

Fiscal Note S.B. 268 2019 General Session Transportation Infrastructure Bond Amendments by Cullimore, K.



JR4-5-101

| | General, Education, and Uniform School Funds |
|-----|--|
| — Г | |

| | Ongoing | One-time | Total |
|-------------------------|---------|----------|-------|
| Net GF/EF/USF (revexp.) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| State Government UCA 36-12-13 | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-------------|--|--|
| Revenues | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | | |
| Total Revenues | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Enactment of this legislation likely will not materially impact state revenue. | | | | | |
| Expenditures | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | | |
| Transportation Investment Fund of 2005 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,000,000 | | |
| Total Expenditures | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,000,000 | | |

Enactment of this legislation authorizes the Transportation Commission to issue general obligation bonds of up to \$1.6 billion (plus costs of issuance) for state highway construction or reconstruction projects. Issuance of these bonds could cost approximately \$400 million from sales tax revenue for interest. The bill directs that \$56 million of bond proceeds be made available to the Transportation Infrastructure Loan Fund (TILF) for the following: 1) \$24 million - to a military installation development authority (MIDA); 2) \$5 million - to the Inland Port Authority for infrastructure projects; 3) \$7 million to Midvale City for a parking structure in proximity to an intermodal transportation facility; and 4) \$20 million - unspecified (Salt Lake County could use the funds for parking facilities that support economic development and recreation and tourism). The bill eliminates a \$5 million allocation from TILF for right-of-way acquisition and highway construction in the northwest guadrant of Salt Lake City. The bill directs \$164 million bond proceeds for use on projects/purposes in the following locations: 1) \$12 million - underpasses connecting a state park and a project area created by a MIDA; 2) \$10 million -1600 North in Orem City; 3) \$10 million - realignment of U-111 south of Old Bingham Highway in Salt Lake County; 4) \$15 million - corridor preservation and land acquisition for a transit hub at the mouth of Big Cottonwood Canyon; 5) \$20 million - underpass on Interstate 80 related to the inland port; 6) \$8 million - connector facility in Vineyard City; 7) \$15 million - infrastructure improvements related to a project area of the MIDA in Weber County; 8) \$23 million - transportation infrastructure and gate realignment at Hill Air Force Base; 9) \$4 million - environmental and traffic studies on 1100 North in North Salt Lake; 10) \$20 million - Shepard Lane in Davis County; 11) \$9 million - Provo Airport; 12) \$11 million - Pony Express Parkway near Saratoga Springs and Eagle Mountain; and 13) \$7 million - a bridge on Coal Creek Road near Cedar City. Debt issuances are assumed to be for fifteen years at projected market interest rates as follows: FY 2021 - \$160 million; FY 2022 - \$500 million; FY 2023 - \$500 million; and FY 2024 - \$440 million. Debt service payments (principal and interest) could rise

from approximately \$3 million in FY 2021 to approximately \$130 million annually from FY 2025 through FY 2036 then decrease until final repayment in FY 2039.

| | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------------|
| Net All Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$(3,000,000) |

Local Government

Enactment of this legislation likely will not result in direct, measurable costs for local governments.

Individuals & Businesses

Enactment of this legislation likely will not result in direct expenditures from tax or fee changes for Utah residents and businesses.

Regulatory Impact

Enactment of this legislation likely will not change the regulatory burden for Utah residents or businesses.

Performance Note

No performance note required for this bill

Notes on Notes

Fiscal notes estimate the direct costs or revenues of enacting a bill. The Legislature uses them to balance the budget. They do not measure a bill's benefits or non-fiscal impacts like opportunity costs, wait times, or inconvenience. A fiscal note is not an appropriation. The Legislature decides appropriations separately.

| S.B. |
|------|
| 268 |

UCA 36-12-13(2)(d)

UCA 36-12-13(2)(c)

UCA 36-12-13(2)(e)

JR4-2-404