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## LONG TITLE

## General Description:

This bill makes technical changes to provisions related to truth in advertising.

## Highlighted Provisions:

This bill:

- makes technical changes related to defining when a deceptive trade practice occurs, including clarifying sentence structure.


## Monies Appropriated in this Bill:

None

## Other Special Clauses:

None

## Utah Code Sections Affected:

AMENDS:
13-11a-3, as enacted by Chapter 205, Laws of Utah 1989

## Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:

Section 1. Section 13-11a-3 is amended to read:
13-11a-3. Deceptive trade practices enumerated -- Records to be kept -- Defenses.
(1) Deceptive trade practices occur when, in the course of [his] a person's business, vocation, or occupation that person:
(a) [A person] passes off goods or services as those of another[:];
(b) [A persort] causes likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding as to the source,
sponsorship, approval, or certification of goods or services[-];
(c) [A persorn] causes likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding as to affiliation, connection, association with, or certification by another[-];
(d) [A person] uses deceptive representations or designations of geographic origin in connection with goods or services[:];
(e) [A person] represents that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or qualities that they do not have or that a person has a sponsorship, approval, status, affiliation, or connection that [he] the person does not have[-];
(f) [A persorn] represents that goods are original or new if they are deteriorated, altered, reconditioned, reclaimed, used, or second-hand[:];
(g) [A person] represents that goods or services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, or that goods are of a particular style or model, if they are of another[-];
(h) [A persor] disparages the goods, services, or business of another by false or misleading representation of fact[-];
(i) [A person] advertises goods or services or the price of goods and services with intent not to sell them as advertised[. If speeific advertised priees will be in effeet for less than one week from the advertisement date, the advertisement must elearly and conspietiousty diselose the specifie time period during which the priees will be in effeet.];
(j) [A person] advertises goods or services with intent not to supply a reasonable expectable public demand, unless:
(i) the advertisement clearly and conspicuously discloses a limitation of quantity; or
(ii) the person issues rainchecks for the advertised goods or services[:];
(k) [A person] makes false or misleading statements of fact concerning the reasons for, existence of, or amounts of price reductions[-];
(1) [A person] makes a comparison between [firs] the person's own sale or discount price and a competitor's nondiscounted price without clearly and conspicuously disclosing that fact[-];
(m) [A person,] without clearly and conspicuously disclosing the date of the price
assessment makes a price comparison with the goods of another based upon a price assessment performed more than seven days prior to the date of the advertisement or uses in an advertisement the results of a price assessment performed more than seven days prior to the date of the advertisement without disclosing, in a print ad, the date of the price assessment, or in a radio or television ad, the time frame of the price assessment[[];
(n) [A person] advertises or uses in a price assessment or comparison a price that is not [his] that person's own unless this fact is:
(i) clearly and conspicuously disclosed; and
(ii) the representation of the price is accurate[-With respect to the price of a eompetitor, the price must be one at which the competitor offered the goods or serviees for sate in the product area at the time of the price assessment, and must not be an isolated price.];
(o) [A person] represents as independent an audit, accounting, price assessment, or comparison of prices of goods or services, when [suetr] the audit, accounting, price assessment, or comparison is not independent[-Sueh audit, aecounting, price assessment, or comparison shall be independent if the priee assessor randomly seleets the goods to be compared, and the time and place of such eomparison, and no agreement or understanding exists between the supplier and the price assessor that couldeause the results of the assessment to be fraudulent or teeeptive. The independence of such authit, aceounting, or price comparison is not invalidated merely beeause the advertiser pays a fee therefor, but is invalidated if the audit, aceounting, or price comparison is done by a full or part time employee of the advertiser.];
(p) [A person] represents, in an advertisement of a reduction from the supplier's own prices, that the reduction is from a regular price, when the former price is not a regular price as defined in Subsection 13-11a-2(12)[-];
