1 PUBLIC EDUCATION CAPITAL OUTLAY ACT 2 AMENDMENTS 3 2002 GENERAL SESSION 4 STATE OF UTAH 5 **Sponsor: David N. Cox** 6 This act modifies provisions related to the State System of Public Education by specifying 7 criteria for the receipt of state school building funds from the capital outlay foundation 8 program and the amount of money a school district may receive. This act directs the 9 Legislature to make an annual appropriation for the capital outlay foundation program 10 sufficient to provide full funding to each school district qualifying to receive funds. This act 11 takes effect on July 1, 2002. 12 This act affects sections of Utah Code Annotated 1953 as follows: 13 AMENDS: 14 53A-21-105, as last amended by Chapters 234 and 335, Laws of Utah 2001 **ENACTS:** 15 16 53A-21-101.5. Utah Code Annotated 1953 17 **REPEALS AND REENACTS:** 18 53A-21-103, as last amended by Chapter 234, Laws of Utah 2001 19 *Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:* 20 Section 1. Section 53A-21-101.5 is enacted to read: 21 53A-21-101.5. Definitions. 22 As used in this chapter: 23 (1) "Average daily membership" or "ADM" means the total full-day equivalent pupils in 24 a school district in membership for one school year. 25 (2) "Derived valuation" means total school district property tax current collections from 26 April 1 though the following March 31, divided by the tax rates for the same year. 27 (3) "Value of the weighted pupil unit" means the value specified in Subsection

H.B. 240

28	<u>53A-17a-103(1).</u>
29	(4) "Yield per ADM" means the product of the derived valuation multiplied by $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ [.00024]
29a	<u>.0024</u> ĥ <u>.</u>
30	divided by average daily membership.
31	Section 2. Section 53A-21-103 is repealed and reenacted to read:
32	53A-21-103. Capital outlay foundation program Qualifications Determination
33	of amounts awarded Annual appropriation.
34	(1) For purposes of this section, the statewide guaranteed yield per ADM is:
35	(a) for fiscal year 2002-03, \$516.63745; and
36	(b) beginning in fiscal year 2003-04, the amount equal to the prior fiscal year's value of
37	the weighted pupil unit multiplied by the factor .00047259, multiplied by the prior fiscal year's
38	statewide guaranteed yield per ADM.
39	(2) (a) A school district may receive state school building funds under the capital outlay
40	foundation program established in Section 53A-21-102 if:
41	(i) the local school board levies a tax rate for capital outlay $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ [and] OR $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ debt service; and
42	(ii) the yield per ADM is less than the state guaranteed yield per ADM.
43	(3) A school district qualifying for state school building funds under Subsection (2) shall
44	receive the following amount of funds:
45	(a) if the local school board levies a tax rate for capital outlay and debt service greater than
46	or equal to .0024, the school district shall receive an amount equal to the state guaranteed yield per
47	ADM minus the yield per ADM, multiplied by average daily membership; and
48	(b) if the local school board levies a tax rate for capital outlay and debt service less than
49	.0024, the school district shall receive a proportion of the amount derived by the formula in
50	Subsection (3)(a) equal to the actual tax rate's percentage of a .0024 tax rate.
51	(4) (a) The amount of money a school district is otherwise qualified to receive under
52	Subsections (2) and (3) may not be reduced for the sole reason that the school district's levy is
53	reduced as a consequence of a change in the certified tax rate under Section 59-2-924 pursuant to
54	changes in property valuation.
55	(b) Subsection (4)(a) applies for a period of two years following a change in the certified
56	tax rate.
57	(5) The Legislature shall annually make an appropriation for the capital outlay foundation
58	program sufficient to provide the full amount of money each school district is qualified to receive

01-09-02 3:36 PM

59	under this section.
59a	${ m \hat{h}}$ (6)(a) NOTWITHSTANDING SUBSECTION (1), IF THE STATEWIDE GUARANTEED YIELD PER
59b	ADM DETERMINED UNDER SUBSECTION (1)(b) WOULD RESULT IN A TOTAL DISTRIBUTION TO
59c	SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF LESS THAN \$28,358,000, THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION SHALL
59d	INCREASE THE STATEWIDE GUARANTEED YIELD PER ADM TO RESULT IN A TOTAL DISTRIBUTION
59e	TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF \$28,358,000.
59f	(b) IN THE FISCAL YEAR FOLLOWING A FISCAL YEAR IN WHICH THE STATE BOARD OF
59g	EDUCATION INCREASES THE STATEWIDE GUARANTEED YIELD PER ADM PURSUANT TO
59h 59i	SUBSECTION (6)(a), THE CALCULATION OF THE STATEWIDE GUARANTEED YIELD PER ADM UNDER SUBSECTION (1)(b) SHALL BE BASED ON THE VALUE OF THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR'S
59j	STATEWIDE GUARANTEED YIELD PER ADM BEFORE ANY INCREASE MADE UNDER SUBSECTION
59k	(6)(a). În
60	h [(6) (a) Notwithstanding Subsections (1) and (5)] (7)(a) NOTWITHSTANDING SUBSECTIONS
60a	(1), (5), AND (6) $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$, if the appropriation for the capital outlay
61	foundation program is insufficient to provide the full amount of money each school district is
62	qualified to receive under this section, the State Board of Education shall distribute the available
63	monies as provided in this section, except the board shall decrease, to the extent necessary, the
64	statewide guaranteed yield per ADM.
65	(b) The calculation of the statewide guaranteed yield per ADM under Subsection (1)(b)
66	shall be based on the value of the prior fiscal year's statewide guaranteed yield per ADM before
67	any decrease made under Subsection $\hat{\mathbf{h}} \begin{bmatrix} (6)(\mathbf{a}) \end{bmatrix} (7)(\mathbf{a}) \hat{\mathbf{h}}$.
68	Section 3. Section 53A-21-105 is amended to read:
69	53A-21-105. State contribution to capital outlay programs.
70	The state contribution [toward the cost of the programs] to the capital outlay foundation
71	program established under Section 53A-21-102 for the fiscal year beginning July 1, [2001] 2002,
72	shall consist of an appropriation totaling [\$38,358,000] <u>\$28,358,000</u> to the State Board of
73	Education from the Uniform School Fund.
74	Section 4. Effective date.
75	This act takes effect on July 1, 2002.

Legislative Review Note as of 1-7-02 3:54 PM

A limited legal review of this legislation raises no obvious constitutional or statutory concerns.

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel