▲ Approved for Filing: ECM
 ▲ 02-03-98 10:16 AM

1	HABITUAL YOUTH OFFENDER AMENDMENTS
2	1998 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Sponsor: Blake D. Chard
5	AN ACT RELATING TO STATE AFFAIRS IN GENERAL; CHANGING THE SERIOUS
6	HABITUAL OFFENDER COMPREHENSIVE ACTION PROGRAM TASK FORCE TO AN
7	OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE; ADDING PROVISIONS TO ALLOW THE SHARING OF
8	INFORMATION UNDER THE FAMILY EDUCATIONAL RIGHTS AND PRIVACY ACT;
9	ALLOWING FOR PHOTOGRAPHS AND FINGERPRINTS OF SERIOUS HABITUAL
10	OFFENDERS TO BE TAKEN; AND MAKING TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.
11	This act affects sections of Utah Code Annotated 1953 as follows:
12	AMENDS:
13	63-92-2, as repealed and reenacted by Chapter 255, Laws of Utah 1997
14	63-92-3, as last amended by Chapters 255 and 329, Laws of Utah 1997
15	63-92-4, as last amended by Chapter 255, Laws of Utah 1997
16	78-3a-904, as enacted by Chapter 1, Laws of Utah 1996
17	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
18	Section 1. Section 63-92-2 is amended to read:
19	63-92-2. Creation Purpose Administration Access.
20	(1) There is created the Serious Habitual Offender Comprehensive Action Program
21	(SHOCAP) to establish a SHOCAP Database to identify and track youthful offenders in order to
22	assist agencies in providing collaborative and comprehensive services to them.
23	(2) The database shall be administered by the Administrative Office of the Courts with
24	information contributed by the following agencies:
25	(a) the State Office of Education, including all school districts;
26	(b) the Department of Health;
27	(c) the Department of Human Services, including all county mental health agencies;



H.B. 170

02-03-98 10:16 AM

1	(d) the Department of Public Safety;
2	(e) all county and municipal law enforcement agencies; and
3	(f) all county and district attorney offices.
4	(3) The database shall be maintained in accordance with guidelines established by the [task
5	force] oversight committee created in Section 63-92-3 so that the agencies listed in Subsection (2)
6	can efficiently access the database.
7	(4) Information provided by schools in compliance with the provisions of this chapter is
8	authorized under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act Regulations, 34 CFR Part 99.
9	[(4)] (5) Information in the database provided by an agency to the database is considered
10	to be the property of the agency providing the information and retains any classification given it
11	under Title 63, Chapter 2, Government Records Access and Management Act.
12	[(5)] (6) Any person who knowingly releases or discloses information from the database
13	for a purpose other than authorized by this chapter or to a person who is not entitled to it is guilty
14	of a class B misdemeanor.
15	[(6)] (7) Neither the state nor the courts are liable to any person for gathering, managing,
10	or using the information in the database as provided in this chapter.
16	or using the mornandom in the endouse as provided in this endperi
16 17	Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read:
17	Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read:
17 18	Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3. Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members.
17 18 19	 Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3. Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members. (1) The SHOCAP program shall be established as a [pilot] program in Davis County until
17 18 19 20	Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3. Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members. (1) The SHOCAP program shall be established as a [pilot] program in Davis County until the SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee in conjunction with the Administrative Office of
17 18 19 20 21	Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3. Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members. (1) The SHOCAP program shall be established as a [pilot] program in Davis County until the SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee in conjunction with the Administrative Office of the Courts is satisfied the program is capable of statewide implementation.
17 18 19 20 21 22	 Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3. Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members. (1) The SHOCAP program shall be established as a [pilot] program in Davis County until the SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee in conjunction with the Administrative Office of the Courts is satisfied the program is capable of statewide implementation. (2) The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice shall [form a] administer the
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3. Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members. (1) The SHOCAP program shall be established as a [pilot] program in Davis County until the SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee in conjunction with the Administrative Office of the Courts is satisfied the program is capable of statewide implementation. (2) The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice shall [form a] administer the SHOCAP [task force to implement] oversight committee and provide periodic review of the [pilot]
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3. Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members. (1) The SHOCAP program shall be established as a [pilot] program in Davis County until the SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee in conjunction with the Administrative Office of the Courts is satisfied the program is capable of statewide implementation. (2) The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice shall [form a] administer the SHOCAP [task force to implement] oversight committee and provide periodic review of the [pilot] program.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3. Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members. (1) The SHOCAP program shall be established as a [pilot] program in Davis County until the SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee in conjunction with the Administrative Office of the Courts is satisfied the program is capable of statewide implementation. (2) The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice shall [form a] administer the SHOCAP [task force to implement] oversight committee and provide periodic review of the [pilot] program. (3) The SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee shall be composed of the following
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3 . Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members . (1) The SHOCAP program shall be established as a [pilot] program in Davis County until the SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee in conjunction with the Administrative Office of the Courts is satisfied the program is capable of statewide implementation. (2) The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice shall [form a] administer the SHOCAP [task force to implement] oversight committee and provide periodic review of the [pilot] program. (3) The SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee shall be composed of the following persons, or their designees:
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3. Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members. (1) The SHOCAP program shall be established as a [pilot] program in Davis County until the SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee in conjunction with the Administrative Office of the Courts is satisfied the program is capable of statewide implementation. (2) The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice shall [form a] administer the SHOCAP [task force to implement] oversight committee and provide periodic review of the [pilot] program. (3) The SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee shall be composed of the following persons, or their designees: (a) the juvenile court administrator for the 2nd Judicial District;
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	 Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3. Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members. (1) The SHOCAP program shall be established as a [pilot] program in Davis County until the SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee in conjunction with the Administrative Office of the Courts is satisfied the program is capable of statewide implementation. (2) The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice shall [form a] administer the SHOCAP [task force to implement] oversight committee and provide periodic review of the [pilot] program. (3) The SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee shall be composed of the following persons, or their designees: (a) the juvenile court administrator for the 2nd Judicial District; (b) the superintendent of the Davis County school district;
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 	 Section 2. Section 63-92-3 is amended to read: 63-92-3. Establishment of pilot program Oversight Committee Members. (1) The SHOCAP program shall be established as a [pilot] program in Davis County until the SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee in conjunction with the Administrative Office of the Courts is satisfied the program is capable of statewide implementation. (2) The Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice shall [form a] administer the SHOCAP [task force to implement] oversight committee and provide periodic review of the [pilot] program. (3) The SHOCAP [task force] oversight committee shall be composed of the following persons, or their designees: (a) the juvenile court administrator for the 2nd Judicial District; (b) the superintendent of the Davis County school district; (c) the Davis County attorney;

