replace pipe when installing Fire Hydrants	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	4	10	35	\$ 836,280	\$ 418,140	\$ 418,140
1930	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	Cedar Hill Dr at Sagewood, 100 E before 500 S, 700 E and 100 S	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	4	10	35	\$ 24,840	\$ 12,420	\$ 12,420
1931	DDW	Price	Drinking Water	Fire Hydrants to meet UAC requirements	Price	85%	15	0	0	6	4	10	35	\$ 298,080	\$ 149,040	\$ 149,040
2019	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Tank in Wal-Mart Zone	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	3	4	0	35	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,951,250

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2020	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Tank in Stratton Zone	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	3	4	0	35	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,951,250
2021	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Tank in High Pressure Zone	Hurricane	92%	10	0	18	3	4	0	35	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,951,250
3548	DDW	Price River Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Storage Tank	Price	85%	15	0	0	3	7	10	35	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
1549	WRe	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Shern's pond diversion rehabilitation	Spring City	90%	15	0	0	3	4	12	34	\$ 60,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
1787	WRe	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Secondary Water	Greener Hills Source Deficiency Project	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	3	13	12	34	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
2017	DDW	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	3090 to 3580 Booster Transmission Line	Hurricane	92%	10	0	14	3	7	0	34	\$ 888,300	\$ 888,300	\$ 622,826
3089	DWQ	Ballard Water Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Ballard City Sewer Phase 2	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	13	12	34	\$ 1,791,000	\$ 895,500	\$ 895,500
985	DWQ	Murray	Wastewater & Sewer	300 West 5500 South to 5800 South	Murray	98%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
2048	WRe	Payson	Secondary Water	New PI main to loop under I-15	Payson	93%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,001,250
2246	DWQ	Gunnison	Stormwater & Drainage	East Side Stormwater Phase 2	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 850,000	\$ 425,000	\$ 425,000
2251	DWQ	Gunnison	Wastewater & Sewer	West Lift Station	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 525,000	\$ 131,250	\$ 131,250
2252	DWQ	Gunnison	Wastewater & Sewer	Desludge	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 456,000	\$ 114,000	\$ 114,000
3019	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	218 Storrs Ave Outfall Line	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 3,157,000	\$ 789,250	\$ 789,250
3024	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	223 50 E Outfall Line	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 1,984,000	\$ 496,000	\$ 496,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3629	DDW	West Corinne Water Company	Drinking Water	14" Transmission Line	Corinne	100%	10	0	0	6	7	10	33	\$ 4,200,000	\$ 1,680,000	\$ 1,680,000
5	DDW	Layton	Drinking Water	Pipe Replacement (Antelope, Fort Lane to Church Street)	Layton	112%	6	0	14	3	7	2	32	\$ 715,000	\$ 429,000	\$ 429,000
278	DWQ	Grantsville	Wastewater & Sewer	Northwest Interceptor Replacement	Grantsville	120%	6	0	0	3	13	10	32	\$ 12,316,321	\$ 4,064,386	\$ 2,463,264
879	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	Ephraim Crossing East side loop	Ephraim	82%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
928	DWQ	Oakley	Wastewater & Sewer	PHASE 1 of Collection System Improvements	Oakley	125%	6	0	0	3	13	10	32	\$ 500,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
1400	DDW	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	FRWTP Phase 2	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	14	7	5	32	\$ 6,440,000	\$ 4,830,000	\$ 2,548,952
3097	DDW	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Johnson Water Connection	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	13	10	32	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,059,200
3112	WRe	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Class F Brown's Draw Pipeline	Neola	107%	10	0	0	3	7	12	32	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
3571	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Icy Springs Site Upgrades	Coalville	123%	6	0	0	3	13	10	32	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
185	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Lilac Lane to Old Hwy water line	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	0	3	16	12	31	\$ 60,000	\$ 48,000	\$ 44,802
688	WRe	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	Expand Wolf Creek Reuse Storage Pond	Eden	157%	0	0	14	3	4	10	31	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,555,000
1005	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	Medford Pond Rebuild	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	10	12	31	\$ 325,000	\$ 65,000	\$ 65,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1007	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	City Shop Drain - 300 West to Mill Creek	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	10	12	31	\$ 325,000	\$ 65,000	\$ 65,000
1062	DWQ	Draper	Stormwater & Drainage	Maple Hollow	Draper	133%	2	0	0	3	16	10	31	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,520,000
1349	WRe	Highland	Secondary Water	11800 Well Rehab	Highland	155%	0	0	0	14	7	10	31	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
1392	DWQ	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	Ross Creek Force Main	Hideout	238%	0	0	0	14	7	10	31	\$ 564,000	\$ 282,000	\$ 282,000
3409	DDW	Whispering Pines Water Company	Drinking Water	Water Storage Tank	Mt Pleasant		0	0	0	3	16	12	31	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,350,000	\$ 1,001,250
451	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	8000 W Booster Pump Station Pumps	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	7	5	30	\$ 500,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 361,250
666	DDW	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	Davis Well Treatment	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	6	4	5	30	\$ 2,620,800	\$ 2,096,640	\$ 1,587,827
2227	DDW	Gunnison	Drinking Water	New 1 MG Tank for Upper Zone	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	7	10	30	\$ 2,240,000	\$ 560,000	\$ 560,000
2229	DDW	Gunnison	Drinking Water	Treatment Plant Upgrade	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	7	10	30	\$ 450,000	\$ 270,000	\$ 270,000
3026	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	225 500 E Outfall Line	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	7	10	30	\$ 5,382,000	\$ 1,345,500	\$ 1,345,500
6	DDW	Layton	Drinking Water	Pipe Replacement (Gentile; Flint Street to Rosewood Lane)	Layton	112%	6	0	14	3	4	2	29	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,080,000	\$ 1,080,000
7	DDW	Layton	Drinking Water	Pipe Replacement (Sugar St; Gentile to Hillfield Road)	Layton	112%	6	0	14	3	4	2	29	\$ 600,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 360,000
546	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Lagoon Abandonment	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	16	10	29	\$ 800,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
547	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Lagoon Transition	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	16	10	29	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
929	DWQ	Oakley	Wastewater & Sewer	PHASE 2 of Collection System Improvements	Oakley	125%	6	0	0	3	10	10	29	\$ 500,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
1018	DDW	Bountiful	Drinking Water	Barton Creek Well Rehab	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	10	10	29	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
1666	DWQ	Springville	Stormwater & Drainage	Hobble Creek Flood Protection Proejct	Springville	100%	10	0	0	3	4	12	29	\$ 35,000,000	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 7,000,000
1934	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Old Ranch Well Development (Well No. 17)	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	16	10	29	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,280,000
2149	DDW	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Drinking Water	Canyonlands Airport Pipeline	Sandy	113%	6	0	0	3	10	10	29	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
3531	DWQ	Toquerville City	Stormwater & Drainage	Extend storm drain on Rim View dr	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	16	0	29	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
3646	DWQ	Clinton	Stormwater & Drainage	1000 West Replacement	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	10	10	29	\$ 676,000	\$ 338,000	\$ 338,000
3651	DDW	Clinton	Drinking Water	1800 North Water Line: 2000 W to 3600 W	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	10	10	29	\$ 2,368,000	\$ 1,184,000	\$ 1,184,000
186	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Old Hwy to Cottonwood Canyon Rd	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	0	3	13	12	28	\$ 93,000	\$ 74,400	\$ 69,274
485	DWQ	Nibley	Wastewater & Sewer	Southwest Regional Sewer Lift Station (B)	Nibley	132%	2	0	0	3	13	10	28	\$ 1,941,000	\$ 970,500	\$ 970,500

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1008	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	Deborah Dr. - Claremont to 900 East	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	7	12	28	\$ 425,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000
1936	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Community Water Tank Rebuild	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	13	12	28	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 880,000	\$ 758,450
465	DWQ	Magna Water District	Wastewater & Sewer	West Side Collection Project Phase 4	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 2,880,000	\$ 1,987,200
466	DWQ	Magna Water District	Wastewater & Sewer	West Side Collection Project Phase 5	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 1,951,250
467	DWQ	Magna Water District	Wastewater & Sewer	7600 West Diversion, Reach 1	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 695,000
472	DWQ	Magna Water District	Wastewater & Sewer	7200 W, 3500 S to 3100 S	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,001,250
687	DDW	Summit Water Distribution Company	Drinking Water	Hidden Cove Transite line replacement	Park City	135%	2	0	0	3	10	12	27	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 1,760,000	\$ 1,383,800
1033	DDW	Heber City	Drinking Water	W-027 Future Water Storage Tank	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	14	7	0	27	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 2,250,000
2228	DDW	Gunnison	Drinking Water	Distribution System Improvements Phase 2	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 3,685,000	\$ 2,211,000	\$ 2,016,893
2248	DWQ	Gunnison	Stormwater & Drainage	200 West Flood Mitigation Structure	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 450,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000
2249	DWQ	Gunnison	Stormwater & Drainage	500 East Flood Mitigation Structure	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 850,000	\$ 425,000	\$ 425,000
3212	DWQ	North Logan	Wastewater & Sewer	Master Plan Update	North Logan	103%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 80,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
4369	DWQ	South Salt Lake City	Wastewater & Sewer	Welby Ave Sewer Improvements	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 462,575	\$ 262,575	\$ 262,575
210	WRe	Carbon Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Dam intake structure ice protection	Scofield		0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 200,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
1019	DDW	Bountiful	Drinking Water	Barton Creek Boosters Replacement	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	7	10	26	\$ 750,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
1287	DDW	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	12-inch PVC Water Line	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 2,105,050	\$ 1,789,293	\$ 1,335,070
1288	DDW	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	8-inch and 10-inch PVCWater Line	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 2,057,931	\$ 1,749,241	\$ 1,310,519
1289	DDW	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	8-inch PVC Water Line	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 1,425,669	\$ 1,211,819	\$ 957,462
1361	DWQ	Highland	Wastewater & Sewer	Generator Replacement	Highland	155%	0	0	0	6	10	10	26	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
1369	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Generator Replacements	Highland	155%	0	0	0	6	10	10	26	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
1938	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Willow Draw Water Treatment Plant	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 5,833,548	\$ 4,666,838	\$ 2,503,495
3096	DDW	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Old Victory Park Pipe Replacement	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	7	10	26	\$ 500,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 361,250
3304	DWQ	Fairfield	Wastewater & Sewer	2500 N Sewer Main (C-4)	Fairfield		0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 4,637,300	\$ 3,756,213	\$ 2,295,225
3305	DWQ	Fairfield	Wastewater & Sewer	Lehi-Fairfield Rd Sewer Main (C-5)	Fairfield		0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 2,748,100	\$ 2,225,961	\$ 1,645,712
3642	DWQ	Clinton	Stormwater & Drainage	Storm Drain Expansion	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	7	10	26	\$ 5,725,000	\$ 2,862,500	\$ 2,491,091

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
483	DWQ	Nibley	Wastewater & Sewer	Additional Pump at Hansen Sewer Lift Station (C)	Nibley	132%	2	0	0	3	10	10	25	\$ 151,000	\$ 75,500	\$ 75,500
499	DWQ	Nibley	Stormwater & Drainage	1200 West Pipe Upsizing at 3450 South (11)	Nibley	132%	2	0	0	3	10	10	25	\$ 67,000	\$ 16,750	\$ 16,750
1006	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	Property Line Runoff - Davis Blvd. 2025 South to Lewis Park	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	4	12	25	\$ 350,000	\$ 70,000	\$ 70,000
1064	DWQ	Draper	Stormwater & Drainage	South Mountain Golf Course	Draper	133%	2	0	0	3	10	10	25	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
1065	DWQ	Draper	Stormwater & Drainage	Draper Town Center Drainage	Draper	133%	2	0	0	3	10	10	25	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,520,000
1393	DWQ	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater & Sewer	Twin Peaks Pump Skid Replacement	Hideout	238%	0	0	0	9	4	12	25	\$ 850,000	\$ 425,000	\$ 425,000
1591	DWQ	Heber City	Wastewater & Sewer	S-048 Central Heber Replacements Ph 2 - Sewer	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	6	13	0	25	\$ 15,635,000	\$ 13,289,750	\$ 3,127,000
2046	DDW	Payson	Drinking Water	Install a new 12" culinary transmission waterline for future growth areas.	Payson	93%	10	0	0	3	7	5	25	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 1,755,000
2289	DDW	Kaysville	Drinking Water	Replace Upper pasture tank	Kaysville	148%	2	0	0	3	10	10	25	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
3115	WRe	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Class A and Mt Emmons Canal Lining	Altamont	123%	6	0	0	3	4	12	25	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
3306	DWQ	Fairfield	Wastewater & Sewer	200 E Sewer Main (C-6)	Fairfield		0	0	0	3	10	12	25	\$ 1,590,400	\$ 1,192,800	\$ 1,053,685
3307	DWQ	Fairfield	Wastewater & Sewer	Tal Adair Sewer Main (C-7)	Fairfield		0	0	0	3	10	12	25	\$ 1,516,800	\$ 1,395,456	\$ 1,011,062

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3562	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Legal Administration	Coalville	123%	6	0	0	3	4	12	25	\$ 50,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000
215	DDW	Draper Irrigation Company	Drinking Water	Big Willow Steel Pipeline Replacement	Sandy	113%	6	0	0	3	10	5	24	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 3,400,000	\$ 2,120,000
205	DDW	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Belnap well redrill	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 1,892,015	\$ 1,702,814	\$ 1,222,127
523	WRe	Pleasant Creek Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Pleasant Creek PRV Replacement	Mt Pleasant		0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 100,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 74,450
855	DDW	Elk Ridge	Drinking Water	New water tank by upper tank	Elk Ridge	152%	0	0	0	9	4	10	23	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
1402	DDW	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	KWTP Mechanical Improvements (Lime, Dewatering, ...)	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 550,000	\$ 550,000
1781	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	District Well Rehab	Charleston		0	0	0	6	7	10	23	\$ 80,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
1941	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Increase Pipe Size Along Silver Gate Drive	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 1,892,000	\$ 946,000	\$ 946,000
1942	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Increase Pipe Size Along Old Highway 40 and Silver Creek Drive	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 2,087,000	\$ 1,043,500	\$ 1,043,500
2126	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	2200 South 3720 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	13	5	23	\$ 800,307	\$ 640,246	\$ 565,003

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3085	DWQ	Ballard	Stormwater & Drainage	Upsizing Storm Drain Pipe	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 188,980	\$ 141,735	\$ 139,771
3086	DWQ	Ballard	Stormwater & Drainage	New Culverts	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 110,550	\$ 82,913	\$ 82,240
3099	DDW	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Hidden Valley Waterline	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 500,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 361,250
3100	DDW	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Line Improvements	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,280,000
3643	DWQ	Clinton	Stormwater & Drainage	2300 North Replacement	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 1,404,000	\$ 702,000	\$ 702,000
3644	DWQ	Clinton	Stormwater & Drainage	3000 West Replacement	Clinton	123%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 1,248,000	\$ 624,000	\$ 624,000
515	DDW	Nibley	Drinking Water	4000 South Replacement Well	Nibley	132%	2	0	0	3	7	10	22	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000
516	DDW	Nibley	Drinking Water	Pipeline Upsize and Replacement Projects	Nibley	132%	2	0	0	3	7	10	22	\$ 2,300,000	\$ 460,000	\$ 460,000
1034	DDW	Heber City	Drinking Water	W-054 Future Source Capacity	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	6	10	0	22	\$ 4,700,000	\$ 2,350,000	\$ 2,310,050
1535	WRe	Heber City	Secondary Water	I-027 PW Expansion Ph 2 - Pressurized Irrigation	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	6	10	0	22	\$ 150,000	\$ 127,500	\$ 111,263
1595	DWQ	Heber City	Wastewater & Sewer	S-041 PW Expansion Ph 2 - Sewer	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	6	10	0	22	\$ 1,422,000	\$ 1,208,700	\$ 955,285
1944	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Glenwild and Redhawk Loop and PRV	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	7	12	22	\$ 582,000	\$ 291,000	\$ 291,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1945	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Install a New Pipe in Silver Springs	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	7	12	22	\$ 669,000	\$ 535,200	\$ 477,134
1946	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Install a New Pipe in North Ridge	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	7	12	22	\$ 140,000	\$ 70,000	\$ 70,000
2203	DDW	Croydon Pipeline Company	Drinking Water	6800 E Extention	Croydon		0	0	0	3	7	12	22	\$ 97,200	\$ 97,200	\$ 72,380
2288	DDW	Kaysville	Drinking Water	Lower Pasture tank #2	Kaysville	148%	2	0	0	3	7	10	22	\$ 4,700,000	\$ 2,350,000	\$ 2,310,050
3308	DWQ	Fairfield	Wastewater & Sewer	75 N Sewer Main (C-8)	Fairfield		0	0	0	3	7	12	22	\$ 838,700	\$ 503,220	\$ 503,220
10	DWQ	Layton	Wastewater & Sewer	Oakridge Dr-2200 E to 2650 E (WWMP 3.1 and 3.2)	Layton	112%	6	0	0	3	10	2	21	\$ 3,110,000	\$ 1,866,000	\$ 1,800,535
198	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	upgrade SCADA system	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 350,000	\$ 70,000	\$ 70,000
204	DDW	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Drinking Water Plant	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 3,942,000	\$ 3,547,800	\$ 2,101,835
539	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Source #4 Development	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 2,556,450	\$ 1,278,225	\$ 1,278,225

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
558	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	4200 N Lift Station	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
693	WRe	Wolf Creek Water And Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	Build additional lined Irrigation header pond	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 5,007,209	\$ 4,005,767	\$ 2,376,439
1357	DWQ	Highland	Wastewater & Sewer	10480 SS - 12"	Highland	155%	0	0	0	6	4	10	20	\$ 425,000	\$ 212,500	\$ 212,500
1943	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Improve Conveyance between the Signal Hill Tank and Atkinson (Alternative A)	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 3,824,000	\$ 1,912,000	\$ 1,912,000
2129	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	3000 West 1000 South	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	10	5	20	\$ 2,475,000	\$ 1,980,000	\$ 1,519,341
520	DDW	Nibley	Drinking Water	Replace Pressure Reducing Valves	Nibley	132%	2	0	0	3	4	10	19	\$ 360,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000
1362	DWQ	Highland	Wastewater & Sewer	Pheasant Hollow Sump Discharge	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	4	12	19	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
1722	DWQ	Heber City	Stormwater & Drainage	D-028 PW Expansion Ph 2 - Storm Water	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	6	7	0	19	\$ 820,000	\$ 697,000	\$ 578,018
1948	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Increase capacity at Redhawk Booster Station	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	4	12	19	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
1949	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Increase capacity at Glenwild Booster Station	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	4	12	19	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
11	DWQ	Layton	Wastewater & Sewer	Fairfield & Rosewood (WWMP 9.1 and 9.2)	Layton	112%	6	0	0	3	7	2	18	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,260,000	\$ 1,260,000
259	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project 6: 18", 24", & 30" Pipes on 1300 North	West Point	140%	2	0	0	6	4	5	17	\$ 1,661,000	\$ 1,411,850	\$ 1,094,009
263	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	Kay-Project 3: 30" Pipe on 200 North	Kaysville	148%	2	0	0	6	4	5	17	\$ 1,285,000	\$ 1,092,250	\$ 872,933
264	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	Kay-Project 5: 18" Pipe on Flint St.	Kaysville	148%	2	0	0	6	4	5	17	\$ 1,174,000	\$ 997,900	\$ 804,695
540	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Source #5 Development	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 2,556,450	\$ 1,278,225	\$ 1,278,225
1352	WRe	Highland	Secondary Water	Hidden Oaks, Cross Cover Bridge WL	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
1353	WRe	Highland	Secondary Water	Dry Creek Bench Well	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 1,584,000	\$ 792,000	\$ 792,000
1355	WRe	Highland	Secondary Water	Upper Beacon Hills Transmission Line	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 468,000	\$ 234,000	\$ 234,000
1374	DDW	Highland	Drinking Water	Upgrade SCADA	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
1947	DDW	Mountain Regional Water Special Service District	Drinking Water	Increase capacity at Blackhawk Booster Station	Snyderville		0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000

Table A3 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Near Future (6-10 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2122	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	2700 South 3720 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	7	5	17	\$ 1,522,000	\$ 1,217,600	\$ 1,014,093
2914	DDW	Zion Mountain Local Service District	Drinking Water	New Well #4	Modesto		0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 262,500	\$ 262,500
1035	DDW	Heber City	Drinking Water	W-068 PW Expansion Ph 2 - Water	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	6	4	0	16	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000
217	DDW	Draper Irrigation Company	Drinking Water	New Culinary Well	Draper	133%	2	0	0	3	4	5	14	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,125,000	\$ 1,531,250
823	DDW	Oquirrh Point Improvement District	Drinking Water	Oquirrh Point Tank No. 3	Erda	135%	2	0	0	3	4	5	14	\$ 8,144,473	\$ 8,144,473	\$ 2,460,071
2118	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	2500 South 2675 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	4	5	14	\$ 3,413,000	\$ 2,730,400	\$ 1,919,079
2125	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	1700 South 4300 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	4	5	14	\$ 1,964,610	\$ 1,571,688	\$ 1,261,174
2127	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	3700 South 1425 West	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	4	5	14	\$ 2,096,000	\$ 1,676,800	\$ 1,330,373
2128	DWQ	Syracuse	Stormwater & Drainage	700 West Bluff Road	Syracuse	143%	2	0	0	3	4	5	14	\$ 5,151,000	\$ 4,120,800	\$ 2,403,946
													Total	\$ 2,976,490,850	\$ 1,508,188,537	\$ 858,506,279

Table A4: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1268	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Cedar Canyon Springs Redevelopment	Cedar City	78%	15	22	18	3	4	5	67	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 1,755,000
1578	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	Treatment Plant Expansion	Cedar City	78%	15	22	14	3	10	2	66	\$ 150,000,000	\$ 97,500,000	\$ 30,000,000
3258	WRe	Roosevelt	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Phase VI	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	18	6	13	12	65	\$ 4,431,320	\$ 1,462,336	\$ 1,462,336
3296	DDW	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Upgrade Undersized Lines Phase II	Duchesne	92%	10	16	14	3	10	12	65	\$ 3,900,000	\$ 2,925,000	\$ 2,088,450
3297	DDW	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Upgrade Undersized Lines Phase III	Duchesne	92%	10	16	14	3	10	12	65	\$ 3,900,000	\$ 2,925,000	\$ 2,088,450
117	DDW	Cedarview-Montwell Special Service District	Drinking Water	North Neola Tank and Pipeline	Neola	107%	10	0	14	14	13	12	63	\$ 4,065,000	\$ 4,065,000	\$ 2,139,918
234	DDW	Diamond Valley Acres Water Company	Drinking Water	Secondary Irrigation Water System	St. George	88%	15	0	14	14	10	10	63	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
235	DDW	Diamond Valley Acres Water Company	Drinking Water	Drill new wells	St. George	88%	15	16	0	9	13	10	63	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
1047	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Oak Vista 3, 4, 7a, & 8	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	10	12	63	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 7,500,000	\$ 3,000,000
1048	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Deer Ridge 1 & 2	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	10	12	63	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,520,000
1049	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Eagle Crest 3	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	10	12	63	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
1050	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Oak Vista 6	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	10	12	63	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
3421	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	48-in Redundant Pipeline	Provo	68%	18	16	0	3	16	10	63	\$ 27,309,000	\$ 8,192,700	\$ 5,461,800

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3298	DDW	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Upgrade Undersized Lines Phase IV	Duchesne	92%	10	16	14	3	7	12	62	\$ 3,900,000	\$ 2,925,000	\$ 2,088,450
3299	DDW	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Upgrade Undersized Lines Phase V	Duchesne	92%	10	16	14	3	7	12	62	\$ 3,900,000	\$ 2,925,000	\$ 2,088,450
2906	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Disinfection Project	Torrey	80%	15	0	18	3	13	12	61	\$ 150,000	\$ 112,500	\$ 111,263
3498	DWQ	Provo	Wastewater & Sewer	Bioreactor No. 5 Construction	Provo	68%	18	22	0	3	13	5	61	\$ 25,725,000	\$ 10,290,000	\$ 5,145,000
1051	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Maple Hollow 10, 11, & 13	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	7	12	60	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,480,000
1053	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Mercer Hollow 15	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	7	12	60	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1054	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Eagle Crest 1 & 6	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	7	12	60	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 2,800,000
1055	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Stoneleigh Heights Phase 2 & 3	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	7	12	60	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
2199	DWQ	Circleville	Wastewater & Sewer	Wastewater System	Circleville	73%	15	0	14	3	16	12	60	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 2,000,000
798	DWQ	Alta	Wastewater & Sewer	West Grizzly Sewer Extension	Alta	73%	15	22	0	6	4	12	59	\$ 858,000	\$ 429,000	\$ 429,000
3300	DDW	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Fire Flow Improvement Project	Duchesne	92%	10	16	14	3	4	12	59	\$ 438,000	\$ 328,500	\$ 317,949
213	DDW	Draper Irrigation Company	Drinking Water	Drinking Water Treatment Plant Rebuild	Sandy	113%	6	22	0	9	16	5	58	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 25,500,000	\$ 6,000,000
572	DDW	Richfield City	Drinking Water	Lion's Park Irrigation Replacement	Richfield	87%	15	0	14	6	13	10	58	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
871	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	New 5 MG water tank	Ephraim	82%	15	0	14	3	16	10	58	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 4,800,000	\$ 2,400,000
1052	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Maple Hollow 7	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	7	10	58	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
261	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project New3: Replace reservoir concrete liner	Sunset	87%	15	16	14	3	4	5	57	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 3,825,000	\$ 2,261,250
622	WRe	Saratoga Springs	Secondary Water	PI-13 North Lake Pump Station 33	Saratoga Springs	145%	2	22	18	3	7	5	57	\$ 7,974,000	\$ 5,980,500	\$ 2,483,343
865	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	New trunkline from tank 16"	Ephraim	82%	15	16	0	3	13	10	57	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 480,000	\$ 480,000
1056	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Oak Vista 7, Stoneleigh Heights 1	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	4	12	57	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
1057	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Eagle Crest 4	Draper	133%	2	22	14	3	4	12	57	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,750,000
1563	WRe	Cedar City	Secondary Water	Storage Reservoir at north end pipeline Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	18	3	16	5	57	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,375,000
3417	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	Lakeview Pkwy Connection	Provo	68%	18	16	0	3	10	10	57	\$ 2,820,000	\$ 1,410,000	\$ 1,410,000
762	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Main Street and Progress Way, 1540 North to 2000 North	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 1,669,500	\$ 1,419,075	\$ 1,098,827
763	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	2000 North and 400 East, Progress Way to 2200 North	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 750,000	\$ 637,500	\$ 531,563
764	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	400 East, 2400 North to 2550 North	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 372,000	\$ 316,200	\$ 271,389
765	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	South A Well	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 4,282,500	\$ 3,640,125	\$ 2,203,186
766	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	South A Well to Highway 36	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 8,565,000	\$ 7,280,250	\$ 2,388,993
767	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Highway 36, South A to Honerine	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 4,914,000	\$ 4,176,900	\$ 2,357,393

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
768	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Expand Equalization Tank for South A Well	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 2,043,000	\$ 1,736,550	\$ 1,302,688
769	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Barrick Wells Refurbishment	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 396,000	\$ 336,600	\$ 288,375
770	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Barrick Wells to Highway 36	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 12,046,500	\$ 10,239,525	\$ 2,409,300
771	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Highway 36, Barrick to South A	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 21,790,500	\$ 18,521,925	\$ 4,358,100
772	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Expand Equalization Tank for Barrick Wells	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 4,086,000	\$ 3,473,100	\$ 2,146,253
773	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Vernon Wells	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 17,121,000	\$ 14,552,850	\$ 3,424,200
774	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Arsenic Treatment Plant for Vernon Wells	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 2,467,500	\$ 2,097,375	\$ 1,515,754
775	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Highway 36, Vernon to Barrick	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 65,566,500	\$ 55,731,525	\$ 13,113,300
776	DDW	Tooele	Drinking Water	Expand Equalization Tank for Vernon Wells	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 8,170,500	\$ 6,944,925	\$ 2,456,236
791	DWQ	Tooele	Wastewater & Sewer	Solids storage building Construction	Tooele	97%	10	22	0	3	16	5	56	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 1,755,000
3194	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Uinta River Storage	Neola	107%	10	6	14	3	13	10	56	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 6,000,000
3254	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Upgrade Burdick PRV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	10	10	56	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
3572	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Lewis Well	Coalville	123%	6	16	14	3	7	10	56	\$ 300,000	\$ 210,000	\$ 210,000
3625	WRe	Western Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	750 W to 2700 N	Ogden	85%	15	0	18	14	4	5	56	\$ 7,076,000	\$ 5,660,800	\$ 2,553,162
118	DDW	Cedarview-Montwell Special Service District	Drinking Water	North Neola Distribution Pipelines	Neola	107%	10	0	14	6	13	12	55	\$ 6,933,000	\$ 6,933,000	\$ 2,556,093

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
237	DDW	Diamond Valley Acres Water Company	Drinking Water	Tank Rehab	St. George	88%	15	0	14	3	13	10	55	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
356	DDW	Hi-Country Estates II Homeowners Association	Drinking Water	Upper Zone D Pipeline upsize (fire flow)	Herriman	125%	6	16	14	3	4	12	55	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,680,000	\$ 1,332,450
357	DDW	Hi-Country Estates II Homeowners Association	Drinking Water	Lower Zone Improvements	Herriman	125%	6	16	14	3	4	12	55	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,680,000	\$ 1,332,450
441	DDW	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	Zone 1 Storage Tank Replacement	Magna	82%	15	16	0	3	16	5	55	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 7,200,000	\$ 2,295,000
679	DWQ	Richmond	Stormwater & Drainage	300 E Storm Drain Upgrades	Richmond	110%	10	16	0	3	16	10	55	\$ 450,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000
683	DWQ	Richmond	Stormwater & Drainage	100 N Storm Drain Upgrades	Richmond	110%	10	16	0	3	16	10	55	\$ 414,000	\$ 207,000	\$ 207,000
684	DWQ	Richmond	Stormwater & Drainage	State Street Storm Drain Upgrades	Richmond	110%	10	16	0	3	16	10	55	\$ 648,000	\$ 324,000	\$ 324,000
869	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	Replace 6" lake hill tank overflow line	Ephraim	82%	15	0	14	3	13	10	55	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
1193	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Basin 71 Wells and Chlorination Facility Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	22	0	3	10	5	55	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
1194	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Pine Valley Project Installation	Minersville	95%	10	22	0	3	10	10	55	\$ 300,000,000	\$ 150,000,000	\$ 60,000,000
2063	DWQ	Payson	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize sewer line in Turf Farm Road from 1150 S to 800 S	Payson	93%	10	22	0	3	10	10	55	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 2,090,000	\$ 1,383,800
3191	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Brown's Draw Reservoir Upgrade	Neola	107%	10	6	14	3	10	12	55	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2067	DWQ	Payson	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize sewer in 300 South from 300 E to 200 E	Payson	93%	10	22	0	3	7	12	54	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
3609	DWQ	Myton	Stormwater & Drainage	Infrastructure deficiencies	Myton	77%	15	0	14	3	10	12	54	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
245	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 21- Replace 102" CMP with Box Culvert	Riverdale	90%	15	0	14	6	16	2	53	\$ 10,069,000	\$ 8,558,650	\$ 2,013,800
1313	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Anderson Line CIPP 1160 W to 3100 W	West Valley City	77%	15	0	18	3	7	10	53	\$ 7,196,000	\$ 1,799,000	\$ 1,799,000
1316	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Anderson Line CIPP 4800 W to Breeze Reservoir	West Valley City	77%	15	0	18	3	7	10	53	\$ 8,884,000	\$ 2,221,000	\$ 2,221,000
1335	DDW	Helper City	Drinking Water	Fish Creek Pipeline Replacement	Helper	87%	15	0	18	6	4	10	53	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
1547	WRe	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	South Fields Main feed line	Spring City	90%	15	16	0	3	7	12	53	\$ 410,000	\$ 184,500	\$ 184,500
2061	DWQ	Payson	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize sewer line in American Way from 400 S to 800 N	Payson	93%	10	22	0	3	13	5	53	\$ 12,300,000	\$ 11,685,000	\$ 2,460,000
2062	DWQ	Payson	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize sewer line in American Way from 800 S to 400 S.	Payson	93%	10	22	0	3	13	5	53	\$ 4,600,000	\$ 4,370,000	\$ 2,286,200
3248	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Gales Acres PRV	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	7	10	53	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
3554	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Reservoir Access Project	Coalville	123%	6	16	0	3	16	12	53	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 320,000	\$ 320,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
443	DDW	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	7200 W, 3500 S to 4100 S Replace Transite	Magna	82%	15	16	0	3	13	5	52	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,280,000
573	DDW	Richfield City	Drinking Water	City Park Irrigation Replacement	Richfield	87%	15	0	14	6	7	10	52	\$ 50,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
680	DWQ	Richmond	Stormwater & Drainage	Main Street Storm Drain Upgrades	Richmond	110%	10	16	0	3	13	10	52	\$ 375,000	\$ 187,500	\$ 187,500
797	DWQ	Alta	Wastewater & Sewer	Ongoing Sewer Replacement	Alta	73%	15	22	0	6	4	5	52	\$ 4,535,000	\$ 2,267,500	\$ 2,267,500
867	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	Replace 4" line on 300 North	Ephraim	82%	15	0	14	3	10	10	52	\$ 800,000	\$ 320,000	\$ 320,000
1625	DDW	Spring City	Drinking Water	Spring City Source Improvement - Well	Spring City	90%	15	0	0	14	13	10	52	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 2,090,000	\$ 1,383,800
2903	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	West Side System Looping 700 West 325 North Loop	Torrey	80%	15	0	18	3	4	12	52	\$ 250,000	\$ 187,500	\$ 184,063
2904	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Tank Replacement Project	Torrey	80%	15	0	18	3	4	12	52	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,125,000	\$ 1,001,250
2907	DDW	Torrey	Drinking Water	Distribution System Improvements Project	Torrey	80%	15	0	18	3	4	12	52	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 820,800
93	DDW	Morgan	Drinking Water	North Morgan Water Tank Expansion	Morgan	145%	2	0	18	3	16	12	51	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 2,400,000
1314	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Well No. 14 Arsenic & TDS Removal	West Valley City	77%	15	16	0	3	7	10	51	\$ 12,283,000	\$ 3,070,750	\$ 2,456,600
1548	WRe	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Crawford Fields Main feed line	Spring City	90%	15	16	0	3	4	12	50	\$ 520,000	\$ 234,000	\$ 234,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2064	DWQ	Payson	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize sewer line in 1700 West from 1130 S to 400 S	Payson	93%	10	22	0	3	10	5	50	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 3,420,000	\$ 1,987,200
3193	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Moon Lake Reservoir Upgrade	Mountain Home	108%	10	6	14	3	7	10	50	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
3196	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Moon Lake Feeder Canal	Mountain Home	108%	10	6	14	3	7	10	50	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 2,400,000
3233	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Cedarview Tank Bypass	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	4	10	50	\$ 245,000	\$ 122,500	\$ 122,500
3241	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	Water Line Replacement Phase 4	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	4	10	50	\$ 2,540,000	\$ 838,200	\$ 838,200
3246	DDW	Roosevelt	Drinking Water	New Water Line Project Phase 4	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	6	4	10	50	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 1,815,000	\$ 1,815,000
3265	DWQ	Roosevelt	Wastewater & Sewer	Winter Storage Expansion Project	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	7	10	50	\$ 4,450,000	\$ 1,468,500	\$ 1,468,500
444	DDW	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	8400 W, 3500 S to 3900 S Replace 14inch Transite	Magna	82%	15	16	0	3	10	5	49	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 1,755,000
574	DDW	Richfield City	Drinking Water	Rotary Park Irrigation Replacement	Richfield	87%	15	0	14	6	4	10	49	\$ 50,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
658	DDW	Snowville Town	Drinking Water	Water Storage Tank	Snowville		0	16	14	3	4	12	49	\$ 550,000	\$ 220,000	\$ 220,000
681	DWQ	Richmond	Stormwater & Drainage	100 S Storm Drain Upgrades	Richmond	110%	10	16	0	3	10	10	49	\$ 345,000	\$ 172,500	\$ 172,500
886	WRe	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Agriculture Off-Farm	Vernal Unit Drains	Vernal	100%	10	16	0	3	10	10	49	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
920	DDW	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Vernal Unit Pumping Plant	Vernal	100%	10	16	0	3	10	10	49	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 4,800,000	\$ 2,400,000
3181	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	North Myton Bench Tank	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	4	12	49	\$ 6,500,000	\$ 3,900,000	\$ 2,551,250

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3269	DWQ	Roosevelt	Wastewater & Sewer	Sewer Improvement Phase 3	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	4	12	49	\$ 13,360,000	\$ 4,408,800	\$ 2,672,000
3288	DWQ	Roosevelt	Stormwater & Drainage	500 West and 300 West Extensions	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	4	12	49	\$ 809,000	\$ 404,500	\$ 404,500
453	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	2540 S, 6500 W to 5600 W	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	7	5	48	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,280,000	\$ 1,059,200
887	WRe	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Agriculture Off-Farm	Jensen Unit Drains	Jensen	100%	10	16	0	3	7	12	48	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
1977	DDW	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Western Summit County Importation Project	Park City	135%	2	16	18	3	4	5	48	\$ 175,000,000	\$ 131,250,000	\$ 35,000,000
2049	WRe	Payson	Secondary Water	Connect the existing PI system to the Central Utah ULS turnout in SE area of the City	Payson	93%	10	0	18	3	7	10	48	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
2055	DWQ	Payson	Stormwater & Drainage	Install sumps and storm drain pipe in 600 N to Utah Avenue and two retention basins.	Payson	93%	10	0	18	3	7	10	48	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 7,200,000	\$ 2,480,000
2300	DDW	Lyman	Drinking Water	Distribution System Improvements Phase 2	Lyman	72%	15	0	14	3	4	12	48	\$ 2,850,000	\$ 2,565,000	\$ 1,690,763
3553	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Joyce Reservoir Improvements	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	13	12	48	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,600,000
3597	DDW	Myton	Drinking Water	Tank Improvements	Myton	77%	15	0	14	3	4	12	48	\$ 800,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
3609	DWQ	Myton	Stormwater & Drainage	Infrastructure deficiencies	Myton	77%	15	0	14	3	4	12	48	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2234	WRe	Gunnison	Secondary Water	Distribution System Improvements Phase 1	Gunnison	102%	10	0	14	6	7	10	47	\$ 845,120	\$ 633,840	\$ 594,557
3130	WRe	Enoch	Secondary Water	Secondary Well #4	Enoch	100%	10	0	14	3	10	10	47	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,225,000	\$ 1,144,063
3178	DDW	Johnson Water District	Drinking Water	Water Treatment Project	Roosevelt	102%	10	6	14	3	4	10	47	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 4,800,000	\$ 2,480,000
682	DWQ	Richmond	Stormwater & Drainage	200 S Storm Drain Upgrades	Richmond	110%	10	16	0	3	7	10	46	\$ 285,000	\$ 142,500	\$ 142,500
881	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	Replace canyon line from big springs to lake hill tank	Ephraim	82%	15	0	14	3	4	10	46	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
921	DDW	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Tyzack Aqueduct Redundancy Project	Vernal	100%	10	16	0	3	7	10	46	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 24,000,000	\$ 12,000,000
1554	DWQ	The Washington County Water Conservancy District	Wastewater & Sewer	Phase III Reuse	Washington	97%	10	0	18	3	10	5	46	\$ 948,902,770	\$ 474,451,385	\$ 189,780,554
2277	DWQ	Joseph	Wastewater & Sewer	Town Wide Sewer System	Joseph	88%	15	0	0	3	16	12	46	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 7,500,000	\$ 3,000,000
3357	WRe	Weber - Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	North Pine Reservoir and Pumpstation in North Ogden	North Ogden	127%	6	0	14	3	13	10	46	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 1,350,000	\$ 1,350,000
454	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	S. Frontage, 6800 W to 5600 W	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 1,700,000	\$ 1,360,000	\$ 1,116,050
455	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	SR201 Southside, 7600 W to 8400 W	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 960,000	\$ 820,800
456	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	8400 W, 2600 S to SR201	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 500,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 361,250

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
457	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	Parkway Blvd Piping Sugar Plum to MVC	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 2,240,000	\$ 1,668,800
458	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	3400 S, 9000 W to 9200 W	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 695,000
459	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	2100 S, 8000 W to 7000 W	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,531,250
460	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	SR201 North, 6400 W to 6000 W	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 700,000	\$ 560,000	\$ 498,050
461	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	Belfast Dr Connection	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 960,000	\$ 820,800
462	WRe	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	8000 W, 2100 S to SR201	Magna	82%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 750,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 531,563
941	DDW	Oakley	Drinking Water	Booster Pump at the Humbug Valve House	Oakley	125%	6	6	14	3	4	12	45	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
1269	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Coal Creek Treatment Plant and Treatment Storage Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 10,600,000	\$ 8,480,000	\$ 2,120,000
1319	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Potable Reuse Study	West Valley City	77%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 675,000	\$ 675,000
1321	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Potable Reuse Pilot Plant	West Valley City	77%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 2,593,000	\$ 648,250	\$ 648,250
1322	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Potable Reuse Plant and Pipeline Ph. I	West Valley City	77%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 35,851,000	\$ 10,755,300	\$ 7,170,200

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1323	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Potable Reuse Plant and Pipeline Ph. II	West Valley City	77%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 54,000,000	\$ 16,200,000	\$ 10,800,000
1403	DDW	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	Spring Meadows Pump Station and Pipeline	Heber City	115%	6	22	0	3	4	10	45	\$ 10,600,000	\$ 5,300,000	\$ 2,120,000
2041	DDW	Murray	Drinking Water	Reservoir 2 & 3 Replacement	Murray	98%	10	0	18	3	4	10	45	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 7,500,000	\$ 3,000,000
2316	WRe	North Utah County Aquifer Council	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	CUWCD Overflow Basin MAR	Orem	78%	15	0	18	3	4	5	45	\$ 2,130,000	\$ 532,500	\$ 532,500
223	DDW	Vineyard	Drinking Water	1600 N Water Tank Expansion	Vineyard	95%	10	0	0	14	10	10	44	\$ 5,400,000	\$ 1,350,000	\$ 1,350,000
244	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 58-Unlined Canal to 66" RCP	Layton	112%	6	0	14	6	16	2	44	\$ 197,000	\$ 167,450	\$ 145,616
266	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	Kay-Project New2: Replace reservoir concrete liner	Kaysville	148%	2	16	14	3	4	5	44	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 5,100,000	\$ 2,520,000
576	DDW	Richfield City	Drinking Water	Construct a New Water Tank	Richfield	87%	15	0	0	9	10	10	44	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 3,750,000	\$ 2,375,000
1425	WRe	Loa	Secondary Water	Loa Irrigation System Upgrades	Loa	102%	10	0	0	6	16	12	44	\$ 500,000	\$ 475,000	\$ 361,250
1627	DWQ	Spring City	Wastewater & Sewer	Spring City Lagoon Expansion	Spring City	90%	15	0	0	6	13	10	44	\$ 750,000	\$ 712,500	\$ 531,563
2242	WRe	Gunnison	Secondary Water	Gunnison Irrigation Transmission Line Replacement	Gunnison	102%	10	0	14	6	4	10	44	\$ 4,650,000	\$ 3,487,500	\$ 2,298,263
3459	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	Additional Well 2	Provo	68%	18	0	0	3	13	10	44	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 2,250,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
922	DDW	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Tyzack Aqueduct Regulating Tank Replacement	Vernal	100%	10	16	0	3	4	10	43	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
1304	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Zone 5 Reservoir #2	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	13	12	43	\$ 9,407,000	\$ 1,411,050	\$ 1,411,050
2315	WRe	North Utah County Aquifer Council	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Battle Creek Debris Basin MAR	Pleasant Grove	98%	10	0	18	3	7	5	43	\$ 2,280,000	\$ 570,000	\$ 570,000
3192	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Ioka Reservoir	Ioka		0	6	14	3	10	10	43	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000
2158	WRe	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Retail Exchange Well Meters	Oakley	125%	6	6	18	3	4	5	42	\$ 7,500,000	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 2,250,000
2314	WRe	North Utah County Aquifer Council	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Dry Creek Reservoir MAR	Lehi	130%	6	0	18	3	10	5	42	\$ 1,660,000	\$ 415,000	\$ 415,000
3125	DDW	Enoch	Drinking Water	Water System Interconnect	Enoch	100%	10	0	6	3	13	10	42	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
3195	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Class A Alternate Project	Altonah		0	6	14	3	7	12	42	\$ 500,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
3559	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Watershed Management Plan	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	7	12	42	\$ 300,000	\$ 96,000	\$ 96,000
171	DWQ	Murray	Stormwater & Drainage	Winchester Drain Line from 700 W to Jordan River	Murray	98%	10	0	14	3	4	10	41	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 625,000	\$ 625,000
196	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Replace distribution pipe Old Rose Hill	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	18	3	10	10	41	\$ 1,188,000	\$ 950,400	\$ 813,376
410	DDW	Mapleton	Drinking Water	Redevelop Seal Well	Mapleton	148%	2	16	0	3	10	10	41	\$ 3,380,000	\$ 845,000	\$ 845,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
568	WRe	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Weber-Provo Canal Enclosure Study	Kamas	120%	6	6	14	3	7	5	41	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
584	DWQ	Richfield City	Wastewater & Sewer	CONSTRUCT A NEW SEWER LIFT STATION	Richfield	87%	15	0	0	6	10	10	41	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 695,000
872	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	New 1000 gpm well	Ephraim	82%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 520,000	\$ 520,000
1345	DWQ	Helper City	Wastewater & Sewer	Collection Line Replacement	Helper	87%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 1,625,000	\$ 812,500	\$ 812,500
1576	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	36" Outfall Line Upgrades	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	10	41	\$ 5,900,000	\$ 2,950,000	\$ 2,510,450
3416	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	Redundant Pipe to Grandview	Provo	68%	18	0	0	3	10	10	41	\$ 3,145,000	\$ 1,572,500	\$ 1,572,500
3418	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	900 S Connection	Provo	68%	18	0	0	3	10	10	41	\$ 2,536,000	\$ 1,268,000	\$ 1,268,000
3420	DDW	Provo	Drinking Water	900 S Parrallel Crossing	Provo	68%	18	0	0	3	10	10	41	\$ 2,592,000	\$ 1,296,000	\$ 1,296,000
2057	DWQ	Payson	Stormwater & Drainage	Replace existing sumps, lines, manholes, and ponds	Payson	93%	10	0	18	3	4	5	40	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 7,200,000	\$ 2,480,000
3563	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Icy Springs Tank	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	7	10	40	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,750,000
365	DDW	Hi-Country Estates Phase I Water Company	Drinking Water	Replace Lower Franklin water tank	Herriman	125%	6	0	14	3	4	12	39	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,520,000	\$ 1,226,450
366	DDW	Hi-Country Estates Phase I Water Company	Drinking Water	Replace (2) Upper Franklin water tanks	Herriman	125%	6	0	14	3	4	12	39	\$ 750,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 531,563
659	DDW	Snowville Town	Drinking Water	East Side Spring Development	Snowville		0	6	14	3	4	12	39	\$ 45,000	\$ 36,000	\$ 33,639
2139	DDW	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Replace Ash creek Dr water main	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 650,000	\$ 325,000	\$ 325,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2143	DDW	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Replacement of Cholla Subdivision	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
2144	DDW	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Replace Hunter Lane	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 330,000	\$ 165,000	\$ 165,000
2145	DDW	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Toquer Blvd improvement	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	16	10	39	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
3140	WRe	Farnsworth Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Talmage Reservoir	Talmage		0	6	14	3	4	12	39	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
3564	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Treat Weber River Water	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	4	12	39	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
575	DDW	Richfield City	Drinking Water	Construct a New Well	Richfield	87%	15	0	0	9	4	10	38	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 5,250,000	\$ 2,555,000
592	DWQ	Vineyard	Wastewater & Sewer	Lift Station #4	Vineyard	95%	10	0	0	14	4	10	38	\$ 3,480,000	\$ 870,000	\$ 870,000
667	DDW	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	Bolinder Source and Storage	South Salt Lake	77%	15	0	0	14	4	5	38	\$ 11,424,000	\$ 9,139,200	\$ 2,284,800
802	DDW	Alta	Drinking Water	Ongoing Pipeline Replacement Program	Alta	73%	15	0	0	6	7	10	38	\$ 3,753,959	\$ 1,876,980	\$ 1,876,980
868	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	New 10" line on 700 East loop	Ephraim	82%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
870	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	Road crossing	Ephraim	82%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 300,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 120,000
1297	DWQ	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Kennecott Land Development Sewer Improvements	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 11,942,000	\$ 2,985,500	\$ 2,388,400
1311	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Well No. 19	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 9,212,000	\$ 2,303,000	\$ 2,241,648
1579	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	Iron Springs LS Upgrades	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 2,400,000
1580	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	Iron Springs LS Force Main	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 15,612,225	\$ 7,806,113	\$ 3,122,445

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1583	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	Construct Quichapa Lift Station and Force Main	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 5,098,500	\$ 2,549,250	\$ 2,394,166
1749	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Improve Conveyance from 2400 N to 4800 N	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 4,300,000	\$ 2,150,000	\$ 2,150,000
1751	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install a 10 AF Detention Basin on the South Side of Fiddlers Canyon	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	10	10	38	\$ 1,085,000	\$ 542,500	\$ 542,500
993	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	908/907/923 E 250 S (backyards & sideyards) Weber Drain Replacement	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	16	12	37	\$ 110,000	\$ 22,000	\$ 22,000
1752	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase conveyance Along Harding Avenue	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	12	37	\$ 390,000	\$ 195,000	\$ 195,000
1753	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance along 400 N from 1000 W to I-15	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	12	37	\$ 440,000	\$ 220,000	\$ 220,000
1754	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance Along 1000 W to 1025 W to Thunderbird Way	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	12	37	\$ 610,000	\$ 305,000	\$ 305,000
1755	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance on Eagle Ridge Dr	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	12	37	\$ 775,000	\$ 387,500	\$ 387,500
1756	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance on S Cross Hollow Dr	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	12	37	\$ 910,000	\$ 455,000	\$ 455,000
1757	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance from Panorama Dr to Westview Dr.	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	12	37	\$ 470,000	\$ 235,000	\$ 235,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1758	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance along Westview Dr.	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	12	37	\$ 1,315,000	\$ 657,500	\$ 657,500
1759	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Construct 2 AF Detention Basin	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	12	37	\$ 430,000	\$ 215,000	\$ 215,000
1760	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance from Minersville Hwy to Bulldog Rd.	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	12	37	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
1761	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance from Coal Creek Rd. to N Westview Dr.	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	12	37	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
2292	DDW	Kaysville	Drinking Water	Additional I-15 crossing	Kaysville	148%	2	0	18	3	4	10	37	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 900,000
3197	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Arcadia Reservoir	Arcadia		0	6	14	3	4	10	37	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000
3198	WRe	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Duchesne Feeder-Red Cap Exchange Project	Arcadia		0	6	14	3	4	10	37	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
3565	DDW	Coalville	Drinking Water	Treat Brown Well	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	4	10	37	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,480,000
3585	DWQ	Coalville	Wastewater & Sewer	Infrastructure deficiencies	Coalville	123%	6	0	14	3	4	10	37	\$ 24,000,000	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 4,800,000
3650	DDW	Clinton	Drinking Water	Well #3 Drilling and Equipping	Clinton	123%	6	0	14	3	4	10	37	\$ 4,300,000	\$ 1,075,000	\$ 1,075,000
885	WRe	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Agriculture Off-Farm	Blanchett Park Reservoir	Vernal	100%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
1129	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	800 South Storage Tank (2nd Tank) Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	5	36	\$ 7,800,000	\$ 6,240,000	\$ 2,503,800
1130	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Cross Hollow Storage Tank (2nd Tank) Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	13	5	36	\$ 5,200,000	\$ 4,160,000	\$ 2,412,800

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
2999	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	125 860 E I-15 culvert	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 122,000	\$ 30,500	\$ 30,500
3120	DDW	Enoch	Drinking Water	Culinary Well #4	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	13	10	36	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,280,000
3217	WRe	Ogden River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Replace trustle structures along pipeline	Ogden	85%	15	0	14	3	4	0	36	\$ 750,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
3632	WRe	Morgan Secondary Water Association	Secondary Water	Meter Replacement	Morgan	145%	2	0	14	6	4	10	36	\$ 417,600	\$ 334,080	\$ 303,609
201	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	District Creation	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	18	3	4	10	35	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 5,700,000	\$ 2,520,000
569	WRe	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Weber-Provo Canal Enclosure	Kamas	120%	6	6	14	3	4	2	35	\$ 150,000,000	\$ 120,000,000	\$ 30,000,000
875	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	Kesiel Lane loop	Ephraim	82%	15	0	0	3	7	10	35	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
1298	DWQ	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Chesterfield Lift Station	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	7	10	35	\$ 7,457,000	\$ 1,864,250	\$ 1,864,250
1315	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Well No. 15 Treatment Plant	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	7	10	35	\$ 5,617,000	\$ 1,404,250	\$ 1,404,250
1584	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	North Gravity Line Installation to Quichapa Lift Station	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	10	35	\$ 9,671,750	\$ 4,835,875	\$ 2,108,961
1585	DWQ	Cedar City	Wastewater & Sewer	Install New Line from Kanarraville Area to Quichapa Lift Station.	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	7	10	35	\$ 12,026,300	\$ 6,013,150	\$ 2,405,260

