€ Approved for Filing: PO € 01-11-00 7:15 AM

	1 WORKERS COMPENSATION - EMPLOYEES
2	WILLFUL MISCONDUCT
3	2000 GENERAL SESSION
4	STATE OF UTAH
5	Sponsor: Parley Hellewell
6	AN ACT RELATING TO LABOR; AMENDING PROVISIONS RELATED TO EMPLOYEE
7	MISCONDUCT AND WORKERS COMPENSATION; ADDRESSING THE APPLICATION
8	TO STATE INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION; PROVIDING A SEVERABILITY
9	CLAUSE; AND MAKING TECHNICAL CHANGES.
10	This act affects sections of Utah Code Annotated 1953 as follows:
11	AMENDS:
12	34A-2-302, as last amended by Chapter 187, Laws of Utah 1999
13	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
14	Section 1. Section 34A-2-302 is amended to read:
15	34A-2-302. Employee's willful misconduct Penalty.
16	(1) For purposes of this section:
17	(a) "controlled substance" is as defined in Section 58-37-2;
18	(b) "local government employee" is as defined in Section 34-41-101;
19	(c) "local governmental entity" is as defined in Section 34-41-101;
20	§ [(d) "prescription" is as defined in Section 58-37-2; and]
20a	(d) "VALID PRESCRIPTION" IS A PRESCRIPTION, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 58-37-2, THAT:
20b	(i) IS PRESCRIBED FOR A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE FOR USE BY THE EMPLOYEE FOR
20c	WHOM IT WAS PRESCRIBED; AND
20d	(ii) HAS NOT BEEN ALTERED OR FORGED; AND §
21	(e) "state institution of higher education" is as defined in Section 34-41-101.
22	[(1)] (2) An employee may not:
23	(a) remove, displace, damage, destroy, or carry away any safety device or safeguard
24 25	provided for use in any employment or place of employment;
25 26	(b) interfere in any way with the use of a safety device or safeguard described in $f(1)$ (2)() $f(2)$ () $f(3)$ ()
26 27	Subsection $[(1)]$ (2)(a) by any other person;
27	(c) interfere with the use of any method or process adopted for the protection of any

- 1 - Senate 2nd Reading Amendments 2-1-2000 bb/po

28	S.B. 23 01-11-00 7:15 AM employee in the employer's employment or place of employment; or
29	(d) fail or neglect to follow and obey orders and to do every other thing reasonably
30	necessary to protect the life, health, and safety of employees.
31	[(2)] (3) Except in case of injury resulting in death:
32	(a) compensation provided for by this chapter shall be reduced 15% when injury is caused
33	by the willful failure of the employee:
34	(i) to use safety devices when provided by the employer; or
35	(ii) to obey any order or reasonable rule adopted by the employer for the safety of the
36	employee; and
37	(b) except when the employer permitted, encouraged, or had actual knowledge of the
38	conduct described in Subsection [(2)] (3)(b)(i) through (iii), disability compensation may not be
39	awarded under this chapter or Title 34A, Chapter 3, Utah Occupational Disease Act, to an
40	employee when the major contributing cause of the employee's injury is the employee's:
41	Ş [(i) use of [illegal substances] <u>a controlled substance for which the employee does not have</u>
42	a <u>§ VALID</u> <u>s</u> prescription at the time of the injury;
43	(ii) intentional abuse [of drugs] in excess of prescribed therapeutic amounts of a controlled
44	<u>substance for which the employee has a_Ş VALID ş prescription at the time of injury; or</u>]
44a	(i) USE OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE THAT THE EMPLOYEE DID NOT OBTAIN UNDER A
44b	VALID PRESCRIPTION;
44c	(ii) INTENTIONAL ABUSE OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE THAT THE EMPLOYEE
44d	OBTAINED UNDER A VALID PRESCRIPTION IF THE EMPLOYEE USES THE CONTROLLED
44e	SUBSTANCE INTENTIONALLY:
44f 44g	<u>(A) IN EXCESS OF PRESCRIBED THERAPEUTIC AMOUNTS; OR</u> (B) IN AN OTHERWISE ABUSIVE MANNER; OR ş
44g 45	(iii) intoxication from alcohol with a blood or breath alcohol concentration of .08 grams
46	or greater as shown by a chemical test.
47	$[(3)]$ (4) (a) For purposes of Subsection $[(2)]$ (3), \hat{h} AS SHOWN BY A CHEMICAL TEST THAT
47a 47b	CONFORMS TO SCIENTIFICALLY ACCEPTED ANALYTICAL METHODS AND PROCEDURES AND INCLUDES VERIFICATION OR CONFIRMATION OF ANY POSITIVE TEST RESULT BY GAS
470 47c	CHROMATOGRAPHY, GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY-MASS SPECTROSCOPY, OR OTHER
	COMPARABLY
47d	RELIABLE ANALYTICAL METHOD, BEFORE THE RESULT OF THE TEST MAY BE USED AS A BASIS
47e	FOR THE PRESUMPTION. $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ it is presumed that the major contributing
48	cause of the employee's injury is the employee's conduct described in Subsections [(2)] (3)(b)(i)
49	through (iii) if at the time of the injury $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ [, as shown by a chemical test] $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$: House Committee Amendments 2-14-2000 kh/po Senate 2 nd Reading Amendments 2-1-2000 bb/po - 2 - Amended in Committee - goldenrod 1-26-2000 rd/po

S.B. 23

50 (i) the employee has in the employee's system:

\$ [(A) any amount of [an illegal] a controlled substance or its metabolites if at the time of the
injury the employee does not have a \$ VALID \$ prescription for the controlled substance; or
(B) [drugs] a controlled substance or its metabolites in excess of prescribed therapeutic
amounts if at the time of the injury the employee has a \$ VALID \$ prescription for the controlled
substance;]
(A) ANY AMOUNT OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE OR ITS METABOLITES IF THE

01-11-00 7:15 AM

54c	EMPLOYEE DID NOT OBTAIN THE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE UNDER A VALID PRESCRIPTION; OR
54d	(B) A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE THE EMPLOYEE OBTAINED UNDER A
<u>54e</u>	VALID PRESCRIPTION OR THE METABOLITES OF THE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE IF THE AMOUNT
54f	IN THE EMPLOYEE'S SYSTEM IS CONSISTENT WITH THE EMPLOYEE USING THE CONTROLLED
54g	SUBSTANCE INTENTIONALLY:
54h	(I) IN EXCESS OF PRESCRIBED THERAPEUTIC AMOUNTS; OR
54i	(II) IN AN OTHERWISE ABUSIVE MANNER; ş
55	or
56	(ii) the employee has a blood or breath alcohol concentration of .08 grams or greater.
57	(b) The presumption created under Subsection $[(3)]$ (4)(a) may be rebutted by evidence
58	showing that:

	S.B. 23 01-11-00 7:15 AM
59	(i) the chemical test creating the presumption is inaccurate <u>because the employer failed to</u>
60	comply with:
61	(A) Sections 34-38-4 through 34-38-6; or
62	(B) if the employer is a local governmental entity or state institution of higher education,
63	Section 34-41-104 and Subsection 34-41-103(5);
64	(ii) the employee did not engage in the conduct described in Subsections $[(2)]$ (3)(b)(i)
65	through (iii);
66	(iii) the test results do not exclude the possibility of passive inhalation of marijuana
67	because the concentration of total urinary cannabinoids is less than 50 nanograms/ml as
68	determined by a test conducted in accordance with:
69	(A) Sections 34-38-4 through 34-38-6; or
70	(B) if the employer is a local governmental entity or state institution of higher education,
71	Section 34-41-104 and Subsection 34-41-103(5);
72	[(iii)] (iv) a competent medical opinion from a physician verifies that the amount in the
73	employee's system of the following does not support a finding that the conduct described in
74	Subsections [(2)] (3)(b)(i) through (iii) was the major contributing cause of the employee's injury
75	[is not supported by the amount in the employee's system of]:
76	Ş [(A) [illegal substances] <u>a controlled substance or its metabolites if at the time of the injury</u>
77	the employee does not have a § VALID § prescription for the controlled substance;
78	(B) [drugs] a controlled substance or its metabolites in excess of prescribed therapeutic
79	amounts <u>if at the time of the injury the employee has a_</u> Ş <u>VALID</u> ş <u>prescription for the controlled</u>
79a	substance;]
79b	(A) ANY AMOUNT OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE OR ITS METABOLITES IF THE
79c	EMPLOYEE DID NOT OBTAIN THE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE UNDER A VALID PRESCRIPTION; OR
79d	(B) A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE THE EMPLOYEE OBTAINED UNDER A VALID
79e 79f	PRESCRIPTION OR THE METABOLITES OF THE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE IF THE AMOUNT IN THE EMPLOYEE'S SYSTEM IS CONSISTENT WITH THE EMPLOYEE USING THE CONTROLLED
79g	SUBSTANCE INTENTIONALLY:
79h	(I) IN EXCESS OF PRESCRIBED THERAPEUTIC AMOUNTS; OR
79i	(II) IN AN OTHERWISE ABUSIVE MANNER; ş
80	(C) alcohol; or
81	(D) a combination of Subsections $[(3)]$ (4)(b)(iii)(A) through (C); or
82	[(iv)] (v) the conduct described in Subsections $[(2)]$ (3)(b)(i) through (iii) was not the
83	major contributing cause of the employee's injury.
84	(c) (i) Except as provided in Subsections $[3] (4)(c)(11)$ and (111), if a chemical test that - 3 - Amended in Committee - goldenrod 1-26-2000 $_{rd/po}$

01-11-00 7:15 AM

- 85 creates the presumption under Subsection [(3)] (4)(a) is taken at the request of the employer, the
- 86 employer shall comply with:

S.B. 23

01-11-00 7:15 AM

- 87 (A) Title 34, Chapter 38, Drug and Alcohol Testing; or
- 88 (B) if the employee is a local governmental employee [as defined in Section 34-41-101]
- 89 or an employee of a state institution of higher education, Title 34, Chapter 41, Local Governmental

01-11-00 7:15 AM

- 90 Entity Drug-Free Workplace Policies.
- 91 (ii) Notwithstanding Section 34-38-13, the results of a test taken under Title 34, Chapter
- 92 38, may be disclosed to the extent necessary to establish or rebut the presumption created under
- 93 Subsection [(3)] (4)(a).
- 94 (iii) Notwithstanding Section 34-41-103, the results of a test taken under Title 34, Chapter
- 95 41, may be disclosed to the extent necessary to establish or rebut the presumption created under
- 96 Subsection [(3)] (4)(a).
- 97 (5) If any provision of this section, or the application of any provision of this section to
- 98 any person or circumstance, is held invalid, the remainder of this section shall be given effect
- 99 <u>without the invalid provision or application.</u>

Legislative Review Note as of 1-5-00 11:16 AM

A limited legal review of this legislation raises no obvious constitutional or statutory concerns.

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel