MINUTES OF THE HOUSE JUDICIARY STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING

Room W010 - State Capitol Complex February 3, 2006

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. James A. Ferrin, Chair

Rep. Erik K. Hutchings, Vice Chair

Rep. Douglas C. Aagard

Rep. Ben C. Ferry

Rep. Glenn A. Donnelson Rep. Lorie D. Fowlke Rep. Ann W. Hardy Rep. Neal B. Hendrickson

Rep. David L. Hogue Rep. Susan Lawrence Rep. Rosalind J. McGee Rep. Ross Romero

Rep. Scott L. Wyatt

STAFF PRESENT: Jerry D. Howe, Policy Analyst

Sylvia Newton, Committee Secretary

NOTE: A list of visitors and a copy of handouts are filed with the committee minutes.

Representative Ferrin called the meeting to order at 7:34 a.m.

MOTION: Rep. Hogue moved to approve the minutes of the January 30, 2006 meeting with

Rep. Donnelson, Rep. Ferry, and Rep. Fowlke absent for the vote.

H.B. 90 Criminal Penalty Amendments (Rep. D. Litvack)

Rep. Litvack introduced H.B. 90 and explained it to the committee. He was assisted by Mark Shurtleff, Attorney General.

MOTION: Rep. Romero moved to amend the bill as follows:

- 1. Page 1, Lines 14 through 15:
- 14 { repeals the current criminal provision that enhances penalties for certain crimes that
 - 15 are committed to threaten or intimidate;
- 2. *Page 2, Lines 32 through 33:*

The motion to amend the bill passed unanimously with Rep. Ferry absent for the vote.

Spoke in favor of the bill: Tom Patterson, Director, Utah Sentencing Commission

Dr. Forrest Crawford, Weber State University Jack Roddy, Coalition of Religious Communities David Spatafore, Utah Chiefs of Police Association

Spoke in opposition to the bill: Jonas Rodriguez, America Forever

Abraham Rodriguez, America Forever

John Bowers, Eagle Forum

Sandra Rodriguez, America Forever

MOTION: Rep. Hutchings moved to further amend the bill as follows:

1. Page 2, Lines 46 through 50:

-}

- 46 (i) the selection is likely to:
- 47 (A) cause emotional or other harm to the victim { or and other members of the group; or
- 48 (B) incite community unrest; or
- 49 (ii) the group is or has been the target of animus, discrimination, legal disabilities, or
- 50 <u>hate-based crimes; and</u>

The motion to amend the bill passed with Rep. McGee and Rep. Romero voting in opposition to the motion.

MOTION: Rep. Wyatt moved to pass the bill as amended out favorably. The motion passed with Rep. Donnelson, Rep. Ferry, and Rep. Fowlke voting in opposition to the motion.

House Judiciary Standing Committee February 3, 2006 Page 3

H.B. 322 Costs of Divorce (Rep. P. Wallace)

Rep. Wallace introduced H.B. 322 and explained it to the committee and distributed a handout to the committee.

MOTION: Rep. Hardy moved to amend the bill as follows:

```
1. Page 1, Line 7 through Page 2, Line 32:
```

- 7 LONG TITLE
- 8 General Description:
- This bill raises the filing fee for a divorce or separate maintenance action to $\{\$500\}$ \$155.
- 10 Highlighted Provisions:
- This bill:
- raises the filing fee for a divorce or separate maintenance action to $\{\$500\}$ \$155.

** Some lines omitted **

32 (iv) [\$95] {\$500} } \$155 if the petition is filed under Title 30, Chapter 3, Divorce, or Title 30,

The motion to amend the bill passed unanimously with Rep. Ferry and Rep. Hutchings absent for the vote.

Spoke to the bill: Richard Schwermer, Administrative Office of the Courts

Spoke in opposition to the bill: Stewart Ralphs, Director, Legal Aid Society

Spoke in favor of the bill: John T. Nielsen, Utah State Bar

Paul Mero, Sutherland Institute

MOTION: Rep. Hogue moved to pass the amended bill out favorably. The motion passed

with Rep. Hendrickson and Rep. Romero voting in opposition to the motion.

Rep. Hutchings was absent for the vote.

H.B. 124 Parent-time Amendments (Rep. B. Dee)

MOTION: Rep. Fowlke moved to delete in title and body H.B. 124 and replace it with 1st Sub. H.B. 124.

SUBSTITUTE

MOTION: Rep. Hendrickson moved to proceed to the next item on the agenda. The motion passed unanimously with Rep. Ferry, Rep. Wyatt, and Rep. Hutchings absent for

the vote.

H.B. 307 Utah's Small Business Equal Access to Justice Act Amendments (*Rep. R. Romero*)

Rep. Romero introduced H.B. 307 and explained it to the committee.

MOTION: Rep. Fowlke moved to pass the bill out favorably.

SUBSTITUTE

MOTION: Rep. Hogue moved to proceed to the next item on the agenda. The motion failed

with Rep. Aagard, Rep. Hogue, and Rep. Ferrin voting in favor of the motion.

Rep. Ferry and Rep. Wyatt were absent for the vote.

The motion to pass the bill out favorably passed with Rep. Aagard and Rep. Hogue voting in opposition to the motion.

H.B. 290 Protective Order Amendments (Rep. L. Fowlke)

MOTION: Rep. Fowlke moved to amend H.B. 290 as follows:

- 1. Page 5, Line 139 through Page 6, Line 155:
 - 139 30-6-4.3. Hearings on ex parte orders.
 - (1) (a) When a court issues an ex parte protective order the court shall set a date for a
 - hearing on the petition within 20 days after the ex parte order is issued.
 - (b) If at that hearing the court does not issue a protective order, the ex parte protective
 - order shall expire, unless it is otherwise extended by the court. { <u>Extensions beyond</u> the 20-day
 - 144 period may not be granted unless:
 - 145 <u>(i) the respondent has had the opportunity to present a defense at the hearing;</u>

or

- (ii) the respondent requests that the ex parte order be extended.
- (c) Under no circumstances may an ex parte order be extended beyond 180 days from
- the date of initial issuance. (c) At the hearing, an extension may not be granted unless the respondent has had the opportunity to present a defense.

 (d) If the petitioner requests an extension for the admission of additional evidence from a Guardian Ad Litem, police reports, medical reports, or other relevant evidence, the court may extend the ex parte protective order, so long as it complies with Subsection (1)(c).
 - (e) An ex parte protective order may be extended upon the stipulation of both parties.
 (f) Under no circumstances may an ex parte protective order be extended beyond 120 days from the date of service upon the respondent.
- 149 [(c)] {(d)} (g) If at {that} hearing the court issues a protective order, the ex parte protective
- order remains in effect until service of process of the protective order is completed.
- 151 [(d)] {(e)} A protective order issued after notice and a hearing is effective until further
- order of the court.
- 153 [(e)] {(f)} (i) If the hearing on the petition is heard by a commissioner, either the petitioner
- or respondent may file an objection within ten days of the entry of the recommended order and
- the assigned judge shall hold a hearing within 20 days of the filing of the objection.

The motion to amend the bill passed unanimously with Rep. Donnelson, Rep. Ferry, Rep. Romero, and Rep. Hutchings absent for the vote.

Rep. Fowlke introduced H.B. 290 and explained it to the committee.

MOTION: Rep. Hogue moved to pass the amended bill out favorably.

SUBSTITUTE

MOTION: Rep. Fowlke moved to amend the bill by inserting "and after service of the exparte protection order," after "At the hearing," on line 148.

House Judiciary Standing Committee February 3, 2006 Page 6

The substitute motion to amend the bill passed unanimously with Rep. Rep. Donnelson, Rep. Ferry, Rep. Romero, and Rep. Hutchings absent for the vote.

Spoke to the bill: Stewart Ralphs, Director, Legal Aid Society

Spoke in favor of the bill: Kurt Myers, citizen

MOTION: Rep. Hogue moved to pass the amended bill out favorably. The motion passed

unanimously with Rep. Donnelson, Rep. Ferry, Rep. Romero, and Rep.

Hutchings absent for the vote.

H.B. 281 Privacy of Records Related to Minors (Rep. E. Hutchings)

H.B. 281 was not considered in this meeting.

MOTION: Rep. Hogue moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed unanimously with

Rep. Donnelson, Rep. Ferry, Rep. Romero, and Rep. Hutchings absent for the

vote.

Rep. Ferrin adjourned the meeting at 10.01 a.m.

Rep. James A. Ferrin, Chair