



Fiscal Note
S.B. 76 1st Sub. (Green)
2017 General Session
Post-conviction DNA Testing Amendments
by Hillyard, L. (Hillyard, Lyle.)



General, Education, and Uniform School Funds

JR4-5-101

	Ongoing	One-time	Total
Net GF/EF/USF (rev.-exp.)	\$(44,600)	\$0	\$(44,600)

State Government

UCA 36-12-13(2)(b)

Enactment of this legislation likely will not materially impact state revenue.

Revenues	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Total Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0

Enactment of this legislation could cost the Attorney General \$44,600 from the General Fund for costs related to responding to requests for post-conviction DNA testing.

Expenditures	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
General Fund	\$0	\$44,600	\$44,600
Total Expenditures	\$0	\$44,600	\$44,600

Net All Funds	\$0	\$(44,600)	\$(44,600)
---------------	-----	------------	------------

Local Government

UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

Enactment of this legislation likely will not result in direct, measurable costs for local governments.

Individuals & Businesses

UCA 36-12-13(2)(d)

Enactment of this legislation likely will not result in direct, measurable expenditures by Utah residents or businesses.

Performance Note

JR4-2-404

No performance note required for this bill

Notes on Notes

Fiscal notes estimate the direct costs or revenues of enacting a bill. The Legislature uses them to balance the budget. They do not measure a bill's benefits or non-fiscal impacts like opportunity costs, wait times, or inconvenience. A fiscal note is not an appropriation. The Legislature decides appropriations separately.