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
994	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	25 S 1000 E to 1075 E (backyards & sideyards) and South to Reservoir - Weber Drain Replacement	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	13	12	34	\$ 910,000	\$ 182,000	\$ 182,000
1763	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance from 3100 W to 4700 W along 600 N	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	12	34	\$ 2,810,000	\$ 1,405,000	\$ 1,405,000
1765	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance along 1200 N from 3100 W to the Railroad	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	12	34	\$ 6,310,000	\$ 3,155,000	\$ 2,542,615
1766	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance along 2000 N from Baver Rd to the Railroad	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	12	34	\$ 11,100,000	\$ 5,550,000	\$ 2,220,000
1767	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance along 2800 N from 3100 W to the Railroad	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	12	34	\$ 10,850,000	\$ 5,425,000	\$ 2,170,000
1768	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Improve Conveyance from 2700 S to 6300 W	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	12	34	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,375,000
1769	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Improve Conveyance From Sage Dr. to Westview Dr.	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	12	34	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000
1770	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance in South Cedar City to Quichapa	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	12	34	\$ 1,875,000	\$ 937,500	\$ 937,500
1771	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install Detention Basin North of Fiddlers Canyon	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	12	34	\$ 1,030,000	\$ 515,000	\$ 515,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
445	DDW	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	2820 S, 8000 W to 7200 W 1960s Cast Iron	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	10	5	33	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,001,250
1058	DDW	Draper	Drinking Water	Tank 3B	Draper	133%	2	0	14	3	4	10	33	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
1533	WRe	Heber City	Secondary Water	I-031 Central Heber Irrigation Improvements Ph 3 - PI	Heber City	115%	6	0	14	6	7	0	33	\$ 5,296,000	\$ 4,501,600	\$ 2,429,381
3021	DWQ	American Fork	Stormwater & Drainage	220 100 E Trunk line	American Fork	103%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 2,057,000	\$ 514,250	\$ 514,250
3121	DDW	Enoch	Drinking Water	Culinary Well #5	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	10	10	33	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,280,000
877	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	750 South loop	Ephraim	82%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
878	DDW	Ephraim	Drinking Water	550 East loop	Ephraim	82%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 750,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
1020	DDW	Bountiful	Drinking Water	Ashdown Reservoir Replacement	Bountiful	115%	6	6	0	3	7	10	32	\$ 3,750,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1244	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Westview Dr. from 800 S. to 1800 S. Distribution Line Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 1,582,308	\$ 791,154	\$ 791,154
1317	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	2200 West Pipeline Lining	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 14,253,000	\$ 3,563,250	\$ 2,850,600
1318	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Breeze Pump Station Replacement	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 13,147,000	\$ 2,629,400	\$ 2,629,400
1320	DDW	Granger - Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	4100 South Pipe Lining	West Valley City	77%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 15,907,000	\$ 3,976,750	\$ 3,181,400

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1337	DDW	Helper City	Drinking Water	Fish Creek Springs Rehabilitation and Treatment	Helper	87%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
1342	DDW	Helper City	Drinking Water	Culinary Distribution System Improvements	Helper	87%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1762	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance from 3100 W to 4700 W along Railroad	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 2,975,000	\$ 1,487,500	\$ 1,487,500
1764	DWQ	Cedar City	Stormwater & Drainage	Increase Conveyance Parallel to Railroad	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	10	32	\$ 2,600,000	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 1,300,000
3658	DDW	Vernon Water Works Special Service District	Drinking Water	System Loop	Vernon	110%	10	0	0	3	7	12	32	\$ 780,000	\$ 780,000	\$ 551,538
279	DWQ	Grantsville	Wastewater & Sewer	Clark Street Sewer Line Replacement and Extension	Grantsville	120%	6	0	0	3	10	12	31	\$ 4,432,344	\$ 4,432,344	\$ 2,243,746
995	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	300 S Ridgeview Weber Drains Replacement	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	10	12	31	\$ 390,000	\$ 78,000	\$ 78,000
3090	DWQ	Ballard Water Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Ballard City Sewer Phase 3	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	10	12	31	\$ 930,000	\$ 465,000	\$ 465,000
589	DWQ	Vineyard	Wastewater & Sewer	TSSD Force Main Upgrade	Vineyard	95%	10	0	0	6	4	10	30	\$ 3,480,000	\$ 870,000	\$ 870,000
964	DDW	Levan	Drinking Water	Upsized water line from headhouse to town	Levan	98%	10	0	0	6	4	10	30	\$ 500,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1032	DDW	Heber City	Drinking Water	W-072 Central Heber Replacements Ph 3 - Water	Heber City	115%	6	0	14	6	4	0	30	\$ 15,635,000	\$ 13,289,750	\$ 3,127,000
2237	WRe	Gunnison	Secondary Water	Distribution System Improvements Phase 2	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	6	4	10	30	\$ 1,950,000	\$ 1,462,500	\$ 1,253,363
3497	DWQ	Provo	Wastewater & Sewer	Plant upgrades to address age and condition of facilities and equipment	Provo	68%	18	0	0	3	4	5	30	\$ 10,985,800	\$ 4,394,320	\$ 2,197,160
403	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize Piping on 1900 W from 100 N to LS4	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	7	10	29	\$ 3,800,000	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,900,000
404	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize Piping on 200 S from 1550 W to LS4	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	7	10	29	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
182	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Willow Creek Road water line replacement	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	0	3	13	12	28	\$ 147,000	\$ 117,600	\$ 109,062
183	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Tulip Dr water line	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	0	3	13	12	28	\$ 54,500	\$ 43,600	\$ 40,712
184	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Willow Creek Rd; Tulip to 4250	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	0	3	13	12	28	\$ 343,000	\$ 274,400	\$ 250,779
498	DWQ	Nibley	Stormwater & Drainage	3200 South Crossing 72-inch (10)	Nibley	132%	2	0	0	3	13	10	28	\$ 103,000	\$ 25,750	\$ 25,750
996	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	965 S 300 E to 394 S 850 E (sideyards) Weber Drains Replacement	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	7	12	28	\$ 470,000	\$ 94,000	\$ 94,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1009	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	Moss Hill Drive Pipe Replacement	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	7	12	28	\$ 295,000	\$ 59,000	\$ 59,000
1010	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	Oakwood Basin Rebuild	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	7	12	28	\$ 210,000	\$ 42,000	\$ 42,000
3091	DWQ	Ballard Water Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Ballard City Sewer Phase 4	Ballard	117%	6	0	0	3	7	12	28	\$ 1,144,000	\$ 572,000	\$ 572,000
3410	DDW	Whispering Pines Water Company	Drinking Water	Drinking Water Source	Mt Pleasant		0	0	0	3	13	12	28	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 950,000	\$ 695,000
3633	WRe	Morgan Secondary Water Association	Secondary Water	Valve Replacement Phase 1	Morgan	145%	2	0	0	3	13	10	28	\$ 150,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000
214	DDW	Draper Irrigation Company	Drinking Water	Big Willow Ductile Pipeline Replacement	Sandy	113%	6	0	0	3	13	5	27	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 5,100,000	\$ 2,520,000
270	DWQ	Enoch	Wastewater & Sewer	Scalping Plant	Enoch	100%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
468	DWQ	Magna Water District	Wastewater & Sewer	8000 West Replacement 3500 S to SR 201	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 1,951,250
471	DWQ	Magna Water District	Wastewater & Sewer	7200 W, Copperview to SR 201 South Frontage Rd.	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,280,000
473	DWQ	Magna Water District	Wastewater & Sewer	8400 W, Main Street to SR 201 South Frontage Rd.	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,001,250
475	DWQ	Magna Water District	Wastewater & Sewer	SR 201 North Frontage Road	Magna	82%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 695,000
989	DWQ	Murray	Wastewater & Sewer	500 West 4800 South & Cherry Street trunkline upsize	Murray	98%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 5,230,000	\$ 2,615,000	\$ 2,418,091

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1270	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Shurtz Canyon Production Well, Pipeline, and Chlorination Facility Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 9,500,000	\$ 7,600,000	\$ 2,161,250
1271	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Shurtz Canyon Storage Tank Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 5,388,500	\$ 4,310,800	\$ 2,444,399
1272	DDW	Cedar City	Drinking Water	Rodeo Grounds Well and Chlorination Facility Installation	Cedar City	78%	15	0	0	3	4	5	27	\$ 5,400,000	\$ 4,320,000	\$ 2,446,200
2256	DWQ	Gunnison	Wastewater & Sewer	Collection Upgrades Phase 2	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
2257	DWQ	Gunnison	Wastewater & Sewer	Lagoon Improvements Phase 2	Gunnison	102%	10	0	0	3	4	10	27	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
296	DDW	Grantsville	Drinking Water	North Tank Replacement	Grantsville	120%	6	0	0	3	7	10	26	\$ 8,551,697	\$ 8,551,697	\$ 2,391,539
396	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Orem Water Reclamation Phase 3	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	4	10	26	\$ 5,966,388	\$ 2,983,194	\$ 2,516,913
405	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize Piping on 1400 W from Center Street to LS7	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	4	10	26	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
406	DWQ	Lindon	Wastewater & Sewer	Upsize Piping on Geneva Road from 400 N to 600 N	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	6	4	10	26	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000
930	DWQ	Oakley	Wastewater & Sewer	PHASE 3 of Collection System Improvements	Oakley	125%	6	0	0	3	7	10	26	\$ 500,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
1290	DDW	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	8-inch PVC Water Line	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	13	10	26	\$ 880,956	\$ 748,813	\$ 618,032

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1401	DDW	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	VR Well #2	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	3	7	10	26	\$ 521,000	\$ 260,500	\$ 260,500
497	DWQ	Nibley	Stormwater & Drainage	1500 West Canal Piping (8&9)	Nibley	132%	2	0	0	3	10	10	25	\$ 392,000	\$ 98,000	\$ 98,000
997	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	50 N 800 E to 1015 E (backyards) Weber Drain Replacement	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	4	12	25	\$ 620,000	\$ 124,000	\$ 124,000
1011	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	Woodhollow Overflow Pipe	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	4	12	25	\$ 235,000	\$ 47,000	\$ 47,000
1012	DWQ	Bountiful	Stormwater & Drainage	2050 S 50 W / Cemetery	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	4	12	25	\$ 410,000	\$ 82,000	\$ 82,000
1721	DWQ	Heber City	Stormwater & Drainage	D-029 Storm Wate Pre-Treatment Prior to First Flush Ponds	Heber City	115%	6	6	0	3	10	0	25	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 540,000	\$ 540,000
2290	DDW	Kaysville	Drinking Water	Replace Ward Rd tanks	Kaysville	148%	2	0	0	3	10	10	25	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,480,000
3555	WRe	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Canal Safety Plan	Coalville	123%	6	0	0	3	4	12	25	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,000	\$ 14,000
3634	WRe	Morgan Secondary Water Association	Secondary Water	Valve Replacement Phase 2	Morgan	145%	2	0	0	3	10	10	25	\$ 150,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000
211	DWQ	Carbon Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Intake modification and bulkhead plug	Scofield		0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 375,000	\$ 375,000
283	DWQ	Grantsville	Wastewater & Sewer	Main and Central Trunk Replacement	Grantsville	120%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 2,804,957	\$ 2,804,957	\$ 1,670,990
301	DDW	Grantsville	Drinking Water	Southeast Well	Grantsville	120%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 7,580,851	\$ 7,580,851	\$ 2,524,827

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
374	DDW	Lindon	Drinking Water	Capital Improvement Projects	Lindon	115%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 29,780,000	\$ 14,890,000	\$ 5,956,000
931	DWQ	Oakley	Wastewater & Sewer	PHASE 4 of Collection System Improvements	Oakley	125%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 500,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
932	DWQ	Oakley	Wastewater & Sewer	Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	Oakley	125%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
1021	DDW	Bountiful	Drinking Water	Ashdown Boosters Replacement	Bountiful	115%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 750,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
1782	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	East Side 12" WL	Charleston		0	0	0	6	7	10	23	\$ 571,000	\$ 285,500	\$ 285,500
1960	DDW	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	New Transmission Line	Bridgeland		0	0	0	3	10	10	23	\$ 2,518,500	\$ 1,259,250	\$ 1,259,250
3530	DWQ	Toquerville City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install storm drain on west side of Toquer Blvd from Creekside to Pecan	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	10	0	23	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
3533	DWQ	Toquerville City	Stormwater & Drainage	Upgrade storm drain system on mountain charm	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	10	0	23	\$ 350,000	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000
3593	DWQ	Coalville	Wastewater & Sewer	Lift Station Upgrade	Coalville	123%	6	0	0	3	4	10	23	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
216	DDW	Draper Irrigation Company	Drinking Water	Bear Canyon Storage Tank / Pump Station	Draper	133%	2	0	0	3	7	10	22	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 3,400,000	\$ 2,120,000
3309	DWQ	Fairfield	Wastewater & Sewer	Allen's Ranch Rd Sewer Main (C-9)	Fairfield		0	0	0	3	7	12	22	\$ 2,446,200	\$ 1,467,720	\$ 1,467,720

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
175	DDW	East Zion Special Service District	Drinking Water	New Well #5	Modesto		0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
194	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Rose Hill #1 well rehab/replacement	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 50,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 37,363
195	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Rose Hill #2 well rehab/replacement	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 175,000	\$ 157,500	\$ 129,566
553	DWQ	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater & Sewer	Deep Well Injections	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
1292	DDW	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	8-inch PVC Water Line	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 1,155,606	\$ 982,265	\$ 793,256
1293	DDW	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	8-inch PVC Water Line	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 1,102,506	\$ 937,130	\$ 760,026
1360	DWQ	Highland	Wastewater & Sewer	Dry Creek / Bull River Septic Removal	Highland	155%	0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
1783	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	New Well Construction	Charleston		0	0	0	3	7	10	20	\$ 1,416,000	\$ 708,000	\$ 708,000
1785	DDW	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Well Fill Pipeline to Tank	Charleston		0	0	0	6	4	10	20	\$ 565,000	\$ 282,500	\$ 282,500
3532	DWQ	Toquerville City	Stormwater & Drainage	Get easement and install storm drain on north end of Peachtree drive	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	7	0	20	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
1592	DWQ	Heber City	Wastewater & Sewer	S-049 Central Heber Replacements Ph 3 - Sewer	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	6	7	0	19	\$ 15,635,000	\$ 13,289,750	\$ 3,127,000
1720	DWQ	Heber City	Stormwater & Drainage	D-016 First Flush Detention Ponds	Heber City	115%	6	6	0	3	4	0	19	\$ 1,380,000	\$ 621,000	\$ 621,000
2291	DDW	Kaysville	Drinking Water	Replace Crestwood tank & pump	Kaysville	148%	2	0	0	3	4	10	19	\$ 5,700,000	\$ 2,850,000	\$ 2,488,050
177	DDW	East Zion Special Service District	Drinking Water	New 1,000,000 Gallon Tank	Modesto		0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
200	DDW	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Chlorinators	Mountain Green	238%	0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 425,000	\$ 382,500	\$ 308,816
260	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project 7: 12", & 18" Pipes on 4500 West	West Point	140%	2	0	0	6	4	5	17	\$ 757,000	\$ 643,450	\$ 536,232
265	WRe	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	Kay-Project 2: Second Transmission Line to West Kaysville	Kaysville	148%	2	0	0	6	4	5	17	\$ 4,508,000	\$ 3,831,800	\$ 2,263,286
538	DDW	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Pump House 1-4 Generators	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 800,800	\$ 400,400	\$ 400,400
856	DDW	Elk Ridge	Drinking Water	Booster Station and Tank - North End	Elk Ridge	152%	0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
1293	DDW	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	8-inch PVC Water Line	Eden	157%	0	0	0	3	4	10	17	\$ 1,102,506	\$ 937,130	\$ 760,026

Table A4 Continued: Projects Seeking State Funding, Mid-Future (11-20 years), Scores ≤ 68

UWIP Project ID	Agency	Lead Entity	Infrastructure Type	Project Name	Nearest City	Pct of State MAGI	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total	Total Cost	Identified State Funding Need	Max Potential UWIP Grant
3529	DWQ	Toquerville City	Stormwater & Drainage	Install curb, gutter and storm drain down ash creek drive	Toquerville	103%	10	0	0	3	4	0	17	\$ 700,000	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000
1594	DWQ	Heber City	Wastewater & Sewer	Midway Lane Sewer Line Replacement	Heber City	115%	6	0	0	6	4	0	16	\$ 3,240,811	\$ 1,620,406	\$ 1,620,406
212	DWQ	Carbon Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Guard Gate Replacement Project	Scofield		0	0	0	3	7	0	10	\$ 600,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
1068	DWQ	Draper	Stormwater & Drainage	13400 S 1100 East	Draper	133%	2	0	0	3	4	0	9	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,750,000
													Total	\$ 3,372,046,274	\$ 1,874,056,263	\$ 827,963,362

Appendix B:

Agency Plans

Division of Drinking Water Fiscal Year 2027 Agency Plan

Prepared for The Unified Water Infrastructure Plan



Table of Contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Unified Water Infrastructure Plan.....	1
Agency Plan	2
Plan Creation	2
DDW Agency Plan.....	8
Mission	8
Funding Structure	8
Historic Funded Projects	8
UWIP Project Submission Summary	9
Small Community Budget	14
Initial Project Evaluation Process	14
Future Agency Ranking Adjustments	15
Provisional Project Rankings and Budget Recommendations	16
References	63

List of Appendices

	Page
Appendix A: DDW Project Data	64

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1: UWIP Project Distribution to Relevant Agency	6
Table 2: Summary of DDW Projects by Timeline and Type.....	12
Table 3: DDW Submitted Project Statistics	13
Table 4: Provisional Score Criteria and Overall Weighting.....	15
Table 5: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years)	19
Table 6: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years)	29
Table 7: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near-Future (6-10 years)	47

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1: Spatial Distribution of All UWIP Projects	4
Figure 2: Infrastructure Type Heatmap	5
Figure 3: Summary of UWIP Project Costs and State Funding Requested.....	7
Figure 4: Spatial Distribution of DDW Assigned UWIP Projects.....	11
Figure 5: Distribution of Entity MAGI and Funding Requested.....	13
Figure 6: Cumulative Distributions of Projects by Construction Timeline	18

Introduction

In 2024, the Utah Legislature passed House Bill 280 (HB 280). Among other things, this bill created the Water Infrastructure Fund, a new approach to funding water infrastructure projects in the state of Utah. The Water Infrastructure Fund (WIF) is intended to improve the process of funding water infrastructure by engaging in proactive infrastructure planning and greater collaboration between funding agencies.

Implementation of the new funding process can be broken into three initial components:

- **Integration of Existing Funds Study** – HB 280 required the completion of two studies. The first study was completed in October of 2024 to analyze and recommend consolidation of existing funds available for water infrastructure projects. This study provides a more detailed outline of funding approach changes envisioned by HB 280.
- **Infrastructure Fee Study** – The second study authorized by HB 280 will consider whether to impose a new fee to fund water infrastructure projects. The study will consider current funding sources and mechanisms, where shortfalls exist, how a new fee might be assessed and how the revenue might be equitably distributed statewide. It is scheduled to be completed by October 31, 2025.
- **Unified Water Infrastructure Plan** – The studies above will inform the creation of a new Unified Water Infrastructure Plan (UWIP). The UWIP will be a comprehensive prioritized list of water infrastructure projects that will be used to determine which projects will be eligible for future funding from the Water Infrastructure Fund and/or state agency funds.

This document will focus on the UWIP process and results for the Utah Division of Drinking Water for Fiscal Year 2027.

Unified Water Infrastructure Plan

The UWIP is being created as a comprehensive prioritized list of water infrastructure projects that will potentially need financial assistance through state funds within the next 20 years. This plan will be created and administered by the Water Development Coordinating Council (WDCC). The relevant agencies included in the council (as defined in Utah Code 73-10g-601) provide financial assistance for a large portion of water infrastructure projects in the state. These agencies that will be most involved in the UWIP include:

- Division of Water Resources (WRe) through the Board of Water Resources
- Division of Drinking Water (DDW) through the Drinking Water Board
- Division of Water Quality (DWQ) through the Water Quality Board

The plan will improve coordination between these agencies and simplify the process for entities that are seeking funding for water infrastructure projects. The plan will allow the state to assemble water infrastructure needs and priorities in a single, comprehensive location. The list of projects identified will help the council determine where funds should be allocated and when additional funds need to be requested from the state. All water infrastructure entities that currently need and/or expect to request funds from the relevant agencies will need to have their projects included in the UWIP to receive consideration for future funding.

Agency Plan

Each UWIP relevant agency (WRe, DDW, DWQ) will submit an agency plan to the WDCC by June 30 annually. The purpose of each agency plan is to propose the agency's recommended projects and corresponding prioritization. The same template is being used for each of the agencies to establish consistency and similarity in format and content for submission to the WDCC.

This document will act as the agency plan for DDW.

Plan Creation

The Divisions of Water Resources, Drinking Water and Water Quality have retained Bowen Collins & Associates (BC&A) and Brown and Caldwell (BC) to assist in compiling the Unified Water Infrastructure Plan.


Following outreach via email, social media and professional organizations, a UWIP project submission form was opened April 8, 2025, and was available for the submission of proposed projects until May 9, 2025. The goal of this effort was to gather water entity data on projects within the next 20 years anticipating state funding needs. Eligible projects included infrastructure associated with the supply, control, measurement, treatment, distribution, storage, or transport of water. Water infrastructure projects submitted to the UWIP were assigned one of several project categories: agricultural off-farm, drinking water, reuse, secondary water, stormwater/flood control, wastewater and water supply (watershed protection and raw water source development).

Once the submission form was closed, the project team ran quality control and assurance on the received data and reached out to water entities as needed for data completion. This data was then distributed amongst the relevant UWIP agencies for further analysis and prioritization.


In total, 3,664 proposed water infrastructure projects totaling \$17.56 billion were submitted by 255 entities from throughout Utah. The spatial distribution of these project submissions, their water infrastructure type and total requested state funding is displayed in Figure 1, below.

Figure 2 provides more context on the specific distribution of project infrastructure types by count throughout the state.

Agriculture Off-Farm



Secondary Water




LEGEND

UWIP Heat Map


Sparse

Dense


Drinking Water




Stormwater/Flood Control




Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development




Reuse



Wastewater




Water Supply - Watershed Protection



State of Utah

UNIFIED WATER INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN (UWIP)

INFRASTRUCTURE TYPE HEATMAP



SCALE: 1 in = 500,000 ft

0 250,000 500,000

FIGURE NO. 2

Water infrastructure projects were submitted to the UWIP from across the state of Utah, with a majority of state funding requested between Provo and Ogden. Most projects by count were identified as drinking water projects whereas the water supply - watershed protection category received the fewest project submissions. These summaries provide an insight into the projects emphasized within the state at this time.

These projects were distributed to their relevant funding agencies as listed in Table 1 for further analysis and prioritization. Following the distribution of projects based on water infrastructure type, the relevant agencies reviewed projects in more detail to ensure each project was distributed to the correct agency. The specific project and prioritization process is further described in the “UWIP Project Submission Summary” and “Initial Project Evaluation Process” sections of this document.

Table 1: UWIP Project Distribution to Relevant Agency

Infrastructure type	Agency
Agriculture Off-Farm	Division of Water Resources
Drinking Water	Division of Drinking Water
Reuse	Division of Water Quality
Secondary Water	Division of Water Resources
Stormwater/Flood Control	Division of Water Quality
Wastewater	Division of Water Quality
Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Division of Water Resources
Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Division of Water Quality

Figure 3, below, provides more context for the distribution of projects across the relevant agencies and over the projected construction timeline (1-2 years, 3-5 years, 6-10 years, 11-20 years and 21+ years). This agency plan will focus on the near-term projects (1-5 years) for prioritization, but a summary of all submitted projects with their associated construction timeline are provided here to better understand the coming need. It should be noted that these summaries are strictly from the UWIP submissions; there is a small community budget (described further in the “Small Community Budget” section of this document) that will be incorporated into WIF needs.

Out of the total \$17.56 billion cost of submitted water projects within the next 20 years, the state requested funding assistance for these projects totaled \$5.76 billion. A majority of this funding request (\$3.56 billion, about 62%) of the state requested funding is within the six-to-twenty-year window. Slightly under \$2 billion (\$1.98 billion) is anticipated to be requested from the relevant agencies within the near future (1-5 years).





Figure 3 Summary of UWIP Project Costs and State Funding Requested

DDW Agency Plan

Mission

The overarching mission of the Division of Drinking Water is to safeguard the quality and quantity of Utah's drinking water through balanced regulation. The Division achieves this by operating several sections that focus on helping public water systems maintain adequately trained staff, proper and safe infrastructure, frequent and periodic water testing to ensure water quality, emergency response and funding assistance through the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds (DWSRF) programs.

The DWSRF helps ensure Utah's drinking water supplies remain safe and affordable and that drinking water systems are properly operated and maintained. The objectives of the DWSRF program include protecting public health, achieving compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act and assisting systems to provide affordable drinking water.

Funding Structure

The DWSRF operates on a revolving basis by issuing loans and loan forgiveness to authorized water systems and by receiving funding from various sources. The Drinking Water Board is the authorized policy making body of the Division that approves funding projects for the DWSRF program. Criteria that the Board considers include project needs, water supplier financial conditions and compliance with state and federal statutes. Applications for funding receive a rigorous review by staff for completeness and eligibility. At times, the federal government provides funding for specific projects and needs, but such funds are limited in scope.

The DWSRF receives funding through three primary sources: program capitalization grants from the federal government, tax revenue from the State of Utah as a match to federal requirements and funds from the repayment of loans issued through the DWSRF programs. Available program funds vary widely from year to year based on political decisions on both the federal and state levels. Federal dollars can only be used by the Division of Drinking Water for qualifying projects. State funds through sales tax allocations and funds from the repayment of loans have more latitude to be used in different areas.

Historic Funded Projects

The DWSRF includes two steps: approval of a project and the project actually receiving funding from the Board. There can be considerable time in between the approval of a project and the initiation of a project. The following is a list of types of projects that were initiated in the past

year (often referred to as ‘closed projects’ because the loan or funding package for a project has closed).

- Developing and rehabilitating water sources such as wells and springs
- Installing and replacing water transmission and distribution pipelines
- Building or rehabilitating water storage facilities
- Building and rehabilitating drinking water treatment facilities, including disinfection facilities
- Installing telemetry and infrastructure management systems
- Water supplier consolidation and regionalization projects
- Developing water system master plans, hydraulic models, asset management plans and other engineering studies

For state fiscal year 2025 Division of Drinking Water Financial Assistance staff closed financial assistance packages for 39 authorized projects. The total amount was \$134.9 million, including \$90.8 million in loan and \$44.1 million in subsidy (grant).

UWIP Project Submission Summary


Water infrastructure projects submitted through the UWIP process were distributed to the relevant agencies, including DDW. This section describes spatial and statistical distributions of the data used to prioritize projects assigned to DDW based on legislative criteria identified in HB 280 and HB 285, as well as the provisional scoring procedures. As part of the consulting team assisting with this preparation of this plan, Brown and Caldwell produced a technical memorandum on this topic providing further details about how the data was used to score projects and meet legislative criteria (Brown and Caldwell, 2025).

Table 2 further describes the types of projects brought to the DDW by anticipated construction timeline and project type. Out of the 1,853 total projects assigned to DDW 832 are in the near term (1 - 5 years) totaling \$2.5 billion in project costs and nearly \$813 million in anticipated state funding assistance. The six-to-twenty-year timeframe estimates an additional 860 projects estimated at \$3.5 billion with \$1.4 billion in state anticipated assistance. DDW was assigned only drinking water infrastructure types with a significant portion of the state funding requests reported for new installation projects with 55 percent in the near term and 72 percent in the six-to-twenty-year time frame.

Table 3 summarizes the project impact by size population and cost statistics for projects assigned to DDW.

Figure 5 summarizes the estimated Median Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) within the service areas of the entities that submitted projects as a percentage of the state average MAGI, as well

as the cumulative proportion of the total funding requested of the state in each bin of the histogram. The distributions suggest that most of the funding requested of the state originated from communities near or below the state average MAGI. The projects identified in this agency plan are therefore geared toward helping those most likely to need state assistance to fund their water needs.



LEGEND

DDW

Drinking Water

Anticipated State Funding Need (\$)

660,000,000

330,000,000

65,000

Table 2: Summary of DDW Projects by Timeline and Type

Project Timeline and Type	Project Count	Estimated Cost (Million \$)	Requested from State (Million \$)
Ready for construction (1-2 years)			
New infrastructure	150	\$435.3	\$150.3
Repair/replacement/improvement	125	\$516.7	\$125.2
Both	25	\$83.8	\$24.6
Not Specified	5	\$5.1	\$0.0
Ready for construction (1-2 years) Subtotal	305	\$1,040.9	\$300.1
Planning for construction (3-5 years)			
New infrastructure	228	\$681.1	\$298.1
Repair/replacement/improvement	226	\$569.7	\$156.0
Both	66	\$215.5	\$58.6
Not Specified	7	\$6.3	\$0.0
Planning for construction (3-5 years) Subtotal	527	\$1,472.6	\$512.7
Near-future projects (6-10 years)			
New infrastructure	227	\$1,063.3	\$415.0
Repair/replacement/improvement	228	\$559.4	\$164.6
Both	39	\$96.4	\$38.6
Not Specified	5	\$5.0	\$0.0
Near-future projects (6-10 years) Subtotal	499	\$1,724.1	\$618.1
Mid-future projects (11-20 years)			
New infrastructure	160	\$1,217.6	\$623.1
Repair/replacement/improvement	180	\$493.3	\$165.8
Both	19	\$50.1	\$36.1
Not Specified	2	\$7.7	\$0.0
Mid-future projects (11-20 years) Subtotal	361	\$1,768.8	\$825.0
Distant-future projects (21+ years)			
New infrastructure	25	\$131.2	\$64.8
Repair/replacement/improvement	131	\$293.5	\$8.1
Both	5	\$12.0	\$9.4
Distant-future projects (21+ years) Subtotal	161	\$436.7	\$82.3
DDW Total	1853	\$6,443.2	\$2,338.3

Table 3: DDW Submitted Project Statistics

Population Served	Project Count	% of Projects	Minimum Project Cost	Average Project Cost	Maximum Project Cost
Not Specified	43	2.3%	\$70,200	\$3,740,978	\$15,635,000
Less than 500	238	12.8%	\$10,000	\$1,406,170	\$14,000,000
500 - 1,000	130	7.0%	\$20,000	\$1,779,636	\$32,000,000
1,000 - 10,000	688	37.1%	\$3,744	\$2,057,236	\$45,000,000
10,000 - 30,000	168	9.1%	\$55,000	\$5,377,802	\$60,000,000
30,000 - 65,000	218	11.8%	\$34,500	\$6,904,434	\$300,000,000
65,000 - 100,000	17	0.9%	\$500,000	\$3,039,765	\$12,500,000
More than 100,000	351	18.9%	\$100,000	\$5,243,842	\$225,000,000
Total	1,853	100.0%			




Figure 5 Distribution of Entity MAGI and Funding Requested

Small Community Budget

Often small communities with populations less than 10,000 have less available planning resources for their systems. Correspondingly, these communities are often less able to submit detailed information on project needs than larger systems with more resources. With a history of smaller communities applying for state funding assistance, the State would like to include an allowance for unknown small community needs not submitted through the UWIP process.

DDW has included an annual funding allowance of \$17.1 million in the recommended budget to account for unknown small community needs. BC&A recommended this allowance based on an analysis described in a technical memorandum titled “Small Community Project Needs” addressed to WRe (Bowen Collins & Associates, 2025).

Initial Project Evaluation Process

HB 280 (and as modified by HB 285) lists several project-focused characteristics that the WDCC should consider in the prioritization process. During the development of the UWIP database, project data were collected from water providers and managers that can be used to evaluate projects against the project-specific prioritization criteria described in legislation. This agency plan will focus on the near-term projects (1-5 years) for ranking and prioritization for UWIP.

Six criteria were derived from the language in HB 285, and they were used to calculate an initial evaluation score, or “Provisional Score”, for each project in the UWIP. Agency representatives provided feedback on the importance of each criterion and the rubric used to score each project. The table below describes the criteria and their weights. The most important criteria for prioritizing project-specific funding have higher weight values. Projects with higher Provisional Scores would, in concept, be higher priorities for funding.

More detail on recommendations for prioritizing projects are provided in a technical memorandum entitled “Recommendations on Prioritization Process for Project Funding” addressed to WRe and Bowen & Collins Associates (Brown and Caldwell, 2025).

Table 4: Provisional Score Criteria and Overall Weighting

Criterion	Description	Criterion Weight
Hardship	Degree to which a project represents an economic hardship referencing criteria of DDW and DWQ	18%
Critical issue	Degree to which a project addresses a critical need, such as protection of public health and safety or compliance with a state and/or federal water quality standard	22%
Water efficiency	Degree to which a project increases water conservation and the efficient use of water	18%
Sound design	Degree to which a project is fully developed and designed	14%
Applicant priority	Importance of a project to the proponent, based on their self-ranking of projects submitted	16%
Population benefitted and nonstate funding	Number of people that will benefit combined with the amount of non-state funding anticipated for a project	12%

Future Agency Ranking Adjustments

While useful for initial evaluation and sorting, provisional scores are not the source for the final ranking of projects. Each project is subject to additional review to ensure the automated scoring process has accurately captured the intent of HB 280: to aid in the funding of critical water infrastructure meeting UWIP criteria. This additional review provides an opportunity for the funding experts to collect additional information as necessary, give feedback on the ranking process, and document any score changes. It should be noted that, given the limited timeline for assembling this initial plan, changes to provisional scores and ranking in this iteration of the plan were limited but are expected to be more significant in the future. In future UWIP cycles, all details of alterations to provisional scoring and ranking would be recorded in this portion of the Agency plan.

As part of this initial iteration of the plan, several areas of potential improvement in the process were identified. While time was not available to address these areas as part of this initial submission, it is expected that these issues will be considered and incorporated into subsequent efforts to future refine the plan and project priorities. Three main areas of expected adjustment are described below:

- Data Validation of Self-Ranking Criteria.** One shortcoming of the provisional scoring method was in the absence of data validation regarding the “self-ranking” criteria. HB 280 specifies that project rankings should incorporate the ranking of the applicant to

ensure that UWIP and entity prioritization align. In some instances, submitting entities ranked all their projects as number one, providing a high score in the “self-ranking” criteria that skewed their overall UWIP provisional scores relative to other entities. In other cases, it appears that submitting entities may have grouped several large projects together in order to maintain a higher self-ranking for all their projects. With these challenges occurring in only a few instances, no corrections were made in this initial iteration. However, it is recommended that future iterations of the UWIP define clearer expectations for when and how projects can be combined, and that each project receives a discrete ranking within an entity. These changes should also be implemented in updated rankings as additional data is collected and the UWIP is finalized.

- **Data Validation of Community Resources.** The data request associated with this initial iteration included a request to report total revenue and total connections served. It was believed that this could be used to calculate the burden of cost to customers and inform the WDCC regarding the ability of each entity to use their own resources to fund their projects. Unfortunately, the revenue information provided was incomplete or inaccurate in many cases. Correspondingly, the provisional rankings were limited to looking at median adjusted gross income in the area as the only measure of ability to pay. It is recommended that additional data regarding rates and cost of service be collected as part of subsequent evaluation efforts and this information be implemented in updated rankings.
- **Exclusion Criteria.** HB 280 specifies that emergency and/or small water infrastructure projects should be excluded from the UWIP process. A small water infrastructure cost is defined as a project that “receives less than an amount of water infrastructure fund money established by rule.” This project cost cut-off is to be determined by the WDCC and as such was not applied in this first iteration of the UWIP process. Correspondingly, this agency plan includes a number of small projects that will likely be excluded once a rule is established.

Provisional Project Rankings and Budget Recommendations

Figure 6 summarizes the distributions of projects, scores and state-funding costs from the UWIP database to help with allocating funds for the next 10 years. The graph panels in this figure provide an idea of how many projects and the level of provisional score are associated with a given amount of available funding. For example, if \$100M of funding were available for projects ready for construction (1-2 years), the first panel suggests 66 projects with provisional scores of 70 or above could be funded.

Tables 5 - 7 show individual projects, sorted highest to lowest by the provisional score total. Only projects with anticipated state funding needs are shown in the tables and figures following this page. All DDW projects and project data are shown in Appendix A, sorted by Project ID.




Figure 6 Cumulative Distributions of Projects by Construction Timeline

Table 5: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years)

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2885	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Cold Creek Springs #2 Development	Teasdale	77%	\$3,800,000	\$1,140,000	15	22	18	14	16	12	97
1300	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Cast Iron Main Replacements at 4100 S, 5400 W and 3600 W	West Valley City	79%	\$5,750,000	\$1,725,000	15	22	18	14	16	10	95
2204	Duchesne City Water	Drinking Water	River Road Water line replacement	Duchesne	84%	\$2,000,000	\$800,000	15	22	18	14	16	10	95
2223	Gunnison City	Drinking Water	Tarr Canyon Well	Gunnison	88%	\$16,800,000	\$11,760,000	15	22	18	14	16	10	95
2193	Circleville Town	Drinking Water	Redevelop Wades Canyon Springs	Circleville	68%	\$1,150,000	\$1,092,500	18	16	18	14	16	12	94
1966	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Water Tank Storage - Weber South WTP	Ogden	84%	\$14,000,000	\$3,500,000	15	22	14	14	16	12	93
2108	Sterling Town	Drinking Water	Distribution system upgrades	Sterling	102%	\$2,390,000	\$1,195,000	10	22	18	14	16	12	92
2178	Bicknell Town	Drinking Water	Brinkerhoff Spring Line HDD Under Swamp	Bicknell	86%	\$475,000	\$427,500	15	16	18	14	16	12	91
2899	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	Re-Develop Sulfur Springs	Torrey	86%	\$850,000	\$212,500	15	16	18	14	16	12	91
1079	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Cedar Canyon Springs Treatment Plant Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$4,200,000	\$2,016,000	15	22	18	14	16	5	90
2302	Marysvale Town	Drinking Water	PRV Replacement	Marysvale	63%	\$354,000	\$53,100	18	22	18	3	16	12	89
2322	Scipio Town	Drinking Water	Redevelop Springs	Scipio	98%	\$3,200,000	\$2,880,000	10	22	14	14	16	12	88
2191	Caineville Special Service District	Drinking Water	Water Tank	Caineville	84%	\$850,000	\$680,000	15	16	14	14	16	12	87
3594	Myton City	Drinking Water	Primary Culinary System	Myton	82%	\$25,000,000	\$5,000,000	15	16	14	14	16	12	87
3659	Newcastle Water Company	Drinking Water	New Well	Newcastle	75%	\$560,000	\$224,000	15	16	14	14	16	12	87
848	Ukon Water Company	Drinking Water	Arsenic Treatment Project	Fielding	116%	\$1,700,000	\$1,275,000	6	22	18	14	16	10	86
1981		Drinking Water	Disinfectant Monitoring System	Moroni	82%	\$20,000	\$10,000	15	22	14	9	16	10	86
2151	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Drinking Water	Triple completion well	Moab	84%	\$150,000	\$15,000	15	16	18	14	13	10	86
135	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	36th Street Waterline Upgrade and Pressure Enhancement	Ogden	84%	\$2,500,000	\$1,250,000	15	22	18	3	16	10	84
148	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Various 2" Replacements	Ogden	84%	\$1,400,000	\$700,000	15	22	18	9	10	10	84
3660	Newcastle Water Company	Drinking Water	Master Plan	Newcastle	75%	\$4,292,000	\$2,146,000	15	16	14	14	13	12	84
1422	Holiday Hills Association	Drinking Water	Holiday Hills Water System Replacement	Springville	104%	\$1,000,000	\$700,000	10	22	14	9	16	12	83

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2293	Koosharem Town	Drinking Water	Construct a New Storage Tank (500,000 gal)	Koosharem	93%	\$1,810,000	\$1,448,000	10	16	14	14	16	12	82
2281	Junction Town	Drinking Water	Spring Redevelopment	Junction	66%	\$1,255,000	\$1,129,500	18	16	6	14	16	12	82
1773	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Soldier Hollow Booster Station	Charleston	114%	\$250,000	\$187,500	6	22	14	14	16	10	82
1814	Wilson Arch Special Service District	Drinking Water	Austin Ct & Jennah Ct Upgrades	La Sal Jct	84%	\$80,000	\$40,000	15	16	14	9	16	12	82
2258	Hanksville Town	Drinking Water	New 500,000-gallon tank	Hanksville		\$3,250,000	\$2,112,500		22	18	14	16	12	82
226	Redmond Town	Drinking Water	New Well	Redmond	100%	\$1,850,000	\$1,665,000	10	16	18	9	16	12	81
525	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Powder Mountain West Phase I	Eden	157%	\$620,120	\$310,060	0	22	18	14	16	10	80
961	Levan	Drinking Water	Aquifer Recharge and Recovery	Levan	102%	\$156,700	\$47,010	10	16	14	14	16	10	80
2273	Joseph Town	Drinking Water	Install Test Wells	Joseph	80%	\$1,922,575	\$1,634,189	15	16	18	3	16	12	80
3427	Provo City Water Resources	Drinking Water	Freedom Blvd Pipe Replacement	Provo	70%	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	18	16	14	9	13	10	80
1815	Wilson Arch Special Service District	Drinking Water	Joe Wilson Dr Upgrades	La Sal Jct	84%	\$360,000	\$180,000	15	16	14	9	13	12	79
2036	Murray City Water System	Drinking Water	1200 W Winchester Well	Murray	98%	\$4,000,000	\$800,000	10	22	18	3	16	10	79
1963	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Davis North WTP - Expansion	Layton	113%	\$15,000,000	\$3,750,000	6	16	14	14	16	12	78
375	Lindon City	Drinking Water	Install New Water Line on Lakeview Drive from 800 W to Gillman Lane	Lindon	118%	\$700,000	\$350,000	6	22	14	9	16	10	77
923	North Fork Special Service District	Drinking Water	water treatment facility	Orem	80%	\$3,000,000	\$2,700,000	15	22	0	14	16	10	77
1084	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Equestrian Pointe Looped Waterline Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$1,840,000	\$460,000	15	22	0	14	16	10	77
2004	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Dixie Springs Water System Replacement Phase II	Hurricane	91%	\$4,734,918	\$4,734,918	10	16	14	9	16	12	77
2274	Joseph Town	Drinking Water	Install New Well	Joseph	80%	\$1,500,000	\$1,275,000	15	16	18	3	13	12	77
2308	Mayfield Town	Drinking Water	Aquifer Storage and Recovery Study	Mayfield	91%	\$30,000	\$15,000	10	16	14	9	16	12	77
3463	Provo City Water Resources	Drinking Water	South Fork Road Realignment	Provo	70%	\$4,000,000	\$2,000,000	18	22	0	9	16	12	77
377	Lindon City	Drinking Water	Replace Existing Water Line at 135 West	Lindon	118%	\$550,000	\$275,000	6	22	14	9	13	12	76
2175	Antimony Town	Drinking Water	Redevelop Little Forst Springs	Antimony		\$800,000	\$720,000		16	18	14	16	12	76

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3647	Clinton City Corporation	Drinking Water	Water Meter - Antenna	Clinton	125%	\$1,200,000	\$300,000	6	16	14	14	16	10	76
2194	Circleville Town	Drinking Water	Update Transmission Line from Wades Canyon	Circleville	68%	\$1,650,000	\$1,155,000	18	0	18	14	13	12	75
376	Lindon City	Drinking Water	Install New Water Line on Gillman Lane from Lakeview Drive to State Street	Lindon	118%	\$940,000	\$470,000	6	22	14	9	13	10	74
378	Lindon City	Drinking Water	Replace Existing Water Line at 900 E from Center Street to 200 South	Lindon	118%	\$1,300,000	\$650,000	6	22	14	9	13	10	74
1426	Neola Water And Sewer Improvements District	Drinking Water	Well Improvements Project	Neola	95%	\$900,000	\$900,000	10	22	0	14	16	12	74
2309	Mayfield Town	Drinking Water	Aquifer Storage and Recovery Design and Construction	Mayfield	91%	\$150,000	\$75,000	10	16	14	9	13	12	74
1993	Green Hills Country Estates Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Booster Pump Mobile Generator	Huntsville	148%	\$60,000	\$30,000	2	22	18	3	16	12	73
2150	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Drinking Water	Holyoak Ln. to Bittle Ln. waterline	Moab	84%	\$800,000	\$160,000	15	16	0	14	16	12	73
2218	Fremont Water Works Company, Inc	Drinking Water	Fremont Water System Electric Meter Installation	Fremont	63%	\$95,000	\$57,000	18	0	18	9	16	12	73
2221	Fremont Water Works Company, Inc	Drinking Water	Fremont Waterline Looping and Upsizing Project	Fremont	63%	\$2,500,000	\$1,750,000	18	22	0	14	7	12	73
1083	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	CICWCD System Connection and Meter Vault Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$250,000	\$250,000	15	22	0	14	16	5	72
1082	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	800 South Storage Tank Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$5,500,000	\$5,500,000	15	22	0	14	16	5	72
1081	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	800 South Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	15	22	0	14	16	5	72
1080	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Martins Flat Culinary Well and Chlorination Facility Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$5,500,000	\$3,575,000	15	22	0	14	16	5	72
1078	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Quichapa North Wells Chlorination Facility Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$500,000	\$500,000	15	22	0	14	16	5	72
1077	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Quichapa North Wells Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	15	22	0	14	16	5	72

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
379	Lindon City	Drinking Water	Install New Water Line on Center Street from Geneva Road to 800 W	Lindon	118%	\$600,000	\$300,000	6	22	14	9	10	10	71
2179	Bicknell Town	Drinking Water	HDPE Transmission Line Extension to Town	Bicknell	86%	\$205,000	\$184,500	15	0	14	14	16	12	71
1817	La Verkin City	Drinking Water	Stowells Improvements (LCRR)	La Verkin	80%	\$1,369,000	\$1,369,000	15	16	0	14	13	12	70
2003	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Hurricane Irrigation Efficiency Project Phase II	Hurricane	91%	\$6,568,825	\$1,970,648	10	0	18	14	16	12	70
2005	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	South Fields Tank Interconnection 1500 South to 3000 South (2100 West)	Hurricane	91%	\$2,069,954	\$2,069,954	10	0	18	14	16	12	70
2006	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Dixie Springs to Sky Ranch Tank 18" Transmission Phase I	Hurricane	91%	\$4,058,154	\$4,058,154	10	0	18	14	16	12	70
3655	Vernon Waterworks Special Service District	Drinking Water	Meters and SCADA Replacement	Vernon	107%	\$88,000	\$83,600	10	0	18	14	16	12	70
3185	Lewiston Utah	Drinking Water	Center Street 2400 West Water Line	Lewiston	100%	\$610,165	\$549,149	10	22	0	9	16	12	69
2224	Gunnison City	Drinking Water	Distribution System Improvements Phase 1	Gunnison	88%	\$2,600,000	\$1,560,000	15	0	14	14	16	10	69
653	Town Of Snowville, Utah	Drinking Water	SCADA interconnectivity, Isolation valves	Snowville		\$180,300	\$54,090		16	14	14	13	12	69
990	Glen Canyon Special Service District Of Big Water	Drinking Water	Drill a New Culinary Well	Big Water	79%	\$1,166,150	\$1,166,150	15	16	0	9	16	12	68
1964	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Weber West Transmission Line	Riverdale	95%	\$25,000,000	\$6,250,000	10	16	0	14	16	12	68
2174	Glen Canyon Special Service District Of Big Water	Drinking Water	Building around North Well	Big Water	79%	\$110,000	\$88,000	15	16	0	9	16	12	68
1970	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Major Pipeline Replacement	Layton	113%	\$200,000,000	\$54,000,000	6	22	14	3	10	12	67
2008	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Bench Lake Well #1	Hurricane	91%	\$2,572,500	\$2,572,500	10	0	18	14	13	12	67
2009	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Frog Hollow Well	Hurricane	91%	\$1,522,500	\$1,522,500	10	0	18	14	13	12	67
2010	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	600 North 12" D.I. Line	Hurricane	91%	\$835,275	\$835,275	10	0	18	14	13	12	67
1396	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	Ductile Iron Pipe Replacement	Heber City	114%	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	6	22	14	3	16	5	66
2007	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Purgatory 12" D.I. Connection	Hurricane	91%	\$145,819	\$145,819	10	0	14	14	16	12	66
3103	Blanding City	Drinking Water	Deep wells treatment	Blanding	88%	\$1,080,000	\$1,080,000	15	16	0	9	16	10	66

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3338	Wendover City	Drinking Water	Moriah Ave Waterline Replacement	Wendover	57%	\$136,522	\$129,696	18	0	18	3	16	10	65
3337	Wendover City	Drinking Water	Pilot Peak Waterline Replacement	Wendover	57%	\$3,127,000	\$2,970,650	18	0	18	9	10	10	65
145	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	G Avenue	Ogden	84%	\$470,000	\$235,000	15	0	18	9	13	10	65
1131	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Booster Pump or Waterline to Feed top of Kingsbury Drive	Cedar City	79%	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	15	22	0	3	13	12	65
3226	Providence City	Drinking Water	Redd Tank Roof Restoration	Providence	125%	\$201,000	\$180,900	6	22	0	14	13	10	65
512	Nibley City	Drinking Water	New Culinary Well	Nibley	136%	\$3,900,000	\$936,000	2	22	0	14	16	10	64
513	Nibley City	Drinking Water	Transmission water line	Nibley	136%	\$1,200,000	\$288,000	2	22	0	14	16	10	64
1791	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Drinking Water	Flow Control into TCSSD Lower Service Areas	Heber City	114%	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	6	0	18	14	16	10	64
2283	Junction Town	Drinking Water	Sawmill Springs Development	Junction	66%	\$1,455,000	\$1,309,500	18	0	6	14	13	12	63
3228	Providence City	Drinking Water	New Well Pumphouse	Providence	125%	\$1,200,000	\$1,080,000	6	22	0	9	16	10	63
3339	Wendover City	Drinking Water	Pilot Street Waterline Replacement	Wendover	57%	\$89,157	\$84,699	18	0	18	3	13	10	62
1891	Liberty Pipeline Company (Lpc)	Drinking Water	South zone booster and transmission line	Eden	157%	\$2,644,000	\$185,080	0	22	0	14	16	10	62
529	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Cobabe / Pizzel Treatment	Eden	157%	\$1,350,000	\$675,000	0	22	0	14	16	10	62
1036	Heber City Corporation	Drinking Water	W-074 100 West Water Line Replacement	Heber City	114%	\$1,250,000	\$1,062,500	6	0	14	14	16	12	62
146	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	21st & Lincoln Intersection	Ogden	84%	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	15	0	18	9	10	10	62
358	Hi-Country Estates Phase #1	Drinking Water	Source Water Gross Alpha Blending Improvements	Herriman	130%	\$950,000	\$760,000	2	22	0	9	16	12	61
478	Newton Town	Drinking Water	Loosle-Buttars Production Well	Newton	105%	\$662,000	\$165,500	10	0	14	14	13	10	61
2889	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Autoread Meter Upgrade	Teasdale	77%	\$95,000	\$57,000	15	0	18	9	7	12	61
102	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	South Willard Well #2 Equipping	Willard	120%	\$2,000,000	\$800,000	6	0	14	14	16	10	60
2026	Ticaboo Utility Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Valve replacement	Ticaboo		\$55,000	\$41,250		16	14	9	16	5	60
3143	Fruitland Special Service District	Drinking Water	Mill Hollow Spring Treatment	Fruitland	84%	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	15	16	0	3	16	10	60

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2185	Bicknell Town	Drinking Water	Water Master Plan	Bicknell	86%	\$40,000	\$40,000	15	0	14	14	4	12	59
3101	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	1500 East Waterline Extension	Ballard	123%	\$1,500,000	\$1,125,000	6	22	0	3	16	12	59
103	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Bothwell Water Infrastructure	Bothwell	107%	\$15,000,000	\$9,750,000	10	0	14	14	16	5	59
479	Newton Town	Drinking Water	Water System SCADA Upgrade	Newton	105%	\$54,000	\$13,500	10	0	14	9	16	10	59
526	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Existing PRV Upgrades	Eden	157%	\$244,810	\$122,405	0	0	18	14	16	10	58
651	Town Of Snowville, Utah	Drinking Water	Well Siting Study	Snowville		\$19,500	\$15,600		16	0	14	16	12	58
652	Town Of Snowville, Utah	Drinking Water	Test Well	Snowville		\$60,000	\$48,000		16	0	14	16	12	58
1037	Draper City	Drinking Water	Pump Station 3	Draper	136%	\$2,000,000	\$800,000	2	16	0	14	16	10	58
1132	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	SR-56 from Cross Hollow Road to Westview Drive Waterline Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$457,600	\$457,600	15	22	0	3	13	5	58
1387	Ivins City	Drinking Water	Automated Metering Infrastructure (AMI)	Ivins	107%	\$1,500,000	\$495,000	10	16	18	9	0	5	58
1816	La Verkin City	Drinking Water	SR-17 12" Line Replacement	La Verkin	80%	\$1,598,000	\$1,438,200	15	0	14	3	16	10	58
3599	Myton City	Drinking Water	Water Conservation Plan	Myton	82%	\$20,000	\$20,000	15	0	14	3	13	12	57
3649	Clinton City Corporation	Drinking Water	Well #2 Equipping and Booster Station	Clinton	125%	\$2,600,000	\$650,000	6	0	14	14	13	10	57
3648	Clinton City Corporation	Drinking Water	Well #2 Tank	Clinton	125%	\$2,700,000	\$675,000	6	0	14	14	13	10	57
3093	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Secondary Source Project	Ballard	123%	\$4,000,000	\$3,000,000	6	22	0	3	16	10	57
372	Lindon City	Drinking Water	Construct New Well #5	Lindon	118%	\$3,500,000	\$1,750,000	6	16	0	14	16	5	57
133	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	46th Street Tank Construction	Ogden	84%	\$3,800,000	\$1,900,000	15	0	18	3	16	5	57
97	Amalga Town	Drinking Water	Water Meter (AutoRead/Water Conserving)	Amalga	120%	\$72,500	\$21,750	6	0	14	9	16	12	57
95	Amalga Town	Drinking Water	Well Field SCADA System	Amalga	120%	\$60,460	\$18,138	6	0	14	9	16	12	57
2027	Ticaboo Utility Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Main Replacement	Ticaboo		\$65,000	\$48,750		16	14	9	13	5	57
94	Amalga Town	Drinking Water	Well Site Standby Generator	Amalga	120%	\$150,670	\$45,201	6	16	0	9	13	12	56
1086	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Enoch Well #1 Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	98%	\$100,000	\$50,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
530	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Powder Mountain West Phase II	Eden	157%	\$1,643,966	\$821,983	0	0	18	9	16	12	55
1427	Neola Water And Sewer Improvements District	Drinking Water	Water Improvement project	Neola	95%	\$3,850,000	\$3,850,000	10	0	14	3	16	12	55
411	Mapleton City	Drinking Water	Replace US-89 Water Main	Mapleton	152%	\$3,420,000	\$855,000	0	16	14	3	16	5	54
1038	Draper City	Drinking Water	PRV Replacements	Draper	136%	\$12,000,000	\$4,800,000	2	22	0	3	16	10	53
686	Summit Water Distribution Company	Drinking Water	Spring Creek Springs Treatment	Park City	145%	\$6,500,000	\$5,200,000	2	22	0	3	16	10	53
421	City Of Mendon	Drinking Water	Coldwater Well Site Standby Generator	Mendon	130%	\$165,000	\$41,250	2	16	0	9	16	10	53
901	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	Line 30" Trunkline Line	Spanish Fork	111%	\$6,867,477	\$3,433,739	6	0	14	14	13	5	52
1818	La Verkin City	Drinking Water	SCADA/MASTER METER Improvements	La Verkin	80%	\$150,000	\$120,000	15	0	14	3	10	10	52
1992	Green Hills Country Estates Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	AMR Water Meter Conversion	Huntsville	148%	\$300,000	\$150,000	2	0	18	3	16	12	51
96	Amalga Town	Drinking Water	Booster Station Pumps - Upsize	Amalga	120%	\$120,700	\$36,210	6	0	14	9	10	12	51
1974	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	North Weber County Area Wells	North Ogden	130%	\$6,000,000	\$600,000	2	16	0	14	7	12	51
685	Summit Water Distribution Company	Drinking Water	Storage Well Replacement	Park City	145%	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	2	22	0	3	13	10	50
1085	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Quichapa North Wells Rehabilitation	Cedar City	79%	\$152,000	\$152,000	15	0	0	14	16	5	50
236	Diamond Valley Acres Water Co.	Drinking Water	Update Master Plan	St. George	89%	\$50,000	\$25,000	15	0	0	9	16	10	50
3574	Coalville City	Drinking Water	Water Conservation Plan	Coalville	120%	\$20,000	\$20,000	6	0	14	3	16	10	49
1795	Woodland Hills City	Drinking Water	Waterline Replacement Project Phase 2	Woodland Hills	155%	\$6,500,000	\$2,925,000	0	0	18	3	16	12	49
1541	Town Of Garden City	Drinking Water	Treatment Plant Transmission Line	Garden City	102%	\$350,000	\$280,000	10	0	18	3	13	5	49
173	East Zion Special Service District	Drinking Water	New Well #3	Mt Carmel Jct		\$1,050,000	\$262,500		0	18	9	10	12	49
436	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	8800 West Waterline Replacement	Magna	84%	\$2,500,000	\$2,000,000	15	0	14	14	0	5	48

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
660	Soaring Eagle Beverage Company, Llc	Drinking Water	SOARING EAGLE BEVERAGE COMPANY, LLC	Kamas	123%	\$49,000,000	\$9,800,000	6	0	0	14	16	12	48
1972	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	South Davis Area Wells	Bountiful	116%	\$4,500,000	\$450,000	6	16	0	3	10	12	47
1780	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Well Generator	Charleston	114%	\$72,000	\$36,000	6	0	0	14	16	10	46
2284	Junction Town	Drinking Water	Master Plan	Junction	66%	\$40,000	\$40,000	18	0	0	9	7	12	46
3595	Myton City	Drinking Water	Asset Management Plan	Myton	82%	\$20,000	\$20,000	15	0	0	3	16	12	46
1955	Syracuse City	Drinking Water	Freeport Tank Transmission Line	Syracuse	148%	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	2	22	0	3	13	5	45
668	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	300 West Pipeline Replacement	South Salt Lake	77%	\$1,139,047	\$911,238	15	0	0	9	16	5	45
2890	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Water Master Plan	Teasdale	77%	\$40,000	\$40,000	15	0	0	14	4	12	45
3238	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	Water Line Replacement Phase 1	Roosevelt	100%	\$2,540,000	\$838,200	10	0	0	9	16	10	45
1114	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Monterey Drive Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$500,000	\$250,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
1115	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	1025 West Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$304,000	\$152,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
917	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	71-5353 100 S Escalante to 650 W	Spanish Fork	111%	\$734,737	\$367,369	6	0	14	14	4	5	43
3202	Mona City	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Capital Facilities Improvements	Mona	121%	\$3,584,000	\$2,688,000	6	0	0	9	16	12	43
3458	Provo City Water Resources	Drinking Water	Additional Well 1	Provo	70%	\$4,500,000	\$2,250,000	18	0	0	3	16	5	42
3148	Town Of Hideout	Drinking Water	Loop System: Lariat Ct to Longview Dr	Hideout	255%	\$109,980	\$27,495	0	0	0	14	16	12	42
3243	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	New Water Line Project Phase 1	Roosevelt	100%	\$5,500,000	\$1,815,000	10	0	0	9	13	10	42
1933	Mountain Regional Water Ssd	Drinking Water	Promontory South Point Distribution Line Size	Snyderville	184%	\$255,000	\$51,000	0	0	0	14	16	12	42
669	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	Main Street Pipeline Replacement	South Salt Lake	77%	\$1,603,525	\$1,282,820	15	0	0	9	13	5	42
1953	Syracuse City	Drinking Water	1 MG Storage Tank	Syracuse	148%	\$5,000,000	\$4,000,000	2	16	0	3	16	5	42
2042	Payson City	Drinking Water	Upsize existing 4" and 6" line to 8" lines for fire flow issues.	Payson	98%	\$300,000	\$240,000	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
3144	Fruitland Special Service District	Drinking Water	Water Rights Acquisition	Fruitland	84%	\$800,000	\$400,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
178	East Zion Special Service District	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Master Plan	Mt Carmel Jct		\$40,000	\$40,000		0	0	14	16	10	40
3149	Town Of Hideout	Drinking Water	Loop System: N Shoreline Ct to Shoreline Dr	Hideout	255%	\$65,988	\$16,497	0	0	0	14	13	12	39
3172	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Master Plan	Roosevelt	100%	\$80,000	\$60,000	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
3179	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	PRV Vault Rehabilitation Project	Roosevelt	100%	\$375,000	\$281,250	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
2043	Payson City	Drinking Water	Upsize existing 4" lines to 8" lines for fire flow issues.	Payson	98%	\$400,000	\$320,000	10	0	0	3	13	12	38
1169	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Center Street from east end of Hidden Hills Dr. to 4500 W. Distribution Line Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$4,329,000	\$3,463,200	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
991	Bear Lake Water Company	Drinking Water	Culinary Master Plan Update	Garden City	102%	\$80,000	\$80,000	10	0	0	3	13	12	38
315	Herriman City	Drinking Water	North Zones 3 & 4 Transmission Pipelines (CW4.01, CW3.01, CW3.02)	Herriman	130%	\$7,200,000	\$2,880,000	2	0	0	14	16	5	37
373	Lindon City	Drinking Water	Connect Well #5 to Water Distribution System	Lindon	118%	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	6	0	0	9	16	5	36
1152	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	South Mountain Drive - Transmission Line Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$8,970,000	\$7,176,000	15	0	0	3	13	5	36
1153	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	South Mountain Drive - Distribution Line Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$5,382,000	\$4,305,600	15	0	0	3	13	5	36
3573	Coalville City	Drinking Water	Asset Management Plan	Coalville	120%	\$20,000	\$20,000	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
3653	Clinton City Corporation	Drinking Water	Ductile Iron Replacement	Clinton	125%	\$7,520,000	\$3,760,000	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
1967	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	4700 W Pipeline from Pump Station	West Haven	121%	\$9,000,000	\$2,250,000	6	0	0	3	13	12	34
1539	Town Of Garden City	Drinking Water	1.0 MG Storage Tank	Garden City	102%	\$1,750,000	\$875,000	10	0	0	3	16	5	34
2259	Hanksville Town	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Master Plan	Hanksville		\$40,000	\$40,000		0	0	9	13	12	34
1956	Syracuse City	Drinking Water	Bond Payment on 3MG Tank	Syracuse	148%	\$4,000,000	\$3,200,000	2	16	0	3	7	5	33
528	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Source #1 Development	Eden	157%	\$2,556,450	\$1,278,225	0	0	0	3	16	12	31
813	Oquirrh Point Improvement District	Drinking Water	Oquirrh Point Tank No. 1	Erda	143%	\$5,250,000	\$5,250,000	2	0	0	3	16	10	31
318	Herriman City	Drinking Water	North Zone 3 2MG Storage Tank	Herriman	130%	\$7,000,000	\$2,800,000	2	0	0	14	10	5	31

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1366	Highland City	Drinking Water	Concrete Tank Roof Rehab/Bury	Highland	163%	\$150,000	\$75,000	0	0	0	9	16	5	30

Table 6: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years)

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1433	Ouray Park Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Treatment Plant	Ouray	71%	\$4,700,000	\$4,700,000	15	22	18	14	16	12	97
2886	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Redevelopment of Cold Creek Springs #1	Teasdale	77%	\$2,800,000	\$840,000	15	22	18	14	16	12	97
166	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Pineview Wells Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$2,500,000	\$1,250,000	15	22	18	14	16	10	95
1301	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Cast Iron Main Replacements at 4800 W, 4100 S and 3800 S	West Valley City	79%	\$5,142,000	\$1,542,600	15	22	18	14	16	10	95
2891	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	Town Well #1	Torrey	86%	\$3,900,000	\$1,950,000	15	16	18	14	16	12	91
2070	Price City	Drinking Water	Price City Transmission Main Replacement	Price	84%	\$15,000,000	\$2,700,000	15	16	18	14	16	10	89
2205	Duchesne City Water	Drinking Water	West Starvation Road water line	Duchesne	84%	\$2,200,000	\$880,000	15	16	18	14	13	12	88
2920	East Carbon City	Drinking Water	Water Storage/Reservoir	East Carbon	66%	\$10,000,000	\$5,000,000	18	22	18	3	16	10	87
2303	Marysvale Town	Drinking Water	Source Development	Marysvale	63%	\$970,000	\$145,500	18	22	18	3	13	12	86
2323	Scipio Town	Drinking Water	Loop 8" PVC along 200 East and Replace 6" on 200 East	Scipio	98%	\$142,680	\$128,412	10	22	14	14	13	12	85
233	Diamond Valley Acres Water Co.	Drinking Water	Distribution System Replacement	St. George	89%	\$5,000,000	\$1,250,000	15	16	14	14	16	10	85
890	Washington Terrace City	Drinking Water	CW-33 4900 South. Upgrade culinary water main	Washington Terrace	95%	\$320,000	\$320,000	10	22	18	14	10	10	84
1969	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Weber South WTP - Expansion	Ogden	84%	\$12,000,000	\$3,000,000	15	16	14	14	13	12	84
2180	Bicknell Town	Drinking Water	Mountain Spring Line Replacement	Bicknell	86%	\$2,125,000	\$1,912,500	15	16	14	14	13	12	84
2192	Caineville Special Service District	Drinking Water	Distribution System Recommendations	Caineville	84%	\$1,430,000	\$1,358,500	15	16	14	14	13	12	84
2304	Marysvale Town	Drinking Water	0.5 Million Gallon Storage Tank	Marysvale	63%	\$2,138,000	\$534,500	18	22	18	3	10	12	83
889	Washington Terrace City	Drinking Water	CW-34, 4900 South. Upgrade the culinary water main	Washington Terrace	95%	\$451,425	\$451,425	10	22	18	9	13	10	82
891	Washington Terrace City	Drinking Water	CW-58,59 5000 South. Upgrade culinary water main	Washington Terrace	95%	\$820,000	\$820,000	10	22	18	14	7	10	81
577	Richfield City	Drinking Water	Replace Culinary Water Lines	Richfield	88%	\$30,000,000	\$22,500,000	15	16	14	9	16	10	80
2294	Koosharem Town	Drinking Water	Main Street Distribution Line	Koosharem	93%	\$900,000	\$720,000	10	16	14	14	13	12	79
2184	Bicknell Town	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Line Replacement and Looping	Bicknell	86%	\$3,070,162	\$2,149,113	15	22	14	9	7	12	79

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
134	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	23rd Street Transmission Main Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$2,800,000	\$1,400,000	15	22	18	3	16	5	79
2181	Bicknell Town	Drinking Water	Mountain Spring Redevelopment	Bicknell	86%	\$2,800,000	\$560,000	15	16	14	9	13	12	79
147	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Orchard, Liberty, Madison Leak Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$2,200,000	\$1,100,000	15	16	18	9	10	10	78
892	Washington Terrace City	Drinking Water	CW-57 5000 South. Upgrade culinary water main	Washington Terrace	95%	\$300,000	\$300,000	10	22	18	14	4	10	78
2071	Price City	Drinking Water	Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	Price	84%	\$42,000,000	\$7,560,000	15	16	18	3	16	10	78
3340	Wendover City	Drinking Water	Air Base Waterline Replacement	Wendover	57%	\$555,012	\$527,261	18	22	18	3	7	10	78
1299	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Zone 1 Reservoir	West Valley City	79%	\$12,756,000	\$2,551,200	15	22	0	14	16	10	77
361	Hi-Country Estates Phase #1	Drinking Water	Fire Hydrant Replacement	Herriman	130%	\$115,000	\$92,000	2	22	14	14	13	12	77
799	Town Of Alta	Drinking Water	Alta Storage Tank	Alta	71%	\$1,200,000	\$600,000	15	22	0	14	16	10	77
924	North Fork Special Service District	Drinking Water	New pressure zones	Orem	80%	\$1,000,000	\$490,000	15	22	14	3	13	10	77
1822	North Logan City	Drinking Water	1600 E. 2300 N Well	North Logan	111%	\$4,980,000	\$1,992,000	6	16	18	14	13	10	77
2182	Bicknell Town	Drinking Water	Culinary Valve Replacement	Bicknell	86%	\$450,000	\$360,000	15	16	14	9	10	12	76
2275	Joseph Town	Drinking Water	Install Ultrasonic Meters	Joseph	80%	\$70,200	\$66,690	15	22	14	3	10	12	76
2282	Junction Town	Drinking Water	Distribution Pipeline Improvements	Junction	66%	\$1,563,030	\$1,406,727	18	16	6	14	10	12	76
2295	Koosharem Town	Drinking Water	Replace all Remaining 4" waterline with 8" water line	Koosharem	93%	\$1,130,000	\$904,000	10	16	14	14	10	12	76
2887	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Upper Pressure Zone 500,000 Gallon Tank and Transmission	Teasdale	77%	\$3,500,000	\$2,100,000	15	22	0	14	13	12	76
2923	East Carbon City	Drinking Water	storage tank replacement	East Carbon	66%	\$4,500,000	\$2,250,000	18	16	18	3	10	10	75
2206	Emery Town	Drinking Water	Transmission Line/Diversion Upgrades	Emery	73%	\$4,250,000	\$3,400,000	15	0	18	14	16	12	75
2905	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	Sleeping Rainbow Transmission Line Replacement	Torrey	86%	\$360,000	\$270,000	15	0	18	14	16	12	75
893	Town Of Daniel & Storm Haven Water	Drinking Water	Storm haven water tank	Daniel	114%	\$1,000,000	\$250,000	6	22	18	3	16	10	75
1821	North Logan City	Drinking Water	1 MG Beef Hollow Tank 3	North Logan	111%	\$2,830,000	\$1,132,000	6	16	18	14	16	5	75

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
800	Town Of Alta	Drinking Water	Lower Alta Distribution Line	Alta	71%	\$182,000	\$91,000	15	22	0	14	13	10	74
862	Ephraim City	Drinking Water	Replace asbestos concrete pipelines	Ephraim	82%	\$1,000,000	\$400,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
863	Ephraim City	Drinking Water	Replace lead joint cast iron pipelines	Ephraim	82%	\$800,000	\$320,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
2219	Fremont Water Works Company, Inc	Drinking Water	Fremont Spring Source Development	Fremont	63%	\$2,800,000	\$1,820,000	18	22	0	9	13	12	74
2305	Marysville Town	Drinking Water	Fire Flow Improvements	Marysville	63%	\$4,973,000	\$1,491,900	18	16	18	3	7	12	74
2922	East Carbon City	Drinking Water	Storage Tank Improvements	East Carbon	66%	\$250,000	\$125,000	18	16	14	3	13	10	74
1424	Loa Tow	Drinking Water	Loa Distribution System Improvements	Loa	88%	\$300,000	\$285,000	15	16	0	14	16	12	73
362	Hi-Country Estates Phase #1	Drinking Water	PRV Replacement (8144 W Canyon Road)	Herriman	130%	\$162,000	\$129,600	2	22	18	9	10	12	73
2176	Antimony Town	Drinking Water	Replace all 4" and 6" Water Lines with 8" and 12" Water Lines	Antimony		\$2,305,065	\$2,074,559		16	18	14	13	12	73
3107	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Victory Pipeline 2MG Tank	Bridgeland	84%	\$3,000,000	\$1,500,000	15	0	18	14	16	10	73
161	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Zone 8 Fire Flow – Canyon Road	Ogden	84%	\$270,000	\$135,000	15	16	18	9	4	10	72
162	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Zone 3 Fire Flow – Navajo Drive	Ogden	84%	\$190,000	\$95,000	15	16	18	9	4	10	72
164	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Dunsinane Circle Fire Flow	Ogden	84%	\$280,000	\$140,000	15	16	18	9	4	10	72
380	Lindon City	Drinking Water	Install New Water Line on Geneva Road from 600 N to Center Street	Lindon	118%	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	6	22	14	9	10	10	71
1965	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Davis Aqueduct Parallel Phase 2	Layton	113%	\$25,000,000	\$12,500,000	6	16	18	3	16	12	71
153	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	32nd Street East Central Leaks	Ogden	84%	\$1,300,000	\$650,000	15	16	14	9	7	10	71
158	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	5th Street Leaks	Ogden	84%	\$450,000	\$225,000	15	16	14	9	7	10	71
2220	Fremont Water Works Company, Inc	Drinking Water	Fremont Drinking Water Storage Tank Construction	Fremont	63%	\$3,200,000	\$2,080,000	18	22	0	9	10	12	71
2195	Circleville Town	Drinking Water	Update Distribution System (Fire Flow)	Circleville	68%	\$2,027,000	\$1,824,300	18	16	0	14	10	12	70

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
351	Hi-Country Estates Phase #2	Drinking Water	Upper Zone D Tank Replacement	Herriman	130%	\$1,950,000	\$1,560,000	2	22	14	3	16	12	69
850	Elk Ridge City	Drinking Water	Water Main Replacement	Elk Ridge	159%	\$15,000,000	\$3,000,000	0	16	18	9	16	10	69
2137	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Westfield tank repair	Toquerville	104%	\$250,000	\$125,000	10	16	14	3	16	10	69
1624	Spring City	Drinking Water	Spring City Source Improvement Project - Spring Development	Spring City	96%	\$596,000	\$566,200	10	16	0	14	16	12	68
383	Lindon City	Drinking Water	Install New Water Line on Main Street from Center Street to 200 South	Lindon	118%	\$2,600,000	\$1,300,000	6	22	14	9	7	10	68
3225	Pinon Forest Special Service District	Drinking Water	Tank and Water Loadout	Duchesne	84%	\$600,000	\$600,000	15	22	0	3	16	12	68
381	Lindon City	Drinking Water	Pipe Replacement on 400 N from Main Street to Locust	Lindon	118%	\$3,100,000	\$1,550,000	6	22	14	9	7	10	68
138	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	D Avenue Extension	Ogden	84%	\$1,600,000	\$800,000	15	0	18	9	16	10	68
382	Lindon City	Drinking Water	1200 E Loop Pl and Culinary	Lindon	118%	\$1,700,000	\$850,000	6	22	14	9	7	10	68
1971	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Farmington Well Conversion to Culinary	Farmington	155%	\$23,500,000	\$5,875,000	0	16	14	14	10	12	66
105	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Regional Bothwell Culinary Water Treatment Plant Study	Bothwell	107%	\$500,000	\$125,000	10	16	14	3	13	10	66
106	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Additional Storage Capacity	Tremonton	107%	\$5,000,000	\$1,000,000	10	16	14	3	13	10	66
115	Cedarview Montwell Special Service District	Drinking Water	3000 West Pipeline going North	Neola	95%	\$5,289,000	\$5,289,000	10	0	14	14	16	12	66
481	Newton Town	Drinking Water	Tank Overflow Improvement	Newton	105%	\$319,000	\$79,750	10	16	14	9	7	10	66
1343	Helper City	Drinking Water	Royal Chlorinator Rehabilitation	Helper	82%	\$250,000	\$125,000	15	22	0	3	16	10	66
1892	Liberty Pipeline Company (Lpc)	Drinking Water	1 MG Cutler Water Tank and transmission line	Eden	157%	\$2,000,000	\$140,000	0	22	18	3	13	10	66
2226	Gunnison City	Drinking Water	East Tank Replacement	Gunnison	88%	\$2,240,000	\$1,344,000	15	0	14	14	13	10	66
144	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	37th Street Van Buren to Jackson	Ogden	84%	\$910,000	\$455,000	15	0	18	9	13	10	65
2208	Emery Town	Drinking Water	Distribution System Upgrades Phase 1	Emery	73%	\$875,000	\$700,000	15	0	14	14	10	12	65
143	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Grant – 25th to 26th	Ogden	84%	\$970,000	\$485,000	15	0	18	9	13	10	65

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
142	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Lockwood & Canfield Drives	Ogden	84%	\$800,000	\$400,000	15	0	18	9	13	10	65
141	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Jefferson – 33rd to 36th	Ogden	84%	\$940,000	\$470,000	15	0	18	9	13	10	65
140	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Lincoln – 26th to 25th	Ogden	84%	\$620,000	\$310,000	15	0	18	9	13	10	65
139	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	1200 N – Liberty to Orchard	Ogden	84%	\$780,000	\$390,000	15	0	18	9	13	10	65
1042	Draper City	Drinking Water	Upper Traverse Ridge	Draper	136%	\$3,500,000	\$1,750,000	2	22	14	3	13	10	64
1978	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	12th St Pipeline	Ogden	84%	\$10,000,000	\$1,000,000	15	16	14	3	4	12	64
2271	Hanna Water	Drinking Water	Stockmore Well Improvements	Hanna		\$200,000	\$100,000		22	0	14	16	12	64
2888	Teasdale Special Service District	Drinking Water	Distribution System Extension and Looping	Teasdale	77%	\$1,800,000	\$1,260,000	15	0	18	9	10	12	64
180	Corinne City	Drinking Water	Well Development Project	Corinne	107%	\$3,100,000	\$2,790,000	10	22	0	3	16	12	63
359	Hi-Country Estates Phase #1	Drinking Water	Hi Country Rd Zone 2 pipeline upsize (fire flow)	Herriman	130%	\$1,450,000	\$1,160,000	2	16	14	3	16	12	63
482	Newton Town	Drinking Water	Replace Spring Supply Line	Newton	105%	\$4,786,000	\$1,196,500	10	16	14	9	4	10	63
2261	Hanksville Town	Drinking Water	New Well	Hanksville		\$1,101,320	\$550,660		16	18	9	7	12	62
1437	Parowan City	Drinking Water	Distribution system fireflow improvements	Parowan	84%	\$1,095,582	\$1,095,582	15	22	0	3	10	12	62
1435	Parowan City	Drinking Water	6-Mile Spring Water Treatment Plant	Parowan	84%	\$4,900,000	\$4,900,000	15	0	18	3	16	10	62
571	Richfield City	Drinking Water	Golf Course Irrigation Replacement	Richfield	88%	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000	15	0	18	3	16	10	62
1808	Clear Creek Utilities	Drinking Water	water supply pump	Clear Creek (Carbon County)	82%	\$65,000	\$65,000	15	16	0	3	16	12	62
152	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	7th Street – Monroe to Harrison	Ogden	84%	\$1,100,000	\$550,000	15	0	18	9	10	10	62
151	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Ridgedale Projects	Ogden	84%	\$1,600,000	\$800,000	15	0	18	9	10	10	62
150	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Mile High, Mohawk, Shoshone	Ogden	84%	\$990,000	\$495,000	15	0	18	9	10	10	62
149	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	25th – Lincoln to Grant	Ogden	84%	\$930,000	\$465,000	15	0	18	9	10	10	62
191	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Lower Zone Transfer Station upgrade	Mountain Green	248%	\$280,000	\$224,000	0	22	0	14	16	10	62

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3235	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	Transite Line Replacement Phase 1	Roosevelt	100%	\$2,150,000	\$709,500	10	16	0	9	16	10	61
2272	Hanna Water	Drinking Water	Annie Mae Spring Collection System Upgrade and Rehabilitation	Hanna		\$750,000	\$375,000		22	0	14	13	12	61
1792	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Drinking Water	Red Ledges Pump Station Expansion	Heber City	114%	\$850,000	\$212,500	6	0	18	14	13	10	61
1101	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Square Mountain and Cross Hollow Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$1,878,000	\$1,126,800	15	22	0	3	16	5	61
1095	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	North Wells Booster Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$5,000,000	\$4,000,000	15	22	0	3	16	5	61
1094	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	North Wells Storage Tank Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$1,200,000	\$960,000	15	22	0	3	16	5	61
1091	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Cedar Canyon Water Tank Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$4,500,000	\$3,600,000	15	22	0	3	16	5	61
714	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	East C Well	Tooele	98%	\$4,282,500	\$1,498,875	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
711	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Arsenic Water Treatment Plant	Tooele	98%	\$2,467,500	\$863,625	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
710	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	East A Well	Tooele	98%	\$4,203,000	\$1,471,050	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
702	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	700 South Booster to Tank 3 replacement	Tooele	98%	\$4,078,500	\$1,427,475	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
527	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Sky Lodge Fire Flow Upgrades	Eden	157%	\$446,361	\$223,181	0	22	0	14	13	12	61
165	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Pressure Zone 6B Expansion	Ogden	84%	\$2,500,000	\$1,250,000	15	0	18	14	4	10	61
712	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Reverse Osmosis Water Treatment Plant	Tooele	98%	\$10,000,000	\$3,500,000	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
1777	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	2900 West WL Replacement	Charleston	114%	\$340,000	\$170,000	6	0	14	14	16	10	60
3423	Provo City Water Resources	Drinking Water	6,000 ft of 12-in and 3,600 ft of 8-in from Center St. and 3110 W. west to boat harbor and campground. 8-in pipe is within campground and boat harbor.	Provo	70%	\$2,464,000	\$1,232,000	18	16	0	3	13	10	60

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2324	Scipio Town	Drinking Water	Replace 6" PVC along 200 East and 100 East	Scipio	98%	\$416,175	\$374,558	10	0	14	14	10	12	60
1626	Spring City	Drinking Water	Spring City pen stock replacement	Spring City	96%	\$2,300,000	\$2,185,000	10	16	0	14	10	10	60
2210	Emery Town	Drinking Water	Morris Bend Reservoir	Emery	73%	\$32,000,000	\$15,680,000	15	0	14	3	16	12	60
360	Hi-Country Estates Phase #1	Drinking Water	Hi Country Rd. Zone 3 pipeline upsize (fire flow)	Herriman	130%	\$2,600,000	\$2,080,000	2	16	14	3	13	12	60
861	Ephraim City	Drinking Water	New Pressure Reducing Valves	Ephraim	82%	\$150,000	\$60,000	15	16	0	3	16	10	60
181	Corinne City	Drinking Water	4800W Fire Flow Loop	Corinne	107%	\$503,000	\$452,700	10	22	0	3	13	12	60
136	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	24th Street Interchange/Extension	Ogden	84%	\$2,600,000	\$1,300,000	15	0	18	9	16	2	60
3167	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Meter and SCADA Improvements	Roosevelt	100%	\$318,000	\$238,500	10	0	18	3	16	12	59
2211	Emery Town	Drinking Water	Master Plan	Emery	73%	\$40,000	\$40,000	15	0	14	14	4	12	59
1436	Parowan City	Drinking Water	Main Canyon Spring Waterline Replacement	Parowan	84%	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000	15	0	18	3	13	10	59
852	Elk Ridge City	Drinking Water	2 Replacement PRV's on Hillside Drive	Elk Ridge	159%	\$300,000	\$60,000	0	22	0	14	13	10	59
3289	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Lower Pump House Chlorination	Duchesne	84%	\$85,000	\$55,250	15	16	0	3	13	12	59
156	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Meadow Drive	Ogden	84%	\$300,000	\$150,000	15	0	18	9	7	10	59
155	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Goddard, Chatelain, Van Buren	Ogden	84%	\$1,900,000	\$950,000	15	0	18	9	7	10	59
154	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Eccles – 25th to 27th, 28th–29th	Ogden	84%	\$1,100,000	\$550,000	15	0	18	9	7	10	59
157	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Avalon Hills	Ogden	84%	\$550,000	\$275,000	15	0	18	9	7	10	59
108	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Bear River Bird Refuge Outflows	Brigham City	96%	\$500,000	\$50,000	10	16	14	0	10	8	58
227	Redmond Town	Drinking Water	Water Distribution System Improvement Project	Redmond	100%	\$1,550,000	\$1,240,000	10	0	14	9	13	12	58
384	Lindon City	Drinking Water	Construct a New 2MG Water Tank at 835 E	Lindon	118%	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000	6	16	18	9	4	5	58
946	City Of Orem	Drinking Water	1600 North Well	Orem	80%	\$5,672,000	\$2,836,000	15	22	0	3	16	2	58
3411	Provo City Water Resources	Drinking Water	1730 N Freeway Crossing	Provo	70%	\$8,000,000	\$4,000,000	18	0	0	14	16	10	58
3468	Provo City Water Resources	Drinking Water	Rock Canyon Tank	Provo	70%	\$4,000,000	\$2,000,000	18	0	0	14	16	10	58

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1325	Green River City, Utah	Drinking Water	Green River Blvd from Farrer to Green River Ave	Green River	80%	\$175,900	\$87,950	15	0	0	14	16	12	57
2325	Scipio Town	Drinking Water	Replace 2" and 1.5" PVC to North of Town to meet Peak Instantaneous and Peak Day Demands.	Scipio	98%	\$617,922	\$556,130	10	0	14	14	7	12	57
2183	Bicknell Town	Drinking Water	Culinary Meter System Upgrade	Bicknell	86%	\$85,000	\$80,750	15	0	14	9	7	12	57
3033	American Fork City	Drinking Water	Dixie Well	American Fork	107%	\$7,118,135	\$1,779,534	10	0	18	3	16	10	57
1778	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Webster Lane WL Replacement	Charleston	114%	\$218,000	\$109,000	6	0	14	14	13	10	57
1324	Green River City, Utah	Drinking Water	945 E from Main to end of street & loop on 150 N from 945 E and South to 100 N	Green River	80%	\$148,120	\$74,060	15	0	0	14	16	12	57
1106	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Squaw Cave Tank Pressure Sustaining Valve Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$100,000	\$80,000	15	0	18	3	16	5	57
1108	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Right Hand Canyon and Milt's Pump Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$40,000	\$32,000	15	0	18	3	16	5	57
1107	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Cedar Canyon Tank Pressure Sustaining Valve Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$100,000	\$80,000	15	0	18	3	16	5	57
1105	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Fiddlers Canyon Tank Pressure Sustaining Valve Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$100,000	\$80,000	15	0	18	3	16	5	57
1104	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Cedar Canyon Tank Connection Pipeline Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$94,500	\$75,600	15	0	18	3	16	5	57
1309	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Breeze Reservoir Landscaping	West Valley City	79%	\$1,314,000	\$394,200	15	0	14	3	13	12	57
1093	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	North Well Field Production Well #3, Pipeline and Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	98%	\$9,500,000	\$7,600,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
1089	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	North Well Field Production Well #2 and Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	98%	\$9,500,000	\$7,600,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
1088	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	North Well Field Transmission Waterline Installation	Enoch	98%	\$22,400,000	\$17,920,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
1087	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	North Well Field Production Well #1 (BLM South Well) and Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	98%	\$9,000,000	\$7,200,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
715	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	East C Well to Zone 9	Tooele	98%	\$2,550,000	\$2,167,500	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
708	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	7th Street, Skyline Drive to Vine Street	Tooele	98%	\$1,053,000	\$895,050	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
707	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Mountaineer Drive, Tank 4 to Skyline Drive	Tooele	98%	\$435,000	\$369,750	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
713	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	East A Well to Zone 10	Tooele	98%	\$6,885,000	\$5,852,250	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
1958	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	New Storage Tank	Bridgeland	84%	\$4,750,000	\$1,662,500	15	0	0	14	16	10	55
2231	Gunnison City	Drinking Water	Master Plan	Gunnison	88%	\$40,000	\$40,000	15	0	0	14	16	10	55
1959	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	New Transmission Line	Bridgeland	84%	\$991,400	\$346,990	15	0	0	14	16	10	55
1951	Canyonlands Regional Airport Ut10014	Drinking Water	New Airport Well	Moab	84%	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	15	16	0	3	16	5	55
2148	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Drinking Water	Green River Pipeline	Thompson Springs		\$6,000,000	\$1,800,000		16	14	3	10	12	55
902	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	Oaks Trans (10" Ductile)	Spanish Fork	111%	\$3,000,000	\$1,500,000	6	0	14	9	16	10	55
654	Town Of Snowville, Utah	Drinking Water	Useable Backup Well Water Source	Snowville		\$2,520,200	\$756,060		16	0	14	13	12	55
947	City Of Orem	Drinking Water	Hillcrest Park Well	Orem	80%	\$3,739,000	\$1,869,500	15	22	0	3	13	2	55
352	Hi-Country Estates Phase #2	Drinking Water	Upper Zone D Service Area Source Redundancy	Herriman	130%	\$2,000,000	\$1,600,000	2	22	0	3	16	12	55
2260	Hanksville Town	Drinking Water	Distribution Improvements Phase 1	Hanksville		\$525,000	\$420,000		0	18	14	10	12	54
2207	Emery Town	Drinking Water	Treatment Upgrades	Emery	73%	\$1,250,000	\$1,000,000	15	0	0	14	13	12	54
1327	Green River City, Utah	Drinking Water	1000 N from Long St. to 775 N	Green River	80%	\$249,950	\$124,975	15	0	0	14	13	12	54
1968	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Weber Aqueduct Parallel	South Ogden	104%	\$10,750,000	\$2,687,500	10	16	0	3	13	12	54
160	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Franklin Leak	Ogden	84%	\$380,000	\$190,000	15	16	0	9	4	10	54
129	Vernal City	Drinking Water	Cast Iron/Ductile/Undersized and Outdated Line Replacement	Vernal	95%	\$35,000,000	\$7,000,000	10	0	14	9	16	5	54

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1326	Green River City, Utah	Drinking Water	Tidwell from Elberta to Green River Ave	Green River	80%	\$55,550	\$27,775	15	0	0	14	13	12	54
480	Newton Town	Drinking Water	Jump-Over Connection	Newton	105%	\$62,000	\$15,500	10	0	14	9	10	10	53
851	Elk Ridge City	Drinking Water	PRV at the lower portion of top pressure zone	Elk Ridge	159%	\$150,000	\$30,000	0	16	0	14	13	10	53
853	Elk Ridge City	Drinking Water	Water Main Extension	Elk Ridge	159%	\$200,000	\$40,000	0	22	0	9	10	12	53
1438	Parowan City	Drinking Water	Rebuild potable water system SCADA system	Parowan	84%	\$100,000	\$50,000	15	0	18	3	7	10	53
1611	Lake Rockport Estates Property Owners Association	Drinking Water	Water Source Pipeline	Wanship	173%	\$3,000,000	\$750,000	0	22	0	3	16	12	53
2163	City Of Moab	Drinking Water	Spanish Valley Water Tank	Moab	84%	\$3,428,000	\$1,714,000	15	0	0	14	13	10	52
1823	North Logan City	Drinking Water	Bonneville Shoreline Waterline NEW	North Logan	111%	\$730,000	\$292,000	6	0	6	14	16	10	52
1825	North Logan City	Drinking Water	Powerline Trail Water line	North Logan	111%	\$720,000	\$288,000	6	0	6	14	16	10	52
1015	Bountiful City Water Department	Drinking Water	Millcreek Reservoir Replacement #2	Bountiful	116%	\$3,200,000	\$640,000	6	16	0	9	16	5	52
1014	Bountiful City Water Department	Drinking Water	Millcreek Reservoir Replacement #1	Bountiful	116%	\$3,100,000	\$620,000	6	16	0	9	16	5	52
1395	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	Keetley LT2 Compliance Project	Heber City	114%	\$4,100,000	\$3,075,000	6	22	0	3	16	5	52
1328	Green River City, Utah	Drinking Water	Green River Blvd from Sharon to Kings Ln	Green River	80%	\$175,230	\$87,615	15	0	0	14	10	12	51
1329	Green River City, Utah	Drinking Water	By WTP from PRV east then north to 500 S	Green River	80%	\$83,320	\$41,660	15	0	0	14	10	12	51
3227	Providence City	Drinking Water	Fire Hydrant Valve Installation Project	Providence	125%	\$25,000	\$22,500	6	22	0	3	10	10	51
3626	West Corinne Water Company	Drinking Water	Little Mountain Tank	Corinne	107%	\$5,000,000	\$1,500,000	10	0	0	14	16	10	50
3413	Provo City Water Resources	Drinking Water	Treatment Plant to Grandview	Provo	70%	\$6,952,000	\$3,476,000	18	0	0	14	13	5	50
3184	Lewiston Utah	Drinking Water	Transmission Line Replacement	Lewiston	100%	\$1,201,719	\$1,081,547	10	0	14	3	13	10	50
1612	Lake Rockport Estates Property Owners Association	Drinking Water	Rear Round Water Supply	Wanship	173%	\$7,500,000	\$1,875,000	0	22	0	3	13	12	50
1096	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Braffit's Creek Well #2 and Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	98%	\$5,000,000	\$4,000,000	10	16	0	3	16	5	50

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1090	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Braffit's Creek Well #1 and Chlorination Facility Installation	Enoch	98%	\$5,400,000	\$4,320,000	10	16	0	3	16	5	50
664	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	1300 East 1.5 MG Tank	South Salt Lake	77%	\$3,528,000	\$2,822,400	15	0	0	14	16	5	50
419	City Of Mendon	Drinking Water	Maple Bench Springs Development and System Connection	Mendon	130%	\$176,000	\$44,000	2	0	14	14	10	10	50
137	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	25th St and D Avenue	Ogden	84%	\$1,300,000	\$650,000	15	0	0	9	16	10	50
935	Oakley City	Drinking Water	Zone Optimization	Oakley	127%	\$350,000	\$175,000	6	0	18	3	13	10	50
1896	Farmington City	Drinking Water	100 North Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$500,000	\$375,000	0	0	18	3	16	12	49
2912	Zion Mountain Local Service District	Drinking Water	Distribution Improvements Phase 1	Mt Carmel Jct		\$1,575,000	\$393,750		0	18	9	10	12	49
2040	Murray City Water System	Drinking Water	900 E - Vine St to Wookoak Ln	Murray	98%	\$1,585,687	\$554,990	10	0	18	3	13	5	49
1898	Farmington City	Drinking Water	300 North Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$400,000	\$300,000	0	0	18	3	16	12	49
3656	Vernon Waterworks Special Service District	Drinking Water	Second Well Source	Vernon	107%	\$287,000	\$287,000	10	0	0	14	13	12	49
1895	Farmington City	Drinking Water	Compton Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$900,000	\$675,000	0	0	18	3	16	12	49
1897	Farmington City	Drinking Water	200 North Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$350,000	\$262,500	0	0	18	3	16	12	49
1819	La Verkin City	Drinking Water	West Water Improvements	La Verkin	80%	\$3,236,000	\$2,912,400	15	0	14	3	7	10	49
288	Grantsville City	Drinking Water	16-inch Water Line Replacement (Phase 1)	Grantsville	121%	\$2,906,744	\$1,453,372	6	0	14	3	16	10	49
367	Hyde Park City	Drinking Water	Birch Spring Re-Development	Hyde Park	134%	\$500,000	\$450,000	2	0	18	3	16	10	49
1835	North Logan City	Drinking Water	Main Street/Airport Road replacement	North Logan	111%	\$1,070,000	\$535,000	6	0	6	14	13	10	49
1398	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	HWY 40 Pump Station (aka Ventana Booster Station)	Heber City	114%	\$3,500,000	\$1,750,000	6	22	0	3	13	5	49
1365	Highland City	Drinking Water	Water Line Replacement Projects	Highland	163%	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	0	0	14	14	16	5	49
1330	Green River City, Utah	Drinking Water	Between Broadway & Cherry from Main to 200 S	Green River	80%	\$83,320	\$41,660	15	0	0	14	7	12	48
1331	Green River City, Utah	Drinking Water	Green River Ave from River Crossing to PRV	Green River	80%	\$483,710	\$241,855	15	0	0	14	7	12	48

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2921	East Carbon City	Drinking Water	Treatment Plant Phase 2	East Carbon	66%	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	18	0	0	3	16	10	47
2200	Croydon Pipeline Corporation	Drinking Water	Storage Tank	Croydon		\$157,500	\$157,500		16	0	3	16	12	47
661	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	3300 South Distribution Line	South Salt Lake	77%	\$554,400	\$443,520	15	0	0	14	13	5	47
1039	Draper City	Drinking Water	WaterPro Traverse Interconnect	Draper	136%	\$2,000,000	\$800,000	2	16	0	3	16	10	47
3657	Vernon Waterworks Special Service District	Drinking Water	AC line replacement	Vernon	107%	\$250,000	\$250,000	10	0	0	14	10	12	46
316	Herriman City	Drinking Water	Southeast Zone 2 Porter Rockwell Pipeline (CE2.05)	Herriman	130%	\$550,000	\$440,000	2	0	18	3	13	10	46
1542	Town Of Garden City	Drinking Water	Existing Payne Spring Line Replacement	Garden City	102%	\$375,000	\$300,000	10	0	18	3	10	5	46
2301	Lyman Town	Drinking Water	Water Master Plan	Lyman	79%	\$40,000	\$40,000	15	0	0	9	10	12	46
3291	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Booster Pump Line Extension	Duchesne	84%	\$125,000	\$93,750	15	0	0	3	16	12	46
3292	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Integrity Cove Booster Pump	Duchesne	84%	\$125,000	\$93,750	15	0	0	3	16	12	46
3293	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Escalante Drive PRV	Duchesne	84%	\$250,000	\$187,500	15	0	0	3	16	12	46
230	Richmond City	Drinking Water	New 8" PRV	Richmond	111%	\$350,000	\$175,000	6	0	14	3	13	10	46
3239	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	Water Line Replacement Phase 2	Roosevelt	100%	\$2,540,000	\$838,200	10	0	0	9	16	10	45
1332	Green River City, Utah	Drinking Water	West Storage Tank to Green River Ave	Green River	80%	\$530,985	\$265,493	15	0	0	14	4	12	45
1275	Eagle Mountain City	Drinking Water	Mainline Waterline Parallel to SR73	Eagle Mountain	138%	\$1,500,000	\$750,000	2	16	0	3	16	8	45
1276	Eagle Mountain City	Drinking Water	White Hills Culinary Water Well Drilling	Eagle Mountain	138%	\$3,800,000	\$1,900,000	2	16	0	3	16	8	45
104	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Bear River Regional Well	Honeyville	113%	\$15,000,000	\$8,550,000	6	0	14	3	16	5	44
314	Herriman City	Drinking Water	Rosecrest Zone 2 Storage Expansion	Herriman	130%	\$9,800,000	\$7,840,000	2	0	18	3	16	5	44
662	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	Davis Booster Distribution line	South Salt Lake	77%	\$1,008,000	\$806,400	15	0	0	14	10	5	44
663	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	Central Valley Road Distribution line	South Salt Lake	77%	\$6,115,200	\$4,892,160	15	0	0	14	10	5	44

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1113	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Sunset Drive, 100 E., 150 E., 200 E., & 225 E. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$2,840,500	\$1,420,250	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
1116	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	300 East Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$686,000	\$343,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
2152	Fillmore City Corp.	Drinking Water	Culinary Line Replacement	Fillmore	86%	\$5,000,000	\$3,500,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
3108	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Acquisition of Water Rights	Duchesne	84%	\$2,500,000	\$1,250,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
3628	West Corinne Water Company	Drinking Water	18" Transmission Line	Corinne	107%	\$10,700,000	\$4,280,000	10	0	0	14	10	10	44
1834	North Logan City	Drinking Water	Main Street Waterline Replacement	North Logan	111%	\$3,340,000	\$1,670,000	6	0	6	14	7	10	43
1423	Oquirrh Mountain Water Company (23076)	Drinking Water	Zone F Tank	Stansbury Park	152%	\$5,719,236	\$4,289,427	0	0	14	3	16	10	43
1397	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	Deer Canyon Preserve Expansion	Heber City	114%	\$1,239,000	\$619,500	6	0	0	14	13	10	43
206	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Warm Springs Well Redrill and connection	Eden	157%	\$3,143,464	\$2,829,118	0	0	14	3	16	10	43
906	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	300 W 1600 N - 2050 N 16" DW	Spanish Fork	111%	\$615,000	\$307,500	6	0	14	3	10	10	43
905	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	100 W 200S & 300W Area	Spanish Fork	111%	\$642,000	\$321,000	6	0	14	3	10	10	43
907	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	2050 N Main St - 300 W 12" DW	Spanish Fork	111%	\$401,000	\$200,500	6	0	14	3	10	10	43
1277	Eagle Mountain City	Drinking Water	Lone Tree Wellhouse (Well 9)	Eagle Mountain	138%	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	2	16	0	3	13	8	42
3244	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	New Water Line Project Phase 2	Roosevelt	100%	\$5,500,000	\$1,815,000	10	0	0	9	13	10	42
3168	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	East Myton Improvements Phase I	Roosevelt	100%	\$680,000	\$510,000	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
1820	Willard City	Drinking Water	750 N Culinary Water Tank Project	Willard	120%	\$3,745,100	\$2,996,080	6	0	0	9	16	10	41
1306	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Well No. 1 Replacement	West Valley City	79%	\$5,757,000	\$1,439,250	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
159	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	Zone 7S Lincoln Avenue	Ogden	84%	\$820,000	\$410,000	15	0	0	9	7	10	41
992	Bear Lake Water Company	Drinking Water	Construct New 250K gallon Tank	Garden City	102%	\$685,000	\$685,000	10	0	0	3	16	12	41

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2	Layton City	Drinking Water	Chlorine Facilities Upgrade and Well Enclosures - Multiple Sites	Layton	113%	\$1,800,000	\$1,080,000	6	0	14	3	16	2	41
1305	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Sorensen Pump Station Replacement	West Valley City	79%	\$1,407,000	\$351,750	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
3117	Enoch City	Drinking Water	Culinary Well #1	Enoch	98%	\$2,000,000	\$1,400,000	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
2286	Kaysville City	Drinking Water	Green Rd water tank	Kaysville	152%	\$5,200,000	\$2,600,000	0	0	18	3	13	5	39
2140	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Loop and extend Anderson junction water main	Toquerville	104%	\$500,000	\$250,000	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
1278	Eagle Mountain City	Drinking Water	Airport Road Water Pipeline	Eagle Mountain	138%	\$1,900,000	\$950,000	2	16	0	3	10	8	39
1112	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	West Square Mountain Zone Transmission Pipe Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$3,746,600	\$2,247,960	15	0	0	3	16	5	39
1279	Eagle Mountain City	Drinking Water	White Hills Culinary Water Well Wellhouse	Eagle Mountain	138%	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	2	16	0	3	10	8	39
1110	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Middleton Trails Transmission Pipe Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$26,325,000	\$15,795,000	15	0	0	3	16	5	39
1100	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Square Mountain Transmission Pipe continue under I-15 - Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$3,400,000	\$2,040,000	15	0	0	3	16	5	39
1099	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Square Mountain Transmission Pipe Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$8,353,800	\$5,012,280	15	0	0	3	16	5	39
317	Herriman City	Drinking Water	Southeast Zone 2 Tank Transmission Line (CE2.03)	Herriman	130%	\$3,700,000	\$2,960,000	2	0	0	14	13	10	39
1111	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	West Square Mountain Zone Expansion Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$13,653,900	\$8,192,340	15	0	0	3	16	5	39
163	Ogden City Corporation	Drinking Water	8th Street Fire Flow	Ogden	84%	\$320,000	\$160,000	15	0	0	9	4	10	38
2225	Gunnison City	Drinking Water	Well #5	Gunnison	88%	\$2,650,000	\$1,590,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
3145	Fruitland Special Service District	Drinking Water	Booster Pump for Mill Hollow Tank	Fruitland	84%	\$250,000	\$125,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
3169	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	East Myton Improvements Phase II	Roosevelt	100%	\$680,000	\$510,000	10	0	0	3	13	12	38
3170	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	East Myton Improvements Phase III	Roosevelt	100%	\$550,000	\$412,500	10	0	0	3	13	12	38
534	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Powder Mountain West Transmission Lines	Eden	157%	\$3,695,680	\$1,847,840	0	0	0	14	13	10	37

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
531	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Powder Mountain West Tank	Eden	157%	\$3,361,383	\$1,680,692	0	0	0	14	13	10	37
435	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	2820 S, 8000 W to 7200 W Waterline Replacement	Magna	84%	\$2,500,000	\$2,000,000	15	0	14	3	0	5	37
434	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	8000 W, 2600 S to 2100 S Waterline Replacement	Magna	84%	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	15	0	14	3	0	5	37
130	Vernal City	Drinking Water	Valve Replacement	Vernal	95%	\$250,000	\$62,500	10	0	0	9	13	5	37
225	Vineyard City	Drinking Water	Mill Road Waterline Extension	Vineyard	98%	\$2,191,000	\$547,750	10	0	0	14	7	5	36
655	Town Of Snowville, Utah	Drinking Water	8" loop water system on 800 N	Snowville		\$900,960	\$270,288		0	0	14	10	12	36
656	Town Of Snowville, Utah	Drinking Water	3" water main to Town Cemetery	Snowville		\$113,400	\$34,020		0	0	14	10	12	36
1122	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	North Well Field Test Wells Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	15	0	0	3	13	5	36
1280	Eagle Mountain City	Drinking Water	Water Pipeline from Tank 5 to EM Boulevard	Eagle Mountain	138%	\$12,500,000	\$6,250,000	2	16	0	3	7	8	36
2138	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Generator at Parkway pump house	Toquerville	104%	\$100,000	\$50,000	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
3035	American Fork City	Drinking Water	Northeast Well	American Fork	107%	\$7,118,135	\$1,779,534	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
3171	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	2000 West/6250 Loop Line	Roosevelt	100%	\$1,110,000	\$832,500	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
3147	Fruitland Special Service District	Drinking Water	Lower Mill Hollow Spring Redevelopment	Fruitland	84%	\$500,000	\$250,000	15	0	0	3	7	10	35
2044	Payson City	Drinking Water	Upsize a 6" culinary line to 8" to solve a fire flow and pressure issue.	Payson	98%	\$800,000	\$640,000	10	0	0	3	10	12	35
229	Richmond City	Drinking Water	New 500 GPM Well	Richmond	111%	\$4,300,000	\$2,150,000	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
849	Elk Ridge City	Drinking Water	Upper Well Replacement	Elk Ridge	159%	\$3,000,000	\$600,000	0	0	0	9	16	10	35
1372	Highland City	Drinking Water	Well #1 Wellhouse Rehab	Highland	163%	\$600,000	\$300,000	0	0	0	14	16	5	35
203	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Replace and Expand Crooked Spring Tank	Eden	157%	\$2,473,568	\$2,226,211	0	0	14	3	7	10	34
422	City Of Mendon	Drinking Water	Cemetery Water Supply Pipelines Relocation	Mendon	130%	\$326,000	\$81,500	2	0	0	9	13	10	34
1371	Highland City	Drinking Water	Longhorn Loop 12"	Highland	163%	\$300,000	\$150,000	0	0	0	14	10	10	34
1281	Eagle Mountain City	Drinking Water	Water Pipeline - Pony Express to Mid Valley Road	Eagle Mountain	138%	\$2,400,000	\$1,200,000	2	16	0	3	4	8	33

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1995	Green Hills Country Estates Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Booster Pump for Upper Maple Zone	Huntsville	148%	\$30,000	\$12,000	2	0	6	3	10	12	33
3176	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Quality Improvement Project	Roosevelt	100%	\$125,000	\$93,750	10	0	0	3	10	10	33
1192	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Develop Additional Springs in the Spilsbury Area Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$2,000,000	\$1,600,000	15	0	0	3	10	5	33
420	City Of Mendon	Drinking Water	Maple Bench Springs Pipeline to Water Tank	Mendon	130%	\$687,000	\$171,750	2	0	0	14	7	10	33
657	Town Of Snowville, Utah	Drinking Water	8" water main on Main St. east of 100 E	Snowville		\$489,020	\$391,216		0	0	14	7	12	33
320	Herriman City	Drinking Water	North Zone 2 Transmission Line (CW2.03)	Herriman	130%	\$2,300,000	\$1,840,000	2	0	0	14	7	10	33
1191	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Iron Springs Road from SR-56 to CICWCD Tank Distribution Line Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$6,864,000	\$5,491,200	15	0	0	3	10	5	33
1439	Parowan City	Drinking Water	2 MG Storage Tank	Parowan	84%	\$6,000,000	\$5,400,000	15	0	0	3	4	10	32
3094	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	3500 E 6" Waterline Replacement	Ballard	123%	\$1,000,000	\$750,000	6	0	0	3	13	10	32
3146	Fruitland Special Service District	Drinking Water	Upsizing Line From Little Red Tank	Fruitland	84%	\$750,000	\$375,000	15	0	0	3	4	10	32
187	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Garnet Dr 4" & 6" water line upsize	Mountain Green	248%	\$115,000	\$92,000	0	0	0	3	16	12	31
319	Herriman City	Drinking Water	North Zone 3 & 4 Pump Station	Herriman	130%	\$3,000,000	\$1,200,000	2	0	0	14	10	5	31
2177	Antimony Town	Drinking Water	Master Plan	Antimony		\$40,000	\$40,000		0	0	9	10	12	31
1996	Green Hills Country Estates Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	8" line connecting Upper Maple Zone to Upper Kelley Zone	Huntsville	148%	\$1,320,000	\$528,000	2	0	6	3	7	12	30
3095	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	1750 N 6" Waterline Upgrade	Ballard	123%	\$500,000	\$375,000	6	0	0	3	10	10	29
1793	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Drinking Water	TCSSD WTP Equipment Improvements	Heber City	114%	\$300,000	\$150,000	6	0	0	3	10	10	29
1399	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	Deer Mountain Pump Station	Heber City	114%	\$864,000	\$432,000	6	0	0	3	10	10	29
1375	Highland City	Drinking Water	Meter Upgrades	Highland	163%	\$750,000	\$375,000	0	0	14	3	7	5	29
1932	Mountain Regional Water Ssd	Drinking Water	Signal Hill Water Treatment Plant Optimization	Snyderville	184%	\$42,269,993	\$2,113,500	0	0	0	3	16	10	29