02-03-98 10:16 AM

1	(f) the chief of police of at least four municipalities within Davis County;
2	(g) the commissioner of the Department of Public Safety;
3	(h) the chief of probation for the 2nd District Juvenile Court;
4	(i) the director of the Division of Youth Corrections;
5	(j) the director of the Division of Child and Family Services;
6	(k) the director of the Division of Mental Health;
7	(1) the state court administrator;
8	(m) a member of the Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice appointed by the
9	executive director; and
10	(n) a member of a private provider of after-care services.
11	Section 3. Section 63-92-4 is amended to read:
12	63-92-4. Duties Report to Legislature.
13	(1) The [task force] oversight committee shall [develop and] implement and periodically
14	review the following:
15	(a) criteria for determining who is a serious habitual offender (SHO);
16	(b) what information is needed on each offender for inclusion in the program;
17	(c) who will have access to the database;
18	(d) who will maintain the database and manage the information in the program;
19	(e) what the information in the database is to be used for;
20	(f) penalties for improper use of the information in the database; and
21	(g) a timetable for expanding the program for statewide use.
22	(2) The oversight committee shall develop a written interagency information sharing
23	agreement to be signed by the chief executive officer of each of the agencies represented on the
24	oversight committee. The sharing agreement shall include the provisions requiring that:
25	(a) all records pertaining to a SHO be kept confidential;
26	(b) the disclosure of information to other staff members of signatory agencies be made
27	only to those staff members who provide direct services or supervision to the SHO; and
28	(c) all staff members of signatory agencies receiving confidential information concerning
29	a SHO be subject to the confidentiality requirements of this chapter.
30	[(2)] (3) The [task force] oversight committee shall develop a program which shall be
31	capable of maintaining the information determined to be necessary under Subsection (1).

02-03-98 10:16 AM

H.B. 170

1	[(3) The task force shall report to the Judiciary Interim Committee at the last interim
2	meeting in 1997 to explain the database and make recommendations regarding statewide
3	implementation of the program.]
4	Section 4. Section 78-3a-904 is amended to read:
5	78-3a-904. When photographs, fingerprints, or HIV infection tests may be taken
6	Distribution Expungement.
7	(1) Photographs may be taken of a minor 14 years of age or older who:
8	(a) is taken into custody for the alleged commission of an offense under Sections
9	78-3a-104, 78-3a-601, and 78-3a-602 that would also be an offense if the minor were 18 years of
10	age or older <u>; or</u>
11	(b) has been determined to be a serious habitual offender for tracking under Section
12	63-92-2 and is under the continuing jurisdiction of the Juvenile Court or the Division of Youth
13	Corrections.
14	(2) (a) Fingerprints may be taken of a minor 14 years of age or older who:
15	(i) is taken into custody for the alleged commission of an offense that would be a felony
16	if the minor were 18 years of age or older; or
17	(ii) has been determined to be a serious habitual offender for tracking under Section
18	63-92-2 and is under the continuing jurisdiction of the Juvenile Court or the Division of Youth
19	Corrections.
20	(b) Fingerprints shall be forwarded to the Bureau of Criminal Identification and may be
21	stored by electronic medium.
22	(3) HIV testing may be conducted on a minor who is taken into custody after having been
23	adjudicated to have violated state law prohibiting a sexual offense under Title 76, Chapter 5, Part
24	4, Sexual Offenses, upon the request of the victim or the parent or guardian of a minor victim.
25	(4) HIV tests, photographs, and fingerprints may not be taken of a minor younger than 14
26	years of age without the consent of the court.
27	(5) (a) Photographs may be distributed or disbursed to individuals or agencies other than
28	state or local law enforcement agencies only when a minor 14 years of age or older is charged with
29	an offense which would be a felony if committed by an adult.
30	(b) Fingerprints may be distributed or disbursed to individuals or agencies other than state
31	or local law enforcement agencies.

- 4 -

02-03-98 10:16 AM

- 1 (6) When a minor's juvenile record is expunged, all photographs and other records as
- 2 ordered shall upon court order be destroyed by the law enforcement agency. Fingerprint records
- 3 may not be destroyed.

Legislative Review Note as of 1-19-98 2:23 PM

A limited legal review of this bill raises no obvious constitutional or statutory concerns.

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

- 5 -