(q) [A person] advertises a price comparison or the result of a price assessment or comparison that uses, in any way, an identified competitor's price without clearly and conspicuously disclosing the identity of the price assessor and any relationship between the price assessor and the supplier[. Examples of diselosure complying with this seetion are: "Price assessment performed by Store Z", "Priee assessment performed by a certified publie
aceounting firm", "Price assessment performed by employee of Store $\left.\mathrm{Y} .{ }^{-1}\right]$;
(r) [A person] makes a price comparison between a category of the supplier's goods and the same category of the goods of another, without randomly selecting the individual goods or services upon whose prices the comparison is based[. For the purposes of this subsection, goods on serviees are randomly selected when the supplier has no advance knowledge of what goods and serviees will be surveyed by the price assessor, and when the supplier certifies its tack of advance knowledge by an affidavit to be retained in the supplier's reeords for one year.];
(s) [A person] makes a comparison between similar but nonidentical goods or services unless the nonidentical goods or services are of essentially similar quality to the advertised goods or services or the dissimilar aspects are clearly and conspicuously disclosed in the advertisements[. It is prima facie evidenee of complianee with this subsection if:]; or
[(i) the goods compared are substantially the same size; and]
[(ii) the goods eompared are of substantially the same quatity, whieh may inelude similar modets of competing brands of goods, or goods made of substantially the same materials and made with substantially the same workmanship. It is prima facie evidenee of a deeeptive eomparison under this seetion when the priees of brand name goods and generie goods are compared.]
( $t$ ) [A persorn] engages in any other conduct which similarly creates a likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding.
(2) (a) For purposes of Subsection (1)(i), if a specific advertised price will be in effect for less than one week from the advertisement date, the advertisement must clearly and conspicuously disclose the specific time period during which the price will be in effect.
(b) For purposes of Subsection (1)(n), with respect to the price of a competitor, the price must be one at which the competitor offered the goods or services for sale in the product area at the time of the price assessment, and must not be an isolated price.
(c) For purposes of Subsection (1)(o), an audit, accounting, price assessment, or comparison shall be independent if the price assessor randomly selects the goods to be compared, and the time and place of the comparison, and no agreement or understanding exists
between the supplier and the price assessor that could cause the results of the assessment to be fraudulent or deceptive. The independence of an audit, accounting, or price comparison is not invalidated merely because the advertiser pays a fee for the audit, accounting, or price comparison, but is invalidated if the audit, accounting, or price comparison is done by a full or part-time employee of the advertiser.
(d) Examples of a disclosure that complies with Subsection (1)(q) are:
(i) "Price assessment performed by Store Z";
(ii) "Price assessment performed by a certified public accounting firm"; or
(iii) "Price assessment performed by employee of Store Y".
(e) For the purposes of Subsection (1)(r), goods or services are randomly selected when the supplier has no advance knowledge of what goods and services will be surveyed by the price assessor, and when the supplier certifies its lack of advance knowledge by an affidavit to be retained in the supplier's records for one year.
(f) (i) It is prima facie evidence of compliance with Subsection (1)(s) if:
(A) the goods compared are substantially the same size; and
(B) the goods compared are of substantially the same quality, which may include similar models of competing brands of goods, or goods made of substantially the same materials and made with substantially the same workmanship.
(ii) It is prima facie evidence of a deceptive comparison under this section when the prices of brand name goods and generic goods are compared.
$[(2)](3)$ Any supplier who makes a comparison with a competitor's price in advertising shall maintain for a period of one year records that disclose the factual basis for such price comparisons and from which the validity of such claim can be established.
[(3)] (4) It shall be a defense to any claim of false or deceptive price representations under this chapter that a person:
(a) has no knowledge that the represented price is not genuine; and
(b) has made reasonable efforts to determine whether the represented price is genuine.
[(4)] (5) Subsections (1)(m) and (q) do not apply to price comparisons made in catalogs
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in which a supplier compares the price of a single item of its goods or services with those of another.
[(5) In order to] (6) To prevail in an action under this chapter, a complainant need not prove competition between the parties or actual confusion or misunderstanding.
[(6)] (7) This chapter does not affect unfair trade practices otherwise actionable at common law or under other statutes of this state.