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1286	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	Clarke PRV Rebuild & 8" PVC Water Line	Eden	157%	\$556,450	\$472,983	0	0	0	3	16	10	29
1285	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	Clarke Well Upgrade	Eden	157%	\$152,500	\$129,625	0	0	0	3	16	10	29
895	Town Of Daniel & Storm Haven Water	Drinking Water	water line looping	Daniel	114%	\$1,000,000	\$250,000	6	0	0	3	10	10	29
231	Richmond City	Drinking Water	New 1 Million Gallon Tank with PRV's	Richmond	111%	\$3,500,000	\$1,750,000	6	0	0	3	10	10	29
1370	Highland City	Drinking Water	Well #4 Generator	Highland	163%	\$600,000	\$300,000	0	0	0	14	10	5	29
854	Elk Ridge City	Drinking Water	Re-route Water Main to Loafer Canyon Rd	Elk Ridge	159%	\$1,500,000	\$300,000	0	0	0	9	7	12	28
1367	Highland City	Drinking Water	Viewpointe Redundancy	Highland	163%	\$150,000	\$75,000	0	0	0	3	13	12	28
1368	Highland City	Drinking Water	Beacon Hills Redundancy	Highland	163%	\$100,000	\$50,000	0	0	0	3	13	12	28
1939	Mountain Regional Water Ssd	Drinking Water	Bear Hollow Booster Station Upgrades	Snyderville	184%	\$2,100,000	\$1,680,000	0	0	0	3	13	12	28
1016	Bountiful City Water Department	Drinking Water	Millcreek Boosters Replacement	Bountiful	116%	\$1,000,000	\$200,000	6	0	0	3	13	5	27
1997	Green Hills Country Estates Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	160,000 Reservoir for Upper Kelly Zone	Huntsville	148%	\$575,000	\$230,000	2	0	6	3	4	12	27
3654	Clinton City Corporation	Drinking Water	Annex Area Water Line	Clinton	125%	\$1,728,000	\$864,000	6	0	0	3	7	10	26
3098	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Master Plan Replacement	Ballard	123%	\$50,000	\$25,000	6	0	0	3	7	10	26
1373	Highland City	Drinking Water	Well #5 Wellhouse Rehab	Highland	163%	\$600,000	\$300,000	0	0	0	14	7	5	26
1794	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Drinking Water	Big Pole Creek Source Improvements.	Heber City	114%	\$956,000	\$478,000	6	0	0	3	7	10	26
1540	Town Of Garden City	Drinking Water	Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	Garden City	102%	\$450,000	\$225,000	10	0	0	3	7	5	25
437	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	Culinary Distribution Loop Projects	Magna	84%	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	15	0	0	3	0	5	23
439	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	WTP Storage Redundancy Project	Magna	84%	\$4,000,000	\$3,200,000	15	0	0	3	0	5	23
533	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Source #2 Development	Eden	157%	\$2,556,450	\$1,278,225	0	0	0	3	10	10	23
536	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Source #3 Development	Eden	157%	\$2,556,450	\$1,278,225	0	0	0	3	7	10	20

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
321	Herriman City	Drinking Water	North Zone 6 2MG Storage Tank	Herriman	130%	\$7,000,000	\$2,800,000	2	0	0	3	7	5	17
207	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Drill additional culinary well at TBD location east end of District, or further away	Eden	157%	\$2,957,239	\$2,661,515	0	0	0	3	4	10	17
322	Herriman City	Drinking Water	North Zone 6 Pump Station & Transmission Line	Herriman	130%	\$4,000,000	\$1,600,000	2	0	0	3	4	5	14

Table 7: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near-Future (6-10 years)

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1333	Helper City	Drinking Water	Helper City Well Development	Helper	82%	\$4,800,000	\$2,400,000	15	22	18	14	16	10	95
1334	Helper City	Drinking Water	Transmission Line Replacement	Helper	82%	\$6,700,000	\$6,700,000	15	22	18	14	16	10	95
2892	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	Main Street Distribution Improvements	Torrey	86%	\$581,250	\$145,313	15	16	18	14	16	12	91
2900	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	Re-Develop Indian Springs	Torrey	86%	\$950,000	\$237,500	15	16	18	14	16	12	91
2893	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	200 North Distribution Improvements	Torrey	86%	\$1,895,769	\$473,942	15	16	18	14	13	12	88
3428	Provo City Water Resources	Drinking Water	Canyon Rd 2825 N to Stadium Ave	Provo	70%	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000	18	16	14	9	16	10	83
2901	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	Re-Develop Chaffin Springs	Torrey	86%	\$1,000,000	\$250,000	15	16	18	14	7	12	82
1840	Emigration Improvement District	Drinking Water	Upper Pinecrest Expansion	Salt Lake City	91%	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000	10	22	14	9	10	12	77
1973	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Weber West WTP	Marriott-Slaterville	129%	\$225,000,000	\$58,500,000	6	16	18	14	10	12	76
3473	Provo City Water Resources	Drinking Water	Water Tank Replacing Main & Gallery	Provo	70%	\$60,000,000	\$24,000,000	18	22	0	14	16	5	75
925	North Fork Special Service District	Drinking Water	Water line replacement	Orem	80%	\$7,500,000	\$3,675,000	15	22	14	3	10	10	74
1961	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	New Transmission Line	Duchesne	84%	\$34,848,000	\$17,424,000	15	22	0	14	13	10	74
1962	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	New Storage Tank	Duchesne	84%	\$6,000,000	\$3,000,000	15	22	0	14	13	10	74
1434	Ouray Park Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Improvements Project - Pipeline Replacements	Ouray	71%	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	15	16	14	3	13	12	73
2296	Koosharem Town	Drinking Water	Install Water Line Loops	Koosharem	93%	\$960,000	\$768,000	10	16	14	14	7	12	73
801	Town Of Alta	Drinking Water	AC Pipeline Replacement - SR 210	Alta	71%	\$391,000	\$195,500	15	22	0	14	10	10	71
2028	Kingston Town	Drinking Water	Kingston Culinary Water Tank	Kingston		\$1,100,000	\$1,045,000		22	18	3	16	12	71
2276	Joseph Town	Drinking Water	Distribution Improvements	Joseph	80%	\$4,000,000	\$1,800,000	15	16	18	3	7	12	71
3596	Myton City	Drinking Water	Infrastructure improvements	Myton	82%	\$5,000,000	\$1,250,000	15	16	14	3	10	12	70
2894	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	HWY 24 Distribution Improvements	Torrey	86%	\$245,913	\$61,478	15	16	0	14	13	12	70

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1613	Skyline Mountain Special Service District	Drinking Water	Area 1 Storage Tank	Fairview	96%	\$530,000	\$106,000	10	16	0	14	16	12	68
2895	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	Main Street-300 East Distribution Improvements	Torrey	86%	\$167,668	\$41,917	15	16	0	14	10	12	67
2896	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	HWY 12 Distribution Improvements	Torrey	86%	\$111,779	\$27,945	15	16	0	14	10	12	67
2897	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	Cooks DR Distribution Improvements	Torrey	86%	\$411,346	\$102,837	15	16	0	14	10	12	67
2898	Torrey Town	Drinking Water	100 West Distribution Improvements	Torrey	86%	\$274,976	\$68,744	15	16	0	14	10	12	67
116	Cedarview Montwell Special Service District	Drinking Water	North Neola Pipeline going North	Neola	95%	\$6,033,000	\$6,033,000	10	0	14	14	16	12	66
2262	Hanksville Town	Drinking Water	Distribution Improvements Phase 2	Hanksville		\$2,280,000	\$1,117,200		22	18	9	4	12	65
2287	Kaysville City	Drinking Water	Drinking water wells	Kaysville	152%	\$10,000,000	\$2,500,000	0	22	18	3	16	5	64
1045	Draper City	Drinking Water	PS 1 & 2 to PS 3	Draper	136%	\$14,000,000	\$7,000,000	2	22	14	3	13	10	64
1046	Draper City	Drinking Water	Deer Ridge Dr to Tank 4	Draper	136%	\$3,000,000	\$1,500,000	2	22	14	3	13	10	64
199	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Silverleaf dam replacement	Mountain Green	248%	\$5,000,000	\$3,750,000	0	22	18	3	10	10	63
1307	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Seismic Retrofits at Pump Stations/Wells	West Valley City	79%	\$3,382,000	\$845,500	15	22	0	3	13	10	63
2299	Lyman Town	Drinking Water	Distribution System Improvements Phase 1	Lyman	79%	\$1,545,000	\$1,390,500	15	0	14	14	7	12	62
1031	Heber City Corporation	Drinking Water	W-071 Central Heber Replacements Ph 2 - Water	Heber City	114%	\$15,635,000	\$13,289,750	6	0	14	14	16	12	62
2209	Emery Town	Drinking Water	New 500,000-gallon tank	Emery	73%	\$1,820,000	\$1,456,000	15	0	14	14	7	12	62
752	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	West B Well(s)	Tooele	98%	\$4,282,500	\$1,498,875	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
740	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Booster pump out of Honerine tank	Tooele	98%	\$600,000	\$210,000	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
3236	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	Transite Line Replacement Phase 2	Roosevelt	100%	\$2,150,000	\$709,500	10	16	0	9	16	10	61
754	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Expand Equalization Tank for West B Wells	Tooele	98%	\$4,086,000	\$1,430,100	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
739	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Equalization Tank for Honerine Well	Tooele	98%	\$2,043,000	\$715,050	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
732	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	West A Well to Tooele 1200 West	Tooele	98%	\$11,149,500	\$3,902,325	10	22	0	3	16	10	61

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
734	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Booster pump out of West A tank	Tooele	98%	\$600,000	\$210,000	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
733	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Equalization Tank for West A Wells	Tooele	98%	\$2,043,000	\$715,050	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
731	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	West A Well	Tooele	98%	\$4,282,500	\$1,498,875	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
615	Saratoga Springs City	Drinking Water	DW-03 Zone 1 North Tank 8 & Pipeline	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$17,876,000	\$4,469,000	0	22	18	3	13	5	61
735	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Honerine Mine Well	Tooele	98%	\$4,282,500	\$1,498,875	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
353	Hi-Country Estates Phase #2	Drinking Water	Shaggy Mt Rd. Pipeline upsize (fire Flow)	Herriman	130%	\$800,000	\$640,000	2	16	14	3	13	12	60
962	Levan	Drinking Water	Storage Tank	Levan	102%	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	10	16	0	14	10	10	60
532	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Sundown Fire Flow Upsize	Eden	157%	\$414,532	\$207,266	0	22	0	14	13	10	59
1338	Helper City	Drinking Water	Castle Gate Booster Station Rehabilitation	Helper	82%	\$100,000	\$50,000	15	0	18	3	13	10	59
1935	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water	Summit Park Water Line Replacements	Snyderville	184%	\$20,187,706	\$10,093,853	0	16	14	3	16	10	59
1980		Drinking Water	Distribution System	Moroni	82%	\$15,000,000	\$3,750,000	15	0	18	3	13	10	59
3092	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Montes Creek Pump Station	Ballard	123%	\$400,000	\$200,000	6	22	0	3	16	12	59
3295	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Upgrade Undersized Lines Phase I	Duchesne	84%	\$3,900,000	\$2,925,000	15	16	0	3	13	12	59
3474	Provo City Water Resources	Drinking Water	Second Chamber for Slate Canyon Tank	Provo	70%	\$12,000,000	\$4,800,000	18	0	0	14	16	10	58
3234	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	2 Million Gallon Tank Repair	Roosevelt	100%	\$360,000	\$180,000	10	22	0	9	7	10	58
2201	Croydon Pipeline Corporation	Drinking Water	6900 E Replacement	Croydon		\$524,130	\$524,130		16	14	3	13	12	58
616	Saratoga Springs City	Drinking Water	DW-04 Zone 1 Pony Express Pipeline	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$571,000	\$428,250	0	22	18	3	10	5	58
109	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Small Water Systems Replacement	Willard	120%	\$900,000	\$450,000	6	16	14	3	7	12	58
1376	Highland City	Drinking Water	Galvanized Services	Highland	163%	\$1,300,000	\$650,000	0	16	14	14	4	10	58
355	Hi-Country Estates Phase #2	Drinking Water	Lower Service Area Tank and Pipelines	Herriman	130%	\$2,600,000	\$2,080,000	2	16	14	3	10	12	57
363	Hi-Country Estates Phase #1	Drinking Water	Canyon Cir Zone 3 pipeline upsize (fire flow)	Herriman	130%	\$650,000	\$520,000	2	16	14	3	10	12	57
864	Ephraim City	Drinking Water	Upsize 6" pipe on 300 North	Ephraim	82%	\$1,000,000	\$400,000	15	16	0	3	13	10	57

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1774	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Lower Springs Rehab	Charleston	114%	\$125,000	\$93,750	6	0	14	14	13	10	57
745	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Coleman Street, 700 South to Vine Street	Tooele	98%	\$2,305,500	\$1,959,675	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
747	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Coleman Street, Vine Street to Utah Avenue	Tooele	98%	\$504,000	\$428,400	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
753	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	West B Well to Highway 36	Tooele	98%	\$21,901,500	\$18,616,275	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
755	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	900 West, 900 South to 700 South	Tooele	98%	\$604,500	\$513,825	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
1975	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	ASR - Recovery Well	South Weber	157%	\$3,500,000	\$875,000	0	16	18	3	7	12	56
758	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	1000 West, Utah Avenue to 750 North	Tooele	98%	\$2,572,500	\$2,186,625	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
760	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Utah Avenue, 1000 West to Coleman Avenue	Tooele	98%	\$1,248,000	\$1,060,800	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
2011	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Purgatory to Pecan Valley 12" Loop River Crossing	Hurricane	91%	\$1,853,119	\$1,853,119	10	0	18	3	13	12	56
743	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Coleman Street, Timpie Road to 700 South	Tooele	98%	\$937,500	\$796,875	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
756	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	900 West, 700 South to 480 South	Tooele	98%	\$670,500	\$569,925	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
742	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Timpie Road, Coleman Street to 900 West	Tooele	98%	\$1,185,000	\$1,007,250	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
757	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	900-1000 West, 480 South to Utah Avenue	Tooele	98%	\$4,060,500	\$3,451,425	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
738	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Highway 36, West B to 400 South	Tooele	98%	\$9,340,500	\$7,939,425	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
594	Santaquin City Corporation	Drinking Water	Zone 10 expansion (2.5 MG Tank, Pump Station, pipeline)	Santaquin	118%	\$4,395,000	\$2,197,500	6	0	18	14	13	5	56
725	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Droubay Road, 280 North to 670 Nort	Tooele	98%	\$1,221,000	\$1,037,850	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
726	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Parallel to Droubay Road, Valley View Drive to Fox Run Drive	Tooele	98%	\$417,000	\$354,450	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
741	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Coleman Street, Highway 36 to Timpie Road	Tooele	98%	\$1,207,500	\$1,026,375	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
222	Vineyard City	Drinking Water	Robins Well Retrofitted into Culinary Well	Vineyard	98%	\$5,000,000	\$1,250,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
729	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	600 West/400 West, 650 North to 1500 North	Tooele	98%	\$3,018,000	\$2,565,300	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
730	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	400 West, 1500 North to 2000 North	Tooele	98%	\$936,000	\$795,600	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
736	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Honerine Well to Highway 36	Tooele	98%	\$924,000	\$785,400	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
737	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Highway 36, Honerine Well to West B	Tooele	98%	\$10,791,000	\$9,172,350	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
727	Tooele City Corporation	Drinking Water	Coleman Street, 400 North to 650 North	Tooele	98%	\$846,000	\$719,100	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
228	Redmond Town	Drinking Water	New Tank	Redmond	100%	\$1,800,000	\$1,350,000	10	0	14	9	10	12	55
617	Saratoga Springs City	Drinking Water	DW-05 Zone 2 North Pipeline from Pony Express to Talus Ridge	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$1,428,000	\$1,071,000	0	22	18	3	7	5	55
866	Ephraim City	Drinking Water	Replace old 4" line on South Main	Ephraim	82%	\$1,500,000	\$600,000	15	0	14	3	13	10	55
918	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Jensen Unit Pumping Plant	Jensen	95%	\$24,000,000	\$9,600,000	10	16	0	3	16	10	55
2136	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Springs tank replacement	Toquerville	104%	\$3,000,000	\$1,500,000	10	16	0	3	16	10	55
2202	Croydon Pipeline Corporation	Drinking Water	6800 E Replacement	Croydon		\$263,400	\$263,400		16	14	3	10	12	55
3549	Price River Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water mainline replacement	Price	84%	\$8,000,000	\$4,000,000	15	0	14	3	13	10	55
2326	Scipio Town	Drinking Water	Update Remaining System to 8" Minimum	Scipio	98%	\$3,070,162	\$2,763,146	10	0	14	14	4	12	54
3600	Myton City	Drinking Water	flow monitoring	Myton	82%	\$80,000	\$80,000	15	0	14	3	10	12	54
1979	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Ogden Valley WTP Supply Line	Huntsville	148%	\$4,500,000	\$1,125,000	2	22	18	3	4	5	54
1775	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Upper Springs Rehab	Charleston	114%	\$125,000	\$93,750	6	0	14	14	10	10	54
1312	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Well No. 4 Rebuild/TDS & Arsenic Removal	West Valley City	79%	\$2,986,000	\$746,500	15	16	0	3	10	10	54
364	Hi-Country Estates Phase #1	Drinking Water	7570 W Zone 1 Pipeline upsize (fire flow)	Herriman	130%	\$800,000	\$640,000	2	16	14	3	7	12	54
1776	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Upper Springs WL	Charleston	114%	\$395,000	\$296,250	6	0	14	14	10	10	54
2015	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Tank in 3400 Zone	Hurricane	91%	\$3,182,450	\$3,182,450	10	0	18	3	10	12	53
111	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	UKON Arsenic Treatment Plant	Collinston	114%	\$3,000,000	\$1,200,000	6	16	14	3	4	10	53

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
188	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Old Hwy Rd water line upsize	Mountain Green	248%	\$577,500	\$462,000	0	22	0	3	16	12	53
1302	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	4800 W 4415 S. to 4100 S. Zone 4 Pipe	West Valley City	79%	\$6,537,000	\$1,634,250	15	0	14	3	16	5	53
1303	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	4800 W 4100 S. to 3500 S. Zone 4 Pipe	West Valley City	79%	\$10,295,000	\$2,573,750	15	0	14	3	16	5	53
2012	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Pecan Valley 3090 WL to 3090 Tank SR7 Crossing	Hurricane	91%	\$2,914,094	\$2,914,094	10	0	18	3	10	12	53
2013	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Dixie Springs Tank to Sky Ranch Transmission Line Phase II	Hurricane	91%	\$4,120,784	\$4,120,784	10	0	18	3	10	12	53
618	Saratoga Springs City	Drinking Water	DW-08 Lake Mountain Zone 2 Tank 20 & Pipeline	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$5,448,000	\$4,086,000	0	22	18	3	4	5	52
919	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Tyzack Aqueduct Reach II Improvements	Vernal	95%	\$500,000	\$250,000	10	16	0	3	13	10	52
2037	Murray City Water System	Drinking Water	Winchester St - State St to 900 E	Murray	98%	\$5,947,260	\$2,973,630	10	0	18	3	16	5	52
2230	Gunnison City	Drinking Water	Bartholemew Well Rehab	Gunnison	88%	\$256,000	\$153,600	15	0	0	14	13	10	52
3547	Price River Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water plant expansion to 10 mgd	Helper	82%	\$15,000,000	\$3,750,000	15	0	14	3	10	10	52
107	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Bear River Regional Conservation Plans	Tremonton	107%	\$210,000	\$52,500	10	0	18	3	10	10	51
2018	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Toquerville Springs Transmission Line	Hurricane	91%	\$2,150,000	\$2,150,000	10	0	18	3	7	12	50
2019	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Tank in Wal-Mart Zone	Hurricane	91%	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	10	0	18	3	7	12	50
2016	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	300 South Pump Station Area	Hurricane	91%	\$1,248,188	\$1,248,188	10	0	18	3	7	12	50
3569	Coalville City	Drinking Water	Elementary Replacement	Coalville	120%	\$400,000	\$280,000	6	16	0	3	13	12	50
936	Oakley City	Drinking Water	8" Water Main Upgrade	Oakley	127%	\$280,000	\$140,000	6	16	0	3	13	12	50
514	Nibley City	Drinking Water	3MG Drinking Water Storage Tank	Nibley	136%	\$7,200,000	\$2,880,000	2	22	0	3	13	10	50
963	Levan	Drinking Water	Develop Springs	Levan	102%	\$400,000	\$200,000	10	0	14	9	7	10	50
289	Grantsville City	Drinking Water	16-inch Water Line Replacement (Phase 2)	Grantsville	121%	\$3,564,302	\$1,782,151	6	0	14	3	16	10	49
290	Grantsville City	Drinking Water	16-inch Water Line Replacement (Phase 3)	Grantsville	121%	\$6,884,581	\$3,442,291	6	0	14	3	16	10	49
645	South Jordan City	Drinking Water	Heritage Park	South Jordan	143%	\$84,000	\$75,600	2	16	0	3	16	12	49
650	South Jordan City	Drinking Water	Welby School	South Jordan	143%	\$71,000	\$63,900	2	16	0	3	16	12	49

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1950	Mountain Regional Water Ssd	Drinking Water	Increase Pipe Size along White Pine Canyon Road	Snyderville	184%	\$2,280,000	\$1,140,000	0	16	14	3	4	12	49
2014	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Water Quality/Quantity Test Well	Hurricane	91%	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	10	0	14	3	10	12	49
2196	Circleville Town	Drinking Water	New Chlorination Building	Circleville	68%	\$250,000	\$225,000	18	0	0	14	4	12	48
1976	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Riverdale 6 MG Tank	Riverdale	95%	\$15,000,000	\$3,750,000	10	16	0	3	7	12	48
937	Oakley City	Drinking Water	8" Water Main Upgrade	Oakley	127%	\$384,000	\$192,000	6	16	0	3	10	12	47
938	Oakley City	Drinking Water	8" Water Main Upgrade	Oakley	127%	\$96,000	\$48,000	6	16	0	3	10	12	47
2020	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Tank in Stratton Zone	Hurricane	91%	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	10	0	18	3	4	12	47
2021	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	Tank in High Pressure Zone	Hurricane	91%	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	10	0	18	3	4	12	47
3471	Provo City Water Resources	Drinking Water	Slate Canyon Tank Replacement	Provo	70%	\$10,006,000	\$5,003,000	18	0	0	3	16	10	47
3409	Whispering Pines Water Company	Drinking Water	Water Storage Tank	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$1,500,000	\$1,350,000	15	0	0	3	16	12	46
3566	Coalville City	Drinking Water	Distribution deficiencies 3090 to 3580 Booster Transmission Line	Coalville	120%	\$5,000,000	\$1,500,000	6	0	14	3	13	10	46
2017	Hurricane City	Drinking Water	200 East Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$600,000	\$450,000	0	0	18	3	13	12	46
1902	Farmington City	Drinking Water	900 E - Winchester St to Holly Dr	Murray	98%	\$2,034,219	\$813,688	10	0	18	3	10	5	46
2038	Murray City Water System	Drinking Water	500 North Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$250,000	\$187,500	0	0	18	3	13	12	46
1900	Farmington City	Drinking Water	400 North Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$250,000	\$187,500	0	0	18	3	13	12	46
1899	Farmington City	Drinking Water	Storm haven pump station	Daniel	114%	\$250,000	\$62,500	6	0	14	3	13	10	46
894	Town Of Daniel & Storm Haven Water	Drinking Water	Lincoln Cove	South Jordan	143%	\$75,000	\$67,500	2	16	0	3	13	12	46
646	South Jordan City	Drinking Water	100 East Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$990,000	\$742,500	0	0	18	3	13	12	46
1901	Farmington City	Drinking Water	Overlook to Mary's 8" Loop	Eden	157%	\$693,076	\$346,538	0	22	0	3	10	10	45
535	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	W-054 Future Source Capacity	Heber City	114%	\$4,700,000	\$2,350,000	6	0	0	14	13	12	45
1034	Heber City Corporation	Drinking Water	Cast Iron Removal	Coalville	120%	\$1,600,000	\$1,120,000	6	16	0	3	10	10	45
3568	Coalville City	Drinking Water	Provide an additional raw water storage	Snyderville	184%	\$1,109,000	\$554,500	0	0	18	3	13	10	44
1937	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water												

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
939	Oakley City	Drinking Water	8" Water Main Upgrade	Oakley	127%	\$240,000	\$120,000	6	16	0	3	7	12	44
940	Oakley City	Drinking Water	New Cottonwood Tank-Upper Zone Water Storage	Oakley	127%	\$3,500,000	\$1,400,000	6	0	18	3	7	10	44
1905	Farmington City	Drinking Water	200 South Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$288,000	\$216,000	0	0	18	3	10	12	43
3567	Coalville City	Drinking Water	Rehabilitate Existing Lewis Well	Coalville	120%	\$2,000,000	\$600,000	6	0	14	3	10	10	43
3294	South Duchesne Culinary Water	Drinking Water	Lower Tank and Pump House	Duchesne	84%	\$847,000	\$635,250	15	0	0	3	13	12	43
3570	Coalville City	Drinking Water	Main Line Renovation	Coalville	120%	\$2,100,000	\$630,000	6	0	14	3	10	10	43
2039	Murray City Water System	Drinking Water	Winchester St - 900 E to River	Murray	98%	\$1,252,411	\$375,723	10	0	18	3	7	5	43
1904	Farmington City	Drinking Water	Paulette Way Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$459,000	\$344,250	0	0	18	3	10	12	43
908	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	400 E Center St to 800 N	Spanish Fork	111%	\$962,000	\$481,000	6	0	14	3	10	10	43
1779	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	District Well House Replacement	Charleston	114%	\$600,000	\$300,000	6	0	0	14	13	10	43
909	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	300 East Center to 300 S	Spanish Fork	111%	\$377,000	\$188,500	6	0	14	3	10	10	43
647	South Jordan City	Drinking Water	9588 S 3770 W	South Jordan	143%	\$106,000	\$95,400	2	16	0	3	10	12	43
354	Hi-Country Estates Phase #2	Drinking Water	Lower Zone pump Station and Transmission line	Herriman	130%	\$3,600,000	\$2,880,000	2	16	0	3	10	12	43
1903	Farmington City	Drinking Water	Spencer Way Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$306,000	\$229,500	0	0	18	3	10	12	43
3240	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	Water Line Replacement Phase 3	Roosevelt	100%	\$2,540,000	\$838,200	10	0	0	9	13	10	42
3251	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	Page Bench PRV	Roosevelt	100%	\$250,000	\$125,000	10	0	0	9	13	10	42
3250	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	North Crescent PRV	Roosevelt	100%	\$250,000	\$125,000	10	0	0	9	13	10	42
1040	Draper City	Drinking Water	Redundant Supply to Suncrest	Draper	136%	\$24,000,000	\$4,800,000	2	16	0	3	16	5	42
224	Vineyard City	Drinking Water	1600 N Booster Pump Station Expansion	Vineyard	98%	\$1,100,000	\$275,000	10	0	0	14	13	5	42
965	Levan	Drinking Water	Redo 400 E well	Levan	102%	\$500,000	\$150,000	10	0	0	9	13	10	42
1033	Heber City Corporation	Drinking Water	W-027 Future Water Storage Tank	Heber City	114%	\$4,500,000	\$2,250,000	6	0	0	14	10	12	42
131	Vernal City	Drinking Water	Vernal City Secondary Water System	Vernal	95%	\$20,000,000	\$9,000,000	10	0	14	3	10	5	42
3629	West Corinne Water Company	Drinking Water	14" Transmission Line	Corinne	107%	\$4,200,000	\$1,680,000	10	0	0	14	7	10	41

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3109	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	West Duchesne County Culinary Water	Fruitland	84%	\$10,000,000	\$5,000,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
2154	Fillmore City Corp.	Drinking Water	Booster	Fillmore	86%	\$1,500,000	\$1,050,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
2153	Fillmore City Corp.	Drinking Water	New Well	Fillmore	86%	\$2,000,000	\$1,400,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1940	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water	Silver Creek BPS Turbine 2	Snyderville	184%	\$70,000	\$35,000	0	0	18	3	10	10	41
1336	Helper City	Drinking Water	Spring Canyon Springs Rehabilitation	Helper	82%	\$2,500,000	\$1,250,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1308	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Drinking Water	Seismic Retrofits at Reservoirs	West Valley City	79%	\$3,258,000	\$814,500	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1146	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	580 N., 150 S., 460 S., 500 S., Sage Circle, 400 W., 440 S., & Highland Circle Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$2,641,600	\$1,320,800	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1145	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	450 W., Circleway Drive, Cedarwood Terrace, 300 W., 200 W., 150 W., & 100 W. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$5,405,400	\$2,702,700	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1144	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	900 W., 800 W., Kayenta Circle, 700 W., 600 W., & 500 W. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$4,914,000	\$2,457,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1143	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Main St., 100 E., & 200 E. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$2,702,700	\$1,351,350	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1141	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	900 W., 700 W., & 600 W. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$3,439,800	\$1,719,900	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1142	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	300 W., 200 W., & 100 W. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$4,238,000	\$2,119,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1139	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Ridge Rd., Rose Hill Rd., 165 South and Sage Dr. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$1,505,400	\$752,700	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
3	Layton City	Drinking Water	New Well - Drill and Equip	Layton	113%	\$3,950,000	\$2,370,000	6	0	14	3	16	2	41
537	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Replacement / Upsize of Pump-up Line	Eden	157%	\$1,544,280	\$772,140	0	0	18	3	10	10	41
665	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	Harmony Park Well	South Salt Lake	77%	\$4,855,200	\$3,884,160	15	0	0	14	7	5	41
1140	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	1400 W., 1225 W., 1150 W., 1050 W., & 900 W. Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$3,255,200	\$1,627,600	15	0	0	3	13	10	41

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1127	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Ashdown Storage Tank Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$3,250,000	\$1,950,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1128	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Ashdown Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$3,900,000	\$2,340,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
666	South Salt Lake City	Drinking Water	Davis Well Treatment	South Salt Lake	77%	\$2,620,800	\$2,096,640	15	0	0	14	7	5	41
1135	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	860 West from 1325 S. to Mountain View Dr. Pipe Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$214,500	\$107,250	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1136	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Altamira Drive and 75 East Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$1,167,400	\$583,700	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1137	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	400 East and Center Street Pipe Replacement	Cedar City	79%	\$2,150,200	\$1,075,100	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1138	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Royal Hunte Drive and Sage Drive Pipe Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$1,566,500	\$783,250	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1134	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Connect Beacon Dr. & Cedar Hills Dr. to Cove Dr. Pipe Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$820,300	\$410,150	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1906	Farmington City	Drinking Water	Sunrise Drive Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$385,500	\$289,125	0	0	18	3	7	12	40
2045	Payson City	Drinking Water	Build a new culinary water tank and transmission line out of the tank for future growth.	Payson	98%	\$9,000,000	\$8,100,000	10	0	18	3	4	5	40
1909	Farmington City	Drinking Water	300 East Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$103,500	\$77,625	0	0	18	3	7	12	40
1908	Farmington City	Drinking Water	750 South Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$250,500	\$187,875	0	0	18	3	7	12	40
1907	Farmington City	Drinking Water	350 East Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$370,500	\$277,875	0	0	18	3	7	12	40
1781	Charleston Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	District Well Rehab	Charleston	114%	\$80,000	\$40,000	6	0	0	14	10	10	40
2197	Circleville Town	Drinking Water	Distribution System Improvements Phase 2	Circleville	68%	\$3,136,000	\$2,822,400	18	0	0	3	7	12	40
912	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	500 East Center to 300 S	Spanish Fork	111%	\$427,000	\$213,500	6	0	14	3	7	10	40
911	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	400 E Center St to 300 S	Spanish Fork	111%	\$268,000	\$134,000	6	0	14	3	7	10	40
910	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	Line 3450 E Hwy 6 30" Trunkline	Spanish Fork	111%	\$1,100,000	\$550,000	6	0	14	3	7	10	40

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
648	South Jordan City	Drinking Water	Trans-Jordan Landfill	South Jordan	143%	\$170,000	\$153,000	2	16	0	3	7	12	40
232	Richmond City	Drinking Water	Create Lower Pressure Zone	Richmond	111%	\$1,200,000	\$600,000	6	0	14	3	7	10	40
913	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	700 East Center to 400 N	Spanish Fork	111%	\$377,000	\$188,500	6	0	14	3	7	10	40
3253	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	Gates Drive PRV	Roosevelt	100%	\$250,000	\$125,000	10	0	0	9	10	10	39
3252	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	150 North PRV	Roosevelt	100%	\$250,000	\$125,000	10	0	0	9	10	10	39
3124	Enoch City	Drinking Water	Culinary Booster Station	Enoch	98%	\$1,250,000	\$875,000	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
3123	Enoch City	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Transmission Lines	Enoch	98%	\$3,000,000	\$2,100,000	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
3118	Enoch City	Drinking Water	Culinary Well #2	Enoch	98%	\$2,000,000	\$1,400,000	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
2147	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Pressure reducing valves	Toquerville	104%	\$350,000	\$175,000	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
2146	Toquerville City	Drinking Water	Shadow creek	Toquerville	104%	\$100,000	\$50,000	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
1098	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	West Zone Distribution Pipe Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$19,375,200	\$15,500,160	15	0	0	3	16	5	39
1103	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Martins Flat Filtration Plant Pump Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	15	0	0	3	16	5	39
3255	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	Replace Hayden Well Backflows	Roosevelt	100%	\$78,000	\$39,000	10	0	0	9	10	10	39
1097	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	West Zone Boundary Adjustment Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$2,150,200	\$1,720,160	15	0	0	3	16	5	39
1035	Heber City Corporation	Drinking Water	W-068 PW Expansion Ph 2 - Water	Heber City	114%	\$1,400,000	\$700,000	6	0	0	14	7	12	39
442	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	7700 W to Broadway, 3100 S to 3500 S	Magna	84%	\$1,750,000	\$1,400,000	15	16	0	3	0	5	39
440	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	Culinary Distribution Pipe Upsize	Magna	84%	\$3,100,000	\$2,480,000	15	16	0	3	0	5	39
1109	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	Martins Flat Pipeline Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$3,200,000	\$2,560,000	15	0	0	3	16	5	39
2229	Gunnison City	Drinking Water	Treatment Plant Upgrade	Gunnison	88%	\$450,000	\$270,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
2913	Zion Mountain Local Service District	Drinking Water	Distribution Improvements Phase 2	Mt Carmel Jct		\$5,000,000	\$1,250,000		0	18	3	7	10	38
4	Layton City	Drinking Water	Sandridge Tank & Booster Pump Station (MG Tank) (C8)	Layton	113%	\$4,200,000	\$2,520,000	6	0	14	3	13	2	38
3652	Clinton City Corporation	Drinking Water	HAFB Tank to 2300 North Water Line	Clinton	125%	\$1,267,000	\$633,500	6	0	14	3	4	10	37
409	Mapleton City	Drinking Water	New Mapleton City Culinary Well	Mapleton	152%	\$4,600,000	\$1,150,000	0	16	0	3	13	5	37

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
438	Magna Water District	Drinking Water	3500 S, 7200 W to 8000 W Waterline Replacement	Magna	84%	\$2,200,000	\$1,760,000	15	0	14	3	0	5	37
914	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	300 South 700 E to Main (no flow fill)	Spanish Fork	111%	\$486,000	\$243,000	6	0	14	3	4	10	37
915	Spanish Fork City	Drinking Water	Hwy 6 2500 East to 400 North (9200'- 18")	Spanish Fork	111%	\$1,112,000	\$556,000	6	0	14	3	4	10	37
1017	Bountiful City Water Department	Drinking Water	Barton Creek Reservoir Repl.			\$3,500,000	\$700,000		16	0	3	13	5	37
1910	Farmington City	Drinking Water	700 South Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$75,000	\$56,250	0	0	18	3	4	12	37
1911	Farmington City	Drinking Water	200 East Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$492,000	\$369,000	0	0	18	3	4	12	37
1912	Farmington City	Drinking Water	State Street Waterline Replacement	Farmington	155%	\$544,500	\$408,375	0	0	18	3	4	12	37
3576	Coalville City	Drinking Water	Water Treatment Plant Expansion	Coalville	120%	\$3,000,000	\$1,500,000	6	0	14	3	4	10	37
3122	Enoch City	Drinking Water	Culinary Water Tank	Enoch	98%	\$8,000,000	\$6,400,000	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
3119	Enoch City	Drinking Water	Culinary Well #3	Enoch	98%	\$2,000,000	\$1,400,000	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
3034	American Fork City	Drinking Water	6th East Well	American Fork	107%	\$7,118,135	\$1,779,534	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
1954	Syracuse City	Drinking Water	3 MG Storage Tank	Syracuse	148%	\$12,000,000	\$9,600,000	2	16	0	3	10	5	36
110	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Drinking Water	Willard Region Interconnected System	Perry	139%	\$2,500,000	\$1,875,000	2	0	14	3	7	10	36
1125	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	South Mountain Pump Station Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$5,200,000	\$4,160,000	15	0	0	3	13	5	36
1124	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	South Mountain Storage Tank Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$5,200,000	\$4,160,000	15	0	0	3	13	5	36
3245	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	New Water Line Project Phase 3	Roosevelt	100%	\$5,500,000	\$1,815,000	10	0	0	9	7	10	36
1126	Cedar City Corporation	Drinking Water	2300 North Storage Tank Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$6,500,000	\$5,200,000	15	0	0	3	13	5	36
3249	Roosevelt City	Drinking Water	Summerall Lane PRV	Roosevelt	100%	\$250,000	\$125,000	10	0	0	9	7	10	36
3174	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Ioka Lane Replacement Phase I	Roosevelt	100%	\$2,000,000	\$1,500,000	10	0	0	3	10	12	35
3548	Price River Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Storage Tank	Price	84%	\$4,000,000	\$1,000,000	15	0	0	3	7	10	35
2228	Gunnison City	Drinking Water	Distribution System Improvements Phase 2	Gunnison	88%	\$3,685,000	\$2,211,000	15	0	0	3	7	10	35
649	South Jordan City	Drinking Water	Bacchus Highway	South Jordan	143%	\$71,000	\$63,900	2	16	0	3	4	10	35

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1400	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	FRWTP Phase 2	Heber City	114%	\$6,440,000	\$4,830,000	6	0	0	14	10	5	35
2227	Gunnison City	Drinking Water	New 1 MG Tank for Upper Zone	Gunnison	88%	\$2,240,000	\$560,000	15	0	0	3	7	10	35
1930	Price City	Drinking Water	Cedar Hill Dr at Sagewood, 100 E before 500 S, 700 E and 100 S	Price	84%	\$24,840	\$12,420	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1929	Price City	Drinking Water	Replace pipe when installing Fire Hydrants	Price	84%	\$836,280	\$418,140	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1928	Price City	Drinking Water	1900 E from PRV to Airport Rd	Price	84%	\$502,320	\$251,160	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1927	Price City	Drinking Water	1900 E from 800 N to PRV	Price	84%	\$483,000	\$241,500	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1926	Price City	Drinking Water	800 N from Homestead to 1880 E	Price	84%	\$231,840	\$115,920	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1925	Price City	Drinking Water	Price Kenilworth Rd from existing to 800 N	Price	84%	\$193,200	\$96,600	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1924	Price City	Drinking Water	200 W from 400 S to 200 S, from 200 W to 600 W, along 500 W from 200 S to 300 S, 400 W from 200 S to 300 S, 300 W from 200 S to Railroad Ave	Price	84%	\$695,520	\$347,760	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1923	Price City	Drinking Water	200 S from 600 E to 700 E, 600 E from 200 S to 300 S, 500 E from 100 S to 400 S	Price	84%	\$364,320	\$182,160	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1922	Price City	Drinking Water	500 E from 100 N to Main St, Main St from 500 E to 600 E and 600 E from Main St to 100 S	Price	84%	\$287,040	\$143,520	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1931	Price City	Drinking Water	Fire Hydrants to meet UAC requirements	Price	84%	\$298,080	\$149,040	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1920	Price City	Drinking Water	Westwood Blvd from Hospital Dr to existing 8" Pipe	Price	84%	\$115,920	\$57,960	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1919	Price City	Drinking Water	5th Ave from 300 N to dead end and 600 E from 300 N to 200 N	Price	84%	\$136,620	\$68,310	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1918	Price City	Drinking Water	800 N from Cedar Hills Dr to Homestead Blvd	Price	84%	\$331,200	\$165,600	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1917	Price City	Drinking Water	800 N from 200 E to 300 E and 700 N from 400 E to 500 E	Price	84%	\$126,960	\$63,480	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1916	Price City	Drinking Water	400 S Across Railroad at 400 E	Price	84%	\$162,840	\$81,420	15	0	0	9	0	10	34

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1915	Price City	Drinking Water	Pioneer Park frim Park Dr along 600 N to 100 E	Price	84%	\$184,920	\$92,460	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1914	Price City	Drinking Water	Woodhill Road to Park Drive	Price	84%	\$304,980	\$152,490	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1913	Price City	Drinking Water	400 S from 100 E to 400 E	Price	84%	\$289,800	\$144,900	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
1921	Price City	Drinking Water	Loop Airport Rd to 150 S	Price	84%	\$37,260	\$18,630	15	0	0	9	0	10	34
3177	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	New Source Project	Roosevelt	100%	\$1,000,000	\$600,000	10	0	0	3	10	10	33
3175	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Ioka Lane Replacement Phase II	Roosevelt	100%	\$2,000,000	\$1,500,000	10	0	0	3	7	12	32
3173	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	South Pleasant Valley Road Line	Roosevelt	100%	\$3,000,000	\$2,250,000	10	0	0	3	7	12	32
3571	Coalville City	Drinking Water	Icy Springs Site Upgrades	Coalville	120%	\$500,000	\$250,000	6	0	0	3	13	10	32
879	Ephraim City	Drinking Water	Ephraim Crossing East side loop	Ephraim	82%	\$1,000,000	\$400,000	15	0	0	3	4	10	32
3097	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Johnson Water Connection	Ballard	123%	\$1,600,000	\$1,200,000	6	0	0	3	13	10	32
185	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Lilac Lane to Old Hwy water line	Mountain Green	248%	\$60,000	\$48,000	0	0	0	3	16	12	31
2149	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Drinking Water	Canyonlands Airport Pipeline	Crescent Jct	80%	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	15	0	0	3	7	5	30
2285	Kaysville City	Drinking Water	Old town main line replacement	Kaysville	152%	\$14,000,000	\$7,000,000	0	6	0	3	16	5	30
1369	Highland City	Drinking Water	Generator Replacements	Highland	163%	\$150,000	\$75,000	0	0	0	14	10	5	29
1934	Mountain Regional Water Ssd	Drinking Water	Old Ranch Well Development (Well No. 17)	Snyderville	184%	\$2,000,000	\$1,500,000	0	0	0	3	16	10	29
3096	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Old Victory Park Pipe Replacement	Ballard	123%	\$500,000	\$375,000	6	0	0	3	10	10	29
3180	Johnson Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Pole Line Improvements	Roosevelt	100%	\$500,000	\$375,000	10	0	0	3	4	12	29
3651	Clinton City Corporation	Drinking Water	1800 North Water Line: 2000 W to 3600 W	Clinton	125%	\$2,368,000	\$1,184,000	6	0	0	3	10	10	29
186	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	Old Hwy to Cottonwood Canyon Rd	Mountain Green	248%	\$93,000	\$74,400	0	0	0	3	13	12	28
1936	Mountain Regional Water Ssd	Drinking Water	Community Water Tank Rebuild	Snyderville	184%	\$1,100,000	\$880,000	0	0	0	3	13	12	28
687	Summit Water Distribution Company	Drinking Water	Hidden Cove Transite line replacement	Park City	145%	\$2,200,000	\$1,760,000	2	0	0	3	10	12	27
1938	Mountain Regional Water Ssd	Drinking Water	Willow Draw Water Treatment Plant	Snyderville	184%	\$5,833,548	\$4,666,838	0	0	0	3	13	10	26

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3099	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Hidden Valley Waterline	Ballard	123%	\$500,000	\$375,000	6	0	0	3	7	10	26
1288	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	8-inch and 10-inch PVCWater Line	Eden	157%	\$2,057,931	\$1,749,241	0	0	0	3	13	10	26
205	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Belnap well redrill	Eden	157%	\$1,892,015	\$1,702,814	0	0	0	3	13	10	26
855	Elk Ridge City	Drinking Water	New water tank by upper tank	Elk Ridge	159%	\$3,000,000	\$600,000	0	0	0	9	7	10	26
1287	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	12-inch PVC Water Line	Eden	157%	\$2,105,050	\$1,789,293	0	0	0	3	13	10	26
515	Nibley City	Drinking Water	4000 South Replacement Well	Nibley	136%	\$1,400,000	\$700,000	2	0	0	3	10	10	25
2046	Payson City	Drinking Water	Install a new 12" culinary transmission waterline for future growth areas.	Payson	98%	\$3,000,000	\$2,400,000	10	0	0	3	7	5	25
1018	Bountiful City Water Department	Drinking Water	Barton Creek Well Rehab	Bountiful	116%	\$1,000,000	\$200,000	6	0	0	3	10	5	24
1019	Bountiful City Water Department	Drinking Water	Barton Creek Boosters Replacement	Bountiful	116%	\$750,000	\$150,000	6	0	0	3	10	5	24
192	Cottonwood Mutual Water Company	Drinking Water	New Well Development	Mountain Green	248%	\$640,000	\$416,000	0	0	0	3	10	10	23
204	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Drinking Water Plant	Eden	157%	\$3,942,000	\$3,547,800	0	0	0	3	10	10	23
1289	Eden Water Works Company	Drinking Water	8-inch PVC Water Line	Eden	157%	\$1,425,669	\$1,211,819	0	0	0	3	10	10	23
1941	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water	Increase Pipe Size Along Silver Gate Drive	Snyderville	184%	\$1,892,000	\$946,000	0	0	0	3	10	10	23
1942	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water	Increase Pipe Size Along Old Highway 40 and Silver Creek Drive	Snyderville	184%	\$2,087,000	\$1,043,500	0	0	0	3	10	10	23
1943	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water	Improve Conveyance between the Signal Hill Tank and Atkinson (Alternative A)	Snyderville	184%	\$3,824,000	\$1,912,000	0	0	0	3	10	10	23
3100	Ballard Water Improvement District	Drinking Water	Water Line Improvements	Ballard	123%	\$2,000,000	\$1,500,000	6	0	0	3	4	10	23
1946	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water	Install a New Pipe in North Ridge	Snyderville	184%	\$140,000	\$70,000	0	0	0	3	7	12	22
1945	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water	Install a New Pipe in Silver Springs	Snyderville	184%	\$669,000	\$535,200	0	0	0	3	7	12	22

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2203	Croydon Pipeline Corporation	Drinking Water	6800 E Extension	Croydon		\$97,200	\$97,200		0	0	3	7	12	22
516	Nibley City	Drinking Water	Pipeline Upsize and Replacement Projects	Nibley	136%	\$2,300,000	\$460,000	2	0	0	3	7	10	22
1944	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water	Glenwild and Redhawk Loop and PRV	Snyderville	184%	\$582,000	\$291,000	0	0	0	3	7	12	22
5	Layton City	Drinking Water	Pipe Replacement (Antelope, Fort Lane to Church Street)	Layton	113%	\$715,000	\$429,000	6	0	0	3	10	2	21
1402	Jordanelle Special Service District	Drinking Water	KWTP Mechanical Improvements (Lime, Dewatering, ...)	Heber City	114%	\$1,100,000	\$550,000	6	0	0	3	7	5	21
2289	Kaysville City	Drinking Water	Replace Upper pasture tank	Kaysville	152%	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000	0	0	0	3	13	5	21
539	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Source #4 Development	Eden	157%	\$2,556,450	\$1,278,225	0	0	0	3	7	10	20
540	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Drinking Water	Source #5 Development	Eden	157%	\$2,556,450	\$1,278,225	0	0	0	3	7	10	20
1947	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water	Increase capacity at Blackhawk Booster Station	Snyderville	184%	\$300,000	\$150,000	0	0	0	3	7	10	20
1949	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water	Increase capacity at Glenwild Booster Station	Snyderville	184%	\$300,000	\$150,000	0	0	0	3	4	12	19
1948	Mountain Regional Water SSD	Drinking Water	Increase capacity at Redhawk Booster Station	Snyderville	184%	\$300,000	\$150,000	0	0	0	3	4	12	19
520	Nibley City	Drinking Water	Replace Pressure Reducing Valves	Nibley	136%	\$360,000	\$90,000	2	0	0	3	4	10	19
6	Layton City	Drinking Water	Pipe Replacement (Gentile; Flint Street to Rosewood Lane)	Layton	113%	\$1,800,000	\$1,080,000	6	0	0	3	7	2	18
2914	Zion Mountain Local Service District	Drinking Water	New Well #4	Mt Carmel Jct		\$1,050,000	\$262,500		0	0	3	4	10	17
7	Layton City	Drinking Water	Pipe Replacement (Sugar St; Gentile to Hillfield Road)	Layton	113%	\$600,000	\$360,000	6	0	0	3	4	2	15
2288	Kaysville City	Drinking Water	Lower Pasture tank #2	Kaysville	152%	\$4,700,000	\$2,350,000	0	0	0	3	7	5	15
215	Draper Irrigation Company	Drinking Water	Big Willow Steel Pipeline Replacement	Sandy	116%	\$4,000,000	\$3,400,000	6	0	0	3	0	5	14
823	Oquirrh Point Improvement District	Drinking Water	Oquirrh Point Tank No. 3	Erda	143%	\$8,144,473	\$8,144,473	2	0	0	3	4	5	14
1374	Highland City	Drinking Water	Upgrade SCADA	Highland	163%	\$150,000	\$75,000	0	0	0	3	4	5	12
217	Draper Irrigation Company	Drinking Water	New Culinary Well	Draper	136%	\$2,500,000	\$2,125,000	2	0	0	3	0	5	10

References

Bowen, Collins & Associates. (2025). *Small Community Project Needs*. Technical Memorandum.

Brown and Caldwell. (2025). *Recommendations on Prioritization Process for Project Funding*. Technical Memorandum.

Appendix A:

DDW Project Data

Clean data for all projects (including projects that did not request or anticipate funding from the state) identified through the UWIP submission process and assigned to DDW can be accessed via this link: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1aLZA4qWTc5rzeigLcil-Q6-AZt_tuMUG/edit?usp=sharing&ouid=117964177612172708628&rtpof=true&sd=true. The link is live, and data is up to date as of June 30, 2025.

Data collected during the first UWIP submission process is hosted on Google Drive within the State's system. Eric Dixon (ericdixon@utah.gov) may be contacted for questions or access to raw data.

Division of Water Quality Fiscal Year 2027 Agency Plan

Prepared for The Unified Water Infrastructure Plan



Table of Contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Unified Water Infrastructure Plan.....	1
Agency Plan	2
Plan Creation	2
DWQ Agency Plan.....	8
Mission	8
Funding Structure	8
Historic Funded Projects	9
UWIP Project Submission Summary	10
Small Community Budget.....	18
Initial Project Evaluation Process	18
Future Agency Ranking Adjustments	19
Provisional Project Rankings and Budget Recommendations	20
References	52

List of Appendices

	Page
Appendix A: DWQ Project Data	53

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1: UWIP Project Distribution to Relevant Agency	6
Table 2: FY25 DWQ Funding Authorizations	10
Table 3: DWQ Projects by Timeline and Infrastructure Type	13
Table 4: DWQ Projects by Timeline and Project Type	14
Table 5: DWQ Submitted Project Statistics	15
Table 6: Provisional Score Criteria and Overall Weighting.....	19
Table 7: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years)	23
Table 8: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years)	28
Table 9: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near Future (6-10 years)	40

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1: Spatial Distribution of All UWIP Projects	4
Figure 2: Infrastructure Type Heatmap	5
Figure 3: Summary of UWIP Project Costs and State Funding Requested.....	7
Figure 4: Spatial Distribution of DWQ Assigned UWIP Projects	12
Figure 5: Distribution of Entity MAGI and Funding Requested.....	17
Figure 6: Cumulative Distributions of Projects by Construction Timeline	22

Introduction

In 2024, the Utah Legislature passed House Bill 280 (HB 280). Among other things, this bill created the Water Infrastructure Fund, a new approach to funding water infrastructure projects in the state of Utah. The Water Infrastructure Fund is intended to improve the process of funding water infrastructure by engaging in proactive infrastructure planning and greater collaboration between funding agencies.

Implementation of the new funding process can be broken into three initial components:

- **Integration of Existing Funds Study** – HB 280 required the completion of two studies. The first study was completed in October of 2024 to analyze and recommend consolidation of existing funds available for water infrastructure projects. This study provides a more detailed outline of funding approach changes envisioned by HB 280.
- **Infrastructure Fee Study** – The second study authorized by HB 280 will consider whether to impose a new fee to fund water infrastructure projects. The study will consider current funding sources and mechanisms, where shortfalls exist, how a new fee might be assessed and how the revenue might be equitably distributed statewide. It is scheduled to be completed by October 31, 2025.
- **Unified Water Infrastructure Plan** – The studies above will inform the creation of a new Unified Water Infrastructure Plan (UWIP). The UWIP will be a comprehensive prioritized list of water infrastructure projects that will be used to determine which projects will be eligible for future funding from the Water Infrastructure Fund and/or state agency funds.

This document will focus on the UWIP process and results for the Utah Division of Water Quality for Fiscal Year 2027.

Unified Water Infrastructure Plan

The UWIP is being created as a comprehensive prioritized list of water infrastructure projects that will potentially need financial assistance through state funds within the next 20 years. This plan will be created and administered by the Water Development Coordinating Council (WDCC). The relevant agencies included in the council (as defined in Utah Code 73-10g-601) provide financial assistance for a large portion of water infrastructure projects in the state. These agencies that will be most involved in the UWIP include:

- Division of Water Resources (WRe) through the Board of Water Resources
- Division of Drinking Water (DDW) through the Drinking Water Board
- Division of Water Quality (DWQ) through the Water Quality Board

The plan will improve coordination between these agencies and simplify the process for entities that are seeking funding for water infrastructure projects. The plan will allow the state to assemble water infrastructure needs and priorities in a single, comprehensive location. The list of projects identified will help the council determine where funds should be allocated and when additional funds need to be requested from the state. All water infrastructure entities that currently need and/or expect to request funds from the relevant agencies will need to have their projects included in the UWIP to receive consideration for future funding.

Agency Plan

Each UWIP relevant agency (WRe, DDW, DWQ) will submit an agency plan to the WDCC by June 30 annually. The purpose of each agency plan is to propose the agency's recommended projects and corresponding prioritization. The same template is being used for each of the agencies to establish consistency and similarity in format and content for submission to the WDCC.

This document will act as the agency plan for DWQ.

Plan Creation


The Divisions of Water Resources, Drinking Water and Water Quality have retained Bowen Collins & Associates (BC&A) and Brown and Caldwell (BC) to assist in compiling the Unified Water Infrastructure Plan.

Following outreach via email, social media and professional organizations, a UWIP project submission form was opened April 8, 2025, and was available for the submission of proposed projects until May 9, 2025. The goal of this effort was to gather water entity data on projects within the next 20 years anticipating state funding needs. Eligible projects included infrastructure associated with the supply, control, measurement, treatment, distribution, storage, or transport of water. Water infrastructure projects submitted to the UWIP were assigned one of several project categories: agricultural off-farm, drinking water, reuse, secondary water, stormwater/flood control, wastewater and water supply (watershed protection and raw water source development).


Once the submission form was closed, the project team ran quality control and assurance on the received data and reached out to water entities as needed for data completion. This data was then distributed amongst the UWIP relevant agencies for further analysis and prioritization.

In total, 3,664 proposed water infrastructure projects totaling \$17.56 billion were submitted by 255 entities from throughout Utah. The spatial distribution of these project submissions, their water infrastructure type, and total requested state funding is displayed in Figure 1. Figure 2


provides more context on the specific distribution of project infrastructure types by count throughout the state.



Agriculture Off-Farm



Secondary Water




LEGEND

UWIP Heat Map


Sparse

Dense


Drinking Water




Stormwater/Flood Control




Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development




Reuse



Wastewater




Water Supply - Watershed Protection



State of Utah

UNIFIED WATER INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN (UWIP)

INFRASTRUCTURE TYPE HEATMAP



NORTH:

SCALE: 1 in = 500,000 ft

0 250,000 500,000

FIGURE NO. 2

Water infrastructure projects were submitted to the UWIP from across the state of Utah, with a majority of state funding requested between Provo and Ogden. Most projects by count were identified as drinking water projects whereas the water supply - watershed protection category received the fewest project submissions. These summaries provide an insight into the projects emphasized within the state at this time.

These projects were distributed to their relevant funding agencies as listed in Table 1 for further analysis and prioritization. Following the distribution of projects based on water infrastructure type, the relevant agencies reviewed projects in more detail to ensure each project was distributed to the correct agency. The specific project and prioritization process is further described in the “UWIP Project Submission Summary” and “Initial Project Evaluation Process” sections of this document.

Table 1: UWIP Project Distribution to Relevant Agency

Infrastructure type	Agency
Agriculture Off-Farm	Division of Water Resources
Drinking Water	Division of Drinking Water
Reuse	Division of Water Quality
Secondary Water	Division of Water Resources
Stormwater/Flood Control	Division of Water Quality
Wastewater	Division of Water Quality
Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Division of Water Resources
Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Division of Water Quality

Figure 3 provides more context for the distribution of projects across the relevant agencies and over the projected construction timeline (1-2 years, 3-5 years, 6-10 years, 11-20 years and 21+ years). This agency plan will focus on the near-term projects (1-5 years) for prioritization, but a summary of all submitted projects with their associated construction timeline are provided here to better understand the coming need. It should be noted that these summaries are strictly from the UWIP submissions; there is a small community budget (described further in the “Small Community Budget” section of this document) that will be incorporated into WIF needs.

Out of the total \$17.56 billion cost of submitted water projects within the next 20 years, the state requested funding assistance for these projects totaled \$5.76 billion. A majority of this funding request (\$3.56 billion, about 62%) of the state requested funding is within the six-to-twenty-year window. Slightly under \$2 billion (\$1.98 billion) is anticipated to be requested from the relevant agencies within the near future (1-5 years).





Figure 3 Summary of UWIP Project Costs and State Funding Requested

DWQ Agency Plan

Mission

The mission of the Division of Water Quality is to “protect, maintain and enhance the quality of Utah’s surface and underground waters for appropriate beneficial uses; and protect the public health through eliminating and preventing water related health hazards which can occur as a result of improper disposal of human, animal, or industrial wastes while giving reasonable consideration to economic impact.”

One of the major functions of the division is to manage the water quality revolving loan program, which is comprised of the following:

1. **Utah Wastewater Loan Program (State Funds):** The Utah Wastewater Loan Program provides loans or interest-rate buy-downs to political subdivisions for the financing of eligible wastewater projects. In addition, this funding is used to meet federal program match requirements of the Clean Water State Revolving Fund.
2. **Clean Water State Revolving Fund (Federal Funds):** The Clean Water State Revolving Fund is a financial assistance program that provides low-cost financing for treatment works, sewerage systems, storm water projects, decentralized systems and nonpoint source projects.
3. **Hardship Grant Program: (State and Federal Funds):** The Hardship Grant Program is used to provide grants or advances to loan recipients for planning and design of systems that qualify for the Water Quality Board’s hardship criteria. The criteria, defined in rule, are based on the total project cost and the local median adjusted gross income. Hardship funds are also used to provide up to \$1 million in nonpoint source grants.

Funding Structure

The following list shows the current funding sources that WQB has available to provide financial assistance.

- Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF)
- Utah Wastewater Loan Fund (UWLF)
- Hardship Grant Fund (HGF)
- Non-point Source (NPS) Grants

One purpose of this agency plan is to identify the funds that will be provided into a consolidated fund that will be available to assist with funding of UWIP projects that the WDCC will oversee. The current intent is to include unauthorized UWLF funds in this consolidated fund. The CWSRF, HGF and NPS Grants are Federally generated funding and not eligible for consolidation.

UWLF State funds are derived from:

- A portion of the 1/8th sales tax revenue designated for water projects with an annual maximum of \$3,587,500
- Repayments on principal from previously awarded loans

Federal funds are derived from:

- Federal capitalization grants appropriated to the Clean Water SRF
- Repayments of principal and interest from previously awarded loans

Hardship funds are derived from:

- Interest from state-funded loans
- Hardship assessments fees charged on federally-funded loans

Historic Funded Projects

Historically, the Water Quality Board has funded water quality related projects such as:

- Sewer & wastewater treatment planning and design
- Sewer rehabilitation, repair and replacement construction
- Wastewater Treatment plant upgrades and construction
- Wastewater reuse construction
- Groundwater aquifer studies and septic density standard development
- Non-point Source Projects

Typically, entities come to the Water Quality Board after trying to procure grants from other funding sources because funding from the Board is generally provided as a “loan” to the entity. Funding to municipalities is provided through the purchase of a bond.

Over the past few years, Water Quality Board has been provided several one-time grant funds from federal and state funds to help with specific projects that have not been typically provided in the past. These funds have been designated for specific project types that have had a short-term application and awarding process. These are not regularly recurring funding sources, so they are not included as a potential funding source for the UWIP projects.

During FY25, the Water Quality Board authorized funding for seven (7) projects summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: FY25 DWQ Funding Authorizations

Recipient	Project Type	County	Amount	Funding Type
Town of Fairfield	Planning	Utah	\$33,290	Grant
North Fork Special Service District	Wastewater Facility Upgrade	Utah	\$3,551,000	Loan
Corinne City	Collection and treatment improvements	Box Elder	\$4,000,000 \$500,000	Grant (PF) Loan
Grantsville City	Wastewater Facility Construction	Tooele	\$16,000,000	Loan
Provo City	Wastewater Facility Upgrade	Utah	\$2,500,000 \$4,500,000	Grant (PF) Loan
Town of Hinckley	Design	Millard	\$267,000	Advance
Ash Creek Special Service District	Design	Washington	\$230,400	Grant

UWIP Project Submission Summary

Water infrastructure projects submitted through the UWIP process were distributed to the relevant agencies, including DWQ. This section describes spatial and statistical distributions of the data used to prioritize projects assigned to DWQ based on legislative criteria identified in HB 280 and HB 285, as well as the provisional scoring procedures. As part of the consulting team assisting with this preparation of this plan, Brown and Caldwell produced a technical memorandum on this topic providing further details about how the data was used to score projects and meet legislative criteria (Brown and Caldwell, 2025).

Figure 4 shows the spatial distribution of projects, project cost and requested state funding for DWQ. The projects attributable to DWQ funding are spread throughout out the state with larger projects in Utah, Salt Lake and Weber Counties.

Table 3 and Table 4 further describe the types of projects brought to the DWQ by anticipated construction timeline and project type. Out of the 1,293 projects assigned to DWQ 487 are in the near term (1 - 5 years) totaling nearly \$3.0 billion in project costs and \$784 million in anticipated state funding assistance. The six-to-twenty-year timeframe estimates an additional 757 projects estimated at nearly \$5.1 billion with \$1.3 billion in state anticipated assistance. About 57 percent of the near-term projects are regarding wastewater with nearly 42 percent for storm water by count. Moving into the six-to-twenty-year window there is a similar distribution of project types. Repair/replacement/improvement projects account for about 27 percent and 18 percent of anticipated state funding requests in the near-term and six-to-twenty-year timeframe, respectively.

Table 5 summarizes the project impact by size of population and cost statistics for projects assigned to DWQ.

Figure 5 summarizes the estimated Median Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) within the service areas of the entities that submitted projects as a percentage of the state average MAGI, as well as the cumulative proportion of the total funding requested of the state in each bin of the histogram. The distributions suggest that most of the funding requested of the state originated from communities near or below the state average MAGI. The projects identified in this agency plan are therefore geared toward helping those most likely to need state assistance to fund their water needs.




Table 3: DWQ Projects by Timeline and Infrastructure Type

Project Timeline and Type	Project Count	Estimated Project Cost (Million \$)	Requested State Assistance (Million \$)
Ready for construction (1-2 years)			
Reuse	3	\$276.3	\$103.2
Stormwater/Flood Control	55	\$172.8	\$77.6
Wastewater	98	\$1,256.9	\$139.3
Water Supply - Watershed Protection	1	\$0.3	\$0.0
Ready for construction (1-2 years) Subtotal	157	\$1,706.3	\$320.1
Planning for construction (3-5 years)			
Reuse	4	\$44.9	\$21.9
Stormwater/Flood Control	149	\$180.5	\$63.0
Wastewater	176	\$1,042.6	\$378.9
Water Supply - Watershed Protection	1	\$1.3	\$0.3
Planning for construction (3-5 years) Subtotal	330	\$1,269.1	\$464.1
Near-future projects (6-10 years)			
Reuse	10	\$417.7	\$173.1
Stormwater/Flood Control	216	\$400.2	\$93.8
Wastewater	188	\$1,226.7	\$265.6
Water Supply - Watershed Protection	1	\$0.2	\$0.1
Near-future projects (6-10 years) Subtotal	415	\$2,044.8	\$532.5
Mid-future projects (11-20 years)			
Reuse	1	\$948.9	\$474.5
Stormwater/Flood Control	129	\$193.0	\$73.7
Wastewater	210	\$1,887.4	\$233.6
Water Supply - Watershed Protection	2	\$2.1	\$0.5
Mid-future projects (11-20 years) Subtotal	342	\$3,031.3	\$782.3
Distant-future projects (21+ years)			
Stormwater/Flood Control	32	\$44.1	\$2.0
Wastewater	17	\$307.0	\$95.5
Distant-future projects (21+ years) Subtotal	49	\$351.1	\$97.5
DWQ Total	1,293	\$8,402.6	\$2,196.5

Table 4: DWQ Projects by Timeline and Project Type

Project Timeline and Type	Project Count	Estimated Project Cost (Million \$)	Requested State Assistance (Million \$)
Ready for construction (1-2 years)			
New infrastructure	63	\$1,351.3	\$230.2
Repair/replacement/improvement	80	\$270.2	\$55.8
Both	14	\$84.8	\$34.1
Ready for construction (1-2 years) Subtotal	157	\$1,706.3	\$320.1
Planning for construction (3-5 years)			
New infrastructure	130	\$749.4	\$271.2
Repair/replacement/improvement	167	\$448.1	\$158.4
Both	33	\$71.7	\$34.4
Planning for construction (3-5 years) Subtotal	330	\$1,269.1	\$464.1
Near-future projects (6-10 years)			
New infrastructure	168	\$1,400.9	\$361.4
Repair/replacement/improvement	213	\$519.7	\$143.2
Both	33	\$124.1	\$27.9
Not Specified	1	\$0.1	\$0.0
Near-future projects (6-10 years) Subtotal	415	\$2,044.8	\$532.5
Mid-future projects (11-20 years)			
New infrastructure	84	\$1,913.1	\$577.5
Repair/replacement/improvement	233	\$913.8	\$95.2
Both	25	\$204.4	\$109.6
Mid-future projects (11-20 years) Subtotal	342	\$3,031.3	\$782.3
Distant-future projects (21+ years)			
New infrastructure	18	\$82.0	\$21.8
Repair/replacement/improvement	28	\$174.1	\$2.2
Both	3	\$95.0	\$73.5
Distant-future projects (21+ years) Subtotal	49	\$351.1	\$97.5
DWQ Total	1,293	\$8,402.6	\$2,196.5

Table 5: DWQ Submitted Project Statistics

Infrastructure type	Statistic	Population Served								Total
		Blank	< 500	500 - 1,000	1,000 - 10,000	10,000 - 30,000	30,000 - 65,000	65,000 - 100,000	> 100,000	
Reuse	Project Count	0	0	0	5	0	6	0	7	18
	% of Projects	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.8%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	38.9%	100.0%
	Min Project Cost	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$1.20 M	\$0.00 M	\$5.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$7.17 M	\$1.20 M
	Average Project Cost								\$229.43	
		\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$4.92 M	\$0.00 M	\$9.54 M	\$0.00 M	M	\$93.77 M
	Max Project Cost	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$15.50 M	\$0.00 M	\$20.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$948.90 M	\$948.90 M
Stormwater / Flood Control	Project Count	11	50	189	170	58	72	27	4	581
	% of Projects	1.9%	8.6%	32.5%	29.3%	10.0%	12.4%	4.6%	0.7%	100.0%
	Min Project Cost	\$0.15 M	\$0.02 M	\$0.02 M	\$0.02 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.02 M	\$0.11 M	\$0.76 M	\$0.00 M
	Average Project Cost									
		\$2.49 M	\$0.63 M	\$1.37 M	\$1.58 M	\$2.61 M	\$1.79 M	\$2.22 M	\$16.39 M	\$1.70 M
	Max Project Cost	\$18.00 M	\$5.00 M	\$50.00 M	\$36.92 M	\$23.00 M	\$10.00 M	\$12.84 M	\$60.00 M	\$60.00 M
Wastewater	Project Count	30	34	36	240	57	59	11	222	689
	% of Projects	4.4%	4.9%	5.2%	34.8%	8.3%	8.6%	1.6%	32.2%	100.0%
	Min Project Cost	\$1.19 M	\$0.04 M	\$0.02 M	\$0.02 M	\$0.03 M	\$0.16 M	\$1.25 M	\$0.02 M	\$0.02 M
	Average Project Cost									
		\$11.00 M	\$1.88 M	\$2.29 M	\$3.47 M	\$6.38 M	\$7.76 M	\$29.69 M	\$14.70 M	\$8.30 M
	Max Project Cost	\$38.13 M	\$16.53 M	\$15.00 M	\$80.00 M	\$35.30 M	\$124.19 M	\$150.00 M	\$413.39 M	\$413.39 M
Water Supply Watershed Protection	Project Count	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	5
	% of Projects	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Min Project Cost	\$0.30 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.20 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.20 M
	Average Project Cost									
		\$0.45 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.98 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.77 M
	Max Project Cost	\$0.60 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$1.50 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$1.50 M




Figure 5 Distribution of Entity MAGI and Funding Requested

Small Community Budget

Often small communities with populations less than 10,000 have less available planning resources for their systems. Correspondingly, these communities are often less able to submit detailed information on project needs than larger systems with more resources. With a history of smaller communities applying for state funding assistance, the State would like to include an allowance for unknown small community needs not submitted through the UWIP process.

DWQ has included an annual funding allowance of \$12.0 million in the recommended budget to account for unknown small community needs. BC&A recommended this allowance based on an analysis described in a technical memorandum titled “Small Community Project Needs” addressed to WRe (Bowen Collins & Associates, 2025).

Initial Project Evaluation Process

HB 280 (and as modified by HB 285) lists several project-focused characteristics that the WDCC should consider in the prioritization process. During the development of the UWIP database, project data were collected from water providers and managers that can be used to evaluate projects against the project-specific prioritization criteria described in legislation. This agency plan will focus on the near-term projects (1-5 years) for ranking and prioritization for UWIP.

Six criteria were derived from the language in HB 285, and they were used to calculate an initial evaluation score, or “Provisional Score”, for each project in the UWIP. Agency representatives provided feedback on the importance of each criterion and the rubric used to score each project. The table below describes the criteria and their weights. The most important criteria for prioritizing project-specific funding have higher weight values. Projects with higher Provisional Scores would, in concept, be higher priorities for funding.

More detail on recommendations for prioritizing projects are provided in a technical memorandum entitled “Recommendations on Prioritization Process for Project Funding” addressed to WRe and Bowen & Collins Associates (Brown and Caldwell, 2025).

Table 6: Provisional Score Criteria and Overall Weighting

Criterion	Description	Criterion Weight
Hardship	Degree to which a project represents an economic hardship referencing criteria of DDW and DWQ	18%
Critical issue	Degree to which a project addresses a critical need, such as protection of public health and safety or compliance with a state and/or federal water quality standard	22%
Water efficiency	Degree to which a project increases water conservation and the efficient use of water	18%
Sound design	Degree to which a project is fully developed and designed	14%
Applicant priority	Importance of a project to the proponent, based on their self-ranking of projects submitted	16%
Population benefitted and nonstate funding	Number of people that will benefit combined with the amount of non-state funding anticipated for a project	12%

Future Agency Ranking Adjustments

While useful for initial evaluation and sorting, provisional scores are not the source for the final ranking of projects. Each project is subject to additional review to ensure the automated scoring process has accurately captured the intent of HB 280: to aid in the funding of critical water infrastructure meeting UWIP criteria. This additional review provides an opportunity for the funding experts to collect additional information as necessary, give feedback on the ranking process, and document any score changes. It should be noted that, given the limited timeline for assembling this initial plan, changes to provisional scores and ranking in this iteration of the plan were limited but are expected to be more significant in the future. In future UWIP cycles, all details of alterations to provisional scoring and ranking would be recorded in this portion of the Agency plan.

As part of this initial iteration of the plan, several areas of potential improvement in the process were identified. While time was not available to address these areas as part of this initial submission, it is expected that these issues will be considered and incorporated into subsequent efforts to future refine the plan and project priorities. Three main areas of expected adjustment are described below:

- Data Validation of Self-Ranking Criteria.** One shortcoming of the provisional scoring method was in the absence of data validation regarding the “self-ranking” criteria. HB 280 specifies that project rankings should incorporate the ranking of the applicant to ensure that UWIP and entity prioritization align. In some instances, submitting entities

ranked all their projects as number one, providing a high score in the “self-ranking” criteria that skewed their overall UWIP provisional scores relative to other entities. In other case, it appears that submitting entities may have grouped several large projects together in order to maintain a higher self-ranking for all their projects. With these challenges occurring in only a few instances, no corrections were made in this initial iteration. However, it is recommended that future iterations of the UWIP define clearer expectations for when and how projects can be combined, and that each project receives a discrete ranking within an entity. These changes should also be implemented in updated rankings as additional data is collected and the UWIP is finalized.

- **Data Validation of Community Resources.** The data request associated with this initial iteration included a request to report total revenue and total connections served. It was believed that this could be used to calculate the burden of cost to customers and inform the WDCC regarding the ability of each entity to use their own resources to fund their projects. Unfortunately, the revenue information provided was incomplete or inaccurate in many cases. Correspondingly, the provisional rankings were limited to looking at median adjusted gross income in the area as the only measure of ability to pay. It is recommended that additional data regarding rates and cost of service be collected as part of subsequent evaluation efforts and this information be implemented in updated rankings.
- **Exclusion Criteria.** HB 280 specifies that emergency and/or small water infrastructure projects should be excluded from the UWIP process. A small water infrastructure cost is defined as a project that “receives less than an amount of water infrastructure fund money established by rule.” This project cost cut-off is to be determined by the WDCC and as such was not applied in this first iteration of the UWIP process. Correspondingly, this agency plan includes a number of small projects that will likely be excluded once a rule is established.

Provisional Project Rankings and Budget Recommendations

Figure 6 summarizes the distributions of projects, scores, and state-funding costs from the UWIP database to help with allocating funds for the next 10 years. The graph panels in this figure provide an idea of how many projects and the level of provisional score are associated with a given amount of available funding. For example, if \$100M of funding were available for projects ready for construction (1-2 years), the first panel suggests 12 projects with provisional scores of 74 or above could be funded.

Tables 7 - 9 show individual projects, sorted highest to lowest by the provisional score total. Only projects with anticipated state funding needs are shown in the tables and figures following this page. All DWQ projects and project data are shown in Appendix A, sorted by Project ID.




Figure 6 Cumulative Distributions of Projects by Construction Timeline

Table 7: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years)

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
17	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Van Buren M Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$1,104,804	\$552,402	15	22	18	14	16	10	95
1998	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Willard Canal Lining	Willard	120%	\$60,000,000	\$24,000,000	6	22	18	14	16	12	88
2073	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Reuse	Reuse Project at Central Weber WCF	Marriott-Slaterville	129%	\$215,700,000	\$53,925,000	6	22	18	14	16	12	88
967	Spanish Fork City	Wastewater	SW AMI Tower	Spanish Fork	111%	\$31,877	\$15,939	6	22	18	14	16	5	81
583	Richfield City	Wastewater	RECONSTRUCT SEWER LAGOONS (2 CELLS)	Richfield	88%	\$6,000,000	\$4,500,000	15	16	14	9	16	10	80
671	Vineyard City Public Works Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Shotcrete Storm Water Outfalls	Vineyard	98%	\$200,000	\$50,000	10	22	18	9	16	5	80
2190	Bicknell Town	Stormwater/Flood Control	Town-wide Storm Drain Infrastructure Phase 1	Bicknell	86%	\$3,200,000	\$960,000	15	22	0	14	16	12	79
1527	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	22nd and Adams dipstone	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	14	0	12	77
1772	La Verkin City	Stormwater/Flood Control	100 East Trunk Line	La Verkin	80%	\$4,571,000	\$4,113,900	15	22	0	14	16	10	77
3183	Lewiston Utah	Wastewater	Sewer Lagoon Upgrades	Lewiston	100%	\$6,145,000	\$1,843,500	10	22	0	14	16	12	74
784	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	Channel #2 UV installation	Tooele	98%	\$3,000,000	\$2,400,000	10	22	18	3	16	5	74
1555	Cedar City Corporation	Reuse	Type 1 Effluent Reuse Pump Station Installation	Enoch	98%	\$5,000,000	\$2,000,000	10	22	18	3	16	5	74
973	Spanish Fork City	Wastewater	New MBR Plant	Spanish Fork	111%	\$124,186,978	\$62,093,489	6	22	18	14	7	5	72
974	Spanish Fork City	Wastewater	New Solids Handling	Spanish Fork	111%	\$4,925,903	\$2,462,952	6	22	18	14	7	5	72
943	City Of Orem	Wastewater	Biosolids Handling Facility	Orem	80%	\$17,000,000	\$8,500,000	15	22	0	14	16	2	69
975	Spanish Fork City	Wastewater	New Plant Inf/Eff Lines	Spanish Fork	111%	\$4,616,210	\$2,308,105	6	22	18	14	4	5	69
588	Vineyard City	Wastewater	Lift Station #2 Upgrades Project	Vineyard	98%	\$192,000	\$48,000	10	22	0	14	16	5	67
1552	Washington County Water Conservancy District	Reuse	Phase I Reuse	La Verkin	80%	\$55,624,915	\$47,208,865	15	0	18	3	16	12	64
2263	Hanksville Town	Stormwater/Flood Control	Stormwater Master Plan	Hanksville		\$40,000	\$40,000		22	0	14	16	12	64

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
959	Washington Terrace City	Stormwater/Flood Control	5000 S. 300 W Re-design storm water detention basin	Washington Terrace	95%	\$563,896	\$563,896	10	0	18	9	16	10	63
16	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	16th to 13th Washington (east side)	Ogden	84%	\$1,500,000	\$750,000	15	0	18	3	16	10	62
18	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	3rd & Jefferson Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$431,800	\$215,900	15	0	18	3	16	10	62
19	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	5151 S Harrison Blvd Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$690,688	\$345,344	15	0	18	3	16	10	62
548	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Cross Country Sewer Line Replacement	Eden	157%	\$1,250,000	\$625,000	0	22	0	14	16	10	62
578	Richfield City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Install Drainage Channel Piping	Richfield	88%	\$1,700,000	\$1,275,000	15	16	0	3	16	12	62
624	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater/Flood Control	Clay Pits Debris Basins	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$23,000,000	\$9,200,000	0	22	0	14	16	10	62
2133	Fillmore City Corp.	Stormwater/Flood Control	Storm Water	Fillmore	86%	\$100,000	\$100,000	15	16	0	3	16	12	62
3635	Clinton City Corporation	Wastewater	Sewer Lining	Clinton	125%	\$5,161,200	\$2,580,600	6	16	0	14	16	10	62
2059	Payson City	Wastewater	Upsize and replace sewer line on east side of I-15 from 400 N to Utah Avenue	Payson	98%	\$2,600,000	\$2,470,000	10	22	0	3	16	10	61
2105	Fillmore City Corp.	Wastewater	Sewer Lagoon Primary Cell	Fillmore	86%	\$4,250,000	\$2,975,000	15	16	0	3	16	10	60
1726	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Greens Lake Dam 2, 3 and 4 Rehabilitation	Cedar City	79%	\$16,650,000	\$3,330,000	15	16	0	3	16	10	60
167	Murray City Public Works Stormwater Division	Stormwater/Flood Control	900 E Drain Line from 5700 S to Wood Oak Lane	Murray	98%	\$3,600,000	\$1,800,000	10	0	14	14	16	5	59
579	Richfield City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Install New Storm Drain Piping	Richfield	88%	\$1,275,000	\$956,250	15	16	0	3	13	12	59
15	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	12th St Trunk Line Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$6,806,685	\$3,403,343	15	0	18	3	16	5	57
2052	Payson City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Construct a regional retention basin and storm drain trunkline for a flooding issue on 800 West.	Payson	98%	\$14,000,000	\$12,600,000	10	0	18	3	16	10	57
779	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	Secondary Clarifier #2 Improvements	Tooele	98%	\$1,250,000	\$1,000,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
783	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	Channel #1 electrical improvements	Tooele	98%	\$680,000	\$544,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
788	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	Retrofit solar dryer - bay 1	Tooele	98%	\$6,500,000	\$5,200,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
789	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	Retrofit solar dryer - bay 2	Tooele	98%	\$6,500,000	\$5,200,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
1446	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	1150 N Detention to North Ogden Canal	Ogden	84%	\$3,450,000	\$1,725,000	15	22	0	9	0	10	56
944	City Of Orem	Wastewater	Oxidation Ditch Improvements	Orem	80%	\$8,661,000	\$4,330,500	15	22	0	3	13	2	55
1453	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Lower Weber River Wilson Diversion, Dog Park, Kayak Park	Ogden	84%	\$700,000	\$350,000	15	22	0	3	0	12	52
2255	Gunnison City	Wastewater	Lagoon Improvements Phase 1	Gunnison	88%	\$1,256,000	\$314,000	15	0	0	9	16	10	50
2320	Salina Town	Stormwater/Flood Control	Industrial Park Flood Mitigation P-1	Salina	84%	\$850,000	\$425,000	15	0	0	9	16	10	50
1593	Heber City Corporation	Wastewater	S-050 100 West Sewer Replacements	Heber City	114%	\$1,186,000	\$1,008,100	6	0	0	14	16	12	48
1723	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase the Capacity of the Cross Hollow Detention Basin Inlet	Cedar City	79%	\$1,300,000	\$650,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
1724	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Create Conveyance on the East Side of I-15 at the Crossing of University Blvd	Cedar City	79%	\$1,760,000	\$880,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
1725	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Install a 36” HDPE Trunkline Along Cody Drive with Sidewalk and Curb and Gutter	Cedar City	79%	\$1,915,000	\$957,500	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
2103	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Wastewater	Hwy 191 Sewer Mainline Replacement	Moab	84%	\$1,500,000	\$450,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
1718	Heber City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	100 West Storm Water Improvements	Heber City	114%	\$900,000	\$765,000	6	0	0	9	16	12	43
3151	Town Of Hideout	Wastewater	New Vantage Lane Lift Station Improvements	Hideout	255%	\$290,000	\$72,500	0	0	0	14	16	12	42
1429	North Village Special Service District	Wastewater	UVU Regional Lift Station	Heber City	114%	\$4,290,065	\$1,716,026	6	0	0	14	16	5	41
2953	American Fork City	Wastewater	400 West Reroute	American Fork	107%	\$671,098	\$167,775	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
2959	American Fork City	Wastewater	200 South TOD Improvements	American Fork	107%	\$1,897,016	\$948,508	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
674	Vineyard City Public Works Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	GIS Mapping for Stormwater Maintenance Tracking	Vineyard	98%	\$50,000	\$25,000	10	0	0	9	16	5	40

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1359	Highland City	Wastewater	Dry Creek Improvements	Highland	163%	\$500,000	\$250,000	0	0	0	14	16	10	40
1394	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater	Manhole Restoration	Hideout	255%	\$600,000	\$180,000	0	22	0	9	4	5	40
2956	American Fork City	Wastewater	Lakeshore, 100 W to 300 W	American Fork	107%	\$4,900,452	\$1,225,113	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
3150	Town Of Hideout	Wastewater	Soaring Hawk to JSSD Sewer Connection	Hideout	255%	\$274,000	\$68,500	0	0	0	14	13	12	39
549	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Lefty's Canyon Gravity Sewer Line	Eden	157%	\$17,500,000	\$8,750,000	0	0	0	14	13	10	37
550	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Grinder Station Upgrade	Eden	157%	\$750,000	\$375,000	0	0	0	14	13	10	37
394	Lindon City	Wastewater	Orem Water Reclamation Phase 1	Lindon	118%	\$6,890,692	\$3,445,346	6	0	0	9	16	5	36
3081	Ballard City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Channel Cleaning 2000N to 1000N	Ballard	123%	\$50,700	\$25,350	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
3082	Ballard City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Channel Cleaning Hwy 40 North to 1000 N	Ballard	123%	\$61,300	\$30,650	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
3204	North Logan City	Wastewater	2500 N - 300-400 E	North Logan	111%	\$900,000	\$540,000	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
3207	North Logan City	Wastewater	2500 N Pipe Burst	North Logan	111%	\$2,000,000	\$1,200,000	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
3645	Clinton City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	1500 West Replacement	Clinton	125%	\$676,000	\$338,000	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
3637	Clinton City Corporation	Wastewater	1800 North: 2000 W to 2400 W Lateral Reconfiguration	Clinton	125%	\$198,000	\$99,000	6	0	0	3	13	12	34
2270	Hanksville Town	Wastewater	Sewer Master Plan	Hanksville		\$40,000	\$40,000		0	0	14	7	12	33
3206	North Logan City	Wastewater	2500 N - 1250-1600 E	North Logan	111%	\$2,000,000	\$1,200,000	6	0	0	3	13	10	32
3205	North Logan City	Wastewater	2500 N - 1250 E	North Logan	111%	\$400,000	\$240,000	6	0	0	3	13	10	32
3083	Ballard City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Channel Cleaning Hwy 40 South Past City Building	Ballard	123%	\$27,200	\$13,600	6	0	0	3	13	10	32
3152	City Of Holladay	Stormwater/Flood Control	Storm Drain Cleaning	Holladay	145%	\$500,000	\$400,000	2	0	0	9	16	5	32
945	City Of Orem	Wastewater	Grit removal upgrade	Orem	80%	\$2,200,000	\$1,100,000	15	0	0	3	10	2	30
552	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	I&I Reduction	Eden	157%	\$3,000,000	\$1,500,000	0	0	0	3	16	10	29

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1063	Draper City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Lasso Ct to Hole 12	Draper	136%	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	2	0	0	3	13	10	28
2116	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	3000 South 2400 West	Syracuse	148%	\$155,000	\$124,000	2	0	0	3	16	5	26
2119	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	2700 South 3000 West	Syracuse	148%	\$3,026,000	\$2,420,800	2	0	0	3	16	5	26
2120	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	2500 West 435 South	Syracuse	148%	\$2,094,000	\$1,675,200	2	0	0	3	16	5	26
2123	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	2700 South 3230 West	Syracuse	148%	\$4,948,000	\$3,958,400	2	0	0	3	16	5	26
2121	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	1900 West 3300 South	Syracuse	148%	\$2,733,000	\$2,186,400	2	0	0	3	13	5	23
3002	American Form City	Stormwater/Flood Control	202 200 S Conveyance & trunk line	American Fork	107%	\$2,539,000	\$634,750	10	0	0	3	0	10	23

Table 8: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years)

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
968	Spanish Fork City	Wastewater	Main St Sewer 500 N - 900 N	Spanish Fork	111%	\$1,065,000	\$532,500	6	22	18	14	16	10	86
432	Mt Pleasant City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Pleasant Creek Debris Basin	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$7,000,000	\$3,500,000	15	16	14	14	16	10	85
969	Spanish Fork City	Wastewater	Hamilton Sewer Line Project	Spanish Fork	111%	\$84,018	\$42,009	6	22	18	14	13	10	83
952	Spanish Fork City	Stormwater/Flood Control	760 S 770 E 820 S Storm Drain	Spanish Fork	111%	\$280,000	\$140,000	6	16	18	14	16	12	82
954	Spanish Fork City	Stormwater/Flood Control	1100 E 1100 S Storm (1/2 LID)	Spanish Fork	111%	\$350,000	\$175,000	6	16	18	14	13	12	79
970	Spanish Fork City	Wastewater	200 E 900 N - Williams Lane	Spanish Fork	111%	\$1,250,000	\$625,000	6	22	18	14	13	5	78
1442	Parowan City	Stormwater/Flood Control	300 East Storm Drain	Parowan	84%	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000	15	22	18	3	10	10	78
582	Richfield City	Wastewater	REPLACE AGING SEWER PIPE	Richfield	88%	\$12,000,000	\$9,000,000	15	16	14	9	13	10	77
670	Vineyard City Public Works Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Sunset Beach Storm Water Outfall Improvements	Vineyard	98%	\$500	\$125	10	22	18	9	13	5	77
1556	Cedar City Corporation	Reuse	Type 1 Effluent Reuse Pipeline Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$15,000,000	\$6,000,000	15	22	18	3	13	5	76
2908	Torrey Town	Stormwater/Flood Control	Sand Creek Flood Mitigation	Torrey	86%	\$1,500,000	\$375,000	15	22	0	9	16	12	74
793	Town Of Alta	Wastewater	Sewer Line Replacement #2 (Peruvian Acres)	Alta	71%	\$204,000	\$102,000	15	22	0	9	16	12	74
792	Town Of Alta	Wastewater	Sewer Line Replacement #1 (GMD Sag)	Alta	71%	\$386,000	\$193,000	15	22	0	9	16	12	74
780	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	Secondary Clarifier improvements	Tooele	98%	\$13,375,000	\$10,700,000	10	22	18	3	16	5	74
778	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	Oxidation Ditch Construction	Tooele	98%	\$29,625,000	\$23,700,000	10	22	18	3	16	5	74
781	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	RAS/WAS pump station construction	Tooele	98%	\$4,250,000	\$3,400,000	10	22	18	3	16	5	74
982	Murray City Wastewater Division	Wastewater	State street Auto Blvd to Main Street	Murray	98%	\$3,800,000	\$1,900,000	10	22	0	14	16	10	72
955	Spanish Fork City	Stormwater/Flood Control	River Point Land Drain	Spanish Fork	111%	\$15,000	\$7,500	6	16	18	9	10	12	71
956	Spanish Fork City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Cemetery 400 S LID Overflow	Spanish Fork	111%	\$350,000	\$175,000	6	16	18	9	10	12	71

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2267	Hanksville Town	Wastewater	Lagoon Pre-Treatment Grinder Facility	Hanksville		\$650,000	\$260,000		22	18	3	16	12	71
3491	Provo City Water Resources	Wastewater	Phase 1 Liquid Stream Upgrades	Provo	70%	\$49,000,000	\$19,600,000	18	22	0	3	16	12	71
971	Spanish Fork City	Wastewater	Line Expressway Ln Sewer Trunkline	Spanish Fork	111%	\$1,382,495	\$124,425	6	22	18	9	10	5	70
972	Spanish Fork City	Wastewater	Upper Mapleton Trunkline	Spanish Fork	111%	\$1,750,000	\$875,000	6	22	18	9	10	5	70
3080	Ash Creek Special Service District	Wastewater	Ash Creek Water Reclamation Facility	Hurricane	91%	\$140,000,000	\$70,000,000	10	0	18	14	16	12	70
2134		Wastewater	Sewer Treatment Plant	Moroni	82%	\$6,000,000	\$1,500,000	15	0	14	14	16	10	69
2952	American Fork City	Reuse	TSSD Re-use Water Connection to PI	American Fork	107%	\$7,222,103	\$2,166,631	10	16	14	3	16	10	69
957	Spanish Fork City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Sunset Park Storm Connection	Spanish Fork	111%	\$250,000	\$125,000	6	16	18	9	7	12	68
980	Washington Terrace City	Wastewater	SS-10. 4900 South. Replace the sanitary sewer main	Washington Terrace	95%	\$345,735	\$345,735	10	22	0	9	16	10	67
45	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Monroe Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$1,635,565	\$817,783	15	0	18	14	10	10	67
43	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	28th & Wall Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$438,551	\$219,276	15	0	18	14	10	10	67
44	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	El Monte Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$467,658	\$233,829	15	0	18	14	10	10	67
1468	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Quincy & 24th	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1469	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Harrison & 7th	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1470	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Polk & Douglas	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1471	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	20th / Ogden Outfall/ Swale Behind Walmart	Ogden	84%	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1472	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Parker Dr. / City Limits	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
3617	Richmond City	Wastewater	WWTP Improvements	Richmond	111%	\$9,476,200	\$4,738,100	6	22	14	3	16	5	66
51	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	1025 East Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$257,660	\$128,830	15	0	18	14	7	10	64
55	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	25th & Washington Manhole	Ogden	84%	\$36,330	\$18,165	15	0	18	14	7	10	64
54	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	26th & Ogden Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$138,362	\$69,181	15	0	18	14	7	10	64

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
53	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	1225 North Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$138,362	\$69,181	15	0	18	14	7	10	64
52	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Union Ave Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$613,382	\$306,691	15	0	18	14	7	10	64
48	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	McKay Dee Hospital Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$418,860	\$209,430	15	0	18	14	7	10	64
47	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	36th & Quincy Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$120,966	\$60,483	15	0	18	14	7	10	64
46	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	2nd St & Harrison Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$587,740	\$293,870	15	0	18	14	7	10	64
24	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Gibson & 21st St & Reeves Ave Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$161,592	\$80,796	15	0	18	3	16	12	64
22	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	25th & Adams Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$442,498	\$221,249	15	0	18	3	16	12	64
49	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Canfield Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$2,031,574	\$1,015,787	15	0	18	14	7	10	64
1440	Parowan City	Stormwater/Flood Control	200 South Storm Drain Trunkline	Parowan	84%	\$3,000,000	\$1,500,000	15	22	0	3	13	10	63
2222	Big Water Municipal	Stormwater/Flood Control	Stormwater Diversion	Big Water	79%	\$771,225	\$771,225	15	16	0	3	16	12	62
21	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	27th & Jefferson Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$419,007	\$209,504	15	0	18	3	16	10	62
23	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	1050 N Maintenance (Phase 1)	Ogden	84%	\$1,019,498	\$509,749	15	0	18	3	16	10	62
209	Carbon Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Regulating Gate Replacement Project	Scofield	82%	\$1,250,000	\$312,500	15	0	18	3	16	10	62
1444	Parowan	Wastewater	Wastewater Lagoon Improvements	Parowan	84%	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	15	0	18	3	16	10	62
63	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	24th & Van Buren Manhole	Ogden	84%	\$18,165	\$9,083	15	0	18	14	4	10	61
62	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Custer Ave Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$35,852	\$17,926	15	0	18	14	4	10	61
2472	Salt Lake City Department Of Public Utilities	Stormwater/Flood Control	Northwest Drain Bypass To Jordan River	Salt Lake City	91%	\$1,350,000	\$337,500	10	16	0	14	16	5	61
64	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Washington 5th to 7th Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$1,176,760	\$588,380	15	0	18	14	4	10	61
61	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Orchard Ave Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$315,518	\$157,759	15	0	18	14	4	10	61

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
60	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	North Jackson Ave Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$408,265	\$204,133	15	0	18	14	4	10	61
59	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Grandview Acres Phase 2 & 930 E 40th St Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$2,755,820	\$1,377,910	15	0	18	14	4	10	61
58	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	32nd & Kiesel Manhole	Ogden	84%	\$18,165	\$9,083	15	0	18	14	4	10	61
57	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	4th & Jefferson Manhole	Ogden	84%	\$18,165	\$9,083	15	0	18	14	4	10	61
56	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	2202 Harrison Manhole	Ogden	84%	\$18,165	\$9,083	15	0	18	14	4	10	61
1283	Eagle Mountain City	Wastewater	4MGD WWTP Expansion	Eagle Mountain	138%	\$120,000,000	\$60,000,000	2	6	14	14	16	8	60
1566	Cedar City Corporation	Wastewater	Airport Road Upgrades	Cedar City	79%	\$897,100	\$179,420	15	16	0	3	16	10	60
3088	Ballard Water Improvement District	Wastewater	Ballard City Sewer Phase 1	Ballard	123%	\$1,635,000	\$817,500	6	22	0	3	16	12	59
179	Zion Mountain Local Service District	Reuse	Phase 2 Water Reclamation	Mt Carmel Jct		\$15,500,000	\$11,625,000		0	18	14	16	10	58
678	Centerfield City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Storm Water Drainage	Centerfield	93%	\$1,500,000	\$600,000	10	16	0	9	13	10	58
942	City Of Orem	Stormwater/Flood Control	Canal Closure Mitigation	Orem	80%	\$12,837,000	\$6,418,500	15	22	0	3	16	2	58
3508	Provo City Water Resources	Wastewater	620 N to NW Lift Station	Provo	70%	\$9,000,000	\$4,500,000	18	0	0	14	16	10	58
3509	Provo City Water Resources	Wastewater	Harbor Park to Skipper Bay	Provo	70%	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000	18	0	0	14	16	10	58
3232	Providence City	Wastewater	Logan Treatment Plant	Providence	125%	\$4,377,000	\$3,939,300	6	22	0	3	16	10	57
3229	Providence City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Spring Creek Parkway & 300 E	Providence	125%	\$277,378	\$249,640	6	22	0	3	16	10	57
1441	Parowan City	Stormwater/Flood Control	1375 W to 1000 W Storm Drain Project	Parowan	84%	\$750,000	\$750,000	15	22	0	3	7	10	57
390	Lindon City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Gillman Lane 24" Storm Drain	Lindon	118%	\$400,000	\$200,000	6	16	0	9	16	10	57
392	Lindon City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Lakeview Road 15" Storm Drain	Lindon	118%	\$560,000	\$280,000	6	16	0	9	16	10	57
20	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	25th Street from Washington to Wall	Ogden	84%	\$2,500,000	\$1,250,000	15	0	18	3	16	5	57
1390	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater	Keetley Lift Station Expansion and Influent Pipeline Upsize	Hideout	255%	\$3,978,000	\$1,989,000	0	22	0	14	16	5	57

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
672	Vineyard City Public Works Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Storm Water Inlet Hood Upgrades	Vineyard	98%	\$125,000	\$31,250	10	22	0	9	10	5	56
787	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	New dewatering building and solids handling tank improvements	Tooele	98%	\$17,375,000	\$13,900,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
2060	Payson City	Wastewater	East Outfall line Upsized to the wastewater treatment plant.	Payson	98%	\$1,500,000	\$1,425,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
2937	Elk Ridge City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Stormwater plan for South Side of City	Elk Ridge	159%	\$2,200,000	\$440,000	0	16	0	14	16	10	56
1568	Cedar City Corporation	Wastewater	4500W Upgrades 2 of 2	Cedar City	79%	\$5,673,000	\$1,418,250	15	16	0	3	16	5	55
1294	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater	Pleasant Valley Lift Station Replacement	West Valley City	79%	\$4,881,000	\$1,220,250	15	0	0	14	16	10	55
1567	Cedar City Corporation	Wastewater	4500W Upgrades 1 of 2	Cedar City	79%	\$5,626,300	\$1,406,575	15	16	0	3	16	5	55
389	Lindon City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Expand the Pheasant Brooke Detention Basin to Add Capacity	Lindon	118%	\$400,000	\$200,000	6	16	0	9	13	10	54
3230	Providence City	Stormwater/Flood Control	300 N 300 E	Providence	125%	\$138,962	\$125,066	6	22	0	3	13	10	54
128	Vernal City	Wastewater	Sewer Rehabilitation	Vernal	95%	\$5,000,000	\$1,250,000	10	0	14	9	16	5	54
368	Hyde Park City	Wastewater	Shared Outfall Line Replacement	Hyde Park	134%	\$19,526,000	\$17,573,400	2	22	0	3	16	10	53
587	Vineyard City	Wastewater	Sewer Drying Beds Expansion Project	Vineyard	98%	\$360,000	\$90,000	10	22	0	3	13	5	53
2936	Elk Ridge City	Wastewater	Wastewater Treatment Plant	Elk Ridge	159%	\$20,000,000	\$4,000,000	0	0	18	9	16	10	53
476	Neola Water And Sewer District	Wastewater	Sewer Improvements Immediate	Neola	95%	\$91,000	\$91,000	10	0	0	14	16	12	52
1450	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	36th St and Mnt Ogden Intersection to Polk Ave Storm	Ogden	84%	\$1,200,000	\$600,000	15	22	0	3	0	12	52
1451	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Lake St to 24th interchange detention storm installation	Ogden	84%	\$900,000	\$450,000	15	22	0	3	0	12	52
1452	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Ogden River & Monroe Bank Stabilization	Ogden	84%	\$300,000	\$150,000	15	22	0	3	0	12	52
3584	Coalville City	Wastewater	WWTP Expansion	Coalville	120%	\$12,000,000	\$6,000,000	6	16	0	3	16	10	51
3231	Providence City	Stormwater/Flood Control	500 S 200 W & 500 S Garden Dr.	Providence	125%	\$652,977	\$587,679	6	22	0	3	10	10	51
3087	Ballard City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Detention/Debris Basins	Ballard	123%	\$1,009,000	\$504,500	6	22	0	3	10	10	51

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
388	Lindon City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Install Pretreatment Structures Throughout the City	Lindon	118%	\$200,000	\$100,000	6	16	0	9	10	10	51
418	Mapleton City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Infiltration Improvement Projects	Mapleton	152%	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	0	16	14	3	13	5	51
623	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater/Flood Control	PN12 - Stormwater Conveyance and Outfall	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$2,000,000	\$1,700,000	0	22	0	3	16	10	51
2266	Hanksville Town	Stormwater/Flood Control	Bull Creek Water Crossing Bridge Replacement	Hanksville		\$950,000	\$237,500		22	0	9	7	12	50
2094	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	4th South Drain Pipeline	Springville	104%	\$8,045,000	\$1,609,000	10	16	0	3	16	5	50
2264	Hanksville Town	Stormwater/Flood Control	Town-Wide Storm Drain System Phase 1	Hanksville		\$3,200,000	\$1,280,000		22	0	3	13	12	50
1449	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	2550 storm pipe upsize	Ogden	84%	\$800,000	\$400,000	15	22	0	3	0	10	50
369	Hyde Park City	Wastewater	4400 North Line	Hyde Park	134%	\$2,570,000	\$2,313,000	2	22	0	3	13	10	50
408	Town Of Manila	Wastewater	Sewer Improvements	Manila	93%	\$1,285,000	\$1,285,000	10	0	0	14	16	10	50
393	Lindon City	Stormwater/Flood Control	900 E Road Project	Lindon	118%	\$15,000	\$7,500	6	16	0	9	7	12	50
1447	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Sullivan Pond Outfall to Weber River Outfall Mainline Repairs	Ogden	84%	\$5,500,000	\$2,750,000	15	22	0	3	0	10	50
387	Lindon City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Lindon Ditch Improvements - Phase 2	Lindon	118%	\$3,000,000	\$750,000	6	22	0	3	10	8	49
2909	Torrey Town	Stormwater/Flood Control	Floodplain Mapping	Torrey	86%	\$250,000	\$100,000	15	0	0	9	13	12	49
1391	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater	Tuhaye Lift Station	Hideout	255%	\$1,500,000	\$750,000	0	22	0	3	13	10	48
551	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Lift Stations 1-6 Structures	Eden	157%	\$1,800,000	\$900,000	0	22	0	3	13	10	48
635	Saratoga Springs	Wastewater	S2.7 - Redwood Road Sewer Trunkline Part 2	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$1,230,000	\$738,000	0	22	0	3	13	10	48
2170	City Of St. George	Reuse	SGRWRF Reuse Upgrade	St. George	89%	\$7,165,706	\$2,149,712	15	0	18	3	0	12	48
2926	East Carbon City	Wastewater	Wastewater Screen System and Headworks	East Carbon	66%	\$420,000	\$420,000	18	0	0	3	16	10	47
2265	Hanksville Town	Stormwater/Flood Control	Bull Creek Wash Armoring & Debris Removal	Hanksville		\$1,800,000	\$450,000		22	0	3	10	12	47
370	Hyde Park City	Wastewater	CMPO Road Line	Hyde Park	134%	\$808,900	\$728,010	2	22	0	3	10	10	47
221	Redmond Town	Stormwater/Flood Control	Drainage	Redmond	100%	\$950,000	\$475,000	10	0	0	9	16	12	47

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
50	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	20th St Consolidation	Ogden	84%	\$866,779	\$433,390	15	0	0	14	7	10	46
627	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater/Flood Control	DBS3 Debris Basin	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$2,296,300	\$1,951,855	0	22	0	3	16	5	46
634	Saratoga Springs	Wastewater	S2.6 - Redwood Road Sewer Trunkline Part 1	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$2,380,000	\$1,428,000	0	22	0	3	16	5	46
590	Vineyard City	Wastewater	Railroad Sewer Line Replacement	Vineyard	98%	\$1,345,000	\$336,250	10	0	0	14	16	5	45
2058	Payson City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Ground Water Source Protection	Payson	98%	\$700,000	\$560,000	10	0	18	3	4	10	45
3636	Clinton City Corporation	Wastewater	Annex Area Sewer Expansion	Clinton	125%	\$12,000,000	\$3,000,000	6	16	0	3	10	10	45
2927	East Carbon City	Wastewater	Wastewater Building	East Carbon	66%	\$71,000	\$71,000	18	0	0	3	13	10	44
2253	Gunnison City	Wastewater	Collection Upgrades Phase 1	Gunnison	88%	\$695,000	\$173,750	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
2243	Gunnison City	Stormwater/Flood Control	West Side Stormwater Phase 1	Gunnison	88%	\$850,000	\$425,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
1731	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase the conveyance on Sunrise Ave	Cedar City	79%	\$960,000	\$480,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
1732	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Add Curb & Gutter on 275 N	Cedar City	79%	\$95,000	\$47,500	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
1729	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase Conveyance Capacity on Sunbow St	Cedar City	79%	\$830,000	\$415,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
1728	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase Conveyance Capacity on 1925 N	Cedar City	79%	\$2,410,000	\$1,205,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
1727	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Improve Conveyance on 400 W from 1925 N to 2400 N	Cedar City	79%	\$5,185,000	\$2,592,500	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
1730	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase Conveyance Capacity on Northfield Rd	Cedar City	79%	\$1,030,000	\$515,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
3588	Coalville City	Wastewater	flow monitoring	Coalville	120%	\$100,000	\$50,000	6	0	14	3	10	10	43
2104	Grand Water & Sewer Service Agency	Wastewater	Arbor Drive/Huntcreek Bridge sewer	Moab	84%	\$450,000	\$360,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
3274	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	800 East Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	100%	\$685,000	\$342,500	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
3273	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	600 East Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	100%	\$1,096,000	\$548,000	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
3272	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	400 South Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	100%	\$82,000	\$41,000	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
3267	Roosevelt City	Wastewater	Sewer Improvement Phase I	Roosevelt	100%	\$842,000	\$277,860	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
3266	Roosevelt City	Wastewater	Sewer Line Upgrade	Roosevelt	100%	\$308,000	\$101,640	10	0	0	3	16	12	41

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2954	American Fork City	Wastewater	300 East Upsizing and Diversion	American Fork	107%	\$352,302	\$88,076	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
2250	Gunnison City	Wastewater	Headworks Expansion	Gunnison	88%	\$890,000	\$222,500	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1738	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Install a 36” Storm Drain Pipe Along Cottontail Drive	Cedar City	79%	\$870,000	\$435,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
2928	East Carbon City	Wastewater	Line Inspection	East Carbon	66%	\$500,000	\$500,000	18	0	0	3	10	10	41
1736	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Improve Conveyance Along 1275 W.	Cedar City	79%	\$365,000	\$182,500	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1735	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase Conveyance from N Airport Rd. to N Westview Dr.	Cedar City	79%	\$1,015,000	\$507,500	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1734	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase Conveyance Along the West Side of I-15 South of University Blvd.	Cedar City	79%	\$1,025,000	\$512,500	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1737	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase Conveyance along 1100 W from 800 S to 425 S to 1275 W	Cedar City	79%	\$1,560,000	\$780,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1733	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase Conveyance Along 800 W from 400 S to Coal Creek	Cedar City	79%	\$3,081,000	\$1,540,500	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1445	Parowan	Wastewater	1400 W Sewer Line Replacement Project	Parowan	84%	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1389	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater	WRF Phase 2	Heber City	114%	\$35,300,000	\$28,240,000	6	0	0	14	16	5	41
398	Lindon City	Wastewater	Construct 1200 South Parallel Force Main from 800 W to 1100 W	Lindon	118%	\$1,358,500	\$679,250	6	0	0	9	16	10	41
397	Lindon City	Wastewater	Lakeview Road Pipe Upsizing	Lindon	118%	\$1,450,000	\$725,000	6	0	0	9	16	10	41
274	Enoch City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Excavation of Enoch North Retention basin	Enoch	98%	\$80,000	\$48,000	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
391	Lindon City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Master Plan Projects	Lindon	118%	\$4,573,898	\$2,286,949	6	16	0	9	4	5	40
400	Lindon City	Wastewater	900 E - 65 S Sewer Replacement (Road Project)	Lindon	118%	\$55,000	\$27,500	6	0	0	9	13	12	40
3613	Myton City	Wastewater	Asset Management Plan	Myton	82%	\$20,000	\$20,000	15	0	0	3	10	12	40
2955	American Fork City	Wastewater	Lakeshore, 570 W to 700 W	American Fork	107%	\$3,255,902	\$813,976	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
3271	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	200 South Detention Ponds	Roosevelt	100%	\$231,000	\$115,500	10	0	0	3	16	10	39

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
586	Vineyard City	Wastewater	Sewer Force Main Lift Station 3 Upgrade	Vineyard	98%	\$1,147,000	\$286,750	10	0	0	14	10	5	39
1628	Spring City	Wastewater	Spring City Lagoon Headworks	Spring City	96%	\$950,000	\$902,500	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
399	Lindon City	Wastewater	Gillman Lane Pipe Upsizing from 540 W to Lakeview Road	Lindon	118%	\$625,000	\$312,500	6	0	0	9	13	10	38
3278	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	200 East to Cottonwood Creek Improvements	Roosevelt	100%	\$951,000	\$475,500	10	0	0	3	13	12	38
3615	Myton City	Wastewater	Camera existing lines	Myton	82%	\$50,000	\$25,000	15	0	0	3	7	12	37
1358	Highland City	Wastewater	Hollows Overwatch & Bypass	Highland	163%	\$250,000	\$125,000	0	0	0	9	16	12	37
1001	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	300 South - 1300 East to Barton Creek	Bountiful	116%	\$850,000	\$170,000	6	0	0	3	16	12	37
3303	Fairfield Town	Wastewater	1600 W Sewer Main (C-3)	Fairfield	123%	\$1,852,100	\$1,111,260	6	0	0	3	16	12	37
999	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	1300 East - Granada to Stone Creek Upgrade	Bountiful	116%	\$650,000	\$130,000	6	0	0	3	16	12	37
998	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Channel Upgrade - 1100 East and Upstream	Bountiful	116%	\$300,000	\$60,000	6	0	0	3	16	12	37
1000	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Outlets to Mill Creek Rebuild - Davis to Bountiful Blvd.	Bountiful	116%	\$550,000	\$110,000	6	0	0	3	16	12	37
268	Enoch City	Wastewater	Wastewater Flow Meters Installation	Enoch	98%	\$800,000	\$560,000	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
269	Enoch City	Wastewater	Grimshaw Lane & 5200 N Replacement	Enoch	98%	\$620,000	\$496,000	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
3275	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	550 W/Highway 40 Detention Pond	Roosevelt	100%	\$530,000	\$265,000	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
3276	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Rodeo Detention Facility	Roosevelt	100%	\$219,000	\$109,500	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
3277	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Lagoon Street/1500 East Detention Pond	Roosevelt	100%	\$392,000	\$196,000	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
3639	Clinton City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	2300 N: Cranefield to Howard Slough	Clinton	125%	\$840,000	\$420,000	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
3662	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Sewage Line upgrade (IFFP 2022 #1,3,4)	Eden	157%	\$5,300,504	\$795,076	0	0	0	9	16	10	35
3302	Fairfield Town	Wastewater	Main Connection to Eagle Mountain (C-2)	Fairfield	123%	\$3,412,800	\$682,560	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
401	Lindon City	Wastewater	Upsize Piping on 2600 W from 200 N to 400 N	Lindon	118%	\$1,500,000	\$750,000	6	0	0	9	10	10	35
3279	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	200 East and 800 South Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	100%	\$39,000	\$19,500	10	0	0	3	10	12	35

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3281	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Lagoon Street Storm Drain Improvements Phase I	Roosevelt	100%	\$273,000	\$136,500	10	0	0	3	10	12	35
402	Lindon City	Wastewater	Upsize Piping on Center St from Geneva Rd to Western Coil	Lindon	118%	\$1,935,000	\$967,500	6	0	0	9	10	10	35
1002	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	400 North - 400 East to 600 East	Bountiful	116%	\$525,000	\$105,000	6	0	0	3	13	12	34
1003	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Ashley Detention Basin Rebuild	Bountiful	116%	\$350,000	\$70,000	6	0	0	3	13	12	34
1004	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	400 North - 650 East to 1100 East	Bountiful	116%	\$725,000	\$145,000	6	0	0	3	13	12	34
1364	Highland City	Wastewater	Greens Bldgs Improvements	Highland	163%	\$100,000	\$50,000	0	0	0	9	13	12	34
275	Enoch City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Ravine drainage piping	Enoch	98%	\$818,000	\$490,800	10	0	0	3	10	10	33
395	Lindon City	Wastewater	Orem Water Reclamation Phase 2	Lindon	118%	\$3,824,021	\$1,912,011	6	0	0	9	13	5	33
3280	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	King Arthur Drive Detention Pond	Roosevelt	100%	\$25,000	\$12,500	10	0	0	3	10	10	33
3283	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	N Poco Drive Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	100%	\$463,000	\$231,500	10	0	0	3	7	12	32
3641	Clinton City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Land Drain Discharge Upgrades Cranefield	Clinton	125%	\$352,000	\$176,000	6	0	0	3	13	10	32
3640	Clinton City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Howard Slough Culvert Upgrades	Clinton	125%	\$400,000	\$200,000	6	0	0	3	13	10	32
3282	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	1200 South Storm Drain Improvements	Roosevelt	100%	\$438,000	\$219,000	10	0	0	3	7	12	32
2247	Gunnison City	Stormwater/Flood Control	200 East Flood Mitigation Structure	Gunnison	88%	\$1,250,000	\$625,000	15	0	0	3	4	10	32
1363	Highland City	Wastewater	Lining w/SR 92	Highland	163%	\$429,000	\$214,500	0	0	0	9	13	10	32
1282	Eagle Mountain City	Wastewater	East Expressway Sewer Line Phase 1	Eagle Mountain	138%	\$11,500,000	\$5,750,000	2	6	0	3	13	8	32
273	Enoch City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Piping Midvalley Rd. to Enoch Basin	Enoch	98%	\$1,200,000	\$720,000	10	0	0	3	7	10	30
2172	City Of St. George	Wastewater	Virgin River/Bloomington Interceptor Sewer Main Replacement Project-- Phase I	St. George	89%	\$15,605,000	\$4,681,500	15	0	0	3	0	12	30
2173	City Of St. George	Wastewater	Virgin River/Bloomington Interceptor Sewer Main Replacement Project-- Phase I	St. George	89%	\$15,755,000	\$4,726,500	15	0	0	3	0	12	30

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3284	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Brighton Estates Detention Pond	Roosevelt	100%	\$391,000	\$195,500	10	0	0	3	7	10	30
1284	Eagle Mountain City	Wastewater	East Expressway Sewer Line Phase 2	Eagle Mountain	138%	\$8,500,000	\$4,250,000	2	6	0	3	10	8	29
3084	Ballard City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Up-sizing Culverts	Ballard	123%	\$347,640	\$260,730	6	0	0	3	10	10	29
3208	North Logan City	Wastewater	2200 N - 400-600 E	North Logan	111%	\$1,400,000	\$840,000	6	0	0	3	10	10	29
3210	North Logan City	Wastewater	1800 N 400 E	North Logan	111%	\$300,000	\$180,000	6	0	0	3	10	10	29
2268	Hanksville Town	Wastewater	Northern Wastewater Expansion with Lift Station	Hanksville		\$6,100,000	\$1,830,000		0	0	3	13	12	28
271	Enoch City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Old Hwy 91/BLM Pond	Enoch	98%	\$160,000	\$96,000	10	0	0	3	4	10	27
13	Layton City	Stormwater/Flood Control	3150 N & 1350 E (DBE2)	Layton	113%	\$1,343,200	\$805,920	6	0	0	3	16	2	27
8	Layton City	Wastewater	Sugar St. - North of Kroger (WWMP 8.2)	Layton	113%	\$1,250,000	\$750,000	6	0	0	3	16	2	27
3209	North Logan City	Wastewater	2200 N - Trunk Line	North Logan	111%	\$3,400,000	\$2,040,000	6	0	0	3	7	10	26
3211	North Logan City	Wastewater	Metering Station	North Logan	111%	\$300,000	\$180,000	6	0	0	3	7	10	26
1013	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	High School Runoff - Orchard Dr 629 S to Mill Creek	Bountiful	116%	\$550,000	\$110,000	6	0	0	3	4	12	25
2269	Hanksville Town	Wastewater	Southern Wastewater Expansion with Lift Station	Hanksville		\$2,500,000	\$750,000		0	0	3	10	12	25
2987	American Fork City	Stormwater/Flood Control	113 South Center Street Conveyance line	American Fork	107%	\$441,000	\$110,250	10	0	0	3	0	12	25
9	Layton City	Wastewater	Fairfield Rd - 3400 N to 3000 N (WWMP 7.1)	Layton	113%	\$2,230,000	\$1,338,000	6	0	0	3	13	2	24
14	Layton City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Fairfield Road and Love Lane (DBE3)	Layton	113%	\$668,900	\$401,340	6	0	0	3	13	2	24
555	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Rebuild/Replace Aging Manholes	Eden	157%	\$500,000	\$250,000	0	0	0	3	10	10	23
3015	American Fork City	Stormwater/Flood Control	214 570 W Outfall line	American Fork	107%	\$596,000	\$149,000	10	0	0	3	0	10	23
3014	American Fork City	Stormwater/Flood Control	213 570 W conveyance pipe	American Fork	107%	\$2,176,000	\$544,000	10	0	0	3	0	10	23

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3000	American Form City	Stormwater/Flood Control	126 N County Rd conveyance line	American Fork	107%	\$1,993,000	\$498,250	10	0	0	3	0	10	23
2996	American Form City	Stormwater/Flood Control	122 900 E State Street Crossing pipe	American Fork	107%	\$2,872,000	\$718,000	10	0	0	3	0	10	23
2986	American Form City	Stormwater/Flood Control	112 Center Street conveyance line	American Fork	107%	\$547,000	\$136,750	10	0	0	3	0	10	23
2117	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	700 South 2750 West	Syracuse	148%	\$1,176,000	\$940,800	2	0	0	3	13	5	23
554	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Powder Mountain Road Sewer Line Replacement	Eden	157%	\$4,000,000	\$2,000,000	0	0	0	3	10	10	23
474	Magna Water District	Wastewater	Collection System Shop Building	Magna	84%	\$750,000	\$600,000	15	0	0	3	0	5	23
464	Magna Water District	Wastewater	West Side Collection Project Phase 3	Magna	84%	\$2,750,000	\$2,200,000	15	0	0	3	0	5	23
463	Magna Water District	Wastewater	West Side Collection Project Phase 2	Magna	84%	\$2,500,000	\$2,000,000	15	0	0	3	0	5	23
338	Herriman City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Storm Drain Retrofit Projects	Herriman	130%	\$2,000,000	\$200,000	2	0	0	3	13	5	23
556	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Powder Mountain West Sewer Line Replacement	Eden	157%	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	0	0	0	3	10	10	23
2124	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	1700 South 4000 West	Syracuse	148%	\$1,721,566	\$1,377,253	2	0	0	3	10	5	20
557	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	SCADA Upgrades/Updates	Eden	157%	\$250,000	\$125,000	0	0	0	3	7	10	20
2115	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	435 South 3000 West	Syracuse	148%	\$1,875,000	\$1,500,000	2	0	0	3	10	5	20
511	Nibley City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Regional Stormwater Pond-Frisbee Golf course	Nibley	136%	\$325,000	\$81,250	2	0	0	3	4	10	19
2113	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	650 South 3500 West	Syracuse	148%	\$672,000	\$537,600	2	0	0	3	7	5	17
2114	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	600 South 3175 West	Syracuse	148%	\$1,128,000	\$902,400	2	0	0	3	7	5	17

Table 9: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near Future (6-10 years)

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
433	Mt Pleasant City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Twin Creeks Storage Reservoir	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$6,000,000	\$3,000,000	15	16	14	14	13	10	82
673	Vineyard City Public Works Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Stormwater Master Plan Projects	Vineyard	98%	\$7,046,000	\$1,761,500	10	22	18	14	13	5	82
960	Washington Terrace City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Regional Detention Basin	Washington Terrace	95%	\$800,000	\$800,000	10	16	18	14	13	10	81
795	Town Of Alta	Wastewater	Sewer Line Replacement #3b	Alta	71%	\$302,000	\$151,000	15	22	0	14	13	12	76
1813	Wilson Arch Special Service District	Wastewater	East Sewer Upgrades	La Sal Jct	84%	\$995,000	\$497,500	15	16	14	3	16	12	76
3611	Myton City	Wastewater	I&I Reduction	Myton	82%	\$3,500,000	\$1,750,000	15	16	14	3	16	12	76
794	Town Of Alta	Wastewater	Sewer Line Replacement #3a	Alta	71%	\$33,000	\$16,500	15	22	0	14	10	12	73
796	Town Of Alta	Wastewater	Sewer Line Replacement #3c	Alta	71%	\$388,000	\$194,000	15	22	0	14	10	12	73
25	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	21st Street Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$2,162,880	\$1,081,440	15	0	18	14	16	10	73
2910	Torrey Town	Wastewater	Torrey Town Wastewater Collection and Treatment	Torrey	86%	\$12,450,000	\$3,112,500	15	0	14	14	16	12	71
3494	Provo City Water Resources	Wastewater	Phase 2 Liquid Stream Upgrades	Provo	70%	\$72,275,000	\$28,910,000	18	22	0	3	16	12	71
3495	Provo City Water Resources	Wastewater	Phase 2 Solids Stream Upgrades	Provo	70%	\$194,407,500	\$77,763,000	18	22	0	3	16	12	71
34	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Washington & Larson Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$490,633	\$245,317	15	0	18	14	13	10	70
1531	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	24th and Taylor	Ogden	84%	\$66,002	\$33,001	15	22	18	3	0	12	70
1529	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	40th and Fowler	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	18	3	0	12	70
35	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	970 Adams Manhole Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$18,165	\$9,083	15	0	18	14	13	10	70
33	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	E 1050 North Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$3,820,489	\$1,910,245	15	0	18	14	13	10	70
1530	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	35th and Adams	Ogden	84%	\$66,001	\$33,001	15	22	18	3	0	12	70
31	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	15th St Capacity/Infiltration	Ogden	84%	\$3,090,079	\$1,545,040	15	0	18	14	13	10	70
30	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	22nd St Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$846,025	\$423,013	15	0	18	14	13	10	70
27	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Fowler Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$1,911,273	\$955,637	15	0	18	14	13	10	70

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
32	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	2nd & Washington Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$1,351,374	\$675,687	15	0	18	14	13	10	70
26	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	29th & Adams Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$1,620,089	\$810,045	15	0	18	14	13	10	70
1557	Cedar City Corporation	Reuse	Type 1 Effluent Reuse Storage Reservoir Installation	Enoch	98%	\$20,000,000	\$8,000,000	10	22	18	3	10	5	68
41	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Quincy & 24th Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$1,156,993	\$578,497	15	0	18	14	10	10	67
40	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	North St Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$2,206,567	\$1,103,284	15	0	18	14	10	10	67
39	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Washington & North Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$445,098	\$222,549	15	0	18	14	10	10	67
42	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Fowler Ave Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$755,076	\$377,538	15	0	18	14	10	10	67
37	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	12th St & Washington Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$347,170	\$173,585	15	0	18	14	10	10	67
36	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	9th St & Grant Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$395,411	\$197,706	15	0	18	14	10	10	67
38	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	500 N/North St Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$652,764	\$326,382	15	0	18	14	10	10	67
1485	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	28th & Van Buren	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1528	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Tyler and Boughton	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1526	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	28th and Adams	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1525	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	30th and Hawthorne	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1524	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Pierce and Doxey	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1492	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Beus Pond	Ogden	84%	\$300,000	\$150,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1491	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Washington Blvd / 875 North	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1490	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Washington Blvd. / Larsen Ln	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1489	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Pioneer Road / Marriott-Slaterville	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1488	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	24th & Quincy	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1487	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Ogden Ave & 4th	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1486	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	28th & Quincy	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1484	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	3rd & Harrison	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1523	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	31st and Porter	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1482	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	16th & Jefferson	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1455	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Burch Creek across Rail Yard	Ogden	84%	\$3,000,000	\$1,500,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1456	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	21st - Wall to River Past RR Tracks	Ogden	84%	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1458	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Adams and 800 N	Ogden	84%	\$800,000	\$400,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1467	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Aztec/Iroquois - Shadow Valley to Navajo	Ogden	84%	\$500,000	\$250,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1473	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	31st & Parker Dr.	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1483	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Fillmore & Stowe	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1475	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Ogden Nature Center	Ogden	84%	\$30,000	\$15,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1474	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	28th St. / Rail Road	Ogden	84%	\$66,000	\$33,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1477	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	1455 Wall Ve - Wall to 14th	Ogden	84%	\$1,500,000	\$750,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1478	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	27th - Harrison to Foothill	Ogden	84%	\$1,500,000	\$750,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1480	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	24th - South of Wall Viaduct	Ogden	84%	\$800,000	\$400,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1481	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Washington - 6th to 9th	Ogden	84%	\$800,000	\$400,000	15	22	14	3	0	12	66
1558	Cedar City Corporation	Reuse	Type 1 Effluent Reuse Intermediate Booster Pump Station Installation	Enoch	98%	\$5,000,000	\$2,000,000	10	22	18	3	7	5	65
2077	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 1 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$59,693	\$17,908	10	22	0	3	16	12	63

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1790	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Wastewater	1200 S Connection to Heber City	Heber City	114%	\$626,000	\$313,000	6	16	0	14	16	10	62
677	Vineyard City Public Works Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Extend Sleepy Ridge Storm Water Extension	Vineyard	98%	\$240,000	\$60,000	10	22	18	3	4	5	62
1559	Cedar City Corporation	Reuse	Phosphorous Treatment Installation	Enoch	98%	\$5,000,000	\$2,000,000	10	22	18	3	4	5	62
1553	Washington County Water Conservancy District	Reuse	Phase II Reuse	St. George	89%	\$281,246,952	\$150,467,119	15	0	18	3	13	12	61
3612	Myton City	Wastewater	flow monitoring	Myton	82%	\$100,000	\$50,000	15	0	14	3	16	12	60
417	Mapleton City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Maple Canyon Outfall	Mapleton	152%	\$13,420,000	\$2,684,000	0	16	14	3	16	10	59
2135		Wastewater	Sewer Collection System	Moroni	82%	\$3,000,000	\$750,000	15	0	18	3	13	10	59
3587	Coalville City	Wastewater	I&I Reduction	Coalville	120%	\$6,000,000	\$3,000,000	6	16	14	3	10	10	59
675	Vineyard City Public Works Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Public Works Expansion Project	Vineyard	98%	\$325,000	\$162,500	10	22	0	14	7	5	58
981	Washington Terrace City	Wastewater	SS-18 500 west	Washington Terrace	95%	\$510,000	\$510,000	10	16	0	9	13	10	58
2056	Payson City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Remove and replace culverts in the Dry Creek and Spring Creek Channels.	Payson	98%	\$4,000,000	\$3,200,000	10	22	0	3	13	10	58
3510	Provo City Water Resources	Wastewater	1680 N - I15 to Geneva	Provo	70%	\$6,000,000	\$3,000,000	18	0	0	14	16	10	58
469	Magna Water District	Wastewater	WRF Aeration Expansion	Magna	84%	\$3,800,000	\$3,040,000	15	16	18	3	0	5	57
2053	Payson City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Install sumps near the cemetery.	Payson	98%	\$1,600,000	\$1,280,000	10	0	18	3	16	10	57
2084	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 1 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$213,516	\$64,055	10	22	0	3	10	12	57
2078	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 4 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$72,320	\$21,696	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
2079	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 5 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$34,438	\$10,331	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
785	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	Channel #1 UV replacement	Tooele	98%	\$2,875,000	\$2,300,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
777	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	Septage Receiving Improvements	Tooele	98%	\$781,250	\$625,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56
790	Tooele City Corporation	Wastewater	Collection building and truck loading improvements	Tooele	98%	\$6,375,000	\$5,100,000	10	22	0	3	16	5	56

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2085	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 2 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$21,942	\$6,583	10	22	0	3	10	10	55
2492	Salt Lake City Department Of Public Utilities	Stormwater/Flood Control	Emigration Creek Detention System Improvements	Salt Lake City	91%	\$2,300,000	\$575,000	10	16	0	3	13	12	54
3610	Myton City	Wastewater	Infrastructure deficiencies	Myton	82%	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000	15	0	14	3	10	12	54
676	Vineyard City Public Works Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Grove Park Detention Basin Upgrade Project	Vineyard	98%	\$1,000,000	\$250,000	10	22	0	9	7	5	53
2080	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 7 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$24,059	\$7,218	10	22	0	3	13	5	53
2081	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 8 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$33,879	\$10,164	10	22	0	3	13	5	53
28	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	7th Street Maintenance/Capacity	Ogden	84%	\$840,643	\$420,322	15	0	0	14	13	10	52
29	Ogden City Corporation	Wastewater	Pennsylvania Ave Maintenance	Ogden	84%	\$632,519	\$316,260	15	0	0	14	13	10	52
545	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	MBR Treatment Plant	Eden	157%	\$40,000,000	\$20,000,000	0	22	0	3	16	10	51
2054	Payson City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Install sumps in 800 S and 1270 W area.	Payson	98%	\$1,200,000	\$960,000	10	0	18	3	10	10	51
3663	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Willowbrooke Lane Sewage Plant expansion	Eden	157%	\$32,176,100	\$28,958,490	0	0	14	14	13	10	51
2951	American Fork City	Reuse	Low to High Booster	American Fork	107%	\$3,510,859	\$702,172	10	0	14	3	13	10	50
2086	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 3 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$40,622	\$12,187	10	22	0	3	10	5	50
1719	Heber City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Central Heber Flood Control Project	Heber City	114%	\$18,000,000	\$8,100,000	6	16	0	3	13	12	50
1448	Ogden City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Jefferson - Patterson to 29th St & 29th - Madison to Jefferson	Ogden	84%	\$1,500,000	\$750,000	15	22	0	3	0	10	50
477	Neola Water And Sewer District	Wastewater	Sewer Improvements Intermediate	Neola	95%	\$133,000	\$133,000	10	0	0	14	13	12	49
2082	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 9 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Spanish Fork	111%	\$692,876	\$207,863	6	22	0	3	13	5	49
2254	Gunnison City	Wastewater	Resue Project Phase 1	Gunnison	88%	\$2,800,000	\$700,000	15	0	14	3	7	10	49

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2171	City Of St. George	Reuse	SGRWRF Reuse Plant Expansion	St. George	89%	\$14,350,383	\$4,305,115	15	0	18	3	0	12	48
3616	Myton City	Wastewater	Clean lines	Myton	82%	\$100,000	\$50,000	15	0	14	3	4	12	48
541	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Reuse	Winter Storage Facilities	Eden	157%	\$2,300,000	\$1,725,000	0	0	18	3	16	10	47
2087	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 4 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$182,294	\$54,688	10	22	0	3	7	5	47
2088	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 5 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$126,273	\$37,882	10	22	0	3	7	5	47
2089	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 6 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$126,333	\$37,900	10	22	0	3	7	5	47
2090	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 7 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$96,483	\$28,945	10	22	0	3	7	5	47
2321	Salina Town	Stormwater/Flood Control	Industrial Park Flood Mitigation P-2	Salina	84%	\$1,650,000	\$825,000	15	0	0	9	13	10	47
3608	Myton City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Asset Management Plan	Myton	82%	\$20,000	\$20,000	15	0	0	3	16	12	46
3586	Coalville City	Wastewater	Capacity upgrades	Coalville	120%	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	6	0	14	3	13	10	46
1623	Strawberry Lakeview Special Service District	Wastewater	Sewer Pump Station Upgrade	Fruitland	84%	\$100,000	\$50,000	15	0	0	3	16	12	46
2083	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 10 enlargement for 500 cfs event	Spanish Fork	111%	\$110,419	\$33,126	6	22	0	3	13	2	46
1591	Heber City Corporation	Wastewater	S-048 Central Heber Replacements Ph 2 - Sewer	Heber City	114%	\$15,635,000	\$13,289,750	6	0	0	14	13	12	45
542	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Reuse	Golf Course Transmission Line	Eden	157%	\$2,100,000	\$1,575,000	0	0	18	3	13	10	44
1344	Helper City	Wastewater	Railroad Sewer Crossing	Helper	82%	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44
2091	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 8 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Springville	104%	\$235,232	\$70,570	10	22	0	3	4	5	44
2245	Gunnison City	Stormwater/Flood Control	East Side Stormwater Phase 1	Gunnison	88%	\$850,000	\$425,000	15	0	0	3	16	10	44

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3638	Clinton City Corporation	Wastewater	Meadows Park Area Sewer Replacement	Clinton	125%	\$3,931,200	\$1,965,600	6	16	0	3	7	12	44
1595	Heber City Corporation	Wastewater	S-041 PW Expansion Ph 2 - Sewer	Heber City	114%	\$1,422,000	\$1,208,700	6	0	0	14	10	12	42
3511	Provo City Water Resources	Wastewater	36 Inch Parallel Line	Provo	70%	\$10,000,000	\$5,000,000	18	0	0	3	16	5	42
3618	Richmond City	Wastewater	WWTP Fine Screening Upgrade	Richmond	111%	\$1,325,800	\$662,900	6	0	14	3	13	5	41
3531	Toquerville City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Extend storm drain on Rim View dr	Toquerville	104%	\$150,000	\$75,000	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
2251	Gunnison City	Wastewater	West Lift Station	Gunnison	88%	\$525,000	\$131,250	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
2244	Gunnison City	Stormwater/Flood Control	West Side Stormwater Phase 2	Gunnison	88%	\$850,000	\$425,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1741	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase the Size of the Cody Drive Greenbelt Detention Basin	Cedar City	79%	\$620,000	\$310,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1742	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase the Capacity along Cross Hollow Road	Cedar City	79%	\$3,850,000	\$1,925,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1739	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Increase the Capacity of the Mill Hollow Detention Pond	Cedar City	79%	\$962,500	\$481,250	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1572	Cedar City Corporation	Wastewater	15" new installation west of Quichapa	Cedar City	79%	\$3,936,900	\$984,225	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
543	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Reuse	Secondary Pump House	Eden	157%	\$1,200,000	\$900,000	0	0	18	3	10	10	41
210	Carbon Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Dam intake structure ice protection	Scofield	82%	\$200,000	\$50,000	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
1740	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Install a 5AF Detention Basin	Cedar City	79%	\$1,125,000	\$562,500	15	0	0	3	13	10	41
628	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater/Flood Control	DBS5 Debris Basin	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$1,875,500	\$1,406,625	0	22	0	3	10	5	40
629	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater/Flood Control	DBS2 Debris Basin	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$2,133,000	\$1,599,750	0	22	0	3	10	5	40
2092	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 9 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Spanish Fork	111%	\$2,059,109	\$617,733	6	22	0	3	4	5	40
591	Vineyard City	Wastewater	Lift Station #2 Pump Replacement	Vineyard	98%	\$192,000	\$48,000	10	0	0	14	10	5	39
1722	Heber City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	D-028 PW Expansion Ph 2 - Storm Water	Heber City	114%	\$820,000	\$697,000	6	0	0	14	7	12	39

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1952	Canyonlands Regional Airport Ut10014	Wastewater	Upgrade airport wastewater facilities	Moab	84%	\$2,000,000	\$1,600,000	15	0	0	3	16	5	39
1748	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Improve Quichapa Drainage from 200 N to 6300 W	Cedar City	79%	\$7,350,000	\$3,675,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
3268	Roosevelt City	Wastewater	Sewer Improvement Phase 2	Roosevelt	100%	\$1,260,000	\$415,800	10	0	0	3	13	12	38
2252	Gunnison City	Wastewater	Desludge	Gunnison	88%	\$456,000	\$114,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
2246	Gunnison City	Stormwater/Flood Control	East Side Stormwater Phase 2	Gunnison	88%	\$850,000	\$425,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
1747	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Reduce Street Flows Along Wedgewood Lane and Wagon Trail Drive	Cedar City	79%	\$950,000	\$475,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
580	Richfield City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dredge the Dairy Canyon Stormwater Detention Basin	Richfield	88%	\$2,000,000	\$1,500,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
1745	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Install a 30" Storm Drain Pipe Along Cobblecreek Drive	Cedar City	79%	\$1,015,000	\$507,500	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
1744	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Install an 8AF Detention Basin	Cedar City	79%	\$1,030,000	\$515,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
1743	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Install Detention basin on the North Side of Glen Canyon Dr.	Cedar City	79%	\$1,250,000	\$625,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
1295	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater	3500 South Additional Capacity	West Valley City	79%	\$7,489,000	\$1,872,250	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
1746	Cedar City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Conveyance Ditch Along the Hill that Flows into the Glen Canyon Development	Cedar City	79%	\$340,000	\$170,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
630	Saratoga Springs	Stormwater/Flood Control	DBS6 Debris Basin	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$1,278,800	\$959,100	0	22	0	3	7	5	37
2093	Springville Drainage District	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dry Creek Reach 10 enlargement for 100-year 1200 cfs event	Spanish Fork	111%	\$331,568	\$99,470	6	22	0	3	4	2	37
2958	American Fork City	Wastewater	1400 W, 100 S to Lakeshore	American Fork	107%	\$3,965,472	\$991,368	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
1575	Cedar City Corporation	Wastewater	4500 W Line Upgrades 2 of 2	Cedar City	79%	\$5,700,400	\$1,425,100	15	0	0	3	13	5	36
2957	American Fork City	Wastewater	1300 W, 100 S to Lakeshore	American Fork	107%	\$5,532,084	\$1,383,021	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
985	Murray City Wastewater Division	Wastewater	300 West 5500 South to 5800 South	Murray	98%	\$1,600,000	\$800,000	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
1574	Cedar City Corporation	Wastewater	4500 W Line Upgrades 1 of 2	Cedar City	79%	\$4,615,700	\$1,153,925	15	0	0	3	13	5	36

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
581	Richfield City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Dredge the Cottonwood Stormwater Detention Basin	Richfield	88%	\$3,000,000	\$2,250,000	15	0	0	3	7	10	35
3304	Fairfield Town	Wastewater	2500 N Sewer Main (C-4)	Fairfield	123%	\$4,637,300	\$927,460	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
1392	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater	Ross Creek Force Main	Hideout	255%	\$564,000	\$282,000	0	0	0	14	10	10	34
3089	Ballard Water Improvement District	Wastewater	Ballard City Sewer Phase 2	Ballard	123%	\$1,791,000	\$895,500	6	0	0	3	13	12	34
3306	Fairfield Town	Wastewater	200 E Sewer Main (C-6)	Fairfield	123%	\$1,590,400	\$111,328	6	0	0	3	13	12	34
1577	Cedar City Corporation	Wastewater	27" Outfall Line Upgrades	Cedar City	79%	\$9,292,500	\$2,323,125	15	0	0	3	10	5	33
3285	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Lagoon Street Storm Drain Improvements Phase II	Roosevelt	100%	\$791,000	\$395,500	10	0	0	3	7	12	32
3305	Fairfield Town	Wastewater	Lehi-Fairfield Rd Sewer Main (C-5)	Fairfield	123%	\$2,748,100	\$549,620	6	0	0	3	13	10	32
2248	Gunnison City	Stormwater/Flood Control	200 West Flood Mitigation Structure	Gunnison	88%	\$450,000	\$225,000	15	0	0	3	4	10	32
278	Grantsville City	Wastewater	Northwest Interceptor Replacement	Grantsville	121%	\$12,316,321	\$4,064,386	6	0	0	3	13	10	32
928	Oakley City	Wastewater	PHASE 1 of Collection System Improvements	Oakley	127%	\$500,000	\$200,000	6	0	0	3	13	10	32
2249	Gunnison City	Stormwater/Flood Control	500 East Flood Mitigation Structure	Gunnison	88%	\$850,000	\$425,000	15	0	0	3	4	10	32
1005	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Medford Pond Rebuild	Bountiful	116%	\$325,000	\$65,000	6	0	0	3	10	12	31
1007	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	City Shop Drain - 300 West to Mill Creek	Bountiful	116%	\$325,000	\$65,000	6	0	0	3	10	12	31
1062	Draper City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Maple Hollow	Draper	136%	\$6,000,000	\$3,000,000	2	0	0	3	16	10	31
1357	Highland City	Wastewater	10480 SS - 12"	Highland	163%	\$425,000	\$212,500	0	0	0	14	7	10	31
3308	Fairfield Town	Wastewater	75 N Sewer Main (C-8)	Fairfield	123%	\$838,700	\$503,220	6	0	0	3	10	12	31
3583	Coalville City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Infrastructure deficiencies	Coalville	120%	\$500,000	\$250,000	6	0	0	3	10	12	31
1296	Granger-Hunter Improvement District	Wastewater	Decker Main Lift Station Replacement	West Valley City	79%	\$16,369,000	\$4,092,250	15	0	0	3	7	5	30
3646	Clinton City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	1000 West Replacement	Clinton	125%	\$676,000	\$338,000	6	0	0	3	10	10	29
929	Oakley City	Wastewater	PHASE 2 of Collection System Improvements	Oakley	127%	\$500,000	\$200,000	6	0	0	3	10	10	29

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
547	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Lagoon Transition	Eden	157%	\$500,000	\$250,000	0	0	0	3	16	10	29
546	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Lagoon Abandonment	Eden	157%	\$800,000	\$400,000	0	0	0	3	16	10	29
483	Nibley City	Wastewater	Additional Pump at Hansen Sewer Lift Station (C)	Nibley	136%	\$151,000	\$75,500	2	0	0	3	13	10	28
485	Nibley City	Wastewater	Southwest Regional Sewer Lift Station (B)	Nibley	136%	\$1,941,000	\$970,500	2	0	0	3	13	10	28
1008	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Deborah Dr. - Claremont to 900 East	Bountiful	116%	\$425,000	\$85,000	6	0	0	3	7	12	28
1064	Draper City	Stormwater/Flood Control	South Mountain Golf Course	Draper	136%	\$10,000,000	\$5,000,000	2	0	0	3	13	10	28
1393	Jordanelle Special Service District	Wastewater	Twin Peaks Pump Skid Replacement	Hideout	255%	\$850,000	\$425,000	0	0	0	9	7	12	28
3286	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	500 West Detention Ponds	Roosevelt	100%	\$210,000	\$105,000	10	0	0	3	4	10	27
3287	Roosevelt City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Highway 121/Golf Course Culvert	Roosevelt	100%	\$393,000	\$196,500	10	0	0	3	4	10	27
3664	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Willowbrooke Lane Sewage Plant upgrades	Eden	157%	\$5,000,000	\$4,500,000	0	0	14	3	0	10	27
3642	Clinton City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	Storm Drain Expansion	Clinton	125%	\$5,725,000	\$2,862,500	6	0	0	3	7	10	26
3643	Clinton City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	2300 North Replacement	Clinton	125%	\$1,404,000	\$702,000	6	0	0	3	7	10	26
3085	Ballard City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Upsizing Storm Drain Pipe	Ballard	123%	\$188,980	\$141,735	6	0	0	3	7	10	26
499	Nibley City	Stormwater/Flood Control	1200 West Pipe Upsizing at 3450 South (11)	Nibley	136%	\$67,000	\$16,750	2	0	0	3	10	10	25
1006	Bountiful City Storm Water Department	Stormwater/Flood Control	Property Line Runoff - Davis Blvd. 2025 South to Lewis Park	Bountiful	116%	\$350,000	\$70,000	6	0	0	3	4	12	25
1065	Draper City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Draper Town Center Drainage	Draper	136%	\$6,000,000	\$3,000,000	2	0	0	3	10	10	25
1666	Springville City	Stormwater/Flood Control	Hobble Creek Flood Protection Project	Springville	104%	\$35,000,000	\$7,000,000	10	0	0	3	0	12	25
1361	Highland City	Wastewater	Generator Replacement	Highland	163%	\$200,000	\$100,000	0	0	0	9	10	5	24
3024	American Fork City	Stormwater/Flood Control	223 50 E Outfall Line	American Fork	107%	\$1,984,000	\$496,000	10	0	0	3	0	10	23

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3661	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	Expand Wolf Creek Reuse Storage Pond	Eden	157%	\$7,000,000	\$3,500,000	0	0	0	3	10	10	23
3644	Clinton City Corporation	Stormwater/Flood Control	3000 West Replacement	Clinton	125%	\$1,248,000	\$624,000	6	0	0	3	4	10	23
3086	Ballard City	Stormwater/Flood Control	New Culverts	Ballard	123%	\$110,550	\$82,913	6	0	0	3	4	10	23
3026	American Form City	Stormwater/Flood Control	225 500 E Outfall Line	American Fork	107%	\$5,382,000	\$1,345,500	10	0	0	3	0	10	23
2126	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	2200 South 3720 West	Syracuse	148%	\$800,307	\$640,246	2	0	0	3	13	5	23
472	Magna Water District	Wastewater	7200 W, 3500 S to 3100 S	Magna	84%	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	15	0	0	3	0	5	23
467	Magna Water District	Wastewater	7600 West Diversion, Reach 1	Magna	84%	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	15	0	0	3	0	5	23
466	Magna Water District	Wastewater	West Side Collection Project Phase 5	Magna	84%	\$3,500,000	\$2,800,000	15	0	0	3	0	5	23
465	Magna Water District	Wastewater	West Side Collection Project Phase 4	Magna	84%	\$3,600,000	\$2,880,000	15	0	0	3	0	5	23
3019	American Form City	Stormwater/Flood Control	218 Storrs Ave Outfall Line	American Fork	107%	\$3,157,000	\$789,250	10	0	0	3	0	10	23
10	Layton City	Wastewater	Oakridge Dr-2200 E to 2650 E (WWMP 3.1 and 3.2)	Layton	113%	\$3,110,000	\$1,866,000	6	0	0	3	10	2	21
2129	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	3000 West 1000 South	Syracuse	148%	\$2,475,000	\$1,980,000	2	0	0	3	10	5	20
558	Powder Mountain Water And Sewer Improvement District	Wastewater	4200 N Lift Station	Eden	157%	\$1,500,000	\$750,000	0	0	0	3	7	10	20
2122	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	2700 South 3720 West	Syracuse	148%	\$1,522,000	\$1,217,600	2	0	0	3	10	5	20
1362	Highland City	Wastewater	Pheasant Hollow Sump Discharge	Highland	163%	\$150,000	\$75,000	0	0	0	3	4	12	19
3212	North Logan City	Wastewater	Master Plan Update	North Logan	111%	\$80,000	\$40,000	6	0	0	3	4	5	18
11	Layton City	Wastewater	Fairfield & Rosewood (WWMP 9.1 and 9.2)	Layton	113%	\$2,100,000	\$1,260,000	6	0	0	3	7	2	18
2118	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	2500 South 2675 West	Syracuse	148%	\$3,413,000	\$2,730,400	2	0	0	3	7	5	17
2127	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	3700 South 1425 West	Syracuse	148%	\$2,096,000	\$1,676,800	2	0	0	3	4	5	14
2125	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	1700 South 4300 West	Syracuse	148%	\$1,964,610	\$1,571,688	2	0	0	3	4	5	14

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2128	Syracuse City	Stormwater/Flood Control	700 West Bluff Road	Syracuse	148%	\$5,151,000	\$4,120,800	2	0	0	3	4	5	14

References

Bowen, Collins & Associates. (2025). *Small Community Project Needs*. Technical Memorandum.

Brown and Caldwell. (2025). *Recommendations on Prioritization Process for Project Funding*. Technical Memorandum.

Appendix A:

DWQ Project Data

Clean data for all projects (including projects that did not request or anticipate funding from the state) identified through the UWIP submission process and assigned to DWQ can be accessed via this link:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1xVxFLRPHZbGnZZj6ewxgoc_32vg5R2_H/edit?usp=sharing&oid=117964177612172708628&rtpof=true&sd=true. The link is live, and data is up to date as of June 30, 2025.

Data collected during the first UWIP submission process is hosted on Google Drive within the State's system. Eric Dixon (ericdixon@utah.gov) may be contacted for questions or access to raw data.

Division of Water Resources Fiscal Year 2027 Agency Plan

Prepared for The Unified Water Infrastructure Plan



Table of Contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Unified Water Infrastructure Plan.....	1
Agency Plan	2
Plan Creation	2
WRe Agency Plan.....	8
Mission	8
Funding Structure	8
Historical Funded Projects	8
UWIP Project Submission Summary	11
Small Community Budget.....	17
Initial Project Evaluation Process	17
Future Agency Ranking Adjustments	18
Provisional Project Rankings and Budget Recommendations	19
References	41

List of Appendices

	Page
Appendix A: WRe Project Data.....	42

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1: UWIP Project Distribution to Relevant Agency	6
Table 2: WRe Projects by Timeline and Infrastructure Type	13
Table 3: WRe Projects by Timeline and Project Type	14
Table 4: WRe Submitted Project Statistics	15
Table 5: Provisional Score Criteria and Overall Weighting.....	18
Table 6: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years)	21
Table 7: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years)	27
Table 8: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near-Future (6-10 years)	34

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1: Spatial Distribution of All UWIP Projects	4
Figure 2: Infrastructure Type Heatmap	5
Figure 3: Summary of UWIP Project Costs and State Funding Requested.....	7
Figure 4: Historic Projects Funded by WRe	10
Figure 5: Spatial Distribution of WRe Assigned UWIP Projects	12
Figure 6: Distribution of Entity MAGI and Funding Requested.....	16
Figure 7: Cumulative Distributions of Projects by Construction Timeline	20

Introduction

In 2024, the Utah Legislature passed House Bill 280 (HB 280). Among other things, this bill created the Water Infrastructure Fund, a new approach to funding water infrastructure projects in the state of Utah. The Water Infrastructure Fund (WIF) is intended to improve the process of funding water infrastructure by engaging in proactive infrastructure planning and greater collaboration between funding agencies.

Implementation of the new funding process can be broken into three initial components:

- **Integration of Existing Funds Study** – HB 280 required the completion of two studies. The first study was completed in October of 2024 to analyze and recommend consolidation of existing funds available for water infrastructure projects. This study provides a more detailed outline of funding approach changes envisioned by HB 280.
- **Infrastructure Fee Study** – The second study authorized by HB 280 will consider whether to impose a new fee to fund water infrastructure projects. The study will consider current funding sources and mechanisms, where shortfalls exist, how a new fee might be assessed and how the revenue might be equitably distributed statewide. It is scheduled to be completed by October 31, 2025.
- **Unified Water Infrastructure Plan** – The studies above will inform the creation of a new Unified Water Infrastructure Plan (UWIP). The UWIP will be a comprehensive prioritized list of water infrastructure projects that will be used to determine which projects will be eligible for future funding from the Water Infrastructure Fund and/or state agency funds.

This document will focus on the UWIP process and results for the Utah Division of Water Resources for Fiscal Year 2027.

Unified Water Infrastructure Plan

The UWIP is being created as a comprehensive prioritized list of water infrastructure projects that will potentially need financial assistance through state funds within the next 20 years. This plan will be created and administered by the Water Development Coordinating Council (WDCC). The relevant agencies included in the council (as defined in Utah Code 73-10g-601) provide financial assistance for a large portion of water infrastructure projects in the state. These agencies that will be most involved in the UWIP include:

- Division of Water Resources (WRe) through the Board of Water Resources
- Division of Drinking Water (DDW) through the Drinking Water Board
- Division of Water Quality (DWQ) through the Water Quality Board

The plan will improve coordination between these agencies and simplify the process for entities that are seeking funding for water infrastructure projects. The plan will allow the state to assemble water infrastructure needs and priorities in a single, comprehensive location. The list of projects identified will help the council determine where funds should be allocated and when additional funds need to be requested from the state. All water infrastructure entities that currently need and/or expect to request funds from the relevant agencies will need to have their projects included in the UWIP to receive consideration for future funding.

Agency Plan

Each UWIP relevant agency (WRe, DDW, DWQ) will submit an agency plan to the WDCC by June 30 annually. The purpose of each agency plan is to propose the agency's recommended projects and corresponding prioritization. The same template is being used for each of the agencies to establish consistency and similarity in format and content for submission to the WDCC.

This document will act as the agency plan for WRe.

Plan Creation


The Divisions of Water Resources, Drinking Water and Water Quality have retained Bowen Collins & Associates (BC&A) and Brown and Caldwell (BC) to assist in compiling the Unified Water Infrastructure Plan.

Following outreach via email, social media and professional organizations, a UWIP project submission form was opened April 8, 2025, and was available for the submission of proposed projects until May 9, 2025. The goal of this effort was to gather water entity data on projects within the next 20 years anticipating state funding needs. Eligible projects included infrastructure associated with the supply, control, measurement, treatment, distribution, storage, or transport of water. Water infrastructure projects submitted to the UWIP were assigned one of several project categories: agricultural off-farm, drinking water, reuse, secondary water, stormwater/flood control, wastewater and water supply (watershed protection and raw water source development).


Once the submission form was closed, the project team ran quality control and assurance on the received data and reached out to water entities as needed for data completion. This data was then distributed amongst the UWIP relevant agencies for further analysis and prioritization.

In total, 3,664 proposed water infrastructure projects totaling \$17.56 billion were submitted by 255 entities from throughout Utah. The spatial distribution of these project submissions, their water infrastructure type and total requested state funding is displayed in Figure 1 below. Figure


2 provides more context on the specific distribution of project infrastructure types throughout the state.



Agriculture Off-Farm



Secondary Water




LEGEND

UWIP Heat Map


Sparse

Dense


Drinking Water




Stormwater/Flood Control




Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development




Reuse



Wastewater



Water Supply - Watershed Protection





UTAH
DNR



UTAH
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



UTAH
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

WATER QUALITY DRINKING WATER

State of Utah

UNIFIED WATER
INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN
(UWIP)

INFRASTRUCTURE
TYPE HEATMAP

NORTH:



SCALE: 1 in = 500,000 ft

0 250,000 500,000

FIGURE NO.
2

Water infrastructure projects were submitted to the UWIP from across the state of Utah, with a majority of state funding requested between Provo and Ogden. Most projects by count were identified as drinking water projects whereas the water supply - watershed protection category received the fewest project submissions. These summaries provide an insight into the projects emphasized within the state at this time.

These projects were distributed to their relevant funding agencies as listed in Table 1 for further analysis and prioritization. Following the distribution of projects based on water infrastructure type, the relevant agencies reviewed projects in more detail to ensure each project was distributed to the correct agency. The specific project and prioritization process is further described in the “UWIP Project Submission Summary” and “Initial Project Evaluation Process” sections of this document.

Table 1: UWIP Project Distribution to Relevant Agency

Infrastructure type	Agency
Agriculture Off-Farm	Division of Water Resources
Drinking Water	Division of Drinking Water
Reuse	Division of Water Quality
Secondary Water	Division of Water Resources
Stormwater/Flood Control	Division of Water Quality
Wastewater	Division of Water Quality
Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Division of Water Resources
Water Supply - Watershed Protection	Division of Water Quality

Figure 3 provides more context for the distribution of projects across the relevant agencies and over the projected construction timeline (1-2 years, 3-5 years, 6-10 years, 11-20 years and 21+ years). This agency plan will focus on the near-term projects (1-5 years) for prioritization, but a summary of all submitted projects with their associated construction timeline are provided here to better understand the coming need. It should be noted that these summaries are strictly from the UWIP submissions; there is a small community budget (described further in the “Small Community Budget” section of this document) that will be incorporated into WIF needs.

Out of the total \$17.56 billion cost of submitted water projects within the next 20 years, the state requested funding assistance for these projects totaled \$5.76 billion. A majority of this funding request (\$3.56 billion, about 62%) of the state requested funding is within the six- to twenty-year window. Slightly under \$2 billion (\$1.98 billion) is anticipated to be requested from the relevant agencies within the near future (1-5 years).





Figure 3 Summary of UWIP Project Costs and State Funding Requested

WRe Agency Plan

Mission

“Plan, conserve, develop and protect Utah’s water resources.”

WRe is a crucial authority for water planning, conservation, development and protection in the state of Utah. Through conservation and development efforts, the division raises water consciousness and fosters water security under uncertain conditions. Through planning and protection efforts, the division confronts water challenges and looks ahead to find solutions to complex water-related problems. The Board of Water Resources is the policy-making body of the division.

Funding Structure

The following list shows the current funding sources that WRe has available to provide financial assistance:

- Revolving Construction Fund (RCF)
- Conservation and Development Fund (C&D)
- Water Infrastructure Restricted Account (WIRA)

The Cities Water Loans Fund (CWL) was recently repealed and all remaining funds, along with future repayments, were consolidated into the Water Infrastructure Fund.

One purpose of this agency plan is to identify the funds that will be provided into a consolidated fund that will be available to assist with funding of UWIP projects that the WDCC will oversee. The Board of Water Resources has the authority to allocate a portion of the C&D funds to the Water Infrastructure Fund, considering the need to fund loans or grants that were authorized in the previous fiscal year and the state water projects specified in statute.

Historical Funded Projects

Historically, the Board of Water Resources has funded a large variety of water related projects such as:

- Agricultural storage reservoirs, diversion dams, pressurization ponds, canals, ditches and pipelines
- Canal and ditch lining, enclosure and piping projects
- Municipal drinking water treatment plants, storage tanks, distribution and metering systems

- Water distribution line and meter replacement
- Secondary irrigation systems, including water meters
- Remote sensing and measuring devices for agricultural, municipal and secondary systems
- Dam safety upgrades
- Hydropower facilities
- Storm water system components

Figure 4 shows the location of historic projects funded by the Board of Water Resources.

Typically, entities come to the Board of Water Resources after trying to procure grants from other funding sources because funding from the board is generally provided as a “loan” to the entity. Funding provided for non-municipal projects is not a loan, but rather the funds are used to build a project that the board takes ownership of (through a purchase agreement) until the funds are repaid by the entity. Funding to municipalities is provided through the purchase of a bond.

Over the past few years, WRe has been provided several one-time grant funds from federal and state funds to help with specific projects that have not been typically provided in the past. These funds have been designated for specific project types that have had a short-term application and awarding process. These are not regularly recurring funding sources, so they are not included as a potential funding source for the UWIP projects.

At the beginning of FY 2025, there was just over \$250 million available for projects in the C&D Fund, with \$95 million set aside for Colorado River Reuse Projects. The board has contracted about \$45 million to fund 19 projects and obligated approximately \$36 million for an additional 19 projects. This leaves an unobligated balance of about \$75 million in the C&D Fund.




Figure 4 Historic Projects Funded by WRe

UWIP Project Submission Summary


Water infrastructure projects submitted through the UWIP process were distributed to the relevant agencies, including WRe. This section describes spatial and statistical distributions of the data used to prioritize projects assigned to WRe based on legislative criteria identified in HB 280 and HB 285, as well as the provisional scoring procedures. As part of the consulting team assisting with this preparation of this plan, Brown and Caldwell produced a technical memorandum on this topic providing further details about how the data was used to score projects and meet legislative criteria (Brown and Caldwell, 2025).

Figure 5 shows the spatial distribution of projects, project cost and requested state funding for these projects. The projects attributable to the WRe funding are spread throughout the state, with larger projects in Utah and Salt Lake Counties.

Table 2 and Table 3 further describe the types of projects brought to WRe by anticipated construction timeline and project type. Out of the 518 projects assigned to WRe, 294 are in the near term (1 - 5 years) totaling nearly \$1.1 billion in project costs and \$382 million in anticipated state funding assistance. Six-to-twenty-year timeframe includes \$1.5 billion in project costs and \$805 million in anticipated state funding assistance. Based on state requested funding in the near-term, 55 percent and 36 percent of project requests were attributable to secondary water and agriculture off-farm, respectively. Repair/replacement/improvement projects account for 48 percent and 73 percent of anticipated state funding requests in the near-term and six-to-twenty-year timeframe, respectively.

Table 4 summarizes the project impact by size of population and cost statistics for projects assigned to WRe.

Figure 6 summarizes the estimated Median Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) within the service areas of the entities that submitted projects as a percentage of the state average MAGI, as well as the cumulative proportion of the total funding requested of the state in each bin of the histogram. The distributions suggest that most of the funding requested of the state originated from communities near or below the state average MAGI. The projects identified in this agency plan are therefore geared toward helping those most likely to need state assistance to fund their water needs.



LEGEND

WRe

Agriculture Off-Farm

Secondary Water

Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development

Anticipated State Funding Need (\$)

790,000,000

390,000,000

1,000

Table 2: WRe Projects by Timeline and Infrastructure Type

Project Timeline and Infrastructure Type	Project Count	Estimated Project Cost (Million \$)	Requested State Assistance (Million \$)
Ready for construction (1-2 years)			
Agriculture Off-Farm	54	\$74.9	\$31.7
Secondary Water	78	\$182.8	\$85.9
Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	4	\$33.3	\$15.0
Ready for construction (1-2 years) Subtotal	136	\$291.1	\$132.5
Planning for construction (3-5 years)			
Agriculture Off-Farm	40	\$403.2	\$105.8
Secondary Water	111	\$349.4	\$125.3
Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	7	\$41.2	\$18.0
Planning for construction (3-5 years) Subtotal	158	\$793.7	\$249.1
Near-future projects (6-10 years)			
Agriculture Off-Farm	22	\$183.3	\$88.2
Secondary Water	105	\$280.5	\$136.7
Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	14	\$608.1	\$303.6
Near-future projects (6-10 years) Subtotal	141	\$1,071.9	\$528.5
Mid-future projects (11-20 years)			
Agriculture Off-Farm	17	\$155.8	\$70.3
Secondary Water	38	\$107.3	\$56.1
Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	10	\$226.8	\$150.1
Mid-future projects (11-20 years) Subtotal	65	\$489.9	\$276.5
Distant-future projects (21+ years)			
Agriculture Off-Farm	11	\$34.5	\$18.3
Secondary Water	5	\$31.8	\$24.0
Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	2	\$3.2	\$0.9
Distant-future projects (21+ years) Subtotal	18	\$69.5	\$43.1
UWIP Total	518	\$2,716.0	\$1,229.8

Table 3: WRe Projects by Timeline and Project Type

Project Timeline and Type	Project Count	Estimated Project Cost (Million \$)	Requested State Assistance (Million \$)
Ready for construction (1-2 years)			
New infrastructure	37	\$92.4	\$37.4
Repair/replacement/improvement	84	\$155.8	\$76.2
Both	15	\$42.9	\$18.9
Ready for construction (1-2 years) Subtotal	136	\$291.1	\$132.5
Planning for construction (3-5 years)			
New infrastructure	63	\$410.5	\$119.7
Repair/replacement/improvement	72	\$283.1	\$107.6
Both	22	\$99.3	\$21.6
Not Specified	1	\$0.9	\$0.3
Planning for construction (3-5 years) Subtotal	158	\$793.7	\$249.1
Near-future projects (6-10 years)			
New infrastructure	66	\$182.6	\$80.8
Repair/replacement/improvement	69	\$795.9	\$400.5
Both	6	\$93.4	\$47.3
Near-future projects (6-10 years) Subtotal	141	\$1,071.9	\$528.5
Mid-future projects (11-20 years)			
New infrastructure	31	\$194.8	\$86.7
Repair/replacement/improvement	32	\$287.2	\$183.5
Both	2	\$7.9	\$6.3
Mid-future projects (11-20 years) Subtotal	65	\$489.9	\$276.5
Distant-future projects (21+ years)			
New infrastructure	4	\$36.6	\$17.9
Repair/replacement/improvement	14	\$32.9	\$25.3
Distant-future projects (21+ years) Subtotal	18	\$69.5	\$43.1
UWIP Total	518	\$2,716.0	\$1,229.8

Table 4: WRe Submitted Project Statistics

Infrastructure type	Values	Population Served								Total
		Blank	< 500	500 - 1,000	1,000 - 10,000	10,000 - 30,000	30,000 - 65,000	65,000 - 100,000	> 100,000	
Agriculture Off-Farm	Project Count	0	26	26	34	11	4	0	43	144
	% of Projects	0.0%	18.1%	18.1%	23.6%	7.6%	2.8%	0.0%	29.9%	100.0%
	Min Project Cost	\$0.00 M	\$0.06 M	\$0.25 M	\$0.04 M	\$0.10 M	\$2.46 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.02 M	\$0.02 M
	Average Project Cost	\$0.00 M	\$2.36 M	\$8.52 M	\$6.66 M	\$22.17 M	\$18.52 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.72 M	\$5.96 M
	Max Project Cost	\$0.00 M	\$20.00 M	\$81.82 M	\$43.89 M	\$125.00 M	\$55.90 M	\$0.00 M	\$10.07 M	\$125.00 M
Secondary Water	Project Count	8	37	48	147	55	38	2	2	337
	% of Projects	2.4%	11.0%	14.2%	43.6%	16.3%	11.3%	0.6%	0.6%	100.0%
	Min Project Cost	\$0.15 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.01 M	\$0.11 M	\$0.04 M	\$0.16 M	\$1.00 M	\$4.00 M	\$0.00 M
	Average Project Cost	\$8.06 M	\$1.57 M	\$2.17 M	\$2.95 M	\$2.63 M	\$3.42 M	\$5.97 M	\$5.50 M	\$2.84 M
	Max Project Cost	\$40.00 M	\$15.51 M	\$20.00 M	\$50.00 M	\$10.00 M	\$20.50 M	\$10.94 M	\$7.00 M	\$50.00 M
Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Project Count	2	0	1	2	5	0	0	27	37
	% of Projects	5.4%	0.0%	2.7%	5.4%	13.5%	0.0%	0.0%	73.0%	100.0%
	Min Project Cost	\$0.75 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.67 M	\$0.50 M	\$0.08 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.25 M	\$0.08 M
	Average Project Cost	\$3.63 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.67 M	\$5.25 M	\$4.05 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$32.37 M	\$24.66 M
	Max Project Cost	\$6.50 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.67 M	\$10.00 M	\$16.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$0.00 M	\$500.00 M	\$500.00 M




Figure 6 Distribution of Entity MAGI and Funding Requested

Small Community Budget

Often small communities with populations less than 10,000 have less available planning resources for their systems. Correspondingly, these communities are often less able to submit detailed information on project needs than larger systems with more resources. With a history of smaller communities applying for state funding assistance, the State would like to include an allowance for unknown small community needs not submitted through the UWIP process.

WRe has included an annual funding allowance of \$17.1 million in the recommended budget to account for unknown small community needs. BC&A recommended this allowance based on an analysis described in a technical memorandum titled “Small Community Project Needs” addressed to WRe (Bowen Collins & Associates, 2025).

Initial Project Evaluation Process

HB 280 (and as modified by HB 285) lists several project-focused characteristics that the WDCC should consider in the prioritization process. During the development of the UWIP database, project data were collected from water providers and managers that can be used to evaluate projects against the project-specific prioritization criteria described in legislation. This agency plan will focus on the near-term projects (1-5 years) for ranking and prioritization for the UWIP.

Six criteria were derived from the language in HB 285, and they were used to calculate an initial evaluation score, or “Provisional Score”, for each project in the UWIP. Agency representatives provided feedback on the importance of each criterion and the rubric used to score each project. The table below describes the criteria and their weights. The most important criteria for prioritizing project-specific funding have higher weight values. Projects with higher Provisional Scores would, in concept, be higher priorities for funding.

More detail on recommendations for prioritizing projects are provided in a technical memorandum entitled “Recommendations on Prioritization Process for Project Funding” addressed to WRe and Bowen & Collins Associates (Brown and Caldwell, 2025).

Table 5: Provisional Score Criteria and Overall Weighting

Criterion	Description	Criterion Weight
Hardship	Degree to which a project represents an economic hardship referencing criteria of DDW and DWQ	18%
Critical issue	Degree to which a project addresses a critical need, such as protection of public health and safety or compliance with a state and/or federal water quality standard	22%
Water efficiency	Degree to which a project increases water conservation and the efficient use of water	18%
Sound design	Degree to which a project is fully developed and designed	14%
Applicant priority	Importance of a project to the proponent, based on their self-ranking of projects submitted	16%
Population benefitted and nonstate funding	Number of people that will benefit combined with the amount of non-state funding anticipated for a project	12%

Future Agency Ranking Adjustments

While useful for initial evaluation and sorting, provisional scores are not the source for the final ranking of projects. Each project is subject to additional review to ensure the automated scoring process has accurately captured the intent of HB 280: to aid in the funding of critical water infrastructure meeting UWIP criteria. This additional review provides an opportunity for the funding experts to collect additional information as necessary, give feedback on the ranking process and document any score changes. It should be noted that, given the limited timeline for assembling this initial plan, changes to provisional scores and ranking in this iteration of the plan were limited but are expected to be more significant in the future. In future UWIP cycles, all details of alterations to provisional scoring and ranking would be recorded in this portion of the Agency plan.

As part of this initial iteration of the plan, several areas of potential improvement in the process were identified. While time was not available to address these areas as part of this initial submission, it is expected that these issues will be considered and incorporated into subsequent efforts to future refine the plan and project priorities. Three main areas of expected adjustment are described below:

- Data Validation of Self-Ranking Criteria.** One shortcoming of the provisional scoring method was in the absence of data validation regarding the “self-ranking” criteria. HB 280 specifies that project rankings should incorporate the ranking of the applicant to ensure that UWIP and entity prioritization align. In some instances, submitting entities

ranked all their projects as number one, providing a high score in the “self-ranking” criteria that skewed their overall UWIP provisional scores relative to other entities. In other cases, it appears that submitting entities may have grouped several large projects together in order to maintain a higher self-ranking for all their projects. With these challenges occurring in only a few instances, no corrections were made in this initial iteration. However, it is recommended that future iterations of the UWIP define clearer expectations for when and how projects can be combined, and that each project receives a discrete ranking within an entity. These changes should also be implemented in updated rankings as additional data is collected and the UWIP is finalized.

- **Data Validation of Community Resources.** The data request associated with this initial iteration included a request to report total revenue and total connections served. It was believed that this could be used to calculate the burden of cost to customers and inform the WDCC regarding the ability of each entity to use their own resources to fund their projects. Unfortunately, the revenue information provided was incomplete or inaccurate in many cases. Correspondingly, the provisional rankings were limited to looking at median adjusted gross income in the area as the only measure of ability to pay. It is recommended that additional data regarding rates and cost of service be collected as part of subsequent evaluation efforts and this information be implemented in updated rankings.
- **Exclusion Criteria.** HB 280 specifies that emergency and/or small water infrastructure projects should be excluded from the UWIP process. A small water infrastructure cost is defined as a project that “receives less than an amount of water infrastructure fund money established by rule.” This project cost cut-off is to be determined by the WDCC and as such was not applied in this first iteration of the UWIP process. Correspondingly, this agency plan includes a number of small projects that will likely be excluded once a rule is established.

Provisional Project Rankings and Budget Recommendations

Figure 7 summarizes the distributions of projects, scores and state-funding costs from the UWIP database to help with allocating funds for the next 10 years. The graph panels in this figure provide an idea of how many projects and the level of provisional score are associated with a given amount of available funding. For example, if \$100M of funding is available for projects ready for construction (1-2 years), the first panel suggests 102 projects with provisional scores of 53 or above could be funded.

Tables 6 - 8 show individual projects, sorted highest to lowest by the provisional score total. Only projects with anticipated state funding needs are shown in the tables and figures following this page. All WRe projects and project data are shown in Appendix A, sorted by Project ID.




Figure 7 Cumulative Distributions of Projects by Construction Timeline

Table 6: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Ready for Construction (1-2 years)

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3383	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Master Plan and Engineering Services	Cornish	91%	\$324,200	\$129,680	10	22	18	14	16	12	92
1274	Dump Board Canal Company	Secondary Water	Dump Road Canal Company Pipeline	Torrey	86%	\$1,500,000	\$750,000	15	16	14	14	16	12	87
2278	Junction Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Piping of West Canal P1	Junction	66%	\$937,200	\$702,900	18	16	18	9	16	10	87
2106	Sterling Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Transite Line Replacement	Sterling	102%	\$1,000,000	\$950,000	10	22	14	9	16	12	83
3387	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Weston Flume	Cornish	91%	\$15,000	\$6,000	10	22	18	3	16	12	81
3386	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Deep Creek Flume	Cornish	91%	\$15,000	\$6,000	10	22	18	3	16	12	81
3388	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hurren Spillway	Cornish	91%	\$80,000	\$32,000	10	22	18	3	16	12	81
3384	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Central SCADA system	Cornish	91%	\$190,000	\$76,000	10	22	18	3	16	12	81
560	Vineyard City	Secondary Water	Pressurized Irrigation Master Plan	Vineyard	98%	\$100,000	\$50,000	10	22	14	14	16	5	81
3385	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Tim Lunday Metering	Cornish	91%	\$100,000	\$40,000	10	22	18	3	16	12	81
1543	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Freeman Allred Reservoir	Spring City	96%	\$11,095,600	\$2,219,120	10	16	14	14	16	10	80
3389	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Riverdale Diversion & Measuring Device	Cornish	91%	\$110,000	\$44,000	10	22	18	3	13	12	78
3390	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Riverdale Spillway	Cornish	91%	\$410,000	\$164,000	10	22	18	3	13	12	78
3391	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Newton Lateral Pump Station	Cornish	91%	\$45,000	\$18,000	10	22	18	3	13	12	78
3392	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Cutler Diversion – Pump Station	Cornish	91%	\$25,000	\$10,000	10	22	18	3	13	12	78
3393	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hansen & Ezola Pump Station	Cornish	91%	\$45,000	\$18,000	10	22	18	3	13	12	78
3397	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Riverdale IWS Traveling Screen	Cornish	91%	\$25,000	\$10,000	10	22	18	3	10	12	75
3398	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Benson Lateral Diversion	Cornish	91%	\$80,000	\$32,000	10	22	18	3	10	12	75
3396	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Buttars Lateral	Cornish	91%	\$1,200,000	\$480,000	10	22	18	3	10	12	75

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2074	Roy Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	3500 West Main Line Replacement	Roy	98%	\$7,000,000	\$5,950,000	10	16	18	3	16	12	75
3394	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	North & South Litz Pump Station	Cornish	91%	\$25,000	\$10,000	10	22	18	3	10	12	75
3395	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Amalga Diversion Pump Station	Cornish	91%	\$46,000	\$18,400	10	22	18	3	10	12	75
3366	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$2,082,500	\$1,041,250	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3376	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$2,436,000	\$1,218,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3375	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$1,254,000	\$627,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3374	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$5,467,000	\$2,733,500	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3373	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$7,224,750	\$3,612,375	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3372	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$2,944,500	\$1,472,250	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3371	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$2,322,000	\$1,161,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3370	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$3,816,000	\$1,908,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3369	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$5,299,200	\$2,649,600	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3368	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$4,945,740	\$2,472,870	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3367	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$7,917,750	\$3,958,875	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3365	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$40,205	\$20,103	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3323	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$847,000	\$423,500	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3327	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$532,000	\$266,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3326	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$420,000	\$210,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3325	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$470,000	\$235,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3324	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$154,000	\$77,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3364	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$2,966,860	\$1,483,430	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3322	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$936,000	\$468,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3320	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$3,160,800	\$1,580,400	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3317	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$4,594,200	\$2,297,100	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3316	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$12,650,000	\$6,325,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3315	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$2,154,750	\$1,077,375	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3314	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$741,200	\$370,600	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3313	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$4,830,000	\$2,415,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
2099	Syracuse City	Secondary Water	Secondary Meter Conversions	Syracuse	148%	\$4,000,000	\$3,200,000	2	16	18	9	16	12	73
3399	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Naegle Lateral Diversion	Cornish	91%	\$80,000	\$32,000	10	22	18	3	7	12	72
3400	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Town Lateral Diversion	Cornish	91%	\$80,000	\$32,000	10	22	18	3	7	12	72
3401	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Highline Lateral Diversion	Cornish	91%	\$80,000	\$32,000	10	22	18	3	7	12	72
3402	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Pitcher Lateral Diversion	Cornish	91%	\$80,000	\$32,000	10	22	18	3	7	12	72
3403	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Ransom Hollow Spillway	Cornish	91%	\$205,000	\$82,000	10	22	18	3	7	12	72
3137	Farm Creek Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Farm Creek Canal Rehabilitation	Tabiona	84%	\$5,000,000	\$2,000,000	15	0	14	14	16	12	71
2186	Bicknell Town	Secondary Water	Irrigation Line from Pond to Pump	Bicknell	86%	\$95,000	\$57,000	15	0	18	9	16	12	70
3604	Myton City	Secondary Water	metering	Myton	82%	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	15	16	14	3	10	12	70
3408	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Jorgensen Spillway	Cornish	91%	\$205,000	\$82,000	10	22	18	3	4	12	69
3407	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Cantwell Spillway	Cornish	91%	\$205,000	\$82,000	10	22	18	3	4	12	69
3406	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hubs Spillway	Cornish	91%	\$205,000	\$82,000	10	22	18	3	4	12	69
3405	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Mels Spillway	Cornish	91%	\$205,000	\$82,000	10	22	18	3	4	12	69

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2232	Gunnison City	Secondary Water	Irrigation Pond Expansion	Gunnison	88%	\$1,250,000	\$937,500	15	0	14	14	16	10	69
3331	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	Lower Bench Canal Pipeline	Fort Duchesne	59%	\$4,800,000	\$1,920,000	18	0	14	9	16	12	69
426	Mt Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Pressure Irrigation System Upgrade	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$21,000,000	\$5,250,000	15	0	14	14	16	10	69
3404	West Cache Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Frew Spillway	Cornish	91%	\$205,000	\$82,000	10	22	18	3	4	12	69
431	Mt Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Well Equipping	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$400,000	\$300,000	15	0	14	14	16	10	69
2029	Manti City Creek Water Users Mutual Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Pipeline Improvements	Manti	89%	\$800,000	\$400,000	15	0	18	9	16	10	68
3106	Cache Highline Water Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Black Pipe	Smithfield	116%	\$3,302,000	\$990,600	6	22	14	3	13	10	68
3200	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Coyote Canal	Neola	95%	\$1,803,000	\$270,450	10	16	0	14	16	12	68
3620	Western Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	6 Turnouts	Ogden	84%	\$101,000	\$80,800	15	0	18	14	16	5	68
2879	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Irrigation System Master Plan	Teasdale	77%	\$40,000	\$12,000	15	0	18	9	13	12	67
3527	Uintah Basin Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	North Pipeline Pond	Myton	82%	\$967,000	\$386,800	15	0	14	9	16	12	66
524	Pleasant Creek Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Transmountain Pipe Line	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$50,000	\$37,500	15	0	14	14	13	10	66
858	Timpanogos Canal Company	Secondary Water	Timpanogos Canal Meters	Provo	70%	\$1,000,000	\$700,000	18	0	14	9	13	12	66
2032	Moroni Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Ag Meter Improvements	Moroni	82%	\$250,000	\$125,000	15	0	14	9	16	12	66
2876	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Line Teasdale Head Ponds	Teasdale	77%	\$1,500,000	\$600,000	15	0	14	9	16	12	66
3330	Uintah Independent Ditch Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Independent Ditch & Diversion Improvements	Neola	95%	\$1,100,000	\$440,000	10	0	14	14	16	12	66
3622	Western Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	7th to 5th Ave	Ogden	84%	\$2,227,000	\$1,781,600	15	0	18	14	13	5	65
2217	Fremont Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Master Plan	Fremont	63%	\$40,000	\$40,000	18	0	14	14	7	12	65
637	Redmond Town	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Phase 1	Redmond	100%	\$850,000	\$807,500	10	0	18	9	16	12	65
882	Hurricane Canal Co.	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hurricane Canal Co. pipe installation	Hurricane	91%	\$3,000,000	\$1,500,000	10	0	18	9	16	12	65

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan														
Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2031	Manti City Creek Water Users Mutual Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Farm Meter Installation	Manti	89%	\$600,000	\$300,000	15	0	18	9	13	10	65
883	Grover Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Beaver Dam Reservoir Improvements	Grover	79%	\$850,000	\$722,500	15	0	18	3	16	12	64
2233	Gunnison City	Secondary Water	Filtration and Sediment Control	Gunnison	88%	\$245,000	\$183,750	15	0	14	9	16	10	64
82	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Swenson Pipeline Slipline Project	Springville	104%	\$250,000	\$100,000	10	0	18	9	16	10	63
1545	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	North Fields Ditch	Spring City	96%	\$1,150,000	\$230,000	10	0	14	14	13	12	63
2033	Moroni Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	City Diversion Improvements	Moroni	82%	\$700,000	\$350,000	15	0	14	9	13	12	63
3201	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	South Boneta Canal	Boneta	98%	\$1,000,000	\$150,000	10	0	14	14	13	12	63
2189	Bicknell Town	Secondary Water	Water Master Plan	Bicknell	86%	\$40,000	\$40,000	15	0	14	14	7	12	62
1534	Heber City Corporation	Secondary Water	100 West Pressurized Irrigation Improvements	Heber City	114%	\$500,000	\$250,000	6	0	14	14	16	12	62
2034	Moroni Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Canal and Ditch Piping	Moroni	82%	\$5,500,000	\$2,475,000	15	0	14	9	10	12	60
1544	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Point Ditch	Spring City	96%	\$609,000	\$121,800	10	0	14	14	10	12	60
112	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	Summerland New Secondary Water System	Bothwell	107%	\$1,200,000	\$420,000	10	0	18	3	16	12	59
386	Lindon City	Secondary Water	PI Loop	Lindon	118%	\$800,000	\$400,000	6	0	18	9	16	10	59
1377	La Verkin City	Secondary Water	In-Town Replacements	La Verkin	80%	\$10,025,000	\$2,506,250	15	0	14	3	16	10	58
2107	Sterling Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Agricultural Line Meters	Sterling	102%	\$500,000	\$475,000	10	0	14	9	13	12	58
2307	Mayfield Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Agricultural Line Meters	Mayfield	91%	\$500,000	\$475,000	10	0	14	9	13	12	58
2035	Moroni Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Spring Diversion Improvements	Moroni	82%	\$100,000	\$50,000	15	0	14	9	7	12	57
2187	Bicknell Town	Secondary Water	Secondary Mainline and Valve Replacement	Bicknell	86%	\$3,070,162	\$1,074,557	15	0	14	3	13	12	57
1378	La Verkin City	Secondary Water	100 E Transmission Line Improvement	La Verkin	80%	\$2,487,000	\$621,750	15	0	14	3	13	10	55
3332	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	Arcadia Farms Pipeline	Upalco	104%	\$9,000,000	\$3,600,000	10	0	14	3	16	12	55
3199	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Yellowstone Feeder Canal Lining Phase II	Altonah		\$3,100,000	\$465,000		0	14	14	16	10	54

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1882	Lake Creek Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Lake Creek Facility Replacement Ph 1	Heber City	114%	\$9,000,000	\$7,650,000	6	0	18	3	16	10	53
3126	Enoch City	Secondary Water	Garden Park Well and Infrastructure Project	Enoch	98%	\$1,600,000	\$1,280,000	10	0	14	3	16	10	53
1379	La Verkin City	Secondary Water	North Transmission Line Improvements	La Verkin	80%	\$2,364,000	\$591,000	15	0	14	3	10	10	52
2157	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Turf buyback Programs	Layton	113%	\$30,000,000	\$15,000,000	6	0	14	14	4	12	50
425	Moroni City	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Master Plan	Moroni	82%	\$105,000	\$26,250	15	0	0	9	16	10	50
3378	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Two PRV Stations	North Ogden	130%	\$500,000	\$200,000	2	0	18	3	16	10	49
2100	Syracuse City	Secondary Water	Bond Payment On Syracuse Reservoir	Syracuse	148%	\$12,000,000	\$8,400,000	2	0	14	14	13	5	48
3379	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Traveling Screen at 900 West	Pleasant View	139%	\$75,000	\$37,500	2	0	18	3	13	10	46
3104	Cache Highline Water Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hyde Park North Canal Optimization	Hyde Park	134%	\$3,467,000	\$936,090	2	0	14	3	16	10	45
1888	Grantsville Irrigation	Secondary Water	Matthews Lane pipeline	Grantsville	121%	\$196,000	\$78,400	6	0	14	3	10	10	43
3607	Myton City	Secondary Water	Asset Management Plan	Myton	82%	\$20,000	\$20,000	15	0	0	3	10	12	40
3105	Cache Highline Water Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Bury Hollow Ravine	Hyde Park	134%	\$336,000	\$168,000	2	0	14	3	10	10	39
262	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	Kay-Project New1: Replace reservoir concrete liner	Kaysville	152%	\$3,000,000	\$2,550,000	0	16	14	3	0	5	38
689	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	Drill secondary well (SR-1)	Eden	157%	\$883,417	\$706,734	0	0	0	3	16	10	29
2095	Syracuse City	Secondary Water	2700 South Secondary (2400 West to 3000 West)	Syracuse	148%	\$1,200,000	\$960,000	2	0	0	3	16	5	26
2096	Syracuse City	Secondary Water	3000 West Secondary (1700 South to 2700 South)	Syracuse	148%	\$2,000,000	\$1,600,000	2	0	0	3	10	5	20
256	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project New1: Replace pipes on 2000 West	Clinton	125%	\$1,200,000	\$1,020,000	6	0	0	3	0	5	14

Table 7: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Planning for Construction (3-5 years)

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2215	Fremont Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Cottonwood Canyon Box Culvert and Canal Protection Structure	Bicknell	86%	\$450,000	\$157,500	15	22	18	9	13	12	89
99	Bear River Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Macro Canal Automation (Canals/TCC)	Tremonton	107%	\$6,566,527	\$2,823,607	10	22	18	14	13	10	87
1610	Strawberry High Line Canal Company	Secondary Water	SHLCC Residential Metering	Payson	98%	\$150,000	\$75,000	10	22	18	9	16	12	87
98	Bear River Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	PL-566 NRCS Watershed Protection Plan	Fielding	116%	\$55,900,550	\$8,385,083	6	22	18	14	16	10	86
2306	Mayfield Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	South Hollow Reservoir	Mayfield	91%	\$8,000,000	\$3,600,000	10	22	14	9	16	12	83
2216	Fremont Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Loa Town Ditch Piping	Loa	88%	\$11,000,000	\$3,300,000	15	22	14	9	10	12	82
3353	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Concrete Line Existing Reservoir	Ogden	84%	\$1,000,000	\$850,000	15	22	18	3	13	10	81
2880	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Rebuild Middle Reservoir Dam	Teasdale	77%	\$2,800,000	\$1,120,000	15	16	18	9	10	12	80
2212	Emery Town	Secondary Water	Storage Reservoir	Emery	73%	\$723,000	\$578,400	15	0	18	14	16	12	75
2877	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Donkey Reservoir Dam Repair	Teasdale	77%	\$395,000	\$158,000	15	22	0	9	16	12	74
3318	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$3,048,000	\$1,524,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3319	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$1,143,000	\$571,500	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
3321	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Service Line Replacement	Ogden	84%	\$1,248,000	\$624,000	15	16	14	3	16	10	74
371	Centerfield City	Agriculture Off-Farm	Agriculture Irrigation Meters	Centerfield	93%	\$500,000	\$200,000	10	16	18	9	10	10	73
2310	Muddy Creek Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Diversion Upgrades	Emery	73%	\$150,000	\$142,500	15	0	18	14	13	12	72
100	Bear River Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Hammond East Piping SFP Project	Honeyville	113%	\$2,457,084	\$1,056,546	6	22	14	14	10	5	71
1029	Carbon Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Carbon Canal Pipeline Project	Price	84%	\$81,815,345	\$16,363,069	15	0	14	14	16	12	71
3256	Roosevelt City	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Phase IV	Roosevelt	100%	\$3,335,050	\$1,100,567	10	0	18	14	16	12	70
2875	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Raise Left Hand Fork Dam	Teasdale	77%	\$3,500,000	\$1,050,000	15	0	18	9	16	12	70
522	Pleasant Creek Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Pleasant Creek Long Term Storage Reservoir	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$6,400,000	\$1,600,000	15	0	14	14	16	10	69

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2279	Junction Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Piping of West Canal P2	Junction	66%	\$4,884,000	\$3,174,600	18	0	18	9	13	10	68
2318	Salina Town	Secondary Water	Irrigation System Expansion P-1	Salina	84%	\$1,950,000	\$1,560,000	15	0	18	9	16	10	68
3621	Western Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm		Ogden	84%	\$135,000	\$108,000	15	0	18	14	16	5	68
2878	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Winter Ditch Piping	Teasdale	77%	\$325,000	\$146,250	15	0	18	9	13	12	67
3382	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	2600 North 24" Concrete Transmission Line	North Ogden	130%	\$1,800,000	\$1,440,000	2	22	18	3	16	5	66
845	Minersville Reservoir And Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	west fields lateral	Minersville	89%	\$650,000	\$390,000	15	0	14	9	16	12	66
1546	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Strategic Metering	Spring City	96%	\$440,000	\$220,000	10	16	0	14	16	10	66
3102	Big 6 Canal	Agriculture Off-Farm	Big 6 Canal & Diversion Rehabilitation	Neola	95%	\$390,000	\$195,000	10	0	14	14	16	12	66
1608	Strawberry High Line Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Piping of Lateral 34	Genola	107%	\$4,000,000	\$1,000,000	10	0	18	9	16	12	65
2188	Bicknell Town	Secondary Water	Secondary Irrigation Meter Project	Bicknell	86%	\$1,200,000	\$480,000	15	0	18	9	10	12	64
2238	Gunnison City	Secondary Water	San Pitch System Connection	Gunnison	88%	\$1,250,000	\$937,500	15	0	14	9	16	10	64
427	Mt Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Pleasant Creek Storage Reservoir	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$6,400,000	\$1,600,000	15	0	14	14	10	10	63
1538	Price Wellington Canal	Agriculture Off-Farm	Price Wellington Canal Piping	Price	84%	\$43,887,176	\$8,777,435	15	0	14	14	10	10	63
3528	Uintah Basin Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Gray Mountain Canal Lining	Bridgeland	84%	\$4,369,000	\$655,350	15	0	14	9	13	12	63
3329	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Relocate Weber Basin Tie in at WSU	Ogden	84%	\$500,000	\$250,000	15	0	18	3	16	10	62
3623	Western Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	5th to 1st Ave	Ogden	84%	\$9,434,000	\$7,547,200	15	0	18	14	10	5	62
3312	South Ogden Conservation District	Secondary Water	Stub Lines Automation	Ogden	84%	\$200,000	\$100,000	15	0	18	3	16	10	62
2030	Manti City Creek Water Users Mutual Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Pond Maintenance and Improvements	Manti	89%	\$150,000	\$75,000	15	0	18	9	10	10	62
2072	Price City	Agriculture Off-Farm	Upper Price River Reservoir Project	Price	84%	\$125,000,000	\$27,500,000	15	0	18	3	16	10	62

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2156	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Ogden Valley Canal	Huntsville	148%	\$16,000,000	\$12,000,000	2	16	18	14	7	5	62
1536	Price Wellington Canal	Agriculture Off-Farm	Canal Metering Upgrades	Price	84%	\$10,447,750	\$5,223,875	15	0	18	3	13	12	61
2239	Gunnison City	Secondary Water	Irrigation Meter Replacement	Gunnison	88%	\$750,000	\$562,500	15	0	14	9	13	10	61
2882	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Replace Pressure Reducing Valves	Teasdale	77%	\$160,000	\$72,000	15	0	18	9	7	12	61
325	Herriman City	Secondary Water	4000 W Pump Station Zone 1 Equipping	Herriman	130%	\$250,000	\$200,000	2	0	18	14	16	10	60
1537	Price Wellington Canal	Agriculture Off-Farm	Canal Lining Project	Price	84%	\$2,182,125	\$872,850	15	0	14	3	16	12	60
1884	Grantsville Irrigation	Secondary Water	East side holding pond	Grantsville	121%	\$1,558,912	\$623,565	6	0	14	14	16	10	60
1885	Grantsville Irrigation	Secondary Water	East side well	Grantsville	121%	\$650,000	\$260,000	6	0	14	14	16	10	60
2160	Howell Blue Creek Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Blue Creek Irrigation canal piping	Howell	86%	\$15,507,000	\$930,420	15	0	14	3	16	12	60
2881	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Mountain Ditch Piping at Sam's Millset	Teasdale	77%	\$350,000	\$140,000	15	0	14	9	10	12	60
3602	Myton City	Secondary Water	System tie in's	Myton	82%	\$2,000,000	\$600,000	15	0	14	3	16	12	60
305	Greendale Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Greendale Piping Project	Dutch John	89%	\$6,200,000	\$2,480,000	15	0	18	14	0	12	59
424	Moroni City	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Distribution System	Moroni	82%	\$20,000,000	\$5,000,000	15	0	18	3	13	10	59
690	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	10-AC Lake Dam Repair and Lining	Eden	157%	\$7,710,691	\$6,168,553	0	16	14	3	16	10	59
414	Mapleton City	Secondary Water	Zone 1 Dogwood Connection	Mapleton	152%	\$3,640,000	\$728,000	0	16	18	3	16	5	58
83	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Swenson Pipeline Slipline Project Phase 2	Springville	104%	\$200,000	\$80,000	10	0	18	3	16	10	57
1388	Glenwood Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Mainline pipe replacement	Glenwood	111%	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	6	0	14	9	16	12	57
1886	Grantsville Irrigation	Secondary Water	Boxelder catch basin	Grantsville	121%	\$450,000	\$180,000	6	0	14	14	13	10	57
2883	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Bullberry Stream to Head Pond Piping	Teasdale	77%	\$2,750,000	\$962,500	15	0	14	9	7	12	57
1	Kays Creek Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Hobbs Reservoir Dam Reconstruction	Layton	113%	\$10,944,450	\$8,755,560	6	0	18	14	16	2	56
12	Layton City	Secondary Water	Hill Field Road Secondary Waterline - 2200 West to Cold Creek Way (S5)	Layton	113%	\$995,000	\$796,000	6	0	18	14	16	2	56
385	Lindon City	Secondary Water	Irrigation System Projects	Lindon	118%	\$1,143,000	\$571,500	6	0	18	9	13	10	56

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
638	Redmond Town	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Phase 2	Redmond	100%	\$4,215,000	\$1,896,750	10	0	18	3	13	12	56
3223	Ogden River Water Users' Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Automated low flow check structure in South Highline Canal	Ogden	84%	\$500,000	\$250,000	15	0	18	3	10	10	56
3341	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Storage Reservoir for Irrigation	Ogden	84%	\$3,000,000	\$1,500,000	15	0	18	3	10	10	56
238	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 29-Liner to Box Culvert	Sunset	86%	\$604,000	\$513,400	15	0	14	14	0	12	55
239	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 27-Liner to Box Culvert	Sunset	86%	\$596,000	\$506,600	15	0	14	14	0	12	55
240	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 28-Liner to Box Culvert	Sunset	86%	\$1,128,000	\$958,800	15	0	14	14	0	12	55
413	Mapleton City	Secondary Water	Foothills Distribution Line	Mapleton	152%	\$9,140,000	\$1,828,000	0	16	18	3	13	5	55
2240	Gunnison City	Secondary Water	Peacock Transmission Line	Gunnison	88%	\$2,750,000	\$1,100,000	15	0	14	9	7	10	55
2884	Teasdale & Bullberry Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Lions Head Pond Storage Improvements	Teasdale	77%	\$875,000	\$306,250	15	0	18	9	0	12	54
84	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Sage Creek Dam	Springville	104%	\$80,000	\$40,000	10	0	18	3	13	10	54
2213	Emery Town	Secondary Water	Distribution System Upgrades Phase 1	Emery	73%	\$1,125,000	\$900,000	15	0	0	14	13	12	54
127	Vernal City	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Water Rights Acquisition	Vernal	95%	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	10	22	0	3	13	5	53
1428	North Village Special Service District	Secondary Water	Pipeline to Jordanelle Ridge	Heber City	114%	\$464,400	\$232,200	6	0	18	3	16	10	53
3127	Enoch City	Secondary Water	Secondary Well #1	Enoch	98%	\$1,750,000	\$1,225,000	10	0	14	3	16	10	53
3131	Enoch City	Secondary Water	Secondary Tank 1 (1 MG)	Enoch	98%	\$2,000,000	\$1,400,000	10	0	14	3	16	10	53
3133	Enoch City	Secondary Water	Secondary Booster 1	Enoch	98%	\$750,000	\$525,000	10	0	14	3	16	10	53
3550	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Boyer Dam Rehabilitation	Coalville	120%	\$1,146,400	\$401,240	6	16	0	3	16	12	53
412	Mapleton City	Secondary Water	Zone 2 & 3 Pumps	Mapleton	152%	\$320,000	\$128,000	0	16	18	3	10	5	52
3182	Lake Fork Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Flow Meters	Altamont	125%	\$450,000	\$180,000	6	0	14	3	16	12	51
2311	Muddy Creek Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Regulating Pond	Emery	73%	\$750,000	\$600,000	15	0	0	14	10	12	51

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
85	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Ditch #1 Dam	Springville	104%	\$60,000	\$30,000	10	0	18	3	10	10	51
313	Hyrum Blacksmith Fork Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	HBFIC 4400 South Canal Piping	Nibley	136%	\$500,000	\$450,000	2	0	18	3	16	12	51
242	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 23a-Liner to Box Culvert	Riverdale	95%	\$1,636,000	\$1,390,600	10	0	14	14	0	12	50
2155	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Gateway Canal Slide Mitigation	Peterson	159%	\$6,000,000	\$3,000,000	0	22	0	3	13	12	50
3132	Enoch City	Secondary Water	Secondary Tank 2 (1 MG)	Enoch	98%	\$2,000,000	\$1,400,000	10	0	14	3	13	10	50
2312	Central Utah Water Conservancy District (Cuwcd)-For North Utah County Aquifer Council (Nucac)	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Highland Gravel Pit MAR	Highland	163%	\$8,310,000	\$2,077,500	0	0	18	3	16	12	49
1346	Highland City	Secondary Water	Upper Pond Concrete Rehab	Highland	163%	\$200,000	\$100,000	0	0	14	14	16	5	49
324	Herriman City	Secondary Water	Northwest Zone 2 Storage and Transmission line (SW2.03)	Herriman	130%	\$10,900,000	\$8,720,000	2	0	18	3	16	10	49
323	Herriman City	Secondary Water	Cove Zone 4 Storage and Transmission line (SW4.04)	Herriman	130%	\$6,950,000	\$5,560,000	2	0	18	3	16	10	49
416	Mapleton City	Secondary Water	South Zone 2 Distribution	Mapleton	152%	\$1,610,000	\$322,000	0	16	18	3	7	5	49
87	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	District 4 Pipeline	Springville	104%	\$420,000	\$168,000	10	0	18	3	7	10	48
113	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	Richins New Secondary Water system	Thatcher	141%	\$975,000	\$341,250	2	0	18	3	13	12	48
327	Herriman City	Secondary Water	Future Secondary Well #1	Herriman	130%	\$2,400,000	\$1,920,000	2	0	18	3	13	12	48
641	Washington City	Secondary Water	Solente Tank and transmission lines	Washington	96%	\$8,040,570	\$2,010,143	10	0	14	14	0	10	48
642	Washington City	Secondary Water	Kirkland pond expansion	Washington	96%	\$10,989,326	\$2,747,332	10	0	14	14	0	10	48
857	Timpanogos Canal Company	Secondary Water	Provo River Timp Canal Diversion	Provo	70%	\$3,000,000	\$1,500,000	18	0	0	3	16	10	47
2236	Gunnison City	Secondary Water	Upper zone storage res.	Gunnison	88%	\$1,896,000	\$1,422,000	15	0	0	9	13	10	47
241	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 49-Replace 54" CMP with 66" RCP	Layton	113%	\$644,000	\$547,400	6	0	14	14	0	12	46
326	Herriman City	Secondary Water	6000 W Zone 2 Transmission Line (SW2.04)	Herriman	130%	\$5,100,000	\$4,080,000	2	0	18	3	13	10	46

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
948	Spanish Fork City	Secondary Water	300 W 1600 N - 2050 N 12" PI	Spanish Fork	111%	\$265,000	\$132,500	6	0	14	3	13	10	46
3141	Farnsworth Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Farnsworth Diversion Structure Rehabilitation	Talmage		\$1,300,000	\$520,000		0	18	3	13	12	46
3630	Morgan Secondary Water Association	Secondary Water	Pump House Rebuild	Morgan	146%	\$275,000	\$137,500	2	0	14	3	16	10	45
3138	Farnsworth Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Farnsworth Canal Lining Phase I	Talmage		\$1,115,000	\$446,000		0	14	3	16	12	45
521	Northwest Irrigation	Secondary Water	Northwest Irrigation Ditch to Pipe Conversion	Mountain Green	248%	\$1,263,600	\$505,440	0	0	14	3	16	12	45
423	Mountain Green Secondary Water Company	Secondary Water	MGSWC Pipe Replacement Project	Mountain Green	248%	\$1,209,000	\$483,600	0	0	14	3	16	12	45
328	Herriman City	Secondary Water	Future Secondary Well #2	Herriman	130%	\$4,300,000	\$3,440,000	2	0	18	3	10	12	45
2235	Gunnison City	Secondary Water	Booster Pumps	Gunnison	88%	\$655,000	\$491,250	15	0	0	9	10	10	44
3359	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Expand Lower H Reservoir	Pleasant View	139%	\$2,000,000	\$200,000	2	0	14	3	13	12	44
3363	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Enclose property around irrigation reservoirs	North Ogden	130%	\$500,000	\$200,000	2	16	0	3	13	10	44
949	Spanish Fork City	Secondary Water	2050 N Main St - 300 W 12" PI	Spanish Fork	111%	\$375,000	\$187,500	6	0	14	3	10	10	43
3142	Farnsworth Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Twin Potts Diversion Structure and Farnsworth Flume	Talmage		\$200,000	\$80,000		0	18	3	10	12	43
3380	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Reservoir Tie-In	North Ogden	130%	\$300,000	\$150,000	2	0	18	3	10	10	43
447	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	3100 S, Dayton St to 7900 W	Magna	84%	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	15	0	18	3	0	5	41
448	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	3100 S, 7900 W to 7600 W	Magna	84%	\$1,200,000	\$960,000	15	0	18	3	0	5	41
3113	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Class C Canal Pipeline	Upalco	104%	\$15,000,000	\$6,000,000	10	0	0	3	16	12	41
691	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	Lining Irrigation Ponds	Eden	157%	\$1,766,835	\$883,418	0	0	14	3	13	10	40
3203	Nibley Blacksmith Fork Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	System Optimization	Nibley	136%	\$550,000	\$291,500	2	0	14	3	16	5	40
2047	Payson City	Secondary Water	Upsize PI pipe from 6" and 8" pipes to 10" and 12" pipes	Payson	98%	\$1,400,000	\$1,120,000	10	0	0	3	16	10	39
3190	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Lake Fork-Yellowstone Feeder	Mountain Home	95%	\$3,000,000	\$1,200,000	10	0	0	3	16	10	39

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3342	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Storage Reservoir New Upper H	Pleasant View	139%	\$3,000,000	\$450,000	2	0	14	3	10	10	39
565	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	SLA, JA & PRA Redundancy Interconnect Study	Pleasant Grove	102%	\$350,000	\$175,000	10	0	0	3	13	12	38
3114	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Class B Canal Pipeline	Altamont	125%	\$5,500,000	\$2,200,000	6	0	0	3	16	12	37
2102	Duchesne County Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	Replace Transmission Line	Upalco	104%	\$50,000,000	\$17,500,000	10	0	0	3	13	10	36
3343	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Pump Station from lower H to New upper H reservoir	Pleasant View	139%	\$250,000	\$37,500	2	0	14	3	7	10	36
3344	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Transmission Main to New Upper H Reservoir	Pleasant View	139%	\$1,000,000	\$150,000	2	0	14	3	7	10	36
1789	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Secondary Water	Lake Creek Supply Filtering Project	Heber City	114%	\$500,000	\$125,000	6	0	0	3	16	10	35
3578	Coalville City	Secondary Water	Asset Management Plan	Coalville	120%	\$20,000	\$20,000	6	0	0	3	13	12	34
1347	Highland City	Secondary Water	Granite Well Rehab	Highland	163%	\$150,000	\$75,000	0	0	0	14	10	5	29
1348	Highland City	Secondary Water	Provo Res Well Rehab	Highland	163%	\$150,000	\$75,000	0	0	0	14	10	5	29
1350	Highland City	Secondary Water	Highland Glen Transmission Line	Highland	163%	\$155,000	\$77,500	0	0	0	3	16	10	29
1351	Highland City	Secondary Water	Beacon Hills PS Upgrade	Highland	163%	\$500,000	\$250,000	0	0	0	3	16	10	29
3116	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Elk Farm Ditch	Roosevelt	100%	\$250,000	\$100,000	10	0	0	3	4	12	29
255	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project 4: 24" Pipe on 300 North	West Point	143%	\$500,000	\$425,000	2	0	0	14	0	5	21
1354	Highland City	Secondary Water	Canterbury PS Improvements	Highland	163%	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	0	0	0	3	13	5	21
1356	Highland City	Secondary Water	Mainline Meter Installation	Highland	163%	\$250,000	\$125,000	0	0	0	3	13	5	21
692	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	Construct additional secondary well	Eden	157%	\$883,417	\$706,734	0	0	0	3	4	10	17
2097	Syracuse City	Secondary Water	Antelope Drive Secondary (3000 West to 4000 West)	Syracuse	148%	\$2,300,000	\$1,840,000	2	0	0	3	7	5	17
257	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project New2: Replace pipes on 1800 North	Clinton	125%	\$3,200,000	\$2,720,000	6	0	0	3	0	5	14
2098	Syracuse City	Secondary Water	2700 South Secondary (3000 West to Doral Drive)	Syracuse	148%	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	2	0	0	3	4	5	14

Table 8: Project Recommendations for WDCC, Near-Future (6-10 years)

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
2214	Fremont Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Highline Ditch Piping	Lyman	79%	\$20,000,000	\$6,000,000	15	22	18	9	16	12	92
884	Uintah Water Conservancy District	Agriculture Off-Farm	Steinaker Service Canal Enclosure Project	Vernal	95%	\$68,000,000	\$27,200,000	10	22	18	14	16	10	90
3213	Ogden River Water Users' Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Canal Enclosure	Ogden	84%	\$80,000,000	\$44,000,000	15	22	14	3	16	12	82
2198	Circleville Town	Secondary Water	Secondary System Expansion Macro	Circleville	68%	\$2,500,000	\$1,125,000	18	0	18	9	16	12	73
101	Bear River Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Automation/Measurement (Individual Gates)	Tremonton	107%	\$9,166,667	\$4,125,000	10	22	18	9	7	5	71
2075	Roy Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	AC Main Line Replacement	Roy	98%	\$13,000,000	\$11,050,000	10	22	18	3	13	5	71
2159	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Aqueduct Replacement Project	Ogden	84%	\$500,000,000	\$250,000,000	15	16	14	14	0	12	71
3551	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Boyer Dam Rehabilitation	Coalville	120%	\$12,000,000	\$3,840,000	6	16	18	3	16	12	71
3257	Roosevelt City	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Phase V	Roosevelt	100%	\$4,138,450	\$1,365,689	10	0	18	14	16	12	70
846	Minersville Reservoir And Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Minersville Irrigation Regulating pond	Minersville	89%	\$4,754,000	\$2,139,300	15	0	18	14	10	12	69
2076	Roy Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	Concrete Reservoir Lining Replacement	Riverdale	95%	\$15,000,000	\$12,750,000	10	22	18	3	10	5	68
429	Mt Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Penstock Supply Line	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$600,000	\$150,000	15	0	14	14	13	10	66
2319	Salina Town	Secondary Water	Irrigation System Expansion P-2	Salina	84%	\$2,280,000	\$1,824,000	15	0	18	9	13	10	65
2280	Junction Irrigation Company	Secondary Water	Piping of West Canal P3	Junction	66%	\$2,191,200	\$1,205,160	18	0	18	9	10	10	65
559	Vineyard City	Secondary Water	Water Reuse	Vineyard	98%	\$8,400,000	\$2,100,000	10	16	18	3	13	5	65
3560	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Boyer Reservoir Improvements	Coalville	120%	\$500,000	\$160,000	6	16	14	3	13	12	64
3360	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Replace old concrete lined reservoirs	North Ogden	130%	\$10,000,000	\$8,000,000	2	22	18	3	13	5	63

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
1609	Strawberry High Line Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Piping of Lateral 30	Payson	98%	\$8,000,000	\$2,000,000	10	0	18	9	13	12	62
620	Saratoga Springs City	Secondary Water	PI-02 New Jacobs Ranch Well	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$4,800,000	\$2,400,000	0	22	18	3	13	5	61
430	Mt Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Well and Pump Station	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$1,200,000	\$900,000	15	0	14	14	7	10	60
1532	Heber City Corporation	Secondary Water	I-030 Central Heber Irrigation Improvements Ph 2 - PI	Heber City	114%	\$5,296,000	\$4,501,600	6	0	14	14	13	12	59
2313	Central Utah Water Conservancy District (Cuwcd)-For North Utah County Aquifer Council (Nucac)	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	American Fork Debris Basin MAR	American Fork	107%	\$3,440,000	\$860,000	10	0	18	3	16	12	59
3624	Western Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Washington to HVC	Ogden	84%	\$22,472,000	\$17,977,600	15	0	18	14	7	5	59
621	Saratoga Springs City	Secondary Water	PI-06 New Well Drilling and Equipping	Saratoga Springs	152%	\$5,739,000	\$2,869,500	0	22	18	3	10	5	58
428	Mt Pleasant City	Secondary Water	Twin Creeks Storage Reservoir	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$9,300,000	\$2,325,000	15	0	14	14	4	10	57
3218	Ogden River Water Users' Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	72" and 60" bypass gate replacement	Ogden	84%	\$250,000	\$100,000	15	0	14	3	13	12	57
3333	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	Duchesne Feeder Canal Pipeline	Duchesne	84%	\$14,000,000	\$5,600,000	15	0	14	3	13	12	57
3220	Ogden River Water Users' Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Establish property boundary along canals	Ogden	84%	\$6,500,000	\$3,250,000	15	22	0	3	4	12	56
243	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Segment 37-Liner to Box Culvert	Clearfield	86%	\$1,308,000	\$1,111,800	15	0	14	14	0	12	55
2241	Gunnison City	Secondary Water	Peacock Spring Redevelopment	Gunnison	88%	\$950,000	\$712,500	15	0	14	9	7	10	55
1565	Cedar City Corporation	Secondary Water	Booster Pump to Cedar Middle School Installation	Cedar City	79%	\$100,000	\$80,000	15	0	14	3	10	12	54
3219	Ogden River Water Users' Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	72" and 60" Inlet gates structures at the dam	Ogden	84%	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	15	0	14	3	10	12	54
3334	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	US Dry Gulch Canal Pipeline	Myton	82%	\$14,000,000	\$5,600,000	15	0	14	3	10	12	54
3128	Enoch City	Secondary Water	Secondary Well #2	Enoch	98%	\$1,750,000	\$1,225,000	10	0	14	3	16	10	53

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3135	Enoch City	Secondary Water	Secondary Water Transmission Lines	Enoch	98%	\$3,000,000	\$2,100,000	10	0	14	3	16	10	53
86	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Island Dam	Springville	104%	\$40,000	\$20,000	10	0	18	3	10	10	51
114	Bear River Water Conservancy District	Secondary Water	Garland New Secondary Water System	Garland	105%	\$14,000,000	\$4,900,000	10	0	18	3	10	10	51
3335	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	Gray Mountain Heading	Myton	82%	\$1,000,000	\$400,000	15	0	14	3	7	12	51
3605	Myton City	Secondary Water	Infrastructure improvements	Myton	82%	\$1,800,000	\$540,000	15	0	14	3	7	12	51
3362	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Future pump stations and reservoirs in Southern Box Elder County	Willard	120%	\$10,000,000	\$4,000,000	6	0	18	3	13	10	50
3136	Enoch City	Secondary Water	Secondary Reservoir Construction	Enoch	98%	\$4,000,000	\$2,000,000	10	0	14	3	13	10	50
3134	Enoch City	Secondary Water	Secondary Booster 2	Enoch	98%	\$750,000	\$525,000	10	0	14	3	13	10	50
3129	Enoch City	Secondary Water	Secondary Well #3	Enoch	98%	\$1,750,000	\$1,225,000	10	0	14	3	13	10	50
1883	Lake Creek Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Lake Creek Facility Replacement Ph 2 - 5	Heber City	114%	\$12,800,000	\$10,880,000	6	0	18	3	13	10	50
1564	Cedar City Corporation	Secondary Water	200 North Pump Station Renovations	Cedar City	79%	\$500,000	\$400,000	15	0	14	3	13	5	50
562	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	PRA Provo River Overshot Rehabilitation	Provo	70%	\$750,000	\$525,000	18	0	0	3	16	12	49
2050	Payson City	Secondary Water	Connect a new PI line from the Central Utah ULC turnout on Main Street by the Dry Creek Channel to the Lower PI pond.	Payson	98%	\$1,300,000	\$1,040,000	10	0	18	3	13	5	49
3355	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Harrisville Pump Station North	Harrisville	116%	\$5,000,000	\$1,500,000	6	0	18	3	10	12	49
3356	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Harrisville Pump Station South	Harrisville	116%	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	6	0	18	3	10	12	49
643	Washington City	Secondary Water	Transmission lines to south fields	Washington	96%	\$6,715,405	\$1,678,851	10	0	14	14	0	10	48
644	Washington City	Secondary Water	Quail Transmission and pump station	Washington	96%	\$5,819,633	\$1,454,908	10	0	14	14	0	10	48
3336	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project	Agriculture Off-Farm	Duchesne Feeder Canal Heading	Duchesne	84%	\$1,000,000	\$400,000	15	0	14	3	4	12	48

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3556	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Asset Management Plan	Coalville	120%	\$20,000	\$14,000	6	0	14	3	13	12	48
1788	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Secondary Water	Pipe Replacement for PIP pipe	Heber City	114%	\$500,000	\$250,000	6	0	18	3	10	10	47
3381	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Replace reservoir outlet structures	North Ogden	130%	\$1,800,000	\$900,000	2	0	18	3	13	10	46
3264	Roosevelt City	Secondary Water	Upgrade 500 West 300 South PRV	Roosevelt	100%	\$120,000	\$60,000	10	0	0	14	10	12	46
3601	Myton City	Secondary Water	Pump station	Myton	82%	\$2,000,000	\$800,000	15	0	0	3	16	12	46
415	Mapleton City	Secondary Water	North Zone 2 Distribution	Mapleton	152%	\$2,070,000	\$414,000	0	16	18	3	4	5	46
3260	Roosevelt City	Secondary Water	200 North 525 West PRV	Roosevelt	100%	\$200,000	\$100,000	10	0	0	14	10	12	46
88	Springville Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Baptismal Dam	Springville	104%	\$80,000	\$40,000	10	0	18	3	4	10	45
3345	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Perry North Reservoir	Perry	139%	\$3,000,000	\$1,200,000	2	0	14	3	16	10	45
3346	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Transmission Main from North Res	Perry	139%	\$4,000,000	\$1,600,000	2	0	14	3	16	10	45
3348	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Perry South Reservoir	Perry	139%	\$5,000,000	\$2,000,000	2	0	14	3	16	10	45
3349	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Transmission Main from South Res.	Perry	139%	\$2,000,000	\$800,000	2	0	14	3	16	10	45
3557	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Water Conservation Plan	Coalville	120%	\$10,000	\$7,000	6	0	14	3	10	12	45
3558	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Master Plan	Coalville	120%	\$40,000	\$28,000	6	0	14	3	10	12	45
3579	Coalville City	Secondary Water	Infrastructure improvements	Coalville	120%	\$8,000,000	\$4,000,000	6	0	14	3	10	12	45
3603	Myton City	Secondary Water	reservoir rehabilitation	Myton	82%	\$1,500,000	\$450,000	15	0	0	3	13	12	43
3262	Roosevelt City	Secondary Water	600 East 250 North PRV	Roosevelt	100%	\$200,000	\$100,000	10	0	0	14	7	12	43
3351	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Pump Station from Lower J to New Upper J Reservoir	North Ogden	130%	\$600,000	\$300,000	2	0	18	3	10	10	43

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3111	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Silky Ditch Pipeline	Whiterocks	66%	\$3,000,000	\$1,200,000	18	0	0	3	10	12	43
1887	Grantsville Irrigation	Secondary Water	West Street pipeline	Grantsville	121%	\$1,716,000	\$686,400	6	0	14	3	10	10	43
3261	Roosevelt City	Secondary Water	200 South 525 West PRV	Roosevelt	100%	\$200,000	\$100,000	10	0	0	14	7	12	43
1535	Heber City Corporation	Secondary Water	I-027 PW Expansion Ph 2 - Pressurized Irrigation	Heber City	114%	\$150,000	\$127,500	6	0	0	14	10	12	42
3221	Ogden River Water Users' Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Automation and Scada for all Canal Turnouts	Perry	139%	\$670,000	\$167,500	2	0	18	3	7	12	42
3552	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Ditch & Canal Improvements	Coalville	120%	\$1,000,000	\$320,000	6	0	14	3	7	12	42
3580	Coalville City	Secondary Water	Pumphouse rehabilitation	Coalville	120%	\$400,000	\$200,000	6	0	14	3	7	12	42
446	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	Shallow Groundwater Development	Magna	84%	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	15	0	18	3	0	5	41
449	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	3500 S, Montclair to 7200 W	Magna	84%	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	15	0	18	3	0	5	41
450	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	8000 W Booster Piping	Magna	84%	\$1,250,000	\$1,000,000	15	0	18	3	0	5	41
452	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	3100 S, 7600 W to 7200 W	Magna	84%	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	15	0	18	3	0	5	41
3188	Moon Lake Water Users Association	Agriculture Off-Farm	Yellowstone Feeder Canal Lining Phase III	Altonah		\$2,500,000	\$1,000,000		0	14	3	13	10	40
331	Herriman City	Secondary Water	6400 W Zone 3 Pipeline (SW3.04)	Herriman	130%	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	2	0	18	3	7	10	40
950	Spanish Fork City	Secondary Water	200 E 16" PI Trunkline	Spanish Fork	111%	\$2,500,000	\$1,250,000	6	0	14	3	7	10	40
1889	Grantsville Irrigation	Secondary Water	Shelly Lane pipeline	Grantsville	121%	\$594,750	\$237,900	6	0	14	3	7	10	40
3263	Roosevelt City	Secondary Water	200 North Summerall Ln Prv	Roosevelt	100%	\$200,000	\$100,000	10	0	0	14	4	12	40
3581	Coalville City	Secondary Water	flow monitoring	Coalville	120%	\$150,000	\$105,000	6	0	14	3	4	12	39
3350	Weber-Box Elder Conservation District	Secondary Water	Upper J Reservoir	North Ogden	130%	\$3,500,000	\$1,750,000	2	0	14	3	10	10	39
329	Herriman City	Secondary Water	Rosecrest Rd Zone 3 Pipeline (SW3.07)	Herriman	130%	\$4,500,000	\$3,600,000	2	0	18	3	10	5	38
523	Pleasant Creek Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Pleasant Creek PRV Replacement	Mount Pleasant	89%	\$100,000	\$75,000	15	0	0	3	10	10	38
3110	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Class D Canal Pipeline	Roosevelt	100%	\$15,000,000	\$6,000,000	10	0	0	3	13	12	38
332	Herriman City	Secondary Water	Blayde Dr. Zone 4 Pipeline (SW4.03)	Herriman	130%	\$3,700,000	\$2,960,000	2	0	18	3	4	10	37

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
688	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	Expand Wolf Creek Reuse Storage Pond	Eden	157%	\$7,000,000	\$3,500,000	0	0	14	3	10	10	37
1890	Grantsville Irrigation	Secondary Water	Williams Lane pipeline	Grantsville	121%	\$1,716,000	\$686,400	6	0	14	3	4	10	37
3139	Farnsworth Canal Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Farnsworth Canal Lining Phase II	Talmage		\$1,500,000	\$600,000		0	14	3	7	12	36
3631	Morgan Secondary Water Association	Secondary Water	Inlet Structure Replacement	Morgan	146%	\$200,000	\$100,000	2	0	14	3	7	10	36
258	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project 5: 1300N Hopfenbeck Reservoir/Pump Station	West Point	143%	\$5,000,000	\$4,250,000	2	0	14	14	0	5	35
330	Herriman City	Secondary Water	Mirabella Zone 3 Pipeline (SW3.06)	Herriman	130%	\$3,100,000	\$2,480,000	2	0	18	3	7	5	35
3112	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Class F Brown's Draw Pipeline	Neola	95%	\$3,000,000	\$1,200,000	10	0	0	3	10	12	35
1787	Twin Creeks Special Service District	Secondary Water	Greener Hills Source Deficiency Project	Heber City	114%	\$1,200,000	\$600,000	6	0	0	3	13	12	34
564	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	New Building at Duchesne Tunnel Outlet	Kamas	123%	\$500,000	\$400,000	6	0	0	3	13	12	34
2048	Payson City	Secondary Water	New PI main to loop under I-15	Payson	98%	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	10	0	0	3	10	10	33
563	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	PRA Pipe Rehabilitation Beneath the Jordan River	Bluffdale	136%	\$1,800,000	\$1,260,000	2	0	0	3	16	12	33
566	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Kamas City Bridge to Box Culvert Conversion	Kamas	123%	\$1,200,000	\$600,000	6	0	0	3	10	12	31
567	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Deer Creek Spillway Flow Measurement	Wallsburg	113%	\$500,000	\$250,000	6	0	0	3	10	12	31
1549	Horseshoe Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Shern's pond diversion rehabilitation	Spring City	96%	\$60,000	\$30,000	10	0	0	3	4	12	29
3115	Dry Gulch Irrigation Company	Agriculture Off-Farm	Class A and Mt Emmons Canal Lining	Altamont	125%	\$1,500,000	\$600,000	6	0	0	3	7	12	28
1349	Highland City	Secondary Water	11800 Well Rehab	Highland	163%	\$150,000	\$75,000	0	0	0	14	7	5	26
570	Provo River Water Users Association	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	Mountain Stabilization Retaining Wall at Deer Creek Dam	Wallsburg	113%	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	6	0	0	3	4	12	25

UWIP - Division of Water Resources Agency Plan

Project ID	Lead entity	Infrastructure type	Project name	Nearest location	Pct of State MAGI	Estimated cost	State funding cost	SCORE: Hardship	SCORE: Criticality	SCORE: Water Efficiency	SCORE: Sound Design	SCORE: Entity Priority	SCORE: Pop and Funding	SCORE: Total
3562	Chalk Creek Hoytsville Water Users Corporation	Secondary Water	Legal Administration	Coalville	120%	\$50,000	\$15,000	6	0	0	3	4	12	25
451	Magna Water District	Secondary Water	8000 W Booster Pump Station Pumps	Magna	84%	\$500,000	\$400,000	15	0	0	3	0	5	23
259	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	CLWP-Project 6: 18", 24", & 30" Pipes on 1300 North	West Point	143%	\$1,661,000	\$1,411,850	2	0	0	14	0	5	21
693	Wolf Creek Water & Sewer Improvement District	Secondary Water	Build additional lined Irrigation header pond	Eden	157%	\$5,007,209	\$4,005,767	0	0	0	3	7	10	20
263	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	Kay-Project 3: 30" Pipe on 200 North	Kaysville	152%	\$1,285,000	\$1,092,250	0	0	0	14	0	5	19
264	Davis & Weber Counties Canal Company	Secondary Water	Kay-Project 5: 18" Pipe on Flint St.	Kaysville	152%	\$1,174,000	\$997,900	0	0	0	14	0	5	19
1355	Highland City	Secondary Water	Upper Beacon Hills Transmission Line	Highland	163%	\$468,000	\$234,000	0	0	0	3	4	10	17
1352	Highland City	Secondary Water	Hidden Oaks, Cross Cover Bridge WL	Highland	163%	\$200,000	\$100,000	0	0	0	3	4	10	17
1353	Highland City	Secondary Water	Dry Creek Bench Well	Highland	163%	\$1,584,000	\$792,000	0	0	0	3	7	5	15

References

Bowen, Collins & Associates. (2025). *Small Community Project Needs*. Technical Memorandum.

Brown and Caldwell. (2025). *Recommendations on Prioritization Process for Project Funding*. Technical Memorandum.

Appendix A:

WRe Project Data

Clean data for all projects (including projects that did not request or anticipate funding from the state) identified through the UWIP submission process and assigned to WRe can be accessed via this link:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1xVxFLRPHZbGnZZj6ewxqoc_32vq5R2_H/edit?usp=sharing&oid=117964177612172708628&rtpof=true&sd=true. The link is live, and data is up to date as of June 30, 2025.

Data collected during the first UWIP submission process is hosted on Google Drive within the State's system. Eric Dixon (ericdixon@utah.gov) may be contacted for questions or access to raw data.

Appendix C:

Process for Prioritizing Water Project Funding

Process for Prioritizing Water Project Funding



Introduction

The Utah Unified Water Infrastructure Plan (UWIP) is a comprehensive initiative aimed at identifying and prioritizing water infrastructure projects as well as improving long-term funding for water infrastructure projects across the state. The UWIP was established by [Utah House Bill 280](#) (HB 280) in 2024 and modified in 2025 via [House Bill 285](#) (HB 285).

Among other requirements, HB 280 and HB 285 (or “HB 280/285”) state that the Water Development Coordinating Council (council) shall develop a written prioritization process for ranking and prioritizing water infrastructure projects that will be funded by water infrastructure fund money beginning in fiscal year 2027 (July 1, 2026). HB 280/285 also state that the council shall make rules establishing the written prioritization process. This document provides a summary of background information, describes the prioritization process for funding projects in the UWIP, and includes details for implementing the rules for the prioritization process.

The written prioritization process was initially drafted in early October 2025 and posted for public review. Four public meetings were conducted to gather public feedback on the prioritization process. This document reflects changes that were made pursuant to public feedback. Public comments and associated responses can be found here: <https://water.utah.gov/uwip-projects/>.

Initial data collection

Between February and March 2025, an informational campaign was launched to notify water providers and managers about the upcoming UWIP data collection process. In April 2025, a digital submission process for collecting information on future water infrastructure projects was made available to manage the large number of projects expected to seek funding. On April 7, 2025, emails were sent to 2,249 entities soliciting project information. To educate water providers and managers and promote the data collection process, a website for the UWIP was created (<https://water.utah.gov/uwip-projects/>), informational posters and presentations were prepared for several local water-related conferences, and discussions were held with key water entities.

By May 9, 2025, water providers and managers with future infrastructure needs were to submit their project data. After May 9, several water providers and managers that had submitted incomplete or unclear data were contacted to submit additional or corrected data.

The process for collecting project information in 2025 was focused on creating an initial version of the UWIP database. The State is now integrating the UWIP database with the Utah Project Portal through which users will be able to add new projects, update existing project data, and verify that their project data has been received.

The responses received were combined into a single database (UWIP database) for cleanup, processing, and scoring. A summary of the project information collected is shown in Table 1 and Figure 1.

Table 1. UWIP Project Database summary - May 30, 2025

Infrastructure Type	Assigned Agency	Number of Projects	Estimated Project Cost	State Funding Request
Agriculture Off-Farm	Water Resources	144	\$851.6 M	\$314.3 M
Drinking Water	Drinking Water	1,849	\$6,439.9 M	\$2,336.7 M
Reuse	Water Quality	18	\$1,687.8 M	\$771.2 M
Secondary Water	Water Resources	341	\$955.1 M	\$429.5 M
Stormwater/Flood Control	Water Quality	581	\$990.5 M	\$310.1 M
Wastewater	Water Quality	689	\$5,720.5 M	\$1,112.9 M
Water Supply – Raw Water Source Development	Water Resources	37	\$912.6 M	\$487.6 M
Water Supply – Watershed Protection	Water Quality	5	\$3.9 M	\$0.9 M
Grand Total		3,664	\$17,561.8 M	\$5,763.1 M




Figure 1. Map of project locations and types

Overview of the prioritization process

HB 280 and HB 285 provide guidance on the prioritization process and factors that should be considered in ranking and prioritizing water infrastructure projects. Figure 2 provides a summary illustration of the process.




Figure 2. Draft UWIP prioritization process

State of Utah staff and the consulting team involved in this project established the process in Figure 2 based on the requirements set forth in HB 280/285.

HB 280/285 states that the council will prioritize funding for water infrastructure projects across categories of projects and that the council will consider characteristics of individual projects.

- **Prioritization across project categories:** HB 280/285 is clear that the council will prioritize funding across project types, and it provides guidance on the factors that should be considered.
- **Prioritization of individual projects:** Per HB 280/285, the council will base their project prioritization on the ranking of projects by the agencies. However, HB 280/285 also list several project characteristics that need to be considered in the council's prioritization process. Project data were collected from water providers and managers during the development of the UWIP database that can be used to evaluate projects against the project-specific prioritization criteria in HB 280/285. HB 280/285 also state that small and emergency infrastructure projects are exempted from the UWIP prioritization process.

The process for prioritizing water infrastructure funding in HB 280/285 is intended to be both collaborative – engaging the council and participating agencies – and transparent. Figure 2 depicts how the agency and council prioritization processes will be implemented.

Phase 1. Provisional scoring: The left side of the process flow diagram in Figure 2 describes project data collection and the formation of the UWIP projects database. From the database,

near-term projects (i.e., within 10 years of construction) can be identified, categorized, and provided to the relevant agencies. A “UWIP Provisional Score” for each project will be calculated that evaluates each project against the project-specific prioritization considerations in HB 280/285.

Phase 2. Relevant agency ranking: Each relevant agency will rank projects that seek funding using existing processes and create an annual plan. This annual plan will provide the agency’s final ranking of projects and identify how rankings have been updated from the initial UWIP Provisional Score.

Phase 3. Council funding prioritization: Using the agency plan rankings, the council will consider current and future funding demands for water projects as well as available funding to identify the amount of money to allocate to different categories of projects as well as individual projects. The council may request the legislature allocate additional funding for the next fiscal year.

The individual phases of the prioritization process are described in the following sections.

Phase 1: Provisional scoring

Each year, the divisions of Water Resources, Drinking Water, and Water Quality will encourage water infrastructure entities¹ to enter new projects or update existing project information in the UWIP database. Projects may be for new infrastructure and infrastructure rehabilitation or replacement. While the State’s web-based portal for entering and updating project data in the UWIP will normally be open all year, the agencies will promote its use among water providers in advance of statutory or funding application deadlines to help foster complete and up-to-date information in the UWIP database. Data in the UWIP database will be used to create a UWIP Provisional Score for each project.

The approach for creating UWIP Provisional Scores for each project was developed collaboratively among staff from the divisions of Water Resources, Drinking Water, and Water Quality. The UWIP provisional scoring approach considers several criteria, the relative importance of individual criterion, and the degree to which individual projects reflect the characteristics of each criterion.

Six criteria were identified based on guidance in HB 280/285. A survey, distributed to agency staff, gathered information on the relative importance or weight of the criteria with respect to developing UWIP Provisional Scores. Table 2 lists the criteria and their relative weights that were assigned based on the results of the survey and subsequent meetings among agency staff.

¹ Water infrastructure entities are organizations that deal with the supply, control, measurement, treatment, distribution, storage or transport of any drinking water, irrigation water, secondary water, wastewater, stormwater or other water sources in Utah.

The resulting criteria weights are somewhat uniform, though a few were deemed to be slightly more important. The most important criterion (“Critical issue”) focuses on whether projects mitigate urgent public health, safety, or regulatory issues. Other more important criteria consider financial hardships for project proponents and the degree to which projects foster efficient use of water.

Table 2. Scoring criteria and relative weights

Criterion	Weight
Hardship	18%
Critical issue	22%
Water efficiency	18%
Sound design	14%
Applicant priority	16%
Population benefitted and nonstate funding	12%
Total:	100%

Agency staff and the consulting team developed a scoring rubric for each criterion considering several factors:

- **Similarities of criteria with those already used by agency prioritization processes:** Where similarities exist, the scoring rubric for UWIP criteria sought to align the gradations of scoring used by agencies.
- **Recognition of projects that meet a critical need or conserve water:** Projects in the UWIP that will address critical public health, safety, or regulatory issues or will result in significant water savings were awarded a score favoring the higher end of the scale.
- **No score where data were missing:** If a project in the UWIP was missing data for a criterion, no points were awarded for that criterion.

The scoring rubric considers the weighting in Table 2, with more important criteria having the highest potential scores. The scaled scoring rubric for each criterion is shown in Table 3.

The criteria weights and scoring rubric can be changed based on future council decisions, which will factor in public comment.

Table 3. Scoring rubric for each criterion

Criterion	Scoring Approach	
Hardship	Local median adjusted gross income percent of statewide median adjusted gross income for location entered in UWIP database	Score
	<70%	18
	71-90%	15
	91-110%	10
	111-130%	6
	131-150%	2
	>150% or no median adjusted gross income available	0

Process for Prioritizing Water Project Funding

Criterion	Scoring Approach	
Critical issue ¹	Issue is present (flagged potential issues in UWIP database + manual review)	Score
	Urgent public health, safety, or regulatory issue is flagged and is supported by a description focusing on urgent human health concerns or frequent water quality violations	22
	Urgent public health, safety, or regulatory issue is flagged and is supported by a description focusing on moderate human health concerns or periodic water quality violations	16
	Urgent public health, safety, or regulatory issue is flagged but no description is provided	6
	Urgent public health, safety, or regulatory issue is not flagged	0
Water efficiency ¹	Water will be used more efficiently (flagged in UWIP database + manual review)	Score
	Water efficiency benefit is flagged and is supported by a thorough description of the benefit in both the water savings and project description fields	18
	Water efficiency benefit is flagged and is supported by a description of the benefit in the water savings field	14
	Water efficiency benefit flagged, but no description is provided	6
	Water efficiency benefit is not flagged	0
Sound design	The degree to which a project has been developed (evaluated by its inclusion in a long-term plan and the accuracy of its cost estimate)	Score
	Project identified in a study/report; sizing data provided; Class 1, 2, or 3 cost est. accuracy	14
	Project identified via staff knowledge or other; sizing data provided; Class 1, 2, or 3 cost est. accuracy	9
	No project source identified; Class 1, 2, or 3 cost est. accuracy	6
	Project identified via staff knowledge, study/report, or other; Class 4 or 5 cost est. accuracy	3
	No data provided on project source identification or cost estimate accuracy	0
Applicant priority	The importance of a project to the proponent, based on their self-ranking of projects they submitted	Score
	"1" or top 20% if more than 5 projects submitted	16
	"2" or between 20 to 40 percentile if more than 5 projects submitted	13
	"3" or between 40 to 60 percentile if more than 5 projects submitted	10
	"4" or between 60 to 80 percentile if more than 5 projects submitted	7
	"5" or bottom 20 percent	4
	No ranking provided	0
Population benefitted and nonstate funding	Population served by a project with consideration of funding need	Score
	[<1,000 people] or [>100,000 and >80% non-state funding]	12
	[1,001 to 10,000 people] or [10,001 to 65,000 people and >50% non-state funding]	10
	[65,001 to 100,000 people and >50% non-state funding]	8
	[10,001 to 65,000 people and <50% non-state funding] or [>100,000 and 50% to 80% non-state funding]	5
	[>65,000 and 0% to 50% non-state funding]	2
	No population data provided	0
Total Max Potential Score		100

1. Evaluating and assigning scores for the "Critical issues" and "Water efficiency" criteria will require review and consideration of descriptive data for each project. Due to time constraints and the large number of projects in the UWIP, scores for these criteria in the initial data collection effort were assigned based on the word count of critical issues or water efficiency description for each project in the UWIP. In the future, the score could be assigned or refined with more details and analysis, the presence of certain keywords, or a more sophisticated algorithm. This would most likely be applied to the projects identified as needed in the next five years.

The UWIP Provisional Scores are calculated for each project in the UWIP database using the criteria and scoring rubric described above.

Example project ranking

The scoring rubric was applied to three example, hypothetical projects (described below) to demonstrate how a UWIP Provisional Score may be derived.

- **Project A:** A new water treatment plant for a small town (population of 5,000 in an economically challenged area) whose existing plant struggles to meet drinking water standards. The small town has an idea of costs and sizing but needs financial assistance to better develop the project.
- **Project B:** An enclosure project for an irrigation canal in a rural area. The owners have been planning for this project for several years and have worked with an engineer to design the project.
- **Project C:** A wastewater treatment plant expansion for a large municipality in a growing and relatively prosperous area. The project was identified in a long-term wastewater master plan. The City has been planning for the project financially and can largely pay for the project through its own funds. While the project does not address a discharge violation, it is critical to handle future growth.

Table 4 describes how UWIP Provisional Scores could be applied to each of these projects:

Table 4. Example Calculation of UWIP Provisional Scores

Scoring Criteria	Potential Max Score	Project A	Project B	Project C
Hardship	18	18	18	6
Critical issue	22	22	0	6
Water efficiency	18	6	18	0
Sound design	14	3	14	14
Applicant priority	16	16	16	16
Population benefitted and nonstate funding	12	10	12	12
Total:	100	75	78	54

In the example shown in Table 4, the UWIP Provisional Scores calculated for Projects A and B are nearly identical. The urgently needed water treatment plant scores just less than the canal enclosure project, primarily because the water treatment plant project is not as developed from a design perspective as the canal enclosure. Project proponents for Projects A and B score highly with respect to the hardship criteria because they are in rural areas that do not have the same economic resources as other areas of the state. While Project C is important, it does not address an immediate critical issue, and it is being developed by a city with other financial resources.

Conducting the UWIP provisional scoring

Per the provisions of HB 280/285, projects from the UWIP database along with their UWIP Provisional Scores will be provided to relevant agencies as shown in Table 5. Because the UWIP Provisional Scores are a part of agency processes for ranking projects, they will not be displayed in the web-based project portal but will be included with agency plans (see next section for more information). Note that dam safety funding and application related to high hazard dams not meeting minimum dam safety standards is a separate prioritized list, which is managed by the Division of Water Rights. Dam and reservoir projects that do not qualify under Dam Safety grants should be put on the UWIP list, particularly if funding assistance is anticipated.

Table 5. Project assignments from the UWIP database

Infrastructure Type	Assigned Agency
Agriculture Off-Farm	Water Resources
Drinking Water	Drinking Water
Reuse	Water Quality
Secondary Water	Water Resources
Stormwater/Flood Control	Water Quality
Wastewater	Water Quality
Water Supply – Raw Water Source Development*	Water Resources
Water Supply – Watershed Protection	Water Quality
Blank (not entered)	Manually assign

**Raw water source development projects typically include infrastructure such as dams, reservoirs, ditches, canals, pipelines, etc.*

Phase 2: Relevant agency ranking

Agencies will consider the projects assigned to them annually from the UWIP database. The agencies will consider the UWIP Provisional Scores as they develop their agency plans and rank the projects that seek state funding assistance each year. The following steps describe how the agency ranking and plan development process will proceed. The steps focus on how agencies will evaluate and rank projects to receive water infrastructure fund money. Other considerations may factor in decisions regarding other sources of funding.

- **Identify an application process:** Agencies will incorporate the UWIP requirements into their existing funding application processes for both loan and grant money. The process and application may include all or some of the characteristics that agencies currently use to provide funding assistance.

Each agency currently has processes for soliciting and evaluating loan program or other grant funding requests from water providers. Loan program processes and associated evaluation criteria will remain in place, though the application and award timelines may change to accommodate updated processes. Agency websites will have current information on application and award timelines for funding opportunities outside of the water infrastructure fund money.

- **Review and consider UWIP Provisional Score:** Each agency will review projects that are assigned to them and consider the UWIP Provisional Scores.
- **Invite applications for funding:** Water infrastructure entities with projects that are ready for implementation or construction (1-2 years out) and that have a promising UWIP Provisional Score will be contacted to submit a more comprehensive funding application. The minimum UWIP Provisional Score threshold will be determined by each agency based on the previous year's threshold, information on potential water infrastructure fund money in the coming year, and the projects in the UWIP database that are ready for implementation, with a goal of identifying well-developed and quality projects. Agencies should coordinate on the identified minimum UWIP Provisional Score threshold. Note that project proponents are not precluded from submitting applications for funding through established agency processes even if they did not receive an invitation to submit. However, all projects must be submitted through the Utah Project Portal to qualify for funding.
- **Receive funding applications:** Each agency will receive applications by the respective deadlines identified by each agency. The established deadlines will provide agencies with sufficient time to review applications, create an agency plan, and obtain approval of agency plans from their respective boards.
- **Identify small and emergency projects:** Emergency water infrastructure projects and small infrastructure projects that receive less than an amount of water infrastructure money (established by rule made by the council in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah Administrative Rulemaking Act) are exempt from the prioritization process per the provisions of HB 280/285. Agencies will identify these projects, exclude them from the prioritization process, and evaluate funding them through other processes.
- **Use agency processes to rank projects:** Each agency already has an established process for prioritizing State funding assistance to projects that seek loan funding each year. Many of the existing prioritization criteria used by agencies are tied to other sources of funding and need to remain in place. As a result, agencies will determine how the prioritization criteria should be applied to existing agency funding and water infrastructure fund money and how they use the criteria to rank projects in their annual agency plan. Agencies will also consider how loan and grant funds may be applied to projects.
- **Identify where and how rankings have been updated from the UWIP Provisional Scores:** Differences between the agency ranking of projects and a ranking indicated in the initial UWIP Provisional Scores should be identified in annual agency plans. Example discrepancies may include:
 - More detailed information on projects being submitted with funding applications.
 - Updated project information submitted with funding applications that is not reflected in the UWIP database.
 - Updates to applicant project priority (i.e., a project that was initially a lower priority in the UWIP database is now a higher priority for the applicant).
 - Project information from the submitted detailed description field that, upon manual review, changes the UWIP Provisional Score.

- Differences between the UWIP provisional scoring rubric and existing agency prioritization processes
- **Submit annual agency plan to the council:** Each agency will annually develop and submit their agency plan to the council by June 30. The minimum UWIP Provisional Score threshold should be identified in each plan, and the UWIP Provisional Scores for projects in the plan should be provided. Per the provisions of HB 280/285, the agency plans will include at least the following:
 - Description and ranking of water infrastructure projects that need and have applied for funding and that are under the agency's jurisdiction.
 - Ranking justifications and descriptions of whether projects are ready for construction, planned for construction, or a future project.
 - Identification of projects and funding needs in 10-year phases up to at least 20 years.

Phase 3: Council funding prioritization

The council will receive and review the agency plans. On the basis of the agency plans, the council will consider and answer two questions:

- What is the total funding need?
- How should water infrastructure fund money be distributed to meet the funding need?

The council will consider a variety of factors in answering these two questions. Examples of these factors are listed in Table 6. The total funding need will be based on the total amount of funding requested for eligible water projects highly ranked in the agency plans. Several factors will need to be considered regarding the distribution of funds. The types of projects seeking funding and geographic distribution of funding applications are factors that may be considered. Some factors, such as the costs of projects, population served, and the financial resources of applicants will already have been considered by the agencies and will be reflected in the project ranking described in the agency plans.

Table 6. Phase 3 funding considerations

Factors Considered	Council Funding Prioritization Question
Number of projects	Funding need
Geographic distribution	Distribution of funds
Amount of requested funding	Funding need
Project costs	Funding need - also considered in agency rankings
Population served	Previously considered in agency rankings
Applicant financial resources	Funding need - also considered in agency rankings
Project type	Distribution of funds
Future project funding needs	Funding need

Criteria for funding prioritization

The council will identify priority projects considering the financial needs of project proponents and the quality of projects. The council will also focus on distributing water infrastructure fund money to a

variety of projects rather than focusing all the funding on one or a few projects. Table 7 lists several criteria that will be considered when identifying projects that are eligible, and the overall project funding need will be based on the aggregation of costs for projects that are eligible for water infrastructure fund money.

Table 7. Funding criteria

Criteria	Prioritization Notes
Adequate usage rates	Focuses funding assistance to entities that are already charging adequate and sustainable rates to customers
UWIP Provisional Score threshold	Ensures that only well-developed, quality projects are being funded
Maximum grant funding limitations for individual projects	Prevents large and costly projects from using most of the funding
Capital Asset Management Plan	HB 280/285 requires that recipients comply with relevant capital asset management requirements

For each of these criteria, recommended requirements will be established by the council as part of the development of each UWIP. The criteria in Table 7 are further described below:

- **Adequate usage rates.** Service providers should be charging customers or end users an appropriate amount for supplies and/or water-related services. This criterion focuses funding to projects where additional funding is most needed, and proponents have met or exceeded a cost of service that is reasonably based on the income of their customers.
 - **Water, sewer, and storm drain rates greater than or equal to a set percentage of median adjusted gross income:** Retail water and sewer providers should be collecting appropriate revenue from their customers to fund operations, system maintenance, and infrastructure costs. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidance states that combined water and sewer provider costs that exceed 4.5 percent of median adjusted household income (MAGI) could pose a financial hardship to rate payers, and this value is a common measure of a provider's financial capability². By this measure, there should be a set percentage of the local median adjusted gross income equal to or below this value that providers could reasonably charge customers for water, sewer, or storm drain services without creating financial hardships for customers. Project proponents with usage rates below the set percentage of local median adjusted gross income could increase their rates to raise revenue for projects without causing financial hardship to users, rather than needing water infrastructure fund money. For the purpose of this calculation, the following guidelines will be used:
 - The percentage of MAGI will be calculated based on the average annual cost for water and/or sewer service of the median residential user.
 - For water services, the combined cost of both culinary and secondary will be evaluated against the set percentage of MAGI.

² "Water Affordability Needs Assessment: Report to Congress" December 2024.
<https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2024-12/water-affordability-needs-assessment.pdf>.

- Included in the total cost will be all costs associated with user rates, taxes levied by retail providers' enterprise funds, taxes levied by wholesale/regional providers, and any additional customer charges, such as new fees for raising revenue to be put in the Water Infrastructure Fund (WIF) established in HB 280. Taxes collected as part of general funds, impact fees, or other costs (even if used for some portion of water-related infrastructure costs) will not be included in the calculation.
- **Water conservancy district revenues:** Water conservancy district revenue will be evaluated based on the amount of property tax levied versus their taxing capacity. Water conservancy districts should seek to raise their own revenue for projects before seeking grant funding.
- **Agricultural and watershed protection projects:** Assessing whether agricultural or watershed protection organizations raise appropriate revenue based on standard measures is difficult. The revenue per water user collected by agricultural water providers can vary significantly due to the specific characteristics of irrigation projects and the providers' sources of revenue. Groups focused on watershed protection generally charge membership dues, but the revenue is not intended to fund watershed protection projects. Due to the varied nature of agricultural and watershed protection organizations, funding evaluations relative to adequate usage rates will be considered on a case by case basis.
- **UWIP Provisional Score threshold:** Projects with a high UWIP Provisional Score are more likely to serve a critical need and be more well-developed than projects with lower scores. In other words, projects with high scores are likely of higher quality due to more advanced levels of effort on design and long-term planning by the project proponent. It is expected that the council will set a minimum threshold for funding in each UWIP cycle based on the minimum UWIP Provisional Score threshold used by agencies to invite funding applications, the number of projects submitted, amount of funding requested, and overall quality of applications received. Note that projects scoring lower than the threshold may develop more in the future, thus increasing their score and potential for receiving funding.
- **Establish maximum grant funding limitations:** A limit on the amount of grant money available for each individual project will help ensure wider distribution of funding benefits across the state. It is expected that the council will set a limit for funding in each UWIP cycle based on need and expected funding. More explanation of this criterion is provided in the next subsection.
- **Capital Asset Management Plan:** Project proponents that receive water infrastructure fund money should have adopted a Capital Asset Management Plan to comply with HB 280/285 requirements under:
 - Section 19-5-202 for a water infrastructure project related to wastewater or sewage infrastructure.
 - Section 73-10g-502 for a water conservancy district, as defined in Section 73-10g-501, water infrastructure project that is not described in Subsection (1)(c)(i).
 - Section 73-10g-502.5, for a public water system, as defined in Section 73-10g-502.5, that is not a water conservancy district.

Limitations considering rates and UWIP Provisional Scores

The UWIP database currently does not include enough information to determine if project proponents charge customers enough for their services. Instead, the local median adjusted gross income as percent of the statewide median adjusted gross income was used to determine the project hardship component of the total UWIP Provisional Score. Additional rate-related data will need to be collected in the UWIP database in the future to align the UWIP Provisional Scores with the affordability/hardship criteria. The council will use information in the UWIP database to identify projects that meet usage rate and UWIP Provisional Score criteria.

Maximum grant funding limitations

The council will strive to distribute water infrastructure fund money across a variety of projects rather than focusing most of the funding on a few large and costly projects. The distribution methodology for grant money will require that project proponents bring other funding so that grants are not the sole source used to pay for projects (this requirement is consistent with many sources of funding assistance that require applicants to provide matching funds). A formula will be used to determine the maximum amount of grant funding that an individual project may receive. The formula allows higher percentages of grant fund contributions to project costs for smaller projects than for larger projects. An example formula is summarized below and is illustrated in Figure 3.

- Projects costing \$10 million and above are eligible for a grant for 20 percent of project costs
- Projects costing less than \$10 million would be eligible for a grant defined by the following equation:

$$\text{Max Grant Percentage} = 75\% - 55\% * \left(\frac{\text{Project cost}}{\$10,000,000} \right)$$




Figure 3. Figure illustrating project grant funding equation

Distribution of water infrastructure fund money

HB 280/285 require that the council consider how to distribute water infrastructure grant money across different types of projects. The distribution of these funds across project types will be accomplished through the assignment of different levels of funding across the agencies, because each agency provides funding for different types of projects (see Table 5 for a breakdown of the types of projects that each agency considers). The council may also evaluate whether to distribute funding based on the geographic location of projects. Both project type and geographic factors for funding distribution are described below.

Distribution among agencies

The council will assign water infrastructure fund money to agencies in a two-part process that provides both predictability in how funding will be distributed across agencies and flexibility to distribute funds to meet ongoing and changing needs. The two-part process for distributing funding across agencies is described below:

- **Part 1 – Set percentages:** The council will distribute 50 percent of available water infrastructure fund money across the agencies using a consistent annual apportionment as described below. The council will identify the projects that will be funded by each agency and that fit within each of the apportionments.
 - Division of Drinking Water: 40 percent
 - Division of Water Quality: 30 percent
 - Division of Water Resources: 30 percent
- **Part 2 – Flexible distribution:** The remaining 50 percent of available money will be distributed to projects and across agencies based on council determinations. The council may consider factors such as agency priority projects, the urgency of funding needs for particular types of projects, potential future funding needs for different projects, geographic characteristics of projects, or other factors that the council deems important. The council will identify the projects that are to be funded in part 2 of the distribution.

The total amount of funding available to each agency will be the accumulation of funding amounts derived in parts 1 and 2 above.

Geographic distribution

The council may consider the geographic distribution of projects and funding requests. Because needs in geographic areas will vary over time, specific criteria or limitations are not proposed for determining how funds may be distributed on a geographic basis. If the council considers the geographic location of projects in their determination of funding distribution, the reasoning behind the distribution will be described in the council's UWIP Report.

Agency administration of water infrastructure fund money

The council will assign available funding to each agency based on the two-part distribution process described above. The UWIP Report, created and updated by the council, will provide a prioritized list of the water infrastructure projects and the funding levels available for those water infrastructure projects. The report will also identify the unfunded water infrastructure projects and maintenance needs within the state. The agencies will then award funding to the projects that were designated for funding by the council.

Appendix D:

Small Community Approach



TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

TO: Candice Hasenyager, P.E.
Division of Water Resources

COPIES: File

FROM: Keith Larson, P.E., and Joshua Ward, E.I.T
Bowen Collins & Associates

DATE: June 26, 2025

SUBJECT: Small Community Project Needs

JOB NO.: 233-25-01

INTRODUCTION

In 2024, the Utah Legislature passed HB 280 to create the Water Infrastructure Fund, a new approach to funding water infrastructure projects in the state of Utah. Going forward, the Water Development Coordinating Council (WDDC) will regularly develop and administer a Unified Water Infrastructure Plan (UWIP) to provide financial assistance for a large portion of water infrastructure projects in Utah. This process will modify existing processes for applying for state funding through the Board of Water Resources, Drinking Water Board, and Water Quality Board.

The Division of Water Resources (WRe) contracted with Bowen, Collins & Associates (BC&A) and Brown and Caldwell (BC) to assist with the development of the first UWIP (Contract #256300). In the process of collecting information for projects that anticipate needing state funding over the next 20 years, some concern was expressed that small/rural communities would be underrepresented in the collected data for several reasons:

- The data collection window was very narrow (approximately 1 month) in order to meet legislative deadlines. While efforts were made to reach out to small communities, these communities have much smaller staffs who may not have been available to watch for or understand the significance of this type of request. This results in a greater likelihood that these communities missed project submission deadlines.
- Small entities serving rural populations are less likely to have a capital facilities plan and may lack good information that fully characterizes the anticipated need for projects within their service areas.
- Smaller communities have less population contributing funds for capital projects than larger communities and may require more assistance from state funding sources for critical water infrastructure projects. Because of lower development densities, smaller communities also typically require more pipeline infrastructure per capita than larger communities which increases project costs.

To mitigate some of these concerns, a small community allowance in the UWIP budget was proposed. The purpose of this allowance is to reserve funding for needs in small communities that may not have been captured through the data collection process. It is anticipated that, as the new funding process

matures over time and small communities become more aware of how to submit their project information, the need for this allowance will diminish or cease altogether.

This memorandum documents the approach taken to estimate this allowance in the first UWIP budget. This memorandum first describes the calculation methodology, including key data sources and intermediate numbers, before concluding with recommendations on the funding allowance for small communities.

CALCULATION OF SMALL COMMUNITY ALLOWANCE

BC&A has developed a recommendation for a small community allowance using the following approach:

- **Estimate Total Investment Need.** Calculate the statewide total investment need for each infrastructure type considered in the UWIP. Source data for these numbers included data provided by Prep60, the Clean Water Needs Survey (CWNS), and work performed by the consultant team on various other projects.
- **Identify Small Communities.** Estimate the share of systems (by population) that are classified as small communities from water system reporting data hosted by the Division of Water Rights (DWRi).
- **Estimate Small Community Investment Need.** Calculate the total small community system investment needed using the total statewide need and the expected portion of this total need based on small system characteristics such as population, ERCs, etc.
- **Account for Projects Already Submitted Via UWIP.** Identify submissions to the UWIP from systems that fall under the small community umbrella. Subtract the costs associated with these submitted projects from the estimated small community investment need in the previous step.
- **Estimate State Assistance Needed.** Estimate what portion of the remaining small community system investment could be self-funded (or non-state funded) and what portion will require state assistance.
- **Recommend Small Community Allowance.** The remaining small community funding need is the recommended small community budget for inclusion in the UWIP to account for unknown or miscellaneous projects.

The following subsections describe the key elements of this analysis.

STATEWIDE TOTAL INVESTMENT NEED

BC&A utilized existing estimates from other efforts to characterize the total need for water related infrastructure investment. To be consistent with other statewide planning efforts, investment needs were taken from planning prepared previously by Prep60, the Clean Water Needs Survey, and reports from the Ag Water Optimization Task Force.

Table 1 summarizes the total projected water infrastructure investment needed. The source data accounts for new infrastructure, rehabilitation and replacement, and water conservation projects.

Table 1
Projected Statewide Water Infrastructure Investment Needed

Period	Statewide	Projected Total Water Infrastructure Investment Need (Billion \$, 2025 Costs) ¹				
	Population	Ag off-farm ²	Water ³	Stormwater ⁴	Wastewater ⁴	Total
2025-2030	3,848,265	\$0.67	\$9.12	\$0.48	\$2.84	\$13.12
2030-2040	4,411,838	\$1.35	\$9.26	\$0.49	\$2.88	\$13.98
2040-2050	4,933,443	\$1.35	\$11.42	\$0.60	\$3.56	\$16.93
2050-2060	5,407,140	\$1.35	\$13.61	\$0.65	\$3.81	\$19.42
2060-2070	5,850,160	\$1.35	\$12.48	\$0.70	\$4.12	\$18.64
Total		\$6.06	\$55.88	\$2.92	\$17.21	\$82.08

¹ The Consumer Price Index was used to scale costs from dollars in the the year of original estimation to 2025 dollars.

² Off-farm Agricultural needs estimated at \$5B minimum in 2021 dollars by the Ag Water Optimization Task Force and scaled to over \$6B in 2025 dollars. Total need was spread evenly across each year of the time periods shown in the table.

³ Water needs taken from Prep60 estimates compiled by Tage Flint and produced by major water districts in the state.

⁴ Wastewater and Stormwater needs were estimated in the Clean Water Needs Survey (CWNS). The CWNS estimated total 20 year need but did not have it broken out by decade as in Prep60 data. It was assumed that 20-yr stormwater and wastewater needs would last through 2050 and would be broken out with the same proportions as the Water needs in the same period, which are based on population growth. Wastewater and Stormwater needs beyond 2050 were estimated based on per-capita need in the previous 3 decades, then multiplied by the statewide population.

SMALL COMMUNITY PROPORTION

BC&A analyzed 2023 water use data reported to the DWRi to develop a definition for a “small community” and identify the number of water systems servicing these types of communities. After reviewing available data and discussing options with the project team, a population threshold of 10,000 people was selected. This threshold is consistent with the USDA definition of a small/rural community and is slightly higher than thresholds used by other state programs.

The UWIP project submission process requested information regarding entity size in terms of connections, households, equivalent residential units (ERUs or ERCs), or shareholders (for irrigation applications). An equivalent ERC threshold was obtained by: computing the number of ERCs for each water system based on reported usage, calculating the ratio of statewide population to statewide ERCs, and then dividing the population threshold by this ratio. The total small community population and number of ERCs was computed by adding all systems below these computed thresholds. Table 2 summarizes the key results from these calculations.

Table 2
Small Community Thresholds

Parameter	Value
Total Reported Population (2023)	3,535,323
Total Calculated ERCs (2023)	1,360,674
Population / ERC	2.60
Selected Population Threshold	10,000
Equivalent ERC Threshold	3,850
Small Community Population (2023)	510,500 (85.6%)
Small Community ERCs (2023)	232,000 (83.0%)

BC&A also analyzed the proportion of systems expected to serve the small community population. Figure 1 visualizes the percentiles of population and ERCs against the percentage of water systems in the analyzed data, with systems ordered from largest to smallest. This illustrates that roughly 85.6 percent of the statewide population and 83 percent of the statewide ERCs are serviced by roughly 12-13 percent of public water suppliers. This figure highlights that a majority of Utah's individual water systems fall into the small community category, which supports the need to include an allowance for systems who may not have been able to submit project information to the UWIP.




Figure 1: Small Community Threshold Analysis

SMALL COMMUNITY INVESTMENT NEED

The total investment need as identified above can be converted into an investment need per person. Table 3 summarizes per capita annual investment needs derived from data contained in Table 1. This information can then be used to estimate small community investment needs based on the population associated with small communities.

Table 3
Per Capita Annual Investment Needs

Period	Per Capita Investment Need (2025 dollars/person/year)				
	Ag off-farm	Water	Stormwater	Wastewater	Total
2025-2030	\$35	\$474	\$25	\$148	\$682
2030-2040	\$31	\$210	\$11	\$65	\$317
2040-2050	\$27	\$231	\$12	\$72	\$343
2050-2060	\$25	\$252	\$12	\$70	\$359
2060-2070	\$23	\$213	\$12	\$70	\$319
Average	\$28	\$276	\$14	\$85	\$404

It is difficult to predict how the small community population will change as communities transition in size over the next 40 years. For simplicity, we examined two scenarios to define lower and upper bounds for the small community needs over time:

1. **Static small community population.** This scenario defines the lower bound of expected small community population. It is unlikely that all growth occurs solely in larger communities and that small communities are unaffected.
2. **Small community population grows proportionally with statewide growth.** This scenario defines the likely upper bound of expected small community population. Most of future growth will likely be concentrated rather than proportionally distributed across the state, which will naturally cause some smaller communities as defined here to transition to larger communities.

These scenarios result in the range of total small community investment needs through 2070 shown in Table 4. The average of these scenarios (\$10.2 billion in 2025 dollars) will be used for the purposes of estimating a recommended allowance over this same timeframe.

SMALL COMMUNITY UWIP PROJECT SUBMISSIONS

BC&A applied the small community thresholds to the UWIP project database to identify small community projects already accounted for in the UWIP data. A total of 1,197 projects met the criteria and had a total estimated cost of about \$3.3 billion through 2070 in 2025 dollars. If the \$3.3 billion in projects submitted to the UWIP is subtracted from the total need of \$10.2 billion, this leaves \$6.9 billion of remaining estimated need not represented by the UWIP project submissions.

Projects identified in the UWIP were categorized by infrastructure type and assigned to a lead agency as summarized in Table 5. The UWIP submission process utilized more disaggregated categories to describe the infrastructure type of project needs than the Prep60 and CWNS summary data used to estimate total need. Additionally, the Prep60 data aggregates multiple types of water needs that would be spread between the relevant agencies under the UWIP submission process. This table characterizes the total infrastructure need and remaining need subtotaled at the agency level only to compensate for the uncertainty with mapping the Prep60 data to the categories used in the UWIP submission process.

Table 4
Estimated Small Community Total Investment Needs

Period	Small Community Population		Estimated Small Community Total Investment Need (\$ Million, 2025 dollars)									
			Ag off-farm		Water		Stormwater		Wastewater		Total	
	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
2025-2030	510,500	555,684	\$89	\$97	\$1,210	\$1,317	\$64	\$70	\$377	\$410	\$1,740	\$1,894
2030-2040	510,500	637,064	\$156	\$194	\$1,071	\$1,337	\$57	\$71	\$334	\$417	\$1,618	\$2,019
2040-2050	510,500	712,383	\$139	\$194	\$1,181	\$1,649	\$63	\$87	\$368	\$514	\$1,751	\$2,444
2050-2060	510,500	780,784	\$127	\$194	\$1,285	\$1,966	\$61	\$93	\$360	\$550	\$1,833	\$2,804
2060-2070	510,500	844,755	\$118	\$194	\$1,089	\$1,801	\$61	\$101	\$360	\$595	\$1,627	\$2,692
Total			\$ 629	\$ 873	\$5,836	\$8,070	\$ 306	\$ 422	\$1,799	\$2,486	\$8,569	\$11,853

Table 5
Small Community UWIP Project Submissions and Estimated Need

Lead Agency	Infrastructure type	Total Cost (\$ Million, 2025)	Remaining Need (\$ Million, 2025) ¹	Total Need (\$ Million, 2025) ¹
Water Resources	Agriculture Off-Farm	\$628	\$124	\$752
	Secondary Water	\$427		
	Water Supply - Raw Water Source Development	\$0		
	Subtotal	\$1,055	\$2,554	\$3,609
Drinking Water	Drinking Water	\$1,543		
	Subtotal	\$1,543	\$2,553	\$4,096
Water Quality	Reuse	\$21		
	Stormwater/Flood Control	\$140		
	Wastewater	\$520		
	Water Supply - Watershed Protection	\$4		
	Subtotal	\$685	\$1,821	\$2,506
	Total	\$3,283	\$6,928	\$10,211

¹ With the exception of Agricultural Off-Farm needs, the total need and remaining needs were calculated based on the infrastructure categories in Table 4 and split between the relevant agencies. This approach did not subdivide the agency subtotals between infrastructure types.

SMALL COMMUNITY NON-STATE FUNDING PROPORTION

A key planning challenge for the small community allowance is to estimate how much of the unrepresented need will seek funding by the state. BC&A utilized the following data points to make a reasonable estimate of what the state should budget for its share of small community projects:

- **Historic Funding Percentage.** Members of the project team from WRe indicated historical state funding from WRe has been near 20 percent of the project total, leaving 80 percent of the project costs to non-state sources (including self-funding).
- **Small Community Projects from UWIP Database.** Figure 2 shows the distribution of the number of systems and their average percentage of project costs requested from the state in the UWIP project database. The average among the filtered projects is 43 percent.
- **Clean Water Needs Survey.** The methodology used for this survey accounts for how smaller communities typically have higher conveyance costs split among fewer connections with a pipe length factor (feet of pipe per capita). Depending on community size, the pipe length factor for small communities as defined here (10,000 people or less) could be anywhere from 39 to 108 percent higher than for populations of 50,000 or greater. Assuming the state covers the difference in costs to assist smaller communities and applying these increases to a historic funding percentage of 20 percent gives a range of 27 – 42 percent state funding.
- **HB 280 Infrastructure Fee Study** – Parallel to the efforts associated with the UWIP study (under which this memo is being prepared), House Bill 280 also authorized the completion of an infrastructure fee study. This study is being prepared by Zions Public Finance and was still in process as of the writing of this memorandum. However, preliminary results from that study identified an expected need for State funding of water infrastructure statewide of approximately 25 percent.

Based on these data points, it is recommended that the UWIP include an assumed level of state funding for small communities of 30 percent.




Figure 2: Histogram of Funding Percentage Requested from State Funds from Small Community Projects

RECOMMENDED SMALL COMMUNITY ALLOWANCE

The previous sections establish the key inputs needed to estimate the small community allowance. If the anticipated remaining need is divided uniformly over the next 45 years and the portion of state funding is estimated at 30 percent, a small community allowance for each agency can be calculated as summarized in Table 6. The recommended total UWIP allowance is \$46.2 million per year.

Table 6
Recommended Small Community Allowance

Lead Agency	Total Small Community Need Exclusive of Current UWIP Projects	Small Community Additional UWIP Allowance (30% State Funding)
Water Resources	\$57M/year	\$17.1M/year
Drinking Water	\$57M/year	\$17.1M/year
Water Quality	\$40M/year	\$12.0M/year
Total	\$154M/year	\$46.2M/year

The purpose of initially including the allowance is to allow funding for small communities who were initially missed in outreach efforts or do not have enough planning information or expertise to define projects at this time. As the UWIP funding application processes mature, we assume that small communities will learn these new procedures and this allowance will no longer be required as a supplement to the UWIP prioritized project list each year.


Appendix E:

1/16th of a Percent Sales Tax

Distribution

Distribution of Sales Tax for Water

UCA 59-12-103



Last updated: **September 2017**

Note 1: Species Protection Program

UCA 59-12-103(4)(b) directs the transfer of \$2,450,000 Dedicated Credits to be used to protect sensitive plant and animal species, see 79-2-303(3)(a) through (d) and may not be used to assist the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or any other person to list or attempt to have listed a species as threatened or endangered.

Note 2: Agriculture Resource Development Loan (ARDL) Fund

[UCA 59-12-103\(4\)\(c\)](#) directs \$525,000 to go to ARDL, a revolving loan fund governed by [4-18-106](#).

The Conservation Commission issues the ARDL loans based on criteria established in [4-18-105\(1\)\(e\)\(i\)](#) through (iv).

Note 3: Division of Water Rights' Adjudication Program

[UCA 59-12-103\(4\)\(d\)](#) transfers \$175,000 as Dedicated Credits to the Division of Water Rights for legal and technical staff of water rights adjudication. In addition, the Adjudication program has been receiving an annual appropriation of approximately \$500,000 from the General Fund.

Note 4: Water Resources Conservation and Development (C&D) Fund

[UCA 59-12-103\(4\)\(e\)](#) transfers \$7,175,000 to the Conservation and Development (C&D) Fund governed by [73-10-24](#) and administered by the [Board of Water Resources](#). The C&D Fund helps finance large water projects, multipurpose dams, and water systems. \$3,800,000 is transferred to the Revolving Construction Fund for Dam Safety Grants. These grants are used to provide upgrades to dams as a result of the 1990 Dam Safety Act.

Note 5: 1/16% Transition from Transportation Fund to Water Infrastructure Restricted Account (WIRA)

[S.B. 80](#) (2016 General Session) created the [Water Infrastructure Restricted Account \(73-10g-104\)](#) and modified the distribution of the 1/16% from the Transportation Fund to the WIRA, as follows:

- FY 2018: 80% to the Transportation Fund and 20% to the WIRA;
- FY 2019: 60% to the Transportation Fund and 40% to the WIRA;
- FY 2020: 40% to the Transportation Fund and 60% to WIRA;
- FY 2021: 20% to the Transportation Fund and 80% to WIRA; and
- FY 2022 and thereafter: 100% to WIRA.

Subject to legislative approval, the WIRA funding is to be used for the development of the state's undeveloped share of the Bear and Colorado rivers; and for the repair, replacement, or improvement of federal water projects when federal funds are not available.

Note 6: 85% of the Remaining Difference to Water Resources C&D Fund

After transferring the \$500,000 to DNR for watershed and \$150,000 to Water Resources for Cloudseeding, 85% of the remaining funds are deposited in the Water Resources' C&D Fund for [preconstruction](#) of the Bear River Development Act ([73-26](#)) and the Lake Powell Pipeline Development Act ([73-28](#)), and the cost of a civil engineer to oversee the Bear River Development Project and the Lake Powell Pipeline Project. The funds are nonlapsing.

Note 7: 15% of the Remaining Difference to Water Rights

After transferring the \$500,000 to DNR watershed and \$150,000 to Water Resources for Cloudseeding, 15% of the remaining funds will be transferred each year as Dedicated Credits to Water Rights to cover the costs of additional technical staff for the administration of water rights. The unexpended funds over \$150,000 will lapse to the Water Resources C&D Fund.