

## Fiscal Note H.B. 132 2016 General Session Municipal Business Licensing Amendments by Anderegg, J.



## General, Education, and Uniform School Funds

JR4-5-101

	Ongoing	One-time	Total
Net GF/EF/USF (revexp.)	\$0	\$0	\$0

State Government UCA 36-12-13(2)(b)

Enactment of this legislation likely will n	ot materially impact state	revenue.	
Revenues	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enactment of this legislation likely will n	oot materially impact state	e expenditures.	FY 2018
Total Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net All Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Local Government UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

Enactment of this bill may cost local governments up to \$3.4 million ongoing beginning in FY 2017 due to forgone revenue on licensing fees.

## Individuals & Businesses

UCA 36-12-13(2)(d)

Enactment of this bill may save businesses up to \$3.4 million ongoing beginning in FY 2017 due to municipalities not requiring licensing fees for businesses that meet specific criteria as outlined in the bill. An estimated 4,300 business may not be required to pay a licensing fee, the fee amount ranges from approximately \$47 to \$115, depending on the city class.

Performance Note JR4-2-404

No performance note required for this bill

## **Notes on Notes**

Fiscal notes estimate the direct costs or revenues of enacting a bill. The Legislature uses them to balance the budget. They do not measure a bill's benefits or non-fiscal impacts like opportunity costs, wait times, or inconvenience. A fiscal note is not an appropriation. The Legislature decides appropriations separately.