$\ \, \mbox{$\rlap{$L}$} \ \, \mbox{Approved for Filing: PO} \ \, \ \, \mbox{$\rlap{$\rlap{$L}$}$} \ \,$

1	SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE ACTIONS
2	2000 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Sponsor: Greg J. Curtis
5	AN ACT RELATING TO CORPORATIONS; AMENDING PROVISIONS RELATED TO
6	DERIVATIVE PROCEEDINGS; AND MAKING TECHNICAL CHANGES.
7	This act affects sections of Utah Code Annotated 1953 as follows:
8	AMENDS:
9	16-10a-740, as enacted by Chapter 277, Laws of Utah 1992
10	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
11	Section 1. Section 16-10a-740 is amended to read:
12	16-10a-740. Procedure in derivative proceedings.
13	(1) As used in this section:
14	(a) "derivative proceeding" means a civil suit in the right of:
15	(i) a domestic corporation; or
16	(ii) to the extent provided in Subsection (7), a foreign corporation; and
17	(b) "shareholder" includes a beneficial owner whose shares are held:
18	(i) in a voting trust; or
19	(ii) by a nominee on the beneficial owner's behalf.
20	[(1)] (2) A [person] shareholder may not commence or maintain a derivative proceeding
21	[in the right of a domestic or foreign corporation] unless the [person] shareholder:
22	(a) (i) was a shareholder of the [domestic or foreign] corporation [when the transaction]
23	at the time of the act or omission complained of [occurred]; or [unless the person]
24	(ii) became a shareholder through transfer by operation of law from one who was a
25	shareholder at [that] the time of the act or omission complained of; and
26	(b) fairly and adequately represents the interests of the corporation in enforcing the right
27	of the corporation.

H.B. 86 01-24-00 6:59 AM

28	(3) (a) A shareholder may not commence a derivative proceeding until:
29	(i) a written demand has been made upon the corporation to take suitable action; and
30	(ii) 90 days have expired from the date the demand described in Subsection (3)(a)(i) is
31	made unless:
32	(A) the shareholder is notified before the 90 days have expired that the demand has been
33	rejected by the corporation; or
34	(B) irreparable injury to the corporation would result by waiting for the expiration of the
35	90-day period.
36	[(2)] (b) A complaint in a derivative proceeding [brought in the right of a corporation
37	must] shall be:
38	(i) verified; and
39	(ii) allege with particularity the demand made[, if any,] to obtain action by the board of
40	directors [and either that the demand was refused or ignored or why the complainant did not make
41	the demand. Whether or not a demand for action was made, if the corporation commences an
42	investigation of the charges made in the demand or complaint, the].
43	(c) A derivative proceeding shall comply with the procedures of Utah Rules of Civil
44	Procedure, Rule 23.1.
45	(d) The court [may] shall stay any derivative proceeding until the [investigation] inquiry
46	is completed [$ ext{-}$] $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ AND FOR SUCH ADDITIONAL PERIOD AS THE COURT CONSIDERS
46a	APPROPRIATE $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ if:
47	(i) the corporation commences an inquiry into the allegations made in the demand or
48	complaint; and
49	(ii) a person or group described in Subsection (4) is conducting an active review of the
50	allegations in good faith.
51	(e) If a corporation proposes to dismiss a derivative proceeding pursuant to Subsection
52	(4)(a), discovery by a shareholder following the filing of the derivative proceeding in accordance
53	with this section:
54	(i) shall be limited to facts relating to:
55	(A) whether the person or group described in Subsection (4)(b) or (4)(f) is independent and
56	disinterested;
57	(B) the good faith of the inquiry and review by the person or group described in Subsection
58	(4)(b) or $(4)(f)$; and

01-24-00 6:59 AM H.B. 86

59	(C) the reasonableness of the procedures followed by the person or group described in
60	Subsection (4)(b) or (4)(f) in conducting its review; and
61	(ii) may not extend to any facts or substantive matters with respect to the act, omission,
62	or other matter that is the subject matter of $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ [the action in] $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ the derivative proceeding.
63	(4) (a) A derivative proceeding shall be dismissed by the court on motion by the
64	corporation if a person or group specified in Subsections (4)(b) or (4)(f) determines in good faith
65	after conducting a reasonable inquiry upon which its conclusions are based that the maintenance
66	of the derivative proceeding is not in the best interests of the corporation.
67	(b) Unless a panel is appointed pursuant to Subsection (4)(f), the determination in
68	Subsection (4)(a) shall be made by:
69	(i) a majority vote of independent directors present at a meeting of the board of directors
70	if the independent directors constitute a quorum; or
71	(ii) a majority vote of a committee consisting of two or more independent directors
72	appointed by a majority vote of independent directors present at a meeting of the board of
73	directors, whether or not $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ [the] SUCH $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ independent directors appointing $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ [for] $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ the committee
′3a	constituted a
74	quorum.
75	(c) None of the following shall by itself cause a director to be considered not independent
76	for purposes of this section:
77	(i) the nomination or election of the director by persons:
78	(A) who are defendants in the derivative proceeding; or
79	(B) against whom action is demanded:
80	(ii) the naming of the director as:
81	(A) a defendant in the derivative proceeding; or
82	(B) a person against whom action is demanded; or
83	(iii) the approval by the director of the act being challenged in the derivative proceeding
84	or demand if the act resulted in no personal benefit to the director.
85	(d) If a derivative proceeding is commenced after a determination has been made rejecting
86	a demand by a shareholder, the complaint shall allege with particularity facts establishing either:
87	(i) that a majority of the board of directors did not consist of independent directors at the
88	time the determination was made; or
89	(ii) that the requirements of Subsection (4)(a) have not been met.

H.B. 86 01-24-00 6:59 AM

90	(e) (i) If a majority of the board of directors does not consist of independent directors at
91	the time the determination is made rejecting a demand by a shareholder, the corporation has the
92	burden of proving that the requirements of Subsection (4)(a) have been met.
93	(ii) If a majority of the board of directors consists of independent directors at the time the
94	determination is made rejecting a demand by a shareholder, the plaintiff has the burden of proving
95	that the requirements of Subsection (4)(a) have not been met.
96	(f) (i) The court may appoint a panel of one or more independent persons upon motion by
97	the corporation to make a determination whether the maintenance of the derivative proceeding is
98	in the best interests of the corporation.
99	(ii) If the court appoints a panel under Subsection (4)(f)(i), the plaintiff has the burden of
100	proving that the requirements of Subsection (4)(a) have not been met.
101	(g) A person may appeal from an interlocutory order of a court that grants or denies a
102	motion to dismiss brought pursuant to Subsection (4)(a).
103	[(3)] (5) (a) A derivative proceeding [commenced under this section] may not be
104	discontinued or settled without the court's approval.
105	(b) If the court determines that a proposed discontinuance or settlement will substantially
106	affect the [interest] interests of the corporation's shareholders or a class of shareholders, the court
107	shall direct that notice be given to the shareholders affected.
108	[(4)] (6) On termination of the <u>derivative</u> proceeding the court may [require] <u>order:</u>
109	(a) the corporation to pay the plaintiff's reasonable expenses, including counsel fees,
110	incurred in the proceeding, if it finds that the proceeding has resulted in a substantial benefit to the
111	corporation;
112	(b) the plaintiff to pay any defendant's reasonable expenses, including counsel fees,
113	incurred in defending the proceeding, if it finds that the proceeding was commenced or maintained:
114	(i) without reasonable cause[-]; or
115	(ii) for an improper purpose; or
116	(c) a party to pay an opposing party's reasonable expenses, including counsel fees, incurred
117	because of the filing of a pleading, motion, or other paper, if it finds that the pleading, motion, or
118	other paper was:
119	$\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ [(ii)] (i) $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ (A) not well grounded in fact, after reasonable inquiry; or
120	(B) not warranted by existing law or a good faith argument for the extension, modification,

01-24-00 6:59 AM H.B. 86

121	or reversal of existing law; and
122	ĥ [(iii)] (iii) ĥ interposed for an improper purpose, such as to:
123	(A) harass;
124	(B) cause unnecessary delay; or
125	(C) cause needless increase in the cost of litigation.
126	[(5) A proceeding pursuant to this section shall comply with the procedures set forth in
127	Rule 23.1 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure.]
128	[(6) For purposes of this section, "shareholder" includes a beneficial owner whose shares
129	are held in a voting trust or held by a nominee on behalf of the beneficial owner.]
130	(7) (a) In any derivative proceeding in the right of a foreign corporation, the matters
131	covered by this section shall be governed by the laws of the jurisdiction of incorporation of the
132	foreign corporation except for Subsections (3)(c), (3)(d), (5), and (6), which are procedural and not
133	matters relating to the internal affairs of the foreign corporation.
134	(b) In the case of matters relating to a foreign corporation under Subsection (3)(c):
135	(i) references to a person or group described in Subsection (4) are considered to refer to
136	a person or group entitled under the laws of the jurisdiction of incorporation of the foreign
137	corporation to review and dispose of a derivative proceeding; and
138	(ii) the standard of review of a decision by the person or group to dismiss the derivative
139	proceeding is to be governed by the laws of the jurisdiction of incorporation of the foreign
140	corporation.

Legislative Review Note as of 1-21-00 10:50 AM

A limited legal review of this legislation raises no obvious constitutional or statutory concerns.

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel