

Office of the  
Legislative Fiscal Analyst

## **FY 2002 Budget Recommendations**

Joint Appropriations Subcommittee for  
Community Development and Human Resources

Department of Community and Economic Development  
**Community Development Capital Programs**

Contents:

- 1.0 Summary
- 2.0 Issues
- 3.0 Programs
- 4.0 Additional Information



**1.0 Summary: Community Development Capital Programs**

These programs mitigate the impacts of non-metallic mineral extraction and help fund special service districts.

	<b>Analyst FY 2002 Base</b>	<b>Analyst FY 2002 Changes</b>	<b>Analyst FY 2002 Total</b>
<b>Financing</b>			
Federal Mineral Lease	14,414,500		14,414,500
GFR - Mineral Bonus	4,857,100		4,857,100
Permanent Community Impact	17,663,000		17,663,000
Transfers	(17,663,000)		(17,663,000)
Repayments	13,301,500		13,301,500
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$32,573,100</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$32,573,100</u>
<b>Programs</b>			
Permanent Community Impact Board	30,964,500		30,964,500
Special Service Districts	1,608,600		1,608,600
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$32,573,100</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$32,573,100</u>
<b>FTE/Other</b>			

**3.0 Programs: Community Development Capital Programs**

**3.1 Permanent Community Impact Fund**

**Recommendation**      The Analyst recommends \$30,964,500. This is only an estimate and the actual budget will depend on actual Mineral Lease revenues.

	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>Est/Analyst</b>
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
Federal Mineral Lease	12,865,000	10,234,700	12,805,900	2,571,200
GFR - Mineral Bonus		3,150,000	4,857,100	1,707,100
Permanent Community Impact	12,865,000	13,384,700	17,663,000	4,278,300
Transfers	(12,865,000)	(13,384,700)	(17,663,000)	(4,278,300)
Repayments	10,782,500	8,375,000	13,301,500	4,926,500
Lapsing Balance	(5,280,600)			
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$18,366,900</u>	<u>\$21,759,700</u>	<u>\$30,964,500</u>	<u>\$9,204,800</u>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Other Charges/Pass Thru	18,366,900	21,759,700	30,964,500	9,204,800
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$18,366,900</u>	<u>\$21,759,700</u>	<u>\$30,964,500</u>	<u>\$9,204,800</u>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				

**Purpose**      These funds mitigate the impacts of non-metallic mineral extraction. Federal statute limits spending to public planning, public services, or public infrastructure. The division is allowed to use two percent of the total (including Permanent Community Impact and repayments) for administrative expenses. Todd Hauber’s chart in section 4 is an excellent explanation of the complexities of Mineral Lease distribution.

**Funding Summary by County for FY 1999**

<b>County</b>	<b>Projects</b>	<b>Grants</b>	<b>Loans</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Beaver</b>	1		\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
<b>Box Elder</b>				
<b>Cache</b>				
<b>Carbon</b>	7	\$621,522	1,000,000	1,621,522
<b>Daggett</b>				
<b>Davis</b>				
<b>Duchesne</b>	2	25,000	711,000	736,000
<b>Emery</b>	4	980,000	1,150,000	2,130,000
<b>Garfield</b>	3	316,500	2,250,000	2,566,500
<b>Grand</b>	1	53,000		53,000
<b>Iron</b>	1		166,190	166,190
<b>Juab</b>				
<b>Kane</b>	1		2,150,000	2,150,000
<b>Millard</b>				
<b>Morgan</b>				
<b>Piute</b>	1	155,500		155,500
<b>Rich</b>				
<b>Salt Lake</b>				
<b>San Juan</b>	3	710,000		710,000
<b>Sanpete</b>	2	72,000	770,000	842,000
<b>Sevier</b>	1	150,000	1,750,000	1,900,000
<b>Summit</b>	1	630,000	130,000	760,000
<b>Tooele</b>				
<b>Uintah</b>	3	1,186,771	2,186,771	3,373,542
<b>Utah</b>				
<b>Wasatch</b>	1		1,400,000	1,400,000
<b>Washington</b>	3	242,500	1,150,000	1,392,500
<b>Wayne</b>	1	265,000	225,000	490,000
<b>Weber</b>				
<b>State Agencies</b>	2	104,324	150,000	254,324
<b>Total</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>\$5,512,117</b>	<b>\$16,188,961</b>	<b>\$21,701,078</b>

### 3.2 Special Service Districts

**Recommendation**      The Analyst’s recommendation is 5% of the Legislature’s Mineral Lease Estimate. This is only an estimate and the actual budget will depend on actual revenues. These funds are distributed according to formula.

	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>Est/Analyst</b>
	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
<b>Financing</b>				
Federal Mineral Lease	1,674,300	1,350,000	1,608,600	258,600
<b>Total</b>	\$1,674,300	\$1,350,000	\$1,608,600	\$258,600
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Other Charges/Pass Thru	1,674,300	1,350,000	1,608,600	258,600
<b>Total</b>	\$1,674,300	\$1,350,000	\$1,608,600	\$258,600
<b>FTE/Other</b>				

**Purpose**      From UCA 59-21-2(g)(B):

“...the Legislature shall appropriate 5% of all deposits made to the Mineral Lease Account to the Department of Community and Economic Development to be distributed to special service districts within counties meeting the requirements of Subsections (2)(g)(i)(A)(I) through (III).”

**4.0 Additional Information****4.10 Funding History**

	<b>1998</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>
Federal Mineral Lease	22,950,600	14,814,900	14,539,300	11,584,700	14,414,500
GFR - Mineral Bonus				3,150,000	4,857,100
Permanent Community Impact	22,950,600	14,133,700	12,865,000	13,384,700	17,663,000
Transfers	(22,975,000)	(14,133,700)	(12,865,000)	(13,384,700)	(17,663,000)
Repayments		11,890,300	10,782,500	8,375,000	13,301,500
Beginning Nonlapsing	24,400				
Lapsing Balance	(13,274,000)	(13,711,800)	(5,280,600)		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$9,676,600</b>	<b>\$12,993,400</b>	<b>\$20,041,200</b>	<b>\$23,109,700</b>	<b>\$32,573,100</b>
<b>Programs</b>					
Permanent Community Impact Board	9,676,600	12,312,200	18,366,900	21,759,700	30,964,500
Special Service Districts		681,200	1,674,300	1,350,000	1,608,600
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$9,676,600</b>	<b>\$12,993,400</b>	<b>\$20,041,200</b>	<b>\$23,109,700</b>	<b>\$32,573,100</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Other Charges/Pass Thru	9,676,600	12,993,400	20,041,200	23,109,700	32,573,100
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$9,676,600</b>	<b>\$12,993,400</b>	<b>\$20,041,200</b>	<b>\$23,109,700</b>	<b>\$32,573,100</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>					