

## Fiscal Note S.B. 110 3rd Sub. (Ivory)

2016 General Session Water Quality Amendments by Hinkins, D. (Hinkins, David.)



## General, Education, and Uniform School Funds

JR4-5-101

|                         | Ongoing    | One-time   | Total      |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Net GF/EF/USF (revexp.) | \$(24,300) | \$(12,800) | \$(37,100) |

State Government UCA 36-12-13(2)(b)

Enactment of this legislation could generate \$40,500 Dedicated Credits revenue ongoing beginning in FY 2017 for the Department of Environmental Quality from payments from an estimated three entities or individuals that challenge proposals from the Division of Water Quality through the peer review process.

| Revenues          | FY 2016 | FY 2017  | FY 2018  |
|-------------------|---------|----------|----------|
| Dedicated Credits | \$0     | \$40,500 | \$40,500 |
| Total Revenues    | \$0     | \$40,500 | \$40,500 |

Enactment of this legislation could cost the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) \$12,800 one-time from the General Fund in FY 2017 to develop rules for the peer review process, and \$24,300 ongoing from the General Fund beginning in FY 2017 for screening, selecting, and staffing an estimated three review panels per year. Enactment of this legislation could cost DEQ \$40,500 ongoing from Dedicated Credits beginning in FY 2017 to compensate panel members.

| Expenditures           | FY 2016    | FY 2017    | FY 2018    |
|------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| General Fund           | \$0        | \$24,300   | \$24,300   |
| General Fund, One-Time | \$0        | \$12,800   | \$0        |
| Dedicated Credits      | \$0        | \$40,500   | \$40,500   |
| Total Expenditures     | \$0        | \$77,600   | \$64,800   |
| Net All Funds          | <u>\$0</u> | \$(37,100) | \$(24,300) |

Local Government UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

Enactment of this legislation would lead to local governmental entities incurring the costs of the peer review process when choosing to challenge proposals from the Division of Water Quality.

## Individuals & Businesses

UCA 36-12-13(2)(d)

Enactment of this legislation would lead to businesses or individuals incurring the costs of the peer review process when choosing to challenge proposals from the Division of Water Quality.

3.B. 110 3rd Sub. (Ivory)

Performance Note JR4-2-404

No performance note required for this bill

## **Notes on Notes**

Fiscal notes estimate the direct costs or revenues of enacting a bill. The Legislature uses them to balance the budget. They do not measure a bill's benefits or non-fiscal impacts like opportunity costs, wait times, or inconvenience. A fiscal note is not an appropriation. The Legislature decides appropriations separately.