



Fiscal Note
S.B. 110 2nd Sub. (Salmon)

2016 General Session
 Water Quality Amendments
 by Hinkins, D. (Hinkins, David.)



General, Education, and Uniform School Funds

JR4-5-101

	Ongoing	One-time	Total
Net GF/EF/USF (rev.-exp.)	\$ (24,300)	\$ (12,800)	\$ (37,100)

State Government

UCA 36-12-13(2)(b)

Enactment of this legislation could generate \$40,500 Dedicated Credits revenue ongoing beginning in FY 2017 for the Department of Environmental Quality from payments from an estimated three entities or individuals that challenge proposals from the Division of Water Quality through the peer review process.

Revenues	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Dedicated Credits	\$0	\$40,500	\$40,500
Total Revenues	\$0	\$40,500	\$40,500

Enactment of this legislation could cost the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) \$12,800 one-time from the General Fund in FY 2017 to develop rules for the peer review process, and \$24,300 ongoing from the General Fund beginning in FY 2017 for screening, selecting, and staffing an estimated three review panels per year. Enactment of this legislation could cost DEQ \$40,500 ongoing from Dedicated Credits beginning in FY 2017 to compensate panel members.

Expenditures	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
General Fund	\$0	\$24,300	\$24,300
General Fund, One-Time	\$0	\$12,800	\$0
Dedicated Credits	\$0	\$40,500	\$40,500
Total Expenditures	\$0	\$77,600	\$64,800

Net All Funds	\$0	\$ (37,100)	\$ (24,300)
----------------------	------------	--------------------	--------------------

Local Government

UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

Enactment of this legislation would lead to local governmental entities incurring the costs of the peer review process when choosing to challenge proposals from the Division of Water Quality.

Individuals & Businesses

UCA 36-12-13(2)(d)

Enactment of this legislation would lead to businesses or individuals incurring the costs of the peer review process when choosing to challenge proposals from the Division of Water Quality.

No performance note required for this bill

Notes on Notes

Fiscal notes estimate the direct costs or revenues of enacting a bill. The Legislature uses them to balance the budget. They do not measure a bill's benefits or non-fiscal impacts like opportunity costs, wait times, or inconvenience. A fiscal note is not an appropriation. The Legislature decides appropriations separately.