♠ Approved for Filing: R.H. Rees ♠

€ 01-17-06 10:39 AM €

1	REMOVAL OF COUNTY OR PROSECUTION		
2	DISTRICT OFFICER AMENDMENTS		
3	2006 GENERAL SESSION		
4	STATE OF UTAH		
5	Chief Sponsor: Scott L Wyatt		
6	Senate Sponsor: Darin G. Peterson		
7 3	LONG TITLE		
)	General Description:		
)	This bill modifies provisions relating to county or prosecution district officers.		
	Highlighted Provisions:		
	This bill:		
	<ul> <li>provides that a county or prosecution district officer charged with a felony arising</li> </ul>		
	from official conduct is entitled to return to office if the charges are dismissed or the		
	officer is acquitted but shall be removed from office if the officer is convicted or		
	enters a plea of nolo contendere and that a conviction or plea of nolo contendere is		
	considered to be a determination that the officer has committed malfeasance in		
	office;		
	<ul> <li>provides that the removal process resulting from a conviction or nolo contendere</li> </ul>		
	plea does not replace another statutory removal process; and		
	<ul> <li>eliminates a provision calling for county legislative body supervision of a person</li> </ul>		
	employed to discharge temporarily the duties of an officer on administrative leave.		
	Monies Appropriated in this Bill:		
	None		
	Other Special Clauses:		
	None		
	Utah Code Sections Affected:		



H.B. 274 01-17-06 10:39 AM

A	MENDS: <b>17-16-10.5</b> , as enacted by Chapter 206, Laws of Utah 1999
В	e it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
	Section 1. Section 17-16-10.5 is amended to read:
	17-16-10.5. Malfeasance in office Felony charges or incapacitation Paid
a	dministrative leave Reassignment of duties.
	(1) The failure of an elected county or prosecution district officer substantially to
p	erform the officer's official duties constitutes malfeasance in office under Section 77-6-1.
	(2) (a) If an elected county or prosecution district officer is charged with the
c	ommission of a felony arising from conduct related to the officer's official duties, the officer
sł	nall be placed on paid administrative leave by the county legislative body until [a court of
c	ompetent jurisdiction disposes of the charges.]:
	(i) the charges are dismissed or the officer is acquitted, at which time the officer shall
)(	e entitled to return to office, unless the officer's term of office has in the meantime expired; or
	(ii) the officer is convicted or enters a plea of nolo contendere, at which time the court
)]	residing over the felony prosecution arising from conduct related to the officer's official duties
ŀ	nall enter an order removing the officer from office.
	(b) A conviction or a plea of nolo contendere, relating to a felony charge described in
S	ubsection (2)(a), shall be considered to be a determination that the officer has committed
m	alfeasance in office.
	(c) The provisions under this Subsection (2) for the removal of a county or prosecution
ď	strict officer are in addition to and do not replace or supersede the removal provisions under
T	itle 77, Chapter 6, Removal by Judicial Proceedings.
	(3) (a) During the time that an elected county or prosecution district officer is on paid
a	Iministrative leave under Subsection (2), the officer's duties may, except as provided in
S	ubsection (3)(c), be temporarily:
	(i) reassigned to another officer by the county legislative body; or
	(ii) performed by a person employed for that purpose[, under the supervision of the
C	bunty legislative body].
	(b) For purposes of Subsection (3)(a) with respect to a prosecution district officer in a

01-17-06 10:39 AM H.B. 274

- multi-county prosecution district, "county legislative body" means the legislative bodies of all counties included in the prosecution district.
  - (c) A reassignment under Subsection (3)(a) may not result in the same person exercising the duties of:
    - (i) both a county legislative body member or county treasurer and county auditor; or
    - (ii) both a county executive and county auditor.

## Legislative Review Note as of 6-14-05 10:35 AM

61

62

6364

Based on a limited legal review, this legislation has not been determined to have a high probability of being held unconstitutional.

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

Fiscal Note	Removal of County or Prosecution District Officer Amendments	20-Jan-06 9:39 AM	
Bill Number HB0274			
State Impact			
No fiscal impact.			
Individual and Busin	ness Impact		
No fiscal impact.			

